Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium, Mathematica

Ján Andres

Periodic solutions of the third-order differential equation with right-hand side in the form of nonlinear restoring term plus general gradient-like part

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 30 (1991), No. 1, 143--148

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120253

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

VOL. 100

Katedra matematické analýzy a numerické matematiky přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: Doc.RNDr.Jiří Kobza, CSc.

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF THE THIRD-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH RIGHT-HAND SIDE IN THE FORM OF NONLINEAR RESTORING TERM PLUS GENERAL GRADIENT-LIKE PART

JAN ANDRES

(Received January 12, 1990)

Abstract: The sufficient conditions of the existence of a harmonic to equation (1) are carried out.

 $\label{eq:Keywords: Periodic boundary value problem, Leray-Schauder} \\ \text{alternative.}$

MS Classification: 34C25

Consider

$$x''' = h(x) + [f(t,x,x)]',$$
 (1)

where $h \in C(R^1)$, $f \in C^1(R^3)$ and f is T-periodic in t, i.e.

$$f(t,x,y) \equiv f(t+T,x,y)$$

$$(\Rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}t} \equiv \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t+T,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}t}\,,\,\, \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}x}\,,\,\, \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}x} \equiv \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t+T,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}x}\,,\,\, \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}y}\,,\, \frac{\mathfrak{I}f(t,x,y)}{\mathfrak{I}y}\,)_*$$

One can readily check that, for example, the equation

$$x''' + a(x')x'' + b(x)x' + h(x) = p(t)$$
,

studied in [1] - [3], [5] - [7], takes the form (1). Hence, our purpose here is to extend the results concerning the existence of T-periodic solutions to this type of equations.

We apply the following well-known (see e.g. [7], p.103) Leray-Schauder alternative.

Proposition. If all solutions x(t) of the one-parameter family of equations

$$x''' = (1 - \mu)cx + \mu \{h(x) + [f(t,x,x')]'\}_{2,\mu} \in (0,1)$$

and their derivatives up to the second order including, satisfying the boundary conditions

$$x(T) - x(0) = x'(T) - x'(0) = x''(T) - x''(0) = 0$$
 (2)

are uniformly a priori bounded on the interval $\langle 0,T \rangle$ for sufficiently small values of a real constant $c \neq 0$, independently of $\mathcal{M} \in (0,1)$, then equation (1) admits a T-periodic solution.

Remark. It is clear that the standard requirement in order the equation x''' = cx, originated from $(1 \slash)$ for $\slash = 0$, to have no nontrivial T-periodic solutions is trivially satisfied for every $c \neq 0$.

We can give the following

Theorem. If a positive constant R exists such that

$$h(x)x = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad h(x)x \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > R \,, \tag{3}$$

while all the zero points of h(x) are isolated, and if positive constants 4, β , γ with $\beta T^3 + \mu T^2 \le 4 \Im^2$ still exist such that

$$f^{2}(t,x,y) \leq A + \beta x^{2} + \beta y^{2} \quad \text{for all } t,x,y,$$

then equation (1) admits a T-periodic solution.

Proof. Applying Proposition, we want to show the uniform a priori estimates for all solutions of $(1\,\mu)$ - (2) and their derivatives up to the second order. Hence, let x(t) be such a solution.

At first, we will prove that

$$\min_{t \in \{0,T\}} |x(t)| \le R. \tag{5}$$

Substituting x(t) into (1 μ) and integrating the obtained identity form O to T, we get

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left[\mu h(c(t)) + (1 - \mu)cx(t) \right] sgn x(t) dt = 0$$

after multiplying it by sgn x(t), when

min
$$|x(t)| > R$$
.
 $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$

Choosing c in order ch(x)x = 0 to be satisfied for |x| > R, we come to a contradiction to (3). Thus, (5) must be valid, and consequently

$$|x(t)| \leq R + \int_{0}^{T} |x'(t)| dt \leq R + \sqrt{T} \left[\int_{0}^{T} x'^{2}(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq$$

$$\leq R + \sqrt{T} \frac{T}{2T} \left[\int_{0}^{T} x''^{2}(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(6)

be means of the well-known Schwarz and Wirtinger inequalities (see e.g. [3]).

Now, we will prove the existence of positive constants ${\bf D}$ and ${\bf D}^{'}$ such that

$$|x(t)| \le D$$
 and $|x'(t)| \le D'$.

