Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium, Mathematica

Svatoslav Staněk

On the Floquet theory of differential equations y''=Q(t)y with a complex coefficient of the real variable

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 27 (1988), No. 1, 149--183

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120191

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1988

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ACTA UNIVERSITATIS PALACKIANAE OLOMUCENSIS FACULTAS RERUM NATURALIUM

1988 MATHEMATICA XXVII

VOL. 91

Katedra matematické analýzy a numerické matematiky přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: Doc.RNDr. Jindřich Palát, CSc.

ON THE FLOQUET THEORY OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS y"=Q(t) y WITH A COMPLEX COEFFICIENT OF THE REAL VARIABLE

SVATOSLAV STANĚK

(Received January 7, 1987)

1. Problem

A differential equation

$$y'' = Q(t)y$$
, Im $Q(t) \neq 0$, (Q)

is investigated, where Q is a continuous and \mathcal{F} - periodic complex function on R. From the Floquet theory (see for instance [7]) it then follows that there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q) such that

either

$$u(t+\widetilde{\pi}) = \rho. u(t), \quad \forall (t+\widetilde{\pi}) = \varrho^{-1}. \forall (t), \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$0 \neq \varrho \in \mathbb{C}$$
 (1)

o r

$$u(t+\widetilde{\pi}) = \varphi.u(t) + v(t), \quad v(t+\widetilde{\pi}) = \varphi. \quad v(t),$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi^2 = 1. \tag{2}$$

Generally complex numbers Q, Q^{-1} are called characteristic (or Floquet's) multipliers of (Q).

In [2] - [6], [8], [9], [11], [12] the values of the characteristic multipliers of (q): y'' = q(t)y, q being a continuous \mathcal{F} -periodic real function on R, where expressed by a phase and the (1st kind) central dispersion of (q).

The present article offers a new look at the Floquet theory of (Q) based on the phase theory point of view.

2. Basic notations, relations and preparatory lemmas

The symbol $C^n(R)$ $(\tilde{C}^n(R))$, where n=0,1,2,..., will refer to a set of real (complex) functions with continuous derivatives (on R) up to and including the order n. Trivial solutions of linear equations will not be considered.

In analogy with [13] a function $\ll \epsilon \, \widetilde{C}^3(R)$ will be said to be a phase of an equation

$$y'' = P(t)y, P \in \widetilde{C}^{O}(R), Im P(t) \neq 0,$$
 (P)

exactly if there exist independent solutions ${\sf u}$, ${\sf v}$ of this equation such that ,

a)
$$u^{2}(t) + v^{2}(t) \neq 0$$
 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

b)
$$\propto'(t) = -\frac{w}{u^2(t) + v^2(t)}$$
 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $w:=uv' - u'v$.

If moreover $\operatorname{tg} \propto (\operatorname{t_0}) = \frac{\operatorname{u}(\operatorname{t_0})}{\operatorname{v}(\operatorname{t_0})}$ at a point $\operatorname{t_0} \in R$, where $\operatorname{v}(\operatorname{t_0}) \neq 0$, then \propto is said to be a phase of the basis $(\operatorname{u},\operatorname{v})$ of (P). In such a case $\operatorname{u}(\operatorname{t}) = \operatorname{c} \frac{\sin \alpha(\operatorname{t})}{\sqrt{\alpha'(\operatorname{t})}}$, $\operatorname{v}(\operatorname{t}) = \operatorname{c} \frac{\cos \alpha(\operatorname{t})}{\sqrt{\alpha'(\operatorname{t})}}$ for $\operatorname{t} \in R$, where $0 \neq \operatorname{c} \in C$.

A function $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ensu$

$$-\left\{ \propto , t \right\} - \propto^{2} (t) = P(t),$$

If $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}$ is a phase of (P), then every solution of (P) may be written either as

$$c_1 = \frac{\sin(\alpha(t) + c_2)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}, \qquad (3)$$

or

$$c_3 = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x}(t)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{x}(t)}}, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{y}^2=1$, $\mathbf{c_1},\mathbf{c_2},\mathbf{c_3}\in\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{c_1}\neq0\neq\mathbf{c_3}$. The converse is valid, too: For arbitrary complex numbers $\mathbf{c_1}$, $\mathbf{c_2}$, $\mathbf{c_3}$, $\mathbf{c_1}\neq0\neq\mathbf{c_3}$, and a number \mathbf{v} , $\mathbf{v}^2=1$, the functions defined by (3) and (4) are solutions of (P). Hereby $\sqrt{\mathbf{c'(t)}}$ means a continuous and single-valued branch of the square root of the function $\mathbf{c'(t)}$.

If u is a solution of (P), $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, then there exists a phase \propto of (P) and a number $c \in C$, $c \neq 0$, such that

$$u(t) = c \frac{\ell^{i \propto (t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

All the above properties have been presented and proved in [13].

