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# AN EXISTENCE THEOREM OF THE LERAY-SCHAUDER TYPE FOR FOUR-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS 

IRENA RACHӨnkOVÁ<br>(Received January 15, 1990)


#### Abstract

An existence theorem of the Leray-Schauder type for the problem $z^{\prime \prime}=g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right), z(c)-z(a)=A, z(b)-z(d)=B$,


 where $a, b, c, d, A, B \in(-\infty, \infty), a<c \leqq d<b$, is proved.Key words: Four-point BVPs at resonance, Carathéodory conditions, Fredholm mapping of index zero, L-compact mapping, the Brouwer degree.

MS Classification: 34B10, 34B15

1. The existence theorems of the Leray-Schauder type have been proved for the Picard and periodic problems for example in [1,2]. Here, we shall prove such a theorem for the following four-point problem at resonance

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{\prime \prime}=g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& z(c)-z(a)=A, \quad z(b)-z(d)=B, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, A, B \in(-\infty, \infty)(=R), a<c \leqq d<b$, and $g$ satisfies the local Carathéodory conditions on $[a, b] \times R^{2}$.

The questions of existence and uniqueness of the solutions of. problem (1.1), (1.2) were studied in [3-5] and various effective conditions were found. The proofs were based on the Schauder fixed-point theorem and a priori estimates there.

The Leray-Schauder type theorem which is proved here enables to obtain further effective existence conditions. Using this theorem we do not need to prove a priori estimates for the solutions of (1.1), (1.2).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } g_{0}(t)=c_{1} t^{2}+c_{2} t \text { for each } t \in[a, b] \text {, where } \\
& c_{1}=[B /(b-d)-A /(c-a)] /(b-c+d-a) \\
& c_{2}=[A(b+d) /(c-a)-B(c+a) /(b-d)] /(b-c+d-a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting
$f(t, x, y)=g\left(t, x+g_{0}(t), y+g_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)-2 c_{1}$,
$u(t)=z(t)-g_{0}(t)$,
we get from (1.1), (1.2) the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
& u(c)-u(a)=0, \quad u(b)-u(d)=0 . \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

So, from now on, we can consider problem (1.3), (1.4).

## 2. Notations, definitions and auxiliary results

We shall use the terminology from [1,2]. Let $X, Y$ be real vector normed spaces and domLCX a vector subspace. In what follows
$L: \operatorname{domL} \rightarrow Y$
will be a linear mapping and
$N: X \rightarrow Y$
will be a mapping not necessarily linear.
Definition 1. L will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero iff
(i) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L<+\infty$;
(ii) Im L is closed in Y.

It follows from the definition above and from basic results
of linear functional analysis that there exist continuous projectors
$P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Y \rightarrow Y$
such that
$\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L \quad$ and $\quad \operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$,
so that $X=\operatorname{Ker} L \oplus \operatorname{Ker} P, Y=\operatorname{Im} L \oplus \operatorname{Im} Q$ as topological direct sums.

Consequently, the restriction $L_{p}$ of $L$ to dom $L \cap$ Ker $P$ is one-to-one and onto $\operatorname{Im} L$, so that its (algebraic) inverse
$K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{dom} L \cap$ Ker $P$
is defined.
Definition 2. Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set. A continuous mapping $N$ will be called L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ iff the mappings $Q N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ and $K_{p}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow X$ are compact, i.e. continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and such that $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ and $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ are relatively compact sets.

One can show that Definition 2 does not depend upon the choice of the continuous projectors $P$ and $Q$, which justifies the terminology. See $[1, p .13]$.

Since dim Ker $L=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im} Q<\infty$, there exists an isomorphism
$J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$.
Let us consider the mappings

$$
N^{*}: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow Y, \quad(x, \lambda) \mapsto N^{*}(x, \lambda)
$$

with $N^{*}(., 1)=N$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0}=J Q N^{*}(., 0): \operatorname{Ker} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need the following theorem, which is proved in [1,p.29].
Continuation theorem. Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let $\Omega \subset x$ be an open bounded set. Let $N$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1]$. Suppose
a) for each $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in(0,1)$, every solution $x$ of

$$
L x=N^{*}(x, \boldsymbol{\lambda})
$$

is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega$,
b) $Q N^{*}(x, 0) \neq 0$ for each $x \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \Omega$ and
c) the Brouwer degree $d\left[N_{0}, \Omega \cap\right.$ Ker $\left.L, 0\right] \neq 0$.

