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1. Problem

A differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=Q(t) y, \quad \operatorname{Im} Q(t) \neq 0, \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

is investigated, where $Q$ is a continuous and $\pi$ - periodic
complex function on R. From the Floquet theory (see for
instance [7]) it then follows that there exist independent
solutions $u, v$ of $(Q)$ such that
either
$u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t), \quad v(t+\pi)=\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t), \quad t \in R$, $0 \neq \rho \in C$
or

$$
u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t)+v(t), \quad v(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot v(t),
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \in R, \quad \rho^{2}=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally complex numbers $\rho, \varrho^{-1}$ are called characteristic (or Floquet's) multipliers of ( $Q$ ).

In $[2]-[6],[8],[9],[11],[12]$ the values of the characteristic multipliers of (q): $y^{-=}=q(t) y, q$ being a continuous $\pi$-periodic real function on $R$, where expressed by a phase and the (1st kind) central dispersion of (q).

The present article offers a new look at the Floquet theory of (Q) based on the phase theory point of view.
2. Basic notations, relations and preparatory lemmas

The symbol $C^{n}(R)\left(\tilde{C}^{n}(R)\right)$, where $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, will refer to a set of real (complex) functions with continuous derivatives (on R) up to and including the order $n$. Trivial solutions of linear equations will not be considered.

In analogy with [13] a function $\alpha \in \tilde{C}^{3}(R)$ will be said to be a phase of_an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=P(t) y, \quad P \in \tilde{C}^{o}(R), \quad \operatorname{Im} P(t) \neq 0 \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly if there exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of this equation such that .
a) $u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$,
b) $\alpha^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{w}{u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t)}$ for $t \in R$, where $w:=u v^{\prime}-u^{\prime} v$.

If moreover $\operatorname{tg} \alpha\left(t_{0}\right)=\frac{u\left(t_{0}\right)}{v\left(t_{0}\right)}$ at a point $t_{0} \in R$, where
$v\left(t_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then $\alpha$ is said to be a phase of the basis $(u, v)$
of $(P)$. In such a case $u(t)=c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, v(t)=c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, where $0 \neq c \in C$.

A function $\alpha$ is a phase of ( P ) exactly if it is a solution (on $R$ ) of a nonlinear 3 rd order differential equation

$$
-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=P(t)
$$

where $\{\alpha, t\}:=\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}-\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{2}$ denotes the Schwarzian derivative of $\alpha$ at the point $t$.

If $\alpha$ is a phase of ( $P$ ), then every solution of ( $P$ ) may be written either as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha(t)+c_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3} \frac{l^{i \nu \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu^{2}=1, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in c, c_{1} \neq 0 \neq c_{3}$. The converse is valid, too: For arbitrary complex numbers $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{1} \neq 0 \neq c_{3}$, and a number $\nu, \nu^{2}=1$, the functions defined by (3) and (4) are solutions of $(P)$. Hereby $\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}$ means a continuous and single-valued branch of the square root of the function $\alpha^{\prime}(t)$.

If $u$ is a solution of $(P), u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, then there exists a phase $\alpha$ of $(P)$ and a number $c \in C, c \neq 0$, such that

$$
u(t)=c \frac{\ell^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}} \quad, \quad t \in R .
$$

All the above properties have been presented and proved in [13].

Lemma 1. Let $\alpha$ be a phase of ( P ). Then
$(P(t))=-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=\left(i \alpha^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{\prime}+\left(i \alpha^{\prime}(t)-\right.$

$$
\left.-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{2}, \quad t \in R
$$

Proof. Setting $u(t):=\frac{l^{i \alpha}(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}(\neq 0)$ for $t \in R$, then $u$ is a solution of $(P)$. From the equalities $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}=i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$
and $\left\{-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=\right) P(t)=\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)}\right)^{-}+\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)}\right)^{2}$ then there follows the assertion of Lemma 1.

In analogy with [14] a function $X$ will be said to be a (complete) transformator of (P) if
(i) $\quad x \in C^{3}(R), x^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R, X(R)=R$;
(ii) for every solution $y$ of $(P)$ the function $\frac{y[x(t)]}{\sqrt{|x(t)|}}$ is again a solution of this equation.

The set of increasing transformators of ( $P$ ) constitutes a group $L_{P}^{+}$relative to the composition of functions. We will say that $L_{P}^{+}$is a planar_group, if to every $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in R \times R$ there exists exactly one function $x \in L_{P}^{+}$such that $x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$.

A transformator $x$ of $(P), X^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in R$, will be called a central transformator of $(P)$ if

$$
\frac{y[x(t)]}{\sqrt{x^{\prime}(t)}}=\nu \cdot y(t) \quad \text { for } t \in R
$$

where $\nu^{2}=1$, for every solution $y$ of $(P)$. The set of all central transformators of ( $P$ ) constitutes a group relative to the composition of functions, which we will write as $L_{P}^{C}$; $L_{P}^{c}\left(L_{P}^{+} \quad(\right.$ see $[14])$.

Lemma 2. Let $\alpha$ be a phase of ( $P$ ). Then $P$ is a $\pi$-periodic function exactly if the function $\alpha(t+\pi)$ is a phase of ( $P$ ), too.

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose $P$ is a $\pi$-periodic function and set $\beta(t):=\alpha(t+\pi), t \in R$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\{\beta, t\}-\beta^{-2}(t) & =-\{\alpha, t+\pi\}-\alpha^{-2}(t+\pi)= \\
& =P(t+\pi)=P(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\{\beta, t\}-\beta^{-2}(t)=P(t), \quad t \in R \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence it follows that $\beta$ is a phase of ( $P$ ).
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Suppose $\beta$ (defined analogous to the first part of the proof) is a phase of ( $P$ ). Then (5) is true and consequently

$$
-\{\alpha, \mathrm{t}+\pi\}-\alpha^{-2}(\mathrm{t}+\pi)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}), \quad \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}
$$

It follows from this and from the equality $-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=$ $=P(t), t \in R$, that $P(t+\pi)=P(t)$ for $t \in R$.

Lemma 3. Let $a \in R, \operatorname{Re} P(t)+a . I m P(t) \geqq q(t)$ for $t \in R$, where $q \in C^{\circ}(R)$ and $(q): y^{\prime}=q(t) y$ be not oscillatory (i.e. any solution of ( $q$ ) has at most a finite number of zeros on $R)$. Then any solution of ( $P$ ) has at most a finite number of zeros on $R$.

Proof. Suppose, there exists a solution $z$ of ( $P$ ) with an infinite number of zeros, and $\infty$ is their cluster point. Let $u$ be a solution of $(q), u(t)>0$ for $t \geqq b$ and $z\left(t_{1}\right)=$ $=z\left(t_{2}\right)=0$ for $b \leqq t_{1}<t_{2}, z(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Since

$$
\left(z^{\prime}(t) \bar{z}(t)\right)^{\prime}=P(t)|z(t)|^{2}+\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}
$$

then

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\left|z^{\circ}(s)\right|^{2}+(\operatorname{ReP} P(s)+i . \operatorname{Im} P(s))|z(s)|^{2}\right\} d s=0
$$

It then follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\left|z^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}+\operatorname{Re} P(s)|z(s)|^{2}\right\} d s=0 \\
& \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \operatorname{Im} P(s)|z(s)|^{2} d s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{\left|z^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2}+q(s)|z(s)|^{2}\right\} d s \leqq 0 .
$$

Since $|z(t)|^{-2} \leqq\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}$ for $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left\{r^{-2}(s)+q(s) r^{2}(s)\right\} d s \leqq 0
$$

where $r(t):=|z(t)|, t \in R$. Then, by Lemma 1.3 ( $[15]$ p.3), the solution $u$ has a zero on $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, which is a contradiction.