Lemma 1. Let

be a phase of (P). Then

$$(P(t)) = -\{\alpha, t\} - \alpha^2(t) = (i\alpha'(t) - \frac{\alpha''(t)}{2\alpha'(t)})' + (i\alpha'(t) - \frac{\alpha''(t)}{2\alpha'(t)})^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

 $\underline{\text{Proof.}} \text{ Setting } u(t) := \frac{\ell^{\text{id}}(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \ (\neq 0) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ then } u$ is a solution of (P). From the equalities $\frac{u'}{u} = i\alpha' - \frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}$

and $(-\{\alpha,t\} - {\alpha'}^2(t)=)$ P(t) = $(\frac{u'(t)}{u(t)})' + (\frac{u'(t)}{u(t)})^2$ then there follows the assertion of Lemma 1.

In analogy with $\begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$ a function X will be said to be a (complete) $\underline{\text{transformator}}$ of (P) if

- (i) $X \in C^3(R)$, $X'(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, X(R) = R;
- (ii) for every solution y of (P) the function $\frac{y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{|X'(t)|}}$ is again a solution of this equation.

The set of increasing transformators of (P) constitutes a group L_P^+ relative to the composition of functions. We will say that L_P^+ is a <u>planar group</u>, if to every $(t_o, x_o) \in R \times R$ there exists exactly one function $X \in L_P^+$ such that $X(t_o) = x_o$.

A transformator X of (P), X'(t) > 0 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, will be called a <u>central transformator</u> of (P) if

$$\frac{y[X(t)]}{\sqrt{X'(t)}} = 3.y(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where y^2 = 1, for every solution y of (P). The set of all central transformators of (P) constitutes a group relative to the composition of functions, which we will write as L_p^c ; $L_p^c \subset L_p^+$ (see [14]).

Lemma 2. Let \prec be a phase of (P). Then P is a \Re -periodic function exactly if the function \prec (t+ \Re) is a phase of (P), too.

$$-\left\{\beta,t\right\} - \beta^{2}(t) = -\left\{\alpha,t+\widetilde{n}\right\} - \alpha^{2}(t+\widetilde{n}) =$$

$$= P(t+\widetilde{n}) = P(t),$$

so that

$$-\left\{ \beta, t \right\} - \beta^{2}(t) = P(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

whence it follows that /b is a phase of (P).

(\iff) Suppose \nearrow (defined analogous to the first part of the proof) is a phase of (P). Then (5) is true and consequently

$$-\left\{ \alpha',t+\mathcal{T}\right\} \ -\alpha'^{2}(t+\mathcal{T}) \ = \ P(t), \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows from this and from the equality $-\{\alpha, t\} - {\alpha'}^2(t) = P(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, that $P(t + \mathcal{T}) = P(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 3. Let $a \in R$, Re P(t) + a.Im P(t) \geq q(t) for $t \in R$, where $q \in C^0(R)$ and (q):y' = q(t)y be not oscillatory (i.e. any solution of (q) has at most a finite number of zeros on R). Then any solution of (P) has at most a finite number of zeros on R.

<u>Proof.</u> Suppose, there exists a solution z of (P) with an infinite number of zeros, and ∞ is their cluster point. Let u be a solution of (q), u(t)>0 for t $\stackrel{>}{=}$ b and z(t₁) = = z(t₂) = 0 for b $\stackrel{\checkmark}{=}$ t₁ < t₂, z(t) \neq 0 for t \in (t₁,t₂). Since

$$(z'(t)\overline{z}(t))' = P(t)|z(t)|^2 + |z'(t)|^2$$

then

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \left| z'(s) \right|^2 + (\text{Re P(s)} + i.\text{Im P(s)}) \left| z(s) \right|^2 \right\} ds = 0.$$

It then follows

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ |z'(s)|^2 + \text{Re P(s)}|z(s)|^2 \right\} ds = 0,$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} Im P(s) |z(s)|^2 ds = 0$$

so that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \left| z'(s) \right|^2 + q(s) \left| z(s) \right|^2 \right\} ds \stackrel{\neq}{=} 0.$$

Since $|z(t)|^{2} = |z'(t)|^{2}$ for $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, we obtain

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ r^2(s) + q(s)r^2(s) \right\} ds \stackrel{\angle}{=} 0,$$

where r(t):= |z(t)|, t \in R. Then, by Lemma 1.3 ([15] p.3), the solution u has a zero on (t₁,t₂), which is a contradiction.