Substituting x(t) into (1μ) , multiplying the obtained identity by x'(t) and integrating it by parts from 0 to T, we arrive by means of the Schwarz inequality at the relation

$$\int_{0}^{T} x''^{2}(t)dt = \mu \int_{0}^{T} f(t,x(t),x'(t))x''(t)dt \le \left[\int_{0}^{T} f^{2}(t,x(t),x'(t))dt \cdot \int_{0}^{T} x''^{2}(t)dt\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
i.e. (cf. (4), (6))

$$\int_{0}^{T} x''^{2}(t)dt = \int_{0}^{T} f^{2}(t,x(t),x'(t))dt = AT + B \int_{0}^{T} x^{2}(t)dt +$$

$$+ \sqrt[4]{(\frac{T}{2})^2} \int_0^T x''^2(t)dt \leq T \left\{ x' + \beta R^2 + 2\beta R \sqrt[4]{T} \frac{T}{2T} \left[\int_0^T x''^2(t)dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sqrt[4]{T} + \beta T \right) \left(\frac{T}{2T} \right)^2 \int_0^T x''^2(t)dt \right\} ,$$

when using the Wirtinger inequality.

Because of $\Omega := 1 - (\rlap/{\nu} + \beta T) (T/2 \mathcal{F})^2 > 0$, a constant

$$D_2^2 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (M + \sqrt{M^2 + 4N})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 with $M := 2 GR \sqrt{T} T^2 / 2 \Upsilon \Omega$ and

$$\int_{0}^{T} x^{2}(t) dt \leq D_{2}^{2},$$

and sonsequently also (cf. (6))

$$|x(t)| \le R + \sqrt{T} \frac{T}{2T} D_2 := D$$
,

as well as

$$|x'(t)| \le \int_{0}^{T} |x''(t)| dt \le \sqrt{T} \left[\int_{0}^{T} x''^{2}(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \sqrt{T} D_{2} := D',$$

be means of the Schwarz inequality with respect to the existence of a point $t_1 \in (0,T)$ with $x'(t_1) = 0$ implied by Rolle's theorem, i.e. we arrived at (7).

At last, we will prove the existence of a positive constant $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}\xspace^{\prime\prime}$ such that

$$|x''(t)| \leq D''. \tag{8}$$

This will be performed by means of the Landau inequality (see [4]) saying that

$$\|x''(t)\|^2 \le 4 \|x'(t)\| \|x'''(t)\|$$
, where $\|.\|:= \max |.|.$
 $t \in \langle 0, T \rangle$

Therefore, we have furthermore that (cf. (7))

$$||x''(t)||^{2} \le 4D' \left[H + ||\frac{d}{dt} f(t,x(t),x'(t)) + |c| ||x(t)|| \right] \le 4D'(H + |c|D + ||\mathfrak{d}f/\mathfrak{d}t|| + ||\mathfrak{d}f/\mathfrak{d}x||D' + + ||\mathfrak{d}f/\mathfrak{d}x'|| ||x''(t)||) \le 4D'(H + |c|D + F_{0} + + F_{1}D' + F_{2}||x''(t)||),$$

i.e. (8), where

$$D'' := \frac{1}{2} (K + \sqrt{K^2 + 4L}), K := 4D'F_2, L := 4D'(H + |c|D + F_0 + F_1D'),$$
 and
$$H := \max_{|x| \le D} |h(x)|,$$

To be more precize, inequality (8) is correct for the equation which is equivalent to $(1\,\mu)$ on the domain t<<0,T>, $|x| \le D$, $|y| \le D'$, but this is without any loss of generality. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andres, J. and Voráček, J.: Periodic solutions of a certain parametric third order differential equation, Kniž.odb.věd.sp. VUT v Brně, B-94 (1983), 7-11.
- [2] E z e i l o, J.O.C.: Periodic solutions of certain third order differential equations, Atti Accad.Naz.Lincei (8) 57, 1-2 (1974), 54-60.
- [3] E z e i l o, J.O.C.: Further results on the existence of periodic solutions of a certain third order differential equation, Atti Accad.Naz.Lincei (8) 64, 1 (1978), 48-58.

- [4] H i 1 l e, E: On the Landau-Kallman-Rota inequality, J. Approx. Theory 6 (1972), 117-122.
- [5] R e i s s i g, R.: Periodic solutions of a third order nonlinear differential equation, Ann.Mat.Pura ed Appl. 4, 92 (1972), 193-198.
- [6] R e i s s i g, R.: An extension of Ezeilo´s result, Ann. Mat.Pura ed Appl. 4, 92 (1972), 193-198.
- [7] R e i s s i g, R., S a n s o n e, G. and C o n t i, R.: Nichtlineare Differentialgleichungen höherer Ordnung, Cremonese, Roma 1969.

Department of Math.Analysis Palacký University Vídeňská 15, 771 46 Olomouc Czechoslovakia

Acta UPO, Fac.rer.nat. 100, Mathematica XXX (1991), 143 - 148.