## 3. Main results

In what follows we will investigate equations of the type

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}=Q(t) y, \quad Q \in \tilde{C}^{O}(R), \quad \operatorname{Im} Q(t) \neq O, Q(t+\pi)=Q(t) \\
\text { for } t \in R . \tag{Q}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 4. There exists a phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ) such that the function i $\alpha^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is $\pi$-periodic exactly if for a solution u of ( O )

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t), u(t) \neq 0 \text { for } t \in R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, where $0 \neq \varphi \in C$.
Proof. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose there exists a phase $\alpha$ of ( $(\underline{\text { ) }}$ such that the function $i \alpha^{-}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is $\pi$-periodic. If we set $u(t):=\frac{\ell^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}(\neq 0), t \in R$, then $u$ is a solution of $(Q)$ and

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}=i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}} \quad(:=p)
$$

so that $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function. Further $u(t)=u(0)$.
$\cdot \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s\right)$ which yields

$$
u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t), \text { where } \rho=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} p(s) d s\right)
$$

$(\Longleftarrow)$ Let (6) hold for a solution $u$ of ( $Q$ ), where $0 \neq \rho \in C$. Since $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, there exists a phase $\alpha$ of $(Q)$ and a $c \in C$ such that $u(t)=c \frac{\ell^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$. On account of the fact that $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function and $\frac{u^{*}}{u}=$
$=i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ it is clear that $i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is also a function with a period $\pi$.

Remark 1. If (6) holds for a solution $u$ of (Q), where $O \neq \rho \in C$, then $\rho$ is a characteristic multiplier of (Q).

Remark 2. If $\beta$ is a phase of $(P)$ and i $\beta^{\prime}-\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}}{2 \beta^{\prime}}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function, then the coefficient $P$ of ( $P$ ) is also a $\pi$-periodic function, as it readily follows from Lemma 1.

Remark 3. In the terminology of transformators equation ( $P$ ) $t+\pi \in L_{P}^{+}$exactly if $P$ is a $\pi$-periodic function.

Corollary 1. Suppose there exists a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) such that i $\alpha-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function. Then

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(\pi)}} \exp \{i(\alpha(\pi)-\alpha(0))\}, \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}} \exp \{i(\alpha(0)-\alpha(\pi)\}
$$

are characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Proof. It follows from Remark 2 that the coefficient $\mathbb{Q}$ of (Q) is a $\pi$-periodic function. Besides we obtain from the
proof $(\Longrightarrow)$ of Lemma 4 and Remark 1 that $\exp \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} p(s) d s\right)$ $\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{\pi} p(s) d s\right)$, where $p:=i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$, are characteristic. multipliers of $(\underline{Q})$. From this and from the equality
$\int_{0}^{\pi} p(s) d s=i(\alpha(\pi)-\alpha(0))+\ln \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(\pi)}}$ immediately follows the assertion of Corollary 1.

Lemma 5. Suppose there exists a phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ) such that $i \alpha^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}(=: p(t), t \in R)$ is a $\pi$-periodic function. Then for a phase $\beta$ of ( Q )

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \beta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \beta^{\prime}(t)}=p(t) \text { for } t \in R \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled exactly if there exist $k, k_{1} \in C, k e^{2 i \alpha(t)} \neq 1$ for $t \in R$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t)=\alpha(t)+\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(1-k e^{2 i \alpha(t)}\right)+k_{1}, \quad t \in R \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose $\beta$ is such a phase of (Q) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p(t)=) i \alpha^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}=i \beta^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \beta^{\prime}(t)}, t \in R \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from Theorem $4[13]$ there follows the equality $\beta(t)=$ $=c[\alpha(t)]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\left(c_{1} \cos z+c_{2} \sin z\right)^{2}+\left(c_{3} \cos z+c_{4} \sin z\right)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in C$, where $\left(c_{1} \cos z+c_{2} \sin z\right)^{2}+\left(c_{3} \cos z+\right.$ $\left.+c_{4} \sin z\right)^{2} \neq 0$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4} \in C, c_{2} c_{3}-c_{1} c_{4}=1$. Then $\beta^{\prime \prime}(t)=c^{-}[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha^{\prime}(t), \beta^{\prime \prime}(t)=c^{\prime}[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha^{-2}(t)+$ $+c^{-}[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)$ and on substituting in (9) we get

$$
i=i . c^{\cdot}[\alpha(t)]-\frac{c^{\prime \prime}[\alpha(t)]}{2 c^{\prime}[\alpha(t)]}
$$

All solutions of the above equation are of the form $c^{\circ}[\alpha(t)]=$ $=\frac{1}{1-k e^{2 i \alpha(t)}}$, where $k \in C$ is an arbitrary number such that $k e^{2 i \alpha(t)} \neq 1$ for $t \in R$. There is an infinite number of such $k$ and if we proceed in the same manner as in [13] we may prove the Lebesque measure (the complex number is taken as a point in Gauss plane) of the set of such numbers $k$ is equals to infinity. Here $c^{\circ}(z)$ has the form (10). In the case of $k \neq 1$ it suffices to put $c_{1}=0, c_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k}}, c_{3}=\sqrt{1-k}, c_{4}=$
$=-\frac{i k}{\text { while in }}$ $=-\frac{i k}{\sqrt{1-k}}$ while in
the case of $k=1$ we put $c_{1}=-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, c_{2}=0, c_{3}=-i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, $c_{4}=\sqrt{2}$. Hence $\beta(t)=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}{1-k e^{2 i \alpha(t)}}$ and integrating the latter equality from $O$ to $t$ gives

$$
\beta(t)=\beta(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\alpha(s) d s}{1-k e^{2 i \alpha(s)}}=\beta(0)+\alpha(t)+
$$

$$
+\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(1-k e^{2 i \alpha(t)}\right)-\alpha(0)-\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(1-k e^{2 i \alpha(0)}\right)=
$$

$$
=\alpha(t)+\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(1-k e^{2 i \alpha(t)}\right)+k_{1}
$$

where $k_{1}:=\beta(0)-\alpha(0)-\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(1-e^{2 i \alpha(0)}\right)$.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Suppose $\beta$ is the function defined by (8): where $k$. $k_{1} \in C, k e^{2 i \alpha(t)} \neq 1$ for $t \in R$. By a direct computation it mas be verified that $\beta$ is a phase of (Q) and (7) is true.

Lemma 6. Let all solutions of (Q) not be $\pi$ - periodic or $\bar{T}$-halfperiodic and let there exist a phase $\alpha$ of (0) such that the furction $i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}\left(=: p_{1}\right)$ is $\pi$-periodic. Then
there exists at most one $\pi$-periodic function $p_{2}, p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, such that. $p_{2}=i \beta^{-}-\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}}{2 \beta^{\prime}}$ for a phase $\beta$ of ( $Q$ ).

Proof. Following Remark 2, it suffices to prove that the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}+u^{2}=Q(t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most two different $\pi$-periodic. solutions (defined on R) under the assumption that all solutions of ( $Q$ ) are not $\pi$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic. First, the function $p_{1}$ is a $\pi$-periodic solution of (11). We assume that there exist further two $\pi$-periodic solutions $p_{2}, p_{3}$ of (11), $p_{1} \neq p_{2}$, $p_{1} \neq p_{3}, p_{2} \neq p_{3}$. Integreting the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(p_{3}-p_{2}\right)^{\prime}}{p_{3}-p_{2}}-\frac{\left(p_{3}-p_{1}\right)^{\prime}}{p_{3}-p_{1}}=p_{1}-p_{2} \\
\frac{\left(p_{2}-p_{j}\right)^{\prime}}{p_{2}-p_{3}}-\frac{\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)^{\prime}}{p_{2}-p_{1}}=p_{1}-p_{3} \\
\frac{\left(p_{3}-p_{1}\right)^{\prime}}{p_{2}-p_{1}}=-p_{3}-p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

from 0 to $\pi$ yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(p_{1}(t)-p_{2}(t)\right) d t=2 i m \pi, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(p_{1}(t)-p_{3}(t)\right) d t=2 i n \pi \\
\int_{0}^{\pi}\left(p_{1}(t)+p_{3}(t)\right) d t=2 i r \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

where $m, n$, $s$ are integers, whence $\int_{0}^{\pi} p_{1}(t) d t=i(n+r) \pi, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} p_{2}(t) d t=i(n+r-2 m) \pi, \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} p_{3}(t) d t \leqslant i(r-n) \pi$.