3. Main results

In what follows we will investigate equations of the type $\ensuremath{^\circ}$

$$y'' = Q(t)y$$
, $Q \in \widetilde{C}^{O}(R)$, Im $Q(t) \neq 0$, $Q(t+\mathfrak{F}) = Q(t)$ for $t \in R$. (Q)

Lemma 4. There exists a phase \varpropto of (Q) such that the function i \varpropto - $\frac{\varpropto}{2}$ is $\widehat{\jmath}$ -periodic exactly if for a solution u of (Q)

$$u(t+\mathcal{F}) = \rho . u(t), u(t) \neq 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (6)

is valid, where 0 $\neq \varphi$ \in C.

$$\frac{u'}{u} = i\alpha' - \frac{\alpha'}{2\alpha'} \quad (:=p),$$

so that $\frac{u'}{u}$ is a \mathfrak{T} -periodic function. Further u(t)=u(0).

$$\exp(\int\limits_0^t p(s)ds) \text{ which yields}$$

$$u(t+\widetilde{\chi}) = Q \cdot u(t), \text{ where } Q = \exp(\int\limits_0^{\widetilde{\chi}} p(s)ds).$$

 $(\longleftarrow) \text{ Let } (6) \text{ hold for a solution u of } (Q), \text{ where } 0 \neq \emptyset \in \mathbb{C}. \text{ Since } u(t) \neq 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ there exists a phase} \propto \\ \text{of } (Q) \text{ and a } c \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } u(t) = c \frac{\ell^{i \propto}(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}. \text{ On account } \\ \text{of the fact that } \frac{u'}{u} \text{ is a } \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}\text{-periodic function and } \frac{u'}{u} = \\ = i \alpha' - \frac{\alpha''}{2 \alpha'} \text{ it is clear that } i \alpha' - \frac{\alpha''}{2 \alpha'} \text{ is also a function } \\ \text{with a period } \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}.$

Remark 1. If (6) holds for a solution u of (Q), where $0 \neq \emptyset \in C$, then \emptyset is a characteristic multiplier of (Q).

Remark 2. If β is a phase of (P) and i β $-\frac{\beta''}{2\beta''}$ is a \Re -periodic function, then the coefficient P of (P) is also a \Re -periodic function, as it readily follows from Lemma 1.

Remark 3. In the terminology of transformators equation (P) t+ \Re ϵ L_p⁺ exactly if P is a \Re -periodic function.

Corollary 1. Suppose there exists a phase \propto of (Q) such that $i \propto -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}$ is a \mathcal{F} -periodic function. Then

$$\frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(0)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(\widetilde{\pi})}} \exp \left\{ i(\alpha'(\widetilde{\pi}) - \alpha'(0)) \right\}, \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(\widetilde{\pi})}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(0)}} \exp \left\{ i(\alpha'(0) - \alpha'(\widetilde{\pi}) \right\}$$

are characteristic multipliers of (Q).

<u>Proof.</u> It follows from Remark 2 that the coefficient Q of (Q) is a $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ -periodic function. Besides we obtain from the

proof (\Longrightarrow) of Lemma 4 and Remark 1 that $\exp(\int_0^{\pi} p(s)ds)$ $\exp(-\int_0^{\pi} p(s)ds)$, where $p:=i\alpha'-\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}$, are characteristic. multipliers of (Q). From this and from the equality

 $\int_{0}^{\mathfrak{P}} p(s)ds = i(\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{F}) - \mathscr{A}(0)) + \ln \frac{\sqrt{\mathscr{A}(0)}}{\sqrt{\mathscr{A}(\mathfrak{F})}} \text{ immediately}$ follows the assertion of Corollary 1.

Lemma 5. Suppose there exists a phase \propto of (Q) such that $i \propto (t) - \frac{\propto (t)}{2 \propto (t)}$ (=:p(t), t \(\infty\) R) is a $\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}$ -periodic function. Then for a phase β of (Q) $i \beta (t) - \frac{\beta''(t)}{2 \beta (t)} = p(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$ (7)

is fulfilled exactly if there exist k, $k_1 \in C$, $ke^{2i\alpha(t)} \neq 1$ for $t \in R$ such that

$$\beta(t) = \alpha(t) + \frac{i}{2} \ln(1 - ke^{2i\alpha(t)}) + k_1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (8)

 \underline{Proof} . (\Longrightarrow) Suppose β is such a phase of (\underline{Q}) that

$$(p(t)=) i \alpha'(t) - \frac{\alpha''(t)}{2\alpha'(t)} = i \beta'(t) - \frac{\beta''(t)}{2\beta'(t)}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (9)