Then the equation
$L x=N x$
has a least one solution in dom $L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.
In what follows
$A C^{i}(a, b)\left[C^{i}(a, b)\right]$ denotes the set of all real functions having absolutely continuous [continuous] i-th derivatives on [a,b], $\mathrm{i}=0,1$, $L^{P}(a, b)$ is the set of all real functions $y$ with $|y|^{P}$ Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b], p \in[1, \infty)$.
We say that some property is satisfied on $D=[a, b] \times R^{2}$, if it is satisfied for almost each( $=a . e$. ) $t \in[a, b]$ and for each $x, y \in R$. We shall suppose that $f$ satisfies the local Careathéodory conditions on D, i.e.
$f(\cdot, x, y):[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is Lebesgue measurable on $[a, b]$ for each $x, y \in R$,
$f(t, \cdot, \cdot): R^{2} \rightarrow R$ is continuous on $R^{2}$ for a.e. $t \boldsymbol{\epsilon}[a, b]$ and $\sup \{|f(t ; x, y)|:|x|+|y| \leqq \varrho\} \in L^{l}(a, b)$ for each $\rho \in(0,+\infty)$. We shall write $f \in C^{\prime}{ }_{10 c}(D)$.

By a solution to (1.3), (1.4), we mean a function $x \in A C^{1}(a, b)$ verifying (1.3) for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$ and (1.4).

Let us denote
$x=C^{1}(a, b)$ with the $C^{1}$-norm $\|x\|_{C^{1}}=\max _{t \in[a, b]}\left\{|x(t)|+\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|\right\}$,
$Y=L^{1}(a, b)$ with the $L^{1}$-norm $\|y\|_{L^{1}}=\int_{a}^{b}|y(t)| d t$
and

$$
\operatorname{dom} L=\left\{x \in A C^{1}(a, b), x \text { satisfies }(1.4)\right\}
$$

Further, let us define the mappings

$$
\begin{equation*}
L: \operatorname{dom} L \rightarrow Y, x \mapsto x^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N: X \rightarrow Y, \quad x \mapsto f\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem (1.3), (1.4) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=N x \text {, } \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $x \in \operatorname{dom} L$ satisfies equation (2.5) iff $x \in \operatorname{AC}^{l}(a, b)$ is a solution of (1.3), (1.4).

## 3. Lemmas

Lemma 1. The linear mapping (2.3) is a Fredholm mapping of index zero.

Proof. Ker L consists of all solutions of the homogenous problem $u^{\prime \prime}=0,(1.4)$ and thus Ker $L$ consists of all constant functions on $[a, b]$. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{dim} R=1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Im $L$ is the set of all functions $y \in L^{1}(a, b)$ for which there. exist functions $x \in \operatorname{dom} L$ verifying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)=y(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$. The solution of (3.2) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\alpha t+\beta+\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in R$. The condition $x \in \operatorname{dom} L$ implies $x(a)=x(c)$ allu $x(b)=x(d)$ and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s=-\frac{1}{b-d} \int_{d}^{b} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote $c_{0}=(b+d) / 2-(c+a) / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{1}{c_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{b-d} \int_{d}^{b} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} L=\left\{y \in L^{1}(a, b), \quad \hat{y}=0\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $y \in Y \backslash I m L$, then $\hat{y} \neq 0$ and $y-\hat{y} \in \operatorname{Im} L$. It means that
$\operatorname{dim} Y / I m L=\operatorname{dim} R=1$.
How, we shall prove that $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Y$. Let $y_{n} \in \operatorname{Im} L$ ( $\forall \cap \in N$ ) and let there exists $y \in Y$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|_{L} 1=0
$$

Then there exists a subsequence $\left(y_{m_{n}}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ converging to $y$ for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$. This implies the existence of $h \in L^{l}(a, b)$ such that

$$
\left|y_{m_{n}}(t)\right|<h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]
$$

So, we can use the Lebesgue convergence theorem and ge.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{y}=\frac{1}{c_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{b-d} \int_{d}^{b} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s\right]= \\
& =\frac{1}{c_{0}}\left[\frac{1}{b-d} \int_{d}^{b} \int_{a}^{s} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{m_{n}}(\tilde{\tau}) d \tau d s-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{m_{n}}(\tau) d \tau d s\right]= \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{y}_{m_{n}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have proved $\hat{y}=0$, i.e. y $\in \operatorname{Im} L$, which completes the proof.
Let us put
$P: X \rightarrow X, \quad X \mapsto X(a)$
$Q: Y \rightarrow Y, \quad y \mapsto \hat{y}$.