Since $p_{1}(t)=\frac{y_{1}^{\prime}(t)}{y_{1}(t)}, p_{2}(t)=\frac{y_{2}^{\prime}(t)}{y_{2}(t)}$, where $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are suitable independent solutions of $(Q), y_{1}(t) \neq 0, y_{2}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, there exist $k_{1}, k_{2} \in C$ such that $y_{i}(t)=k_{i} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} p_{i}(s) d s\right)$, $i=1,2, t \in R$. Naturally, then

$$
y_{i}(t+\pi)=k_{i} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} p_{i}(s) d s\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} p_{i}(s) d s\right)=(-1)^{n+r} y_{i}(t)
$$

( $i=1,2, t \in R$ ), hence all solutions of ( $Q$ ) are $\pi$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic, which is a contradiction.

Remark 4. In assuming that all solutions of ( Q ) are $\pi$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic, the Riccati equation (11) has infinitely many $\pi$-periodic solutions. All these solutions are of form $\frac{y^{-}(t)}{y(t)}$, where $y$ is a solution of $(Q), y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ (see Example 1). Here the main difference is in the number of periodic solutions of the Riccati equation in a real case, when even there the equation has at most two $\pi$-periodic solution (see [10]).

Example 1. The Riccati equation

$$
u^{\prime}+u^{2}=-4+16 e^{8 i t}
$$

has $\tilde{n}$-periodic solutions, say

$$
u=-2 i+4 i e^{4 i t} \operatorname{cotg}\left(e^{4 i t}+c\right)
$$

with $c \in C$ being an arbitrary number such that $\sin \left(e^{4 i t}+c\right) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. This condition is fulfilled for $c=c_{1}+i c_{2}$ such that $\left(c_{1}+k \pi\right)^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \neq 1$ for all integer $k$.

It becomes obvious that the investigation of $\tilde{x}$-periodicity of the function $i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$, where $\alpha$ is a phase of (Q), is essential. The remain part of this text is deviden into three cases:

Case 1 - there exists a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) such that its derivative ${ }^{\alpha}$ is a $\tilde{A}$-periodic function (and then the function i $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{k}}{2 \alpha^{0}}$, too, is $\pi$-periodic):

Casc 2 -. there exists such a phase $\propto$ of ( 0 ) that its derivative $\alpha$ " is not a $\pi$-periodic function and i. $\alpha^{\circ}-\frac{\alpha^{*}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is a $\pi$ mperiodic function;

Case 3 - there exists no such phase $\alpha$ of (Q) that i $\alpha^{\circ}$ -$-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{\partial \widetilde{2}}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function.

## Case 1

Theorem 1. Suppose $\rho$ is a characteristic multiplier of (Q). $|\rho| \geq 1$. Then, there exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of (Q). $u(t) v(t) \neq \dot{F}$ for $t \in R$ satisfying (1) exactly if there exists a phase $\alpha$ of $(Q), k_{1}, k_{2} \in R, 0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi_{r}$, $k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \equiv 0$ and an integer $n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+\left(k_{1}+2 n \pi\right)+i k_{2} \cdot t \in R_{\theta}  \tag{12}\\
& \rho=\nu e^{k_{2}-i k_{1}} \text { and } \nu=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}(= \pm 1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Preof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $\rho$ be a characteristic multiplier of (O). $1 \rho 1=1$ and $u$, $v$ be independent solutions of (Q) satisfying (1), $u(t) v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. Setting $u:=\frac{1}{2}(u+v), V:=$ $:=\frac{i}{2}(V-u)$ vilds that $U, V$ are independent solutions of $(Q)$ and $U^{2}(t)+V^{2}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. Let $\alpha$ be a phase of the basis $(U, V)$ of $(Q)$. Then chere exists a $c \in C, c \neq O_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, \quad V(t)=c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, \quad t \in R \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [13]). Since

$$
c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}=\frac{1}{2}(u(t)+v(t)), c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}=\frac{i}{2}(v(t)-u(t))
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c^{2}}{\alpha(t+\pi)} & =\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho \cdot u(t)+\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t)-\right. \\
& -\rho \cdot u(t))^{2}=u(t) v(t)=\frac{c^{2}}{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Naturally then $\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)$ and therefore for an a $\in C$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+a_{n} \quad t \in R . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nu=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{( }\left(t+\gamma^{\prime}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}$. Evidently, $\nu$ is either equal to 1 or
equal to -1 . From the definition of $U, V$ and from (1). (13) (15) it follows from one side

$$
V(t+\pi)+i \cdot U(t+\pi)=\nu c \frac{\exp i(\alpha(t)+a)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}
$$

and from the other side

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(t+\pi) & +i \cdot u(t+\pi)=\frac{i}{2}\left(\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t) \cdots \rho \cdot u(t)\right)+ \\
& +\frac{i}{2}\left(\rho \cdot u(t)+\varrho^{-1} \cdot v(t)\right)=i \rho^{-1} \cdot v(t)= \\
& =\rho^{-1} \cdot(v(t)+i \cdot u(t))=c \rho^{-1} \frac{\exp i \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{d(t)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\rho=2 e^{-i a}$ and if $a=a_{1}+i a_{2}$ is $|g|=e^{a_{2}}=1$, whence $a_{2}$. Next let $a_{1}=k_{1}+2 n$, where $0 \leqslant k_{1}<2 \pi$ and $n$ is an integer. Setting $k_{2}:=a_{2}(\$ 0)$, we get from (15) formula (12) and $\varphi=\nu \cdot \exp \left(-i\left(k_{1}+2 n \hat{\sigma}\right)+k_{2}\right)=\nu \cdot \exp \left(k_{2}-i k_{1}\right)$ 。

It remains to prove that in case of $a_{2}=0, i . e$, where $|\varrho|=1$, the number $k_{1}$ may be chosen to that $0 \leqq k_{1} \cong \pi$. In case of $\pi<k_{1}<2 \pi$ we consider the phose $\beta:=-\infty$ in place of the phase of of (Q). Then it follows from (15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(t+\pi) & =\beta(t)-a=\beta(t)-k_{1}-2 n \pi= \\
& =\beta(t)+\left(2 \pi-k_{1}\right)-2(n+1) \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

and in place of the integer $n$ in (12) we put the integer $-(n+1)$ and in place of the number $k_{1}$ we put $2 \pi-k_{1}$. Evidently $0<2 \pi-k_{1}<\pi$.
$(<)$ Let $\alpha$ be a phase of $(Q), k_{1}, k_{2} \in R, 0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq$ $=\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi, k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \geqslant 0$ and $n$ be an integer such that (12) is true. Let $\nu=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ and set $\rho:=\nu . \exp \left(k_{2}-\right.$ $\left.-i k_{1}\right), U(t):=\frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, V(t):=\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, u(t):=-i U(t)+$ $+V(t), V(t):=i U(t)+V(t)$ for $t \in R$.

Then $|\rho| \geqq 1, u, v$ are independent solutions of $(Q), u(t) v(t)=$ $=u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(t+\pi) & =\frac{\cos \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t+\pi)}}-i \frac{\sin \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\frac{\exp (-i \alpha(t+\pi))}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}= \\
& =\rho\left[\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}-i \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}\right]=\rho \cdot u(t), \\
v(t+\pi) & =\frac{\cos \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}+i \frac{\sin \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\frac{\exp (i \alpha(t+\pi))}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}= \\
& =\rho^{-1}\left[\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}+i \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}\right]=\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t), t \in R,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\varsigma, \varrho^{-1}$ are characterịstic multipliers of $(Q)$.
Corollary 2. Let $\alpha$ be a phase of (Q). All solutions of
(Q) are $\pi$-periodic or $\mathbb{\pi}$-halfperiodic exactly if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+k \pi, t \in R \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)$ or $k=2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon), n \in Z$ and $\varepsilon=$ $=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}(= \pm 1$ for $t \in R)$.