Then from Theorem 4 [13] there follows the equality β (t) = $c[\alpha(t)]$,

$$c'(z) = \frac{1}{(c_1 \cos z + c_2 \sin z)^2 + (c_3 \cos z + c_4 \sin z)^2}$$
(10)

for all $z \in C$, where $(c_1 \cos z + c_2 \sin z)^2 + (c_3 \cos z + c_4 \sin z)^2 \neq 0$ and $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in C$, $c_2 c_3 - c_1 c_4 = 1$. Then $(t) = c'[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha'(t)$, $(t) = c'[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha'^2(t) + c'[\alpha(t)] \cdot \alpha''(t)$ and on substituting in (9) we get

$$i = i \cdot c \left[\alpha(t) \right] - \frac{c'' \left[\alpha(t) \right]}{2c' \left[\alpha(t) \right]}$$

All solutions of the above equation are of the form $c'\left[\alpha'(t)\right] = \frac{1}{1-ke^{-2i\alpha'(t)}}$, where $k \in C$ is an arbitrary number such that

 $\ker^{2ilpha(t)}
eq 1$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There is an infinite number of such k and if we proceed in the same manner as in [13] we may prove the Lebesque measure (the complex number is taken as a point in Gauss plane) of the set of such numbers k is equals to infinity. Here c'(z) has the form (10). In the case of $k \neq 1$ it suffices to put $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k}}$, $c_3 = \sqrt{1-k}$, $c_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-k}}$ while in

the case of k = 1 we put $c_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, $c_2 = 0$, $c_3 = -i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, $c_4 = \sqrt{2}$. Hence $\sqrt[6]{(t)} = \frac{\alpha'(t)}{1-k e^{2i\alpha(t)}}$ and integrating the latter equality from 0 to t gives

$$\beta(t) = \beta(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\alpha''(s)ds}{1-k e^{2i\alpha'(s)}} = \beta(0) + \alpha'(t) + \frac{i}{2} \ln(1-ke^{2i\alpha'(t)}) - \alpha(0) - \frac{i}{2} \ln(1-ke^{2i\alpha'(0)}) = \alpha'(t) + \frac{i}{2} \ln(1-ke^{2i\alpha'(t)}) + k_{1},$$

where $k_1 := \beta(0) - \alpha(0) - \frac{i}{2} \ln(1 - e^{2i\alpha(0)})$.

(\leftarrow) Suppose β is the function defined by (8), where k, $k_1 \in C$, $ke^{2i\alpha(t)} \neq 1$ for $t \in R$. By a direct computation it may be verified that β is a phase of (Q) and (7) is true.

Lemma 6. Let all solutions of (Q) not be \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic and let there exist a phase \propto of (Q) such that the function i \propto $\left(-\frac{\kappa''}{2\kappa'}\right)$ (=:p₁) is \mathcal{F} -periodic. Then

there exists at most one \widehat{x} -periodic function p_2 , $p_1 \not = p_2$, such that $p_2 = i \beta - \frac{\beta''}{2 \beta}$ for a phase β of (Q).

 $\underline{P}r\underline{o}o\underline{f}$. Following Remark 2, it suffices to prove that the Riccati equation

$$u' + u^2 = Q(t)$$
 (11)

has at most two different \mathscr{T} -periodic solutions (defined on R) under the assumption that all solutions of (Q) are not \mathscr{T} -periodic or \mathscr{T} -halfperiodic. First, the function p_1 is a \mathscr{T} -periodic solution of (11). We assume that there exist further two \mathscr{T} -periodic solutions p_2 , p_3 of (11), $p_1 \neq p_2$, $p_1 \neq p_3$, $p_2 \neq p_3$. Integreting the equalities

$$\frac{(p_3 - p_2)'}{p_3 - p_2} - \frac{(p_3 - p_1)'}{p_3 - p_1} = p_1 - p_2,$$

$$\frac{(p_2 - p_3)'}{p_2 - p_3} - \frac{(p_2 - p_1)'}{p_2 - p_1} = p_1 - p_3,$$

$$\frac{(p_3 - p_1)'}{p_2 - p_1} = -p_3 - p_1,$$

from O to 🔐 yields

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} (p_{1}(t)-p_{2}(t))dt = 2im\pi, \qquad \int_{0}^{\pi} (p_{1}(t)-p_{3}(t))dt = 2in\pi,$$

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} (p_{1}(t)+p_{3}(t))dt = 2in\pi,$$

where m, n, s are integers, whence

$$\int\limits_{0}^{\widetilde{N}} p_{1}(t) dt = i (n+r) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \ , \quad \int\limits_{0}^{\widetilde{N}} p_{2}(t) dt = i (n+r-2m) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \ , \quad \int\limits_{0}^{\widetilde{N}} p_{3}(t) dt \approx i (r-n) \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \ .$$

Since $p_1(t) = \frac{y_1'(t)}{y_1(t)}$, $p_2(t) = \frac{y_2'(t)}{y_2(t)}$, where y_1 , y_2 are suitable independent solutions of (Q), $y_1(t) \neq 0$, $y_2(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist k_1 , $k_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $y_i(t) = k_i \exp(\int_0^t p_i(s) ds)$,

i = 1,2, $t \in R$. Naturally, then

$$y_i(t+ \mathcal{T}) = k_i \exp(\int_0^t p_i(s) ds) \exp(\int_0^n p_i(s) ds) = (-1)^{n+r} y_i(t)$$

(i=1,2, t \in R), hence all solutions of (Q) are \widehat{k} -periodic or \widehat{k} -halfperiodic, which is a contradiction.