Lemma 2. The mappings $P, Q$ defined in (3.8) are continuous projectors.
$P$ roof. From (3.8) it follows that $P^{2}=P, Q^{2}=Q$ and $P$, $Q$ are linear. We can also see that $P$, $Q$ are continuous because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P x_{1}-P x_{2}\right\|_{C^{1}} \leqq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|_{C^{1}} \text { for any } x_{1}, x_{2} \epsilon X \text { and } \\
& \left\|Q y_{1}-Q y_{2}\right\|_{L} 1 \leqq 2(b-a)\left|c_{0}\right|^{-1}\left\|y_{1}-y_{2}\right\|_{L} 1 \text { for any } y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $\Omega \subset x$ be an open bounded set. Let $Q$ and $N$ be the mappings (3.8) and (2.4), respectively. The the mapping $Q N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is compact.

Proof. Since $\Omega$ is bounded, there exists $M_{1} \in(0,+\infty)$
such that
$\|x\|_{C^{1}}<M_{1}$,
for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and since $f \in \operatorname{Car}_{l o c}(D)$, there exists $h \in L^{1}(a, b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t) \mid \leqq h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]\right. \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$.
Let us choose arbitrary functions $x_{n} \in \Omega_{1}(n \in N)$ and let there exists $x_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x_{0}\right\|_{c^{1}}=0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)= & f\left(t, x_{0}(t), x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b] .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (3.9) and (3.10), using the Lebesgue convergence theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b}\left[f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)-f\left(t, x_{o}(t), x_{o}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right] d t= \\
& =\int_{a}^{b}\left[\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)-f\left(t, x_{0}(t), x_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right] d t=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|N x_{n}-N x_{o}\right\|_{L} 1=0$, which means that $N$ is continuous. From this, by Lemma 2, it follows that $Q N$ is continuous.

According to (3.7), dim $\operatorname{ImQ}=1$ and therefore $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is relatively compact iff it is bounded in $Y$. Let us choose an arbitrary $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. Then, by (3.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q N x\|_{L^{1}} \leqq M_{2} \text {, } \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{2}=\frac{2(b-a)}{\left|c_{0}\right|} \int_{a}^{b} h(t) d t$. Lemma is proved.
Lemma 4. Let $\Omega \subset x$ be an open bounded set. Let $L$ and $N$ be the mappings (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. Then $N$ is L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$.
$P$ r o o f. Let $P, Q$ be the mappings (3.8). We shall verify the conditions of Definition 2. In Lemma 1 we have proved that $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero and from Lemma 3 we get the compacteness of $Q N$. Now, we shall find the mapping

$$
K_{p}: \operatorname{ImL} \rightarrow \operatorname{domL} \cap \operatorname{KerP}
$$

which is the generalized inverse to the restriction $L_{p}=L / K e r P$. Clearly $\operatorname{Ker} P=\{x \in X: x(a)=0\}$. Let us consider the equation (3.2) with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(a)=x(c)=0, \quad x(d)=x(b) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Problem (3.2), (3.12) is equivalent to the equation

$$
L_{p} x=y \text {, where } y \in \operatorname{ImL}
$$

According to (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), we can get the solution of (3.2), (3.12) in the form

$$
x(t)=-\frac{t-a}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s+\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p}: y \mapsto-\frac{t-a}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s+\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{s} y(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|K_{p} y-K_{p} z\right\|_{C}^{1}=2(b-a+1)\|y-z\|_{L}$ for any $y, z \in \operatorname{ImL}$, $K_{p}$ is continuous. Since $Q$ and $N$ are continuous (see Lemma 2 and the proof of Lemma 3), the mapping $K_{p}(I-Q) N$ is continuous as well.