Proof. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose all solutions of (Q) are T-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic. The functions $\frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, \frac{e^{-i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ are independent solutions of (Q) and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{e^{i \alpha(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\nu \frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}  \tag{17}\\
& \frac{e^{-i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\nu \frac{e^{-i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, \quad t \in R
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nu^{2}=1$. Here all solutions for $\nu=1(\nu=-1)$ are $\pi$-periodic ( $\pi$-halfperiodic). On multiplying out both sides of (17) we get $\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)=\alpha^{\prime}(t)$, thus for any $a \in C$ we have $\alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+a$ for $t \in R$. Then from (17) there follows $e^{i a}=\nu \varepsilon, e^{-i a}=\nu \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$. If $a=a_{1}+i a_{2}$ we have $a_{2}=0$, for $\nu \varepsilon=1$ we get $\cos a_{1}=1$ and for $\nu \varepsilon=-1$ we get $\cos a_{1}=-1$. In this way $a_{1}=\left(2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)\right) \pi$ for $\nu=1$ and $a_{1}=\left(2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\right) \pi^{1}$ for $\nu=-1$, where $n$ is an appropriate integer.

$$
(\Longleftrightarrow) \text { Suppose } \propto \text { is a phase of }(Q) \text { satisfying (16), }
$$

where $n$ is an integer, $\varepsilon=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ and $k=2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)(k=$ $\left.=2 n+\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\right)$. Let us put $u(t):=\frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, v(t):=\frac{e^{-i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$. Then $u, v$ are independent solutions of ( $Q$ ), $u(t) v(t) \neq 0, u(t+\pi)=u(t), v(t+\pi)=v(t)(u(t+\pi)=$ $=-u(t), v(t+\pi)=-v(t)), t \in R$. It immediately follows from this that all solutions of $(Q)$ are $\pi$-periodic ( $\pi$-halfperiodic).

Remark 5. In the terminology of central transformators of ( $Q$ ) all solutions of ( $Q$ ) are $\pi$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic exactly if $t+\pi$ is a central transformator of ( $Q$ ).

Remark 6. If all solutions of (Q) are $\pi$-halfperiodic, then the value of the number $k$ in Corollary 2 will generally depend on the choice of the phase of (Q) … as it becomes apparent from Example 1 [14].

In the following theorem we present certain sufficient conditions for the derivative $\alpha$ of a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) to be $\pi$-periodic.

Theorem 2. Suppose there exists a number $a \in R$ such that $\operatorname{Re} Q(t)+a \cdot I m Q(t) \geqq q(t)$ for $t \in R$, where $q \in C^{0}(R)$ and $y^{\prime \prime}=q(t) y$ is a nonoscillatory equation. Then one of the - following two mutualy excluding situations arises:
(i) there exist independent solutions of ( $Q$ ) such that $u(t) v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ and (1) holds, where $\rho^{2} \neq 1$;
(ii) there exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of (Q) such that $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ and (2) holds.

Proof. From Lemma 3 there follows that every solution of $(Q)$ has at most a finite number of zeros. Consequently, every solution $u$ of ( $Q$ ) satisfying the equality $u(t+\pi)=P \cdot u(t)$ on $R$, where $0 \not \equiv \rho \in C_{0}$ has no zeros on $R$, i.e. $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. Especially from this there follows that all solutions of (Q) cannot be -periodic or $\%$ halfperiodic. The statement of the Theorem readily follows from the results of the floquet theory.

Lemma 7. Suppose all solutions of (Q) are not $\tilde{\pi}$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be such phases of (Q) that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha(t+\mathscr{H})=\alpha(t)+a, & t \in R_{0} \\
\beta(t+\tilde{t})=\beta(t)+b, & t \in R_{g} \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

where $a, b \in C$. Then either $a=b$ (in this case $\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)=$ $=\beta(t)-\beta(0)$ for $t \in R$ ) or $a=-b$ (in this case $\alpha(t)$ -
$-\alpha(0)=-[\beta(t)-\beta(0)]$ for $t \leqslant R)$.

Proof. We may assume without loss of generality $\alpha(0)=$ $=\beta(0)$. In the contrary case we assume instead of phases $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, the phases $\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)$ and $\beta(t)-\beta(0)$, respectively. By Corollary 2 the numbers $a, b$ cannot be equal to an integral multiple of $\tilde{\pi}$. Next, from Theorem $4[13]$ there follows the existence of $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4} \in C, k_{1} k_{4}-k_{2} k_{3} \neq 0$ such that

$$
\beta^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\left(k_{2} k_{3}-k_{1} k_{4}\right) \alpha^{\prime}(t)}{\left(k_{1} \cos \alpha(t)+k_{2} \sin \alpha(t)\right)^{2}+\left(k_{3} \cos \alpha(t)+k_{4} \sin \alpha(t)^{2}\right.}
$$

$$
t \in R
$$

(20)

Placing $t$ instead of $t+\pi$ in (20) then from (18) and (19) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta^{\prime}(t)= \\
& =\frac{\left(k_{2} k_{3}-k_{1} k_{4}\right) \alpha^{\prime}(t)}{\left.\left(k_{1} \cos (\alpha(t)+a)+k_{2} \sin (\alpha(t)+a)\right)^{2}+\left(k_{3} \cos (\alpha(t)+a)\right)+k_{4} \sin (\alpha(t)+a)\right)^{2}} \\
& t \in R \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since a is not equal to a integral multiple of $\pi$, there follows from (20) and (21) that $\left(k_{1} \cos \alpha(t)+k_{2} \sin \alpha(t)\right)^{2}+$ $\left(k_{3} \cos \alpha(t)+k_{4} \sin \alpha(t)\right)^{2}$ is a constant function on $R$, thus $\beta^{\prime}(t)=c . \alpha^{\prime}(t)$, where $c \in C$ is an appropriate number, $c \neq 0$. From the equality $(Q(t)=)-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=-\{\beta, t\}-\beta^{-2}(t)$ we obtain $c^{2}=1$. If $c=1$, then $\beta^{\prime}(t)=\alpha^{\prime}(t)$ and therefore $\beta(t)=\alpha(t)$ for $t \in R$ and $a=b$. If $c=-1$, then $\beta^{\circ}(t)=$ $=-\alpha^{\prime}(t)$ and therefore $\beta(t)=-\alpha(t)$ for $t \in R$ and $a=-b$.

Corollary 3. Let all solutions of (Q) not be $\pi$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic. If for any phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ) relation (12) holds, where $0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi, k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \geqq 0$, then the value of the integer $n$ in this formula does not depend on the choice of the phase $\alpha$ of (Q) and it is defined uniquely by (0).