Remark 4. In assuming that all solutions of (Q) are \mathcal{R} -periodic or \mathcal{R} -halfperiodic, the Riccati equation (11) has infinitely many \mathcal{R} -periodic solutions. All these solutions are of form $\frac{y'(t)}{y(t)}$, where y is a solution of (Q), $y(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see Example 1). Here the main difference is in the number of periodic solutions of the Riccati equation in a real case, when even there the equation has at most two \mathcal{R} -periodic solution (see [10]).

Example 1. The Riccati equation

$$u' + u^2 = -4 + 16e^{8it}$$

has %-periodic solutions, say

$$u = -2i + 4ie^{4it}cotg(e^{4it}+c),$$

with c \in C being an arbitrary number such that $\sin(e^{4it}+c)\neq 0$ for t \in R. This condition is fulfilled for c = c₁ + ic₂ such that $(c_1+k)^2 + c_2^2 \neq 1$ for all integer k.

It becomes obvious that the investigation of \mathcal{F} -periodicity of the function ix $-\frac{x^n}{2\alpha}$, where α is a phase of (Q), is essential. The remain part of this text is deviden into three cases:

- Case 1 there exists a phase \propto of (Q) such that its derivative is a \mathcal{F} -periodic function (and then the function i \propto $\frac{\alpha^*}{2\alpha'}$, too, is \mathcal{F} -periodic);
- Case 2 there exists such a phase \propto of (Q) that its derivative α' is not a $\widetilde{\pi}$ -periodic function and i α' $\frac{\alpha'}{2\, \alpha'}$ is a $\widetilde{\pi}$ -periodic function;
- Case 3 there exists no such phase \propto of (Q) that i \propto $-\frac{\propto}{2}$ is a \mathcal{H} -periodic function.

Case 1

Theorem 1. Suppose \emptyset is a characteristic multiplier of (Q), $|\emptyset| \ge 1$. Then, there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q), u(t)v(t) $\ne 0$ for t $\in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (1) exactly if there exists a phase \varnothing of (Q), k_1 , $k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le k_1 \le (1+\operatorname{sign} k_2) \mathcal{T}$, $k_1 \ne 2 \mathcal{T}$, $k_2 \ge 0$ and an integer n such that

Proof. () Let \emptyset be a characteristic multiplier of (Q), $|\emptyset| \stackrel{?}{=} 1$ and u, v be independent solutions of (Q) satisfying (1), $u(t)v(t) \not = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Setting $U:=\frac{1}{2}$ (u+v), $V:=:=\frac{1}{2}$ (v-u) yilds that U,V are independent solutions of (Q) and $U^2(t) + V^2(t) \not = 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let \varnothing be a phase of the basis (U,V) of (Q). Then there exists a $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \not = 0$, such that

$$U(t) = c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, \quad V(t) = c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(13)

(see [13]). Since

$$c \frac{\sin \varkappa(t)}{\sqrt{\varkappa'(t)}} = \frac{1}{2}(u(t)+v(t)), c \frac{\cos \varkappa'(t)}{\sqrt{\varkappa'(t)}} = \frac{i}{2}(v(t)-u(t)),$$

t ∈ R (14)

then

$$\frac{c^2}{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{x})} = \frac{1}{4}([\varphi.u(t) + \varphi^{-1}.v(t)]^2 - \frac{1}{4}([\varphi^{-1}.v(t) - \varphi.u(t)]^2 - \frac{c^2}{\alpha'(t)}.$$

Naturally, then $\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}) = \alpha'(t)$ and therefore for an $a \in C$ we get

$$ol(t+\widehat{\mathcal{H}}) = ol(t) + a, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (15)

Let $\hat{v} = \frac{\sqrt{v'(t+T)}}{\sqrt{c'(t)}}$. Evidently, \hat{v} is either equal to 1 or equal to -1. From the definition of U,V and from (1), (13) - (15) it follows from one side

$$V(t+\widehat{v}) + i.U(t+\widehat{v}) = vc \frac{\exp i(v(t)+a)}{\sqrt{v(t)}}$$

and from the other side

$$V(t+\hat{\pi}') + i.U(t+\hat{\pi}') = \frac{i}{2}(e^{-1}.V(t) - e^{-1}.U(t)) + \frac{i}{2}(e^{-1}.V(t)) = ie^{-1}.V(t) = e^{-1}.V(t) = e^{-1}.V(t) + i.U(t) = e^{-1}.V(t) = e^{-1}.V(t) + i.U(t) + i.U(t) = e^{-1}.V(t) + i.U(t) + i.U($$