Now, let us show that the functions of $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ are equi-bounded in $X$. Let $v$ be an arbitrary function of $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$. Then there exists $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $v=K_{p}(I-Q) N x$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
v(t) & =-\frac{t-a}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s}\left(f\left(\tilde{\tau}, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-\hat{f}\right) d \tau d s+ \\
& +\int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{s}\left(f\left(\tau, x(\tilde{\tau}), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)-\hat{f}\right) d \tau d s, \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f} & =\frac{1}{c_{0}}\left[\frac { 1 } { b - d } \int _ { d } ^ { b } \int _ { a } ^ { s } f \left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau d s-\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau d s\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, in view of (3.9), (3.11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{C^{1}} \leqq M_{3}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{3}=2(b-a+1)\left(\int_{a}^{b} h(s) d s+M_{2}\right)$.
Further let us show that the functions of $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ are equi-continuous in $X$. Let $v$ have the form (3.14) and $t, s \in[a, b]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|v(t)-v(s)| \leqq M_{4}|t-s| \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{4}=2 \int_{a}^{b}(h(\tau)+\hat{f}) d \tau$, and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v^{\prime}(t)-v^{\prime}(s)\right| \leqq\left|\int_{t}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right|+|t-s| M_{2} /(b-a) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h \in L^{1}(a, b)$, then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s-t|<\delta_{1} \Rightarrow\left|\int_{t}^{s} h(\tau) d \tau\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose an arbitrary $\mathcal{E} \in(0,+\infty)$ and

$$
\delta \leqq \min \left\{\delta_{1}, \varepsilon / 3 M_{4}, \varepsilon(b-a) / 3 M_{2}\right\}
$$

According to (3.16) - (3.18), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|s-t|<\delta \Longrightarrow\|v(s)-v(t)\|_{C_{1}}<\varepsilon \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$.

From (3.15), (3.19) and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem it follows that the set $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is relatively compact. This completes the proof.

Lemma 5. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and let $f^{*} \in \operatorname{Car}_{l_{\text {oc }}}\left([a, b] \times R^{2} \times[0,1]\right)$. Then the mapping

$$
N^{*}: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow Y, \quad(x, \mathcal{A}) \mapsto f\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot), \lambda\right)
$$

is L-compact on $\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1]$.
Proof. Lemma 5 can be proved in a similar way as
Lemma 4. On the space $X x[0,1]$ we work with the norif
$\|(x, \lambda)\|=\|x\|_{C^{1}}+|\lambda| \quad$ for $(x, \lambda) \in X \in[0,1]$.

## 4. The main result

Let us choose the function
$f^{*} \in \operatorname{Car}_{10 c}\left([a, b] \times R^{2} \times[0,1]\right)$
such that
$f^{*}(t, x, y, 1)=f(t, x, y)$ on $D$,
and consider the set of the equations

$$
u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda \mathrm{f}^{*}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{u}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}), \quad \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}[0,1] .
$$

Let us put
$f_{o}(x)=\frac{1}{b-d} \int_{d}^{b} \int_{a}^{s} f^{*}(t, x, 0,0) d t d s-\frac{1}{c-a} \int_{a}^{c} \int_{a}^{s} f^{*}(t, x, 0,0) d t d s .(4.2)$
Existence theorem of the Leray-Schauder type. Let there exists an open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$ such that
(a) for any $\mathcal{L}(0,1)$, every solution $u$ of the problem (4.1 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ), (1.4) satisfies $u \notin \partial \Omega$;
(b) for any root $x_{0} \in R$ of the equation $f_{0}(x)=0$, the condition $x_{0} \notin \partial \Omega$ is fulfilled, where $x_{0}$ is considered as a constant function $u(t)=x_{0}$, on $[a, b]$;
(c) the Brouwer degree $d\left[f_{0}, \Delta, 0\right] \neq 0$, where $\Delta \subset R$ is the set of such constants $c$, that the constant functions $u(t)=c$ belong to $\Omega$.

Then problem (1.3), (1.4) has a least one solution in $\bar{\Omega}$.
Proof. From Lemma 1 and Lemma 5 it follows that $L$ and $N^{*}$ satisfies the conditions of the Continuation theorem. According to (3.8) and (4.2) we have $Q N^{*}(x, 0)=f_{0}(x)$ and in view of (2.1), (2.2) and (3.1), $N_{0}=k f_{o}$, where $k \in R, k \neq 0$. Therefore the conditions (a), (b), (c) of the Continuation theorem are satisfied as well, which completes the proof.
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