Proof. Suppose $\alpha, \beta$ are the phases of ( $Q$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+\dot{( } k_{1}+2 n \pi\right)+i k_{2}, t \in R, \\
& \beta(t+\pi)=\beta(t \cdot)+\left(s_{1}+2 m \pi\right)+i s_{2}, \quad t \in R,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi, 0 \leqq s_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} s_{2}\right) \tilde{H}, k_{1} \neq$ $\neq 2 \pi \neq s_{1}, k_{2} \geqq 0, s_{2} \geqslant 0$ and $m, n$ are integers. By Lemma 6 there is either $\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)=\beta(t)-\beta(0)$ or $\alpha(t)-$ $-\alpha(0)=-[\beta(t)-\beta(0)]$. If $\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)=\beta(t)-\beta(0)$. then $k_{1}=s_{1}, k_{2}=s_{2}$ and $m=n$. If $\alpha(t)-\alpha(0)=-[\beta(t)-$ $-\beta(0)\}$, then $k_{1}+2 n \pi+i k_{2}=-\left(s_{1}+2 m \pi+i s_{2}\right)$, whence $k_{2}=-s_{2}$ and from the assumptions to $k_{2}, s_{2}$ we obtain $k_{2}=$ $=s_{2}=0$. Then by Theorem $1|\varrho|=1$, where $\rho$ is one of the characteristic multipliers of ( $Q$ ). Then, however, $0 \neq k_{1} \neq$ $\neq \pi \neq \mathrm{s}_{1} \neq 0$, because in the contrary case (by Corollary 2) all solutions of ( $Q$ ) would be $\pi$-periodic or $\tilde{\pi}$-halfperiodic. Hence $k_{1}, s_{1} \in(0, \pi)$, whence we get $0<k_{1}+s_{1}<2 \pi$. From the other side it holds $k_{1}+s_{1}=-2(m+n) \pi$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3. Suppose $\alpha$ be a phase of ( $Q$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t+\pi)=\alpha(t)+a, \quad t \in R, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \neq a \in c$. Then a function $\beta$ is à phase of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t+\pi)=\beta(t)+a, \quad t \in R \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}=\frac{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t)}} \quad(= \pm 1), \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

exactly if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t)=k+d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \widetilde{\tau}(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s, \quad t \in R \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \in C, \tau \in C^{2}(R), c \in C^{3}(R), \tau(t+\pi)=\tau(t)+4 n \tilde{\pi}(n \in Z)$, $c(t+\pi)=c(t)+\pi, c^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in R$ and
$(\neq) d=a\left[\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s\right]^{-1}$.

Proof. ( $\Longleftrightarrow$ Let $k, d, c, \tau$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3 and the function $\beta$ be defined by formula (25). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(t+\pi) & =k+d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s+d \int_{c(t)}^{c} e^{t)+\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s= \\
& =\beta(t)+a
\end{aligned}
$$

since the function $e^{i \tau(t)} \alpha^{\prime}(t)$ is $\pi$-periodic and $d \int_{0}^{\widetilde{\pi}} e^{i \widetilde{\tau}(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s=a \cdot \operatorname{Next} \beta \in \widetilde{C}^{3}(R)$ and $\beta^{\prime}(t)=$ $=\operatorname{de}^{i \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(c(t))}\left(\alpha(c(t))^{\prime} \neq 0\right.$ for $t \in R$. Thus $\beta$ is a phase of any $\left(Q_{1}\right)$. We denote $f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t)\left(f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)\right)$ a continuous single-valued branch of the argument of the function $\alpha^{\prime}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ on $R$. Then for an integer $m$ there is $f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t+\pi)=f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t)+2 m \tilde{\pi}$. From the equality $\beta^{\prime}=\operatorname{de}^{i \tau(c)}(\alpha(c))^{\prime}$ there follows the existence of an integer $j$ such that

$$
f_{\beta^{\prime}}^{\prime}(t)=\tau(c(t))+f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t))+2 j \pi+\text { Arg } d,
$$

whence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t+\pi) & =\tau(c(t)+\pi)+f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t)+\pi)+2 j \pi+\operatorname{Arg} d= \\
& =\tilde{\pi}(c(t))+f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t))+2(j+m+2 n) \pi+\operatorname{Arg} d= \\
& =f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)+2(m+2 n) \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. (24) is true, whereby

$$
\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}=\frac{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\rho^{\prime}(t)}}=(-1)^{m} .
$$

$(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $\beta$ be a phase of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ satisfying (23), where $0 \neq a \in C$. We put

$$
A(t):=\int_{0}^{t}|\alpha(s)| d s, \quad B(t):=\int_{0}^{t}\left|\beta^{\prime}(s)\right| d s, \quad t \in R .
$$

Then $A, B$ are increasing functions on $R, A, B \in C^{3}(R)$. Because of $\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)\right|=\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|,\left|\beta^{\prime}(t+\pi)\right|=\left|\beta^{\prime}(t)\right|$ we have

$$
A(t+\pi)=A(t)+a_{1}, B(t+\pi)=B(t)+b_{1}, \quad t \in R,
$$

where $a_{1}=A(\pi)>0, b_{1}=B(\pi)>0$. Setting $C(t):=\frac{a_{1}}{b_{1}} B(t)$, $c(t):=A^{-1}(C(t)), \quad t \in R$, yields

$$
C(t+\pi)=C(t)+a_{1}, \quad . t \in R
$$

and $c(t+\pi)=A^{-1}\left(C(t)+a_{1}\right)=A^{-1}(C(t))+\pi=c(t)+\pi$,
sign $c^{\prime}=1, c(0)=0$.
From the equality $C(t)=A(c(t))$ it follows that $\int_{0}^{t}\left|\beta^{\prime}(s)\right| d s=$ $=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{c(t)}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(s)\right| d s$ whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta^{\prime}(t)\right|=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}} c^{\prime}(t)\left|\alpha^{\prime}(c(t))\right|, \quad t \in R \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put $\varphi(\mathrm{t}):=\frac{\beta^{\prime}(\mathrm{t})}{(\alpha(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t})))^{\prime}}, \mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{R}$. Then $|\varphi(\mathrm{t})|=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{1}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$, $\varphi(t+\pi)=\varphi(t), \varphi \in \tilde{C}^{2}(R)$. Let f $\varphi$ denote a continuous and single-valued branch of the argument of the function $\varphi$ and $f^{\prime}, f^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}$ be defined analogous to the proof $(\Longleftrightarrow)$ above. Then for some integers $k$, $j$ there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \varphi(t)=f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t))+2 j \widetilde{\pi}, \\
& f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t+\pi)=f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t)+2 k \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (24) there follows the existence of an integer $n$ :

$$
f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t+\pi)-f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)=f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t+\pi)-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t)+4 n \pi
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \varphi(t+\pi) & =f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t+\pi)-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t)+\pi)+2 j \pi=f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)+ \\
& +f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t+\pi)-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(t)+4 n^{\prime} \pi-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t))-2 k \pi+ \\
& +2 j \pi=f_{\beta^{\prime}}(t)-f_{\alpha^{\prime}}(c(t))+2 j \pi+4 n \pi= \\
& =f_{\varphi}(t)+4 n \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore there exist an integer $n$ and a function $\tau, \tau \in C^{2}(R)$, $\tilde{\tau}(\mathrm{t}+\tilde{\pi})=\tilde{\tau}(\mathrm{t}) \underset{\mathrm{L}}{+} 4 \mathrm{n} \tilde{\tau}$ such that the function $\varphi$ may be written as $\varphi(t)=d e^{i \tau(c(t))}$, where $d:=\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}$. From the definition of functions $\varphi, \tau$ and from (26) we obtain $\beta^{\prime}(t)=d e^{i \tau(c(t))}$. . $(\alpha(c(t)))^{\prime}$. Integrating the last equality from 0 to $t$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(t) & =\beta(0)+d \int_{0}^{t} e^{i \tau(c(s))} \alpha^{\prime}(c(s)) c^{\prime}(s) d s=\beta(0)+ \\
& +d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and from (23) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(0)+d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s+a= \\
= & \beta(0)+d \int_{0}^{c(t)+\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
a=d \int_{0}^{c(t)+\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s=d \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s .
$$

If we put $d:=a\left[\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s\right]^{-1}$ and $k:=$
$:=\beta(0)+d \int_{c(0)}^{u} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s$, then the phase $\beta$ may be written in the form of (25).

Remark 7. Let all solutions of ( Q ) not to be $\tilde{\mu}$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic. It follows from Corollary 3 that a phase $\alpha$ of (Q), for which (12) holds - where $0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi$, $k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \geqq 0$ and $n$ is an integer - is uniquely determined up to an additive constant.

Remark 7 justifies us to the following
Definition 1. Let all solutions of ( 0 ) not be $\tilde{\pi}$-periodic or $\pi$-halfperiodic, $n$ being an integer, and $\nu^{2}=1$. We say that the pair of numbers ( $n, \nu$ ) (it this order) is a significant pair of numbers of (Q) if there exists a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) such that (12) holds, where $0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi$, $k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \geqq 0$ and $\nu=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(0)}}\left(=\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}\right.$ for $\left.t \in R\right)$.