Thus $\emptyset=\sqrt[3]{e^{-ia}}$ and if $a=a_1+ia_2$ is $|\emptyset|=e^a2=1$, whence $a_2\stackrel{\pm}{=}0$. Next let $a_1=k_1+2n$, where $0\stackrel{\pm}{=}k_1<2\pi$ and n is an integer. Setting $k_2:=a_2$ ($\stackrel{\pm}{=}0$), we get from (15) formula (12) and $\emptyset=\sqrt[3]{e^{-ik_1}}$.

It remains to prove that in case of $a_2=0$, i.e. where $i \not\in i=1$, the number k_1 may be chosen to that $0 \not = k_1 \not = \widehat{\pi}$. In case of $\widehat{\pi} < k_1 < 2\widehat{\pi}$ we consider the phase $\beta := - \ll$ in place of the phase \ll of (Q). Then it follows from (15)

$$\beta(t+\hat{y}) = \beta(t) - a = \beta(t) - k_1 - 2n\hat{y} =$$

= $\beta(t) + (2\hat{y} - k_1) - 2(n+1)\hat{y}$

and in place of the integer n in (12) we put the integer -(n+1) and in place of the number $\mathbf{k_1}$ we put $2\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$ - $\mathbf{k_1}$. Evidently $0 < 2\widehat{\mathbf{k}}$ - $\mathbf{k_1} < \widehat{\mathbf{k}}$.

 $(\langle \Longrightarrow \rangle \text{ Let } \not \sim \text{ be a phase of } (\mathbb{Q}), \ k_1, k_2 \not \in \mathbb{R}, \ 0 \not = k_1 \not = \\ = (1 + \operatorname{sign} \ k_2)^{\widehat{n}}, \ k_1 \not \neq 2 \widehat{n}, \ k_2 \not \equiv 0 \text{ and n be an integer such} \\ \text{that } (12) \text{ is true. Let } \mathcal{V} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{n}')}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \text{ and set } \mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{V} \cdot \exp(k_2 - \mathrm{i}k_1), \ U(t) := \frac{\sin \alpha'(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}, \ V(t) := \frac{\cos \alpha'(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}, \ u(t) := -\mathrm{i}U(t) + \\ + V(t), \ v(t) := \mathrm{i}U(t) + V(t) \text{ for } t \not \in \mathbb{R}.$

Then $|q| \ge 1$, u, v are independent solutions of (Q), u(t)v(t) = $U^2(t) + V^2(t) \ne 0$,

$$u(t+\widehat{\pi}') = \frac{\cos \alpha(t+\widehat{\pi}')}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} - i \frac{\sin \alpha(t+\widehat{\pi}')}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} = \frac{\exp(-i\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}'))}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} =$$

$$= \varrho \left[\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} - i \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \right] = \varrho \cdot u(t),$$

$$v(t+\widehat{\pi}') = \frac{\cos \alpha(t+\widehat{\pi}')}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} + i \frac{\sin \alpha(t+\widehat{\pi}')}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} = \frac{\exp(i\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}'))}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widehat{\pi}')}} =$$

$$= \varrho^{-1} \left[\frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} + i \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \right] = \varrho^{-1} \cdot v(t), \ t \in \mathbb{R},$$

Corollary 2. Let α be a phase of (Q). All solutions of (Q) are γ -periodic or γ -halfperiodic exactly if

where $k = 2n + \frac{1}{2}(1-\mathcal{E})$ or $k = 2n + \frac{1}{2}(1+\mathcal{E})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ (= $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

<u>Proof.</u> (\Longrightarrow) Suppose all solutions of (Q) are \mathscr{F} -periodic or \mathscr{F} -halfperiodic. The functions $\frac{e^{i\,\varkappa(\,t)}}{\sqrt{\,\varkappa(\,t)}}$, $\frac{e^{-i\,\varkappa(\,t)}}{\sqrt{\,\varkappa(\,t)}}$ are independent solutions of (Q) and

$$\frac{e^{i\alpha'(t+\widetilde{\gamma}')}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{\gamma}')}} = \gamma \frac{e^{i\alpha'(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}},$$

$$\frac{e^{-i\alpha'(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{\gamma}')}} = \gamma \frac{e^{-i\alpha'(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
(17)

where $\sqrt[3]{}^2=1$. Here all solutions for $\sqrt[3]{}=1$ ($\sqrt[3]{}=-1$) are $\sqrt[3]{}$ -periodic ($\sqrt[3]{}$ -halfperiodic). On multiplying out both sides of (17) we get $\propto'(t+\sqrt[3]{})=\propto'(t)$, thus for any $a\in C$ we have $\propto(t+\sqrt[3]{})=\propto(t)+a$ for $t\in R$. Then from (17) there follows $e^{ia}=\nu \, \varepsilon$, $e^{-ia}=\nu \, \varepsilon$, with $\varepsilon=\frac{\sqrt{\propto'(t+\gamma')}}{\sqrt{\propto'(t)}}$. If $a=a_1+ia_2$ we have $a_2=0$, for $\nu \, \varepsilon=1$ we get $\cos a_1=1$ and for $\nu \, \varepsilon=-1$ we get $\cos a_1=-1$. In this way $a_1=(2n+\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon))\, \gamma$ for $\nu=1$ and $\nu=(2n+\frac{1}{2}(1+\varepsilon))\, \gamma$ for $\nu=-1$, where n is an

appropriate integer.

Remark 5. In the terminology of central transformators of (Q) all solutions of (Q) are \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic exactly if t+ \mathcal{F} is a central transformator of (Q).

Remark 6. If all solutions of (Q) are \mathscr{F} -periodic or \mathscr{F} -halfperiodic, then the value of the number k in Corollary 2 will generally depend on the choice of the phase of (Q) - as it becomes apparent from Example 1 $\begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$.

In the following theorem we present certain sufficient conditions for the derivative α of a phase α of (Q) to be α -periodic.

Theorem 2. Suppose there exists a number $a \in R$ such that Re Q(t) + a.Im Q(t) $\stackrel{>}{=}$ q(t) for t $\in R$, where q $\in C^0(R)$ and y $\stackrel{''}{=}$ = q(t)y is a nonoscillatory equation. Then one of the following two mutualy excluding situations arises:

- (i) there exist independent solutions of (Q) such that $u(t)v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and (1) holds, where $\wp^2 \neq 1$;
- (ii) there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q) such that $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and (2) holds.

<u>Proof.</u> From Lemma 3 there follows that every solution of (Q) has at most a finite number of zeros. Consequently, every solution u of (Q) satisfying the equality $u(t+\mathcal{F}) = Q \cdot u(t)$ on R, where $0 \neq Q \in C$, has no zeros on R, i.e. $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. Especially from this there follows that all solutions of (Q) cannot be \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic. The statement of the Theorem readily follows from the results of the Floquet theory.

Lemma 7. Suppose all solutions of (Q) are not \mathcal{X} -periodic or \mathcal{X} -halfperiodic. Let \ll , /3 be such phases of (Q) that

$$\alpha(t+\widehat{x}) = \alpha(t) + a, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (18)

$$\beta(t+\widehat{x}) = \beta(t) + b, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{19}$$

where a, b \in C. Then either a = b (in this case \propto (t) - \propto (0) = = β (t) - β (0) for t \in R) or a = -b (in this case \propto (t) - - \propto (0) = - $\left[\beta(t) - \beta(0)\right]$ for t \in R).

<u>Proof.</u> We may assume without loss of generality \propto (0) = = $\sqrt{3}$ (0). In the contrary case we assume instead of phases $\sqrt{3}$ (t) and $\sqrt{3}$ (t), the phases $\sqrt{3}$ (t) - $\sqrt{3}$ (0), respectively. By Corollary 2 the numbers a,b cannot be equal to an integral multiple of $\sqrt[3]{1}$. Next, from Theorem 4 [13] there follows the existence of $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{4}$, $\sqrt{6}$, $\sqrt{3}$, $\sqrt{4}$,

$$\beta'(t) = \frac{(k_2 k_3 - k_1 k_4) \alpha'(t)}{(k_1 \cos \alpha(t) + k_2 \sin \alpha(t))^2 + (k_3 \cos \alpha(t) + k_4 \sin \alpha(t))^2}$$

(20)

Placing t instead of t+ $\widehat{\mathfrak{H}}$ in (20) then from (18) and (19) we obtain

$$\beta'(t) = \frac{(k_2 k_3 - k_1 k_4) \alpha'(t)}{(k_1 \cos(\alpha(t) + a) + k_2 \sin(\alpha(t) + a))^2 + (k_3 \cos(\alpha(t) + a)) + k_4 \sin(\alpha(t) + a))^2}$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{21}$$

Since a is not equal to a integral multiple of \Re , there follows from (20) and (21) that $(k_1\cos \alpha(t) + k_2\sin \alpha(t))^2 + (k_3\cos \alpha(t) + k_4\sin \alpha(t))^2$ is a constant function on R, thus $\beta'(t) = c.\alpha'(t)$, where $c \in C$ is an appropriate number, $c \neq 0$. From the equality $(Q(t)=)-\{\alpha,t\}-\alpha'^2(t)=-\{\beta,t\}-\beta'^2(t)$ we obtain $c^2=1$. If c=1, then $\beta'(t)=\alpha'(t)$ and therefore $\beta(t)=\alpha(t)$ for $t \in R$ and a=b. If c=-1, then $\beta'(t)=-\alpha'(t)$ and therefore $\beta(t)=-\alpha'(t)$ and therefore $\beta(t)=-\alpha'(t)$ for $t \in R$ and a=-b.

Corollary 3. Let all solutions of (Q) not be \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic. If for any phase \prec of (Q) relation (12) holds, where $0 \le k_1 \le (1+\operatorname{sign} k_2)\mathcal{F}$, $k_1 \ne 2\mathcal{F}$, $k_2 \ge 0$, then the value of the integer n in this formula does not depend on the choice of the phase \prec of (Q) and it is defined uniquely by (Q).

 \underline{Proof} . Suppose \propto , β are the phases of (Q) such that

$$\alpha(t+\mathcal{F}) = \alpha(t) + (k_1 + 2n\mathcal{F}) + ik_2, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\beta(t+\mathcal{F}) = \beta(t) + (s_1 + 2m\mathcal{F}) + is_2, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

all solutions of (Q) would be \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic. Hence $k_1, s_1 \in (0, \mathcal{F})$, whence we get $0 < k_1 + s_1 < 2\mathcal{F}$. From the other side it holds $k_1 + s_1 = -2(m + n)\mathcal{F}$, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3. Suppose \propto be a phase of (Q) and

$$\alpha(t+\widetilde{u}) = \alpha(t) + a, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (22)

where $0 \neq a \in C$. Then a function β is \hat{a} phase of (Q_1) ,

$$\beta(t+\hat{r}) = \beta(t) + a, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (23)

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{x'})}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta'(t+\widetilde{x'})}}{\sqrt{\beta'(t)}} \quad (= \frac{t}{1}), \tag{24}$$

exactly if

$$\beta(t) = k + d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i\widetilde{f}(s)} \propto'(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (25)

where $k \in C$, $\varUpsilon \in C^2(R)$, $c \in C^3(R)$, $\varUpsilon (t+\varUpsilon) = \varUpsilon (t) + 4n\varUpsilon (n \in Z)$, $c(t+\varUpsilon) = c(t) + \varUpsilon$, c'(t) > 0 for $t \in R$ and $(\not=) \ d = a \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\varUpsilon(s)} \alpha'(s) ds \right]^{-1} .$

<u>Proof.</u> ($\langle \longrightarrow \rangle$) Let k, d, c, $\mathcal V$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3 and the function /3 be defined by formula (25). Then

$$\beta(t+\mathcal{F}) = k + d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i\mathcal{T}(s)} \propto (s) ds + d \int_{c(t)}^{c(t)+\mathcal{F}} e^{i\mathcal{T}(s)} \propto (s) ds =$$

$$= \beta(t) + a,$$

since the function $e^{i\widetilde{\iota}(t)}\alpha'(t)$ is $\widetilde{\chi}$ -periodic and $\int_{0}^{\widetilde{\chi}}e^{i\widetilde{\iota}(s)}\alpha'(s)ds=a.$ Next/ $\delta\in\widetilde{C}^{3}(R)$ and $\int_{0}^{\widetilde{\chi}}(t)=$

= $\det^{i\mathcal{V}(c(t))}(\alpha(c(t)))$ \neq 0 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus β is a phase of any (Q_1) . We denote $f_{\alpha'}(t)$ $(f_{\beta'}(t))$ a continuous single-valued branch of the argument of the function $\alpha'(\beta')$ on \mathbb{R} . Then for an integer m there is $f_{\alpha'}(t+\mathcal{V}) = f_{\alpha'}(t) + 2m\mathcal{V}$. From the equality $\beta' = \det^{i\mathcal{V}(c)}(\alpha(c))$ there follows the existence of an integer f such that

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}'(t) = \mathcal{C}(c(t)) + f_{\alpha'}(c(t)) + 2j\mathcal{F} + Arg d,$$

whence we get

$$f_{\beta'}(t+\widehat{\pi}) = \widehat{c}(c(t)+\widehat{\pi}) + f_{\alpha'}(c(t)+\widehat{\pi}) + 2j\widehat{\pi} + \text{Arg d} =$$

$$= \widehat{c}(c(t)) + f_{\alpha'}(c(t)) + 2(j+m+2n)\widehat{\pi} + \text{Arg d} =$$

$$= f_{\beta'}(t) + 2(m+2n)\widehat{\pi} ,$$

i.e. (24) is true, whereby

$