Theorem 4. Let $(n, \nu)$ be the significant pair of numbers of ( $Q$ ) and $\alpha$ be such a phase of ( $Q$ ) that (12) holds, where $0 \leqq k_{1} \leqq\left(1+\operatorname{sign} k_{2}\right) \pi, k_{1} \neq 2 \pi, k_{2} \leqq 0, \nu=\frac{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(\pi)}}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(0)}}$. Then $(n, \nu)$ is the significant pair of numbers of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and the equations ( $Q$ ) and ( $Q_{1}$ ) have equal characteristic multipliers exactly if

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(t) & =Q(c(t)) c^{-2}(t)-\{c, t\}+(\alpha(c(t)))^{-2}\left(1-d^{2} e^{2 i \tau(c(t))}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{c^{-2}(t)}{4}\left[2 i \tau^{\prime}(c(t)) \frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(c(t))}{\alpha^{\prime}(c(t))}-2 i \tau^{\prime \prime}(c(t))-\tau^{-2}(c(t))\right] \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

$t \in R$
where $\tau \in C^{2}(R), c \in C^{3}(R), \tau(t+\pi)=\tau(t)+4 n \pi(n \in Z)$, $c(t+\pi)=c(t)+\pi, c^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in R$ and

$$
d=\left(\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i \widetilde{\imath}(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s\right)^{-1} \cdot\left(k_{1}+2 n \pi+i k_{2}\right) .
$$

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $(n, \nu)$ be significant numbers of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and let the equations $(Q)$ and $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ have equal characteristic multipliers. From Theorem 1, Corollary 3 and from its proof then there follows the existence of such a phase $\beta$ of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$ that

$$
\beta(t+\pi)=\beta(t)+\left(k_{1}+2 n \tilde{\pi}\right)+i k_{2}, t \in R,
$$

and $\nu=\frac{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(\tilde{\pi})}}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(0)}}$. By Theorem 3 naturally $\beta(t)=h+$ $+d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha(s) d s$, where $h \in C$ and $d, c, \tau$ satisfying the assumptions stated in the Theorem. From the equality $Q_{1}(t)=-\{\beta, t\}-\beta^{-2}(t)$ we get with some modification the form of (27) for the coefficient $Q_{1}$ of $\left(Q_{1}\right)$.
$(\Longleftrightarrow)$ Let the function $Q_{1}$ be defined by (27), where d, $c, \tau$ satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem. A direct calculation shows that the function $\beta(t):=d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i \tau(s)} \alpha^{\prime}(s) d s$, $t \in R$, is a phase of $\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1}\right)$. By Theorem 3 there hold (23) and (24), thus from Theorem 1 it follows that ( $n, \nu$ ) is the significant pair of numbers of ( $Q$ ) and ( $Q_{1}$ ) and both equations have equal characteristic multipliers.

Corollary 4. Suppose the group of increasing transformators $L_{Q}^{+}$of ( $Q$ ) is planar. Then there exist independent solutions $u, v$ of ( $Q$ ), $u(t) v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ satisfying (1).

Proof. By Corollary 1 [14] there exists a function $Y \in C^{3}(R), Y(R)=R, Y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in R$ and a number $c \in C$, $c^{2} \in C-R$ such that the function $\alpha(t):=c . Y(t)$ for $t \in R$, is a phase of ( O ). Let $c=\mathrm{c}_{1}+i \mathrm{c}_{2}$. Then $\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2} \neq 0$ and it follows from the equality $-\{\alpha, t\}-\alpha^{-2}(t)=Q(t)$ that $-2 c_{1} c_{2} Y^{-2}(t)=\operatorname{Im} Q(t)$, hence $Y^{\prime}(t)=\nu \sqrt{\frac{-I m Q(t)}{2 c_{1} c_{2}}}$ for $t \in R$,
where $\nu^{2}=1$. Naturally, then $Y^{\prime}$ and thus also $\alpha^{\prime}$ are $\tilde{J}$-periodic functions and from Theorem 1 there immediately follows the assertion of the Corollary.

Remark 8. If there exist a function $Y \in C^{3}(R), Y(R)=R$, $Y^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in R$ and a number $c \in C, c^{2} \in C-R$ such that the function $\alpha(t):=c . Y$ is a phase of (Q), i.e. the group of increasing transformators of (Q) is planar (see Corollary 1 [14]), then it follows from Corollary 1 that

$$
\sqrt{\frac{Y^{\prime}(0)}{Y^{\prime}(\pi)}} \exp [\operatorname{ic}(Y(\tilde{x})-Y(0))], \sqrt{\frac{Y^{\prime}(\tilde{r})}{Y^{\prime}(0)}} \exp [\operatorname{ic}(Y(0)-Y(\tilde{\pi}))]
$$

are characteristic multipliers of (Q).

## Case 2

Theorem 5. There exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of ( $Q$.) such that $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R, v$ has a zero at a point of $R$ satisfying (1) exactly if there exist a phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ), an integer $n$, and $x \in R$ so that $\alpha^{\prime}(t)$ is not, a $\tilde{\jmath}$-periodic function, the function i $\alpha^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)}{2 \alpha^{\prime}(t)}$ is $\pi$-periodic and $\alpha(x+\pi)=\alpha(x)+n \pi$.

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow$ Suppose there exist independent solutions $u, v$ of (Q) for which (1) holds, $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R, v$ having a zero on R. Then, by Lemma 4, there exists a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) such that function $i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is $\tilde{H}$-periodic and on acconnt of the fact that $v$ has a zero on $R$, then by Theorem 1, the function $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not $\pi$-periodic. It next follows from Theorem 8 and Theorem 5 [13] that there exist numbers $c_{1}, c_{2} \in C$, $c_{1} \neq 0: v(t)=c_{1} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha(t)+c_{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$. For an $x \in R$ let $v(x)=$ $=0$. Then it follows from (1) that $v(x+\pi)=v(x)=0$ i.e. there exist such integers $n_{1}, n_{2}$ that $\alpha(x)=-c_{2}+n_{1} \pi$,
$\alpha(x+\pi)=-c_{2}+n_{2} \pi$, whence $\alpha(x+\pi)=\alpha(x)+n \pi$, $n\left(:=n_{2}-n_{1}\right)$ being an integer.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Suppose there exists a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) such that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not $\pi$-periodic, the function i $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is $\pi$-periodic and there exist an integer $n$ and an $x \in R: \alpha(x+\pi)=\alpha(x)+n \pi$. From Lemma 4 there then follows the existence of a solution $u$ of $(Q), u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, satisfying (6), where $0 \neq \rho \in C$. If we put $v(t):=\frac{\sin (\alpha(t)-\alpha(x))}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, then $v$ is a solution of $(Q), v(x)=0$. Thus $u, v$ are independent solutions of (Q) and $v(x+\pi)=\frac{\sin (\alpha(x+\pi)-\alpha(x))}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}=\frac{\sin n \pi}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}=0$. Therefore $v(x)=$ $=v(x+\boldsymbol{\pi})=0$ and thus $v(t+\pi)=\tau \cdot v(t)$ for $t \in R$, where $\tau \in C$ is a suitable number, $\tau \neq 0$. From the Floquet theory we have $\tau=\rho^{-1}$. We see that the solutions $u, v$ of (Q) satisfy (1).

Remark 9. If there exist such independent solutions $u$, $v$ of ( $Q$ ) that $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R, v$ having a zero on $R$ and (1) is valid, then the Riccati equation (11) has exactly one万-periodic solution.

Corollary 5. Let $\alpha$ be such a phase of (Q) that $\alpha^{\text { }}$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function, i $\alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$ is a $\pi$-periodic function and for an $x \in R$ we have $\alpha(x+\pi)=\alpha(x)+n \widetilde{\mu}$. n being an integer. Then

$$
(-1)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}}, \quad(-1)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}},
$$

are the values of the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Proof. From Corollary 1 and from its proof we find that $\exp \left(\int_{x}^{x+\pi} p(s) d s\right), \exp \left(-\int_{x}^{x+\pi} p(s) d s\right)$, where $p:=i \alpha \cdot-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$, are
the characterıstic multipliers of (Q). Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x}^{x+\pi} p(s) d s & =i[\alpha(x+\pi)-\alpha(x)]-\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln \alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)-\right. \\
& \left.-\ln \alpha^{\prime}(x)\right]=\operatorname{in} \pi+\ln \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\exp \left(\int_{x}^{x+\pi} p(s) d s\right)=(-1)^{n}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(x+\pi)}}\right)^{\nu}
$$

where $\nu^{2}=1$.

Remark 10. The result of Corollary 5 may be proved also in other way. If we put $\beta(t):=\alpha(t)-\alpha(x), v(t):=\frac{\sin \beta(t)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t)}}$, $t \in R$, then $\beta$ is a phase of $(Q)$ and $v$ is a solution of this equation, $v(x)=v(x+\pi)=0$. Hence, the equality $v(t+\pi)=$ $=\rho^{-1} \cdot v(t)$ hold's for $t \in R$, where $\rho^{-1}$ is one of the characteristic multipliers of (Q). By differentiating the equality $\frac{\sin \beta(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\rho^{-1} \frac{\sin \beta(t)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t)}}$ and setting now in the resulting equality $x$ instead of $t$, we obtain (with some modification) the equality $\frac{\beta^{\prime}(x+\pi)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(x+\pi)}} \cos \beta(x+\pi)=\rho^{-1} \frac{\beta^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(x)}} \cos \beta(x)$, whence $\rho=(-1)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(x)}}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}$, thus $(-1)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}$ and $(-1)^{n} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}}$ are the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Remark 11. Let a phase $\alpha$ of ( Q ) satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 5. Let further $p:=i \alpha^{\prime}-\frac{\alpha^{\prime \prime}}{2 \alpha^{\prime}}$. From Lemma 5 there follows that then for every phase $\beta$ of ( $Q$ ) for which $i \beta^{\circ}-\frac{\beta^{\prime \prime}}{2 \beta^{\prime \prime}}=p$, we have $\beta(x+\tilde{x})=\beta(x)+n \pi$.

Theorem 6. There exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of ( $Q$ ), $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ for which (2) is valid exactly if the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t)=\frac{i}{2} \ln \left[P(t)-\frac{2 i \rho t}{a \pi}\right], t \in R \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a phase of ( $Q$ ), where $0 \neq a \in C, P \in \tilde{C}^{3}(R)$ is a $\tilde{x}$-periodic function, $P(t) \neq \frac{2 i \rho t}{a \pi}, P^{\prime}(t) \neq \frac{2 i \rho}{a \tilde{2}}, \sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t+\pi)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}=$ $=\rho \sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}$ for $t \in R$.

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $(2)$ hold, where $u, v$ are independent solutions of $(Q), v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. Then there exists such a phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ) that

$$
v(t)=\frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}} \quad, \quad t \in R
$$

Every solution of (Q) may be written as $y(t)=v(t)\left[a \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}+\right.$ $+b]$, where $a, b \in C$. An easy calculation shows that the
function $u$ satisfies (2) exactly if

$$
u(t)=v(t)\left[a \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}+b\right],
$$

where $b \in C$ is an arbitrary constant and ag $\int_{t}^{t+\pi} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}=1$ (with respect to the $\pi$-periodicity of $v^{2}$ we see that $\int_{t}^{t+\pi} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}=a$ constant). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{a}=\rho \int_{t}^{t+\pi} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)} & =\rho \int_{t}^{t+\pi} \alpha^{\prime}(s) e^{-2 i \alpha(s)} d s= \\
& =\frac{i \rho}{2}\left[e^{-2 i \alpha(t+\pi)}-e^{-2 i \alpha(t)}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
e^{-2 i \alpha(t+\tilde{\pi})}=e^{-2 i \alpha(t)}-\frac{2 i p}{a}
$$

From the latter equality then follows the existence of a such a $\pi$-periodic function $P \in \tilde{C}^{3}(R), P(t) \neq \frac{2 i \rho t}{a \pi}, P^{\prime}(t) \neq \frac{2 i \rho}{a \pi}$ for $t \in R$ that the function $e^{-2 i d(t)}$ may be written as

$$
e^{-2 i \alpha(t)}=P(t)-\frac{2 i \rho t}{a \pi} \text { for } t \in R,
$$

whence $\alpha(t)=\frac{i}{2} \ln \left(P(t)-\frac{2 i \rho t}{a \pi}\right)$. From the last relation and from the equality $v(t)=\frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ (with some modification) we obtain

$$
v(t)=\sigma \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}} \text { for } t \in R, \text { where } \sigma^{2}=1
$$

It the follows from the assumption $v(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot v(t)$ that $\sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t+\pi)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}=\rho \sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}$ for $t \in R$.
(<) Let the function $\alpha$ defined by (28) be a phase of (Q) where the function $P$ and the number a satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem. Putting $v(t):=\frac{e^{i \alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ yields $v(t) \neq 0$, $v(t)=\sigma \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}}, v(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot v(t)$ for $t \in R$, where $\sigma^{2}=1$. Let us put further $u(t):=v(t)\left[a \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}+\right.$
$\left.+\frac{i a}{2} e^{-2 i \alpha(0)}\right]$ for $t \in R$. Then $u$ is a solution of $(Q)$ and $u(t)=\frac{i a}{2} v(t) e^{-2 i \alpha(t)}=\frac{\sigma a \sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{i P(t)+\frac{2 p t}{a \pi}}{\sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 g}{a \pi}}}$. Consequently $u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t)+v(t)$. So, we have proved that there exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of $(Q), v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, satisfying (2).

Corollary 6. There exist independent solutions $u$, $v$ of (Q), $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, for which (2) is valid exactly if
$Q(t)=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{P^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{P^{\prime}(t)-\frac{2 i p}{a \pi}}+\frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{P^{\prime \prime}(t)}{P^{\prime}(t)-\frac{2 i p}{a \pi}}\right)^{2}$ for $t \in R$
where $0 \neq a \in C, P \in \tilde{C}^{3}(R)$ is a $\pi$-periodic function, $P(t) \neq$
$\neq \frac{2 i p t}{a \pi}, P^{\prime}(t) \neq \frac{2 i \rho}{a \pi}, \sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t+\pi)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}=\rho \sqrt{i P^{\prime}(t)+\frac{2 \rho}{a \pi}}$ for $t \in R$.

Proof. This immediately follows from the preceding Theorem and from the fact that $\alpha$ is a phase of ( $Q$ ) exactly if it is a solution (on $R$ ) the equation $Q(t)=-\{\alpha, t\}-$ $-\alpha^{-2}(t)$.

Example 2. Consider the equation

$$
y^{\cdots}=\frac{4 e^{2 i t}\left(1-e^{2 i t}\right)}{\left(1+2 e^{2 i t}\right)^{2}} y
$$

The functions $v(t)=\frac{\sqrt{\tilde{r}}}{\sqrt{1+2 e^{2 i t}}}$ and $u(t)=\frac{t-i e^{2 i t}}{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{1+2 e^{2 i t}}}$
are its independ solutions for which $v(t+\pi)=v(t), u(t+\pi)=$ $=u(t)+v(t)$ for $t \in R$.

Theorem 7. An equation ( $Q$ ) has independent solutions $u$, $v$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot u(t), v(t+\pi)=\rho \cdot v(t), \quad t \in R, \rho^{2} \neq 1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$, $v$ have zeros on $R$ exactly if there exists such a phase $\alpha$ of ( $Q$ ) that $\alpha$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)=n_{1} \pi, & \alpha\left(t_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+n_{2}^{\pi} \\
\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=k_{1} \pi, & \alpha\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+k_{2} \pi
\end{array}
$$

where $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T), t_{1} \neq t_{2}$ and $k_{1}, k_{2}, n_{1}, n_{2}$ are integers. In this case $(-1)^{k_{1}-n_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}},(-1)^{k_{1}-n_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}}$ (or also (-1) $k_{2}-n_{2} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)}},(-1)^{k_{2}-n_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)}}$ ) are the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Proof. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Let there exist independent solutions $u, v$ of (Q) satisfying (29), both having zeros on R. Without loss of generality we may assume $u^{2}(t)+v^{2}(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. From (29) there follows that $u, v$ have zeros on $[0, \pi)$. Suppose now $u\left(t_{1}\right)=v\left(t_{2}\right)=0$, where $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, \pi)$, $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$. Let $\alpha$ be a phase of the basis $(u, v)$ of $(Q)$. Then $u(t)=c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}$, $v(t)=c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, where $c \in C, c \neq 0$. Since $u\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=u\left(t_{1}\right)=0, v\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)=v\left(t_{2}\right)=0$, we have $\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=k_{1} \pi, \alpha\left(t_{1}\right)=n_{1} \pi, \alpha\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+k_{2} \pi$, $\alpha\left(t_{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+n_{2} \pi$, where $n_{1}, n_{2}, k_{1}, k_{2}$ are integers. With
respect to $\varrho^{2} \neq 1$, it follows from Corollary 2 that $\alpha^{\text {e }}$ is not a $\hat{x}$-periodic function.
$(\ll)$ Let there exist such a phase $\alpha$ of (Q) that $\alpha^{\text {. }}$ is not a $\tilde{\pi}$-periodic function and (30) is valid, where $t_{1}$, $\mathrm{t}_{2} \in[0, \pi), \mathrm{t}_{1} \neq \mathrm{t}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, \mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ being integers.
Setting $u(t):=\frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, v(t):=\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}(t \in R)$, then $u, v$ are independent solutions of $(Q), u\left(t_{1}\right)=u\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=0$, $v\left(t_{2}\right)=v\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)=0$. Thus (29) holds for a $\rho \in C, \rho \neq 0$ and since $\alpha^{\circ}$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function, then - by Corollary 2 - we get $\varrho^{2} \neq 1$. Writing now $t_{2}$ and $t_{1}$ for $t$ in the equations

$$
\frac{\sin \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\rho \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}, \frac{\cos \alpha(t+\pi)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=\rho^{-1} \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}},
$$ $t \in R$,

respectively, we obtain

$$
\rho=(-1)^{k_{2}-n_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha\left(t_{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)}}\left((-1)^{k_{1}-n_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}}=\rho\right)
$$

thus $(-1)^{k_{2}-n_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)}},(-1)^{k_{2}-n_{2}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}+\pi\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)}}$ (or also $(-1)^{k_{1}-n_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}},(-1)^{k_{1}-n_{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}}$ ) are the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Theorem 8. Suppose $\alpha$ is a phase of (Q). This equation has independent solutions $u$, $v$ satisfying (2), where $v$ has a zero on $R$ exactly if $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function and $\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)+k \pi,(-1)^{k} \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}=\rho \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}$, where $t_{1} \in[0, \pi), k$ being an integer.

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $(Q)$ have independent solutions $u, v$ satisfying (2) where $v$ has a zero on $R$. It follows from (2)
that there may be assumed without any loss on generality $v\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ for $t_{1} \in[0, \pi)$. If we put $\beta(t):=\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)$ for $t \in R$, then $\beta$ is a phase of $(Q), \beta\left(t_{1}\right)=0$ and $v(t)=$ $=c \frac{\sin \beta(t)}{\sqrt{\beta^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, where $0 \neq c \in c$. Since $v\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=0$, we have $\beta\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=k \pi, k$ being an integer, hence $\beta\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)-\beta\left(t_{1}\right)=\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)-\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)=k \pi$.
Differentiating the equality $\frac{\sin \left(\alpha(t+X)-\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)\right)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t+\pi)}}=$ $=\rho \frac{\sin \left(\alpha(t)-\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)\right.}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ and inserting $t_{1}$ in place of $t$ in the resulting equality, we obtain $(-1)^{k} \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}=\rho \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}$. Since it follows from (2) that every solution of ( $Q$ ) is not a $\tilde{\omega}$-periodic or $\tilde{\pi}$-halfperiodic, then by Corollary $2, \alpha^{\text {. }}$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function, too.
$(\Longleftrightarrow)$ Let $\alpha$ not to be a $\pi$-periodic function and $\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)+k \pi,(-1)^{k} \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}=\rho \sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}$, where $t_{1} \in[0, \pi), k$ being an integer, and $\rho^{2}=1$. Without any loss on generality there may be assumed $\alpha\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=0$. Putting $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{t}):=$ $=\frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, then $v$ is a solution of $(Q)$,
$v\left(t_{1}\right)=v\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)=0$, thus $v(t+\pi)=\tau \cdot v(t)$ for $t \in R$, where $\tau_{\&} C$ is an appropriate number and from the equality $(-1)^{k} \sqrt{\alpha\left(t_{1}+\pi\right)}=\rho \sqrt{\alpha\left(t_{1}\right)}$ there follows $\rho=\tau=1$. since $\alpha^{\prime}$ is not a $\pi$-periodic function, it follows from Corollary 2 that every solution of (Q) is not $\mathbb{\pi}$-periodic or $\mathbb{\pi}$-halfperiodic. Consequently it follows for ( $Q$ ) from the Floquet theory that there exist such a solution $u$ of ( $Q$ ) that $u, v$ are independent solutions of this equation and (2) holds.

Remark 12. If the assumptions of Theorem 7 or of Theorem 8 are satisfied, then there do not exist any $\mathbb{Z}^{\prime}$-periodic solutions of the Riccati equation (11).
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# FLOQUETOVA TEORIE DIFERENCIÁLNICH ROVNIC $y^{"=}=(t) y$ S KOMPLEXNfM KOEFICIENTEM REÂLNE PROMĚNNÉ 

## Souhrn

Je vyšetřována diferenciální rovnice
$y^{\cdots}=Q(t) y, Q(t+\pi)=Q(t), \operatorname{Im} Q(t) \neq O$ pro $t \in R$,
kde Q je spojitá komplexní funkce na R. Z Floquetovy teorie plyne, že ke každé rovnici (Q) lze přiřadit čísla $\varsigma, \rho^{-1}$, která se nazývají charakteristické multiplikátory rovnice (Q). Tato čísla jsou důležitá při vyšetřování kvalitativních vlastností řešení rovnice (Q). V práci je dán nový pohled na Floquetovu teorii rovnic typu (Q) z hlediska teorie fází. Zejména je dokázáno, jak lze hodnoty charakteristických multiplikátorů vy.iádřit pomocí nějaké fáze rovnice (Q).

ТЕОРИЯ ФЛОКЕ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ
С КОМПЛЕКСНЫМ КОЭФФИЧИЕНТОМ ВЕЩЕСТВЕННОЙ ПЕРЕМЕННОЙ

## Резоме

Ияучяется дифференциальное уравнение
$y^{\prime \prime}=Q(t) y, Q(t+\pi)=Q(t), \quad \operatorname{Im} Q(t) \neq 0, t \in R$,
где $Q$ непрерывная комплексная Функция на $R$ - Из теории

Флоке следует, что к каждому уравнению (Q) присоединяются числа $\rho, \rho^{-1}$, которые навываштся характеристические мультипликаторы уравнения (Q). Эти числа вамные при исследовании квалитвтивных свойств решений уравнения (Q).

В этой работе приводится новый вагляд ня теорию Флоке уравнений типа ( Q ) с точки арения теории фая. В особенности докөзывается как значения характеристик мультипликяторов уравнения ( $Q$ ) представить с помощьв некоторой фазы уравнения (Q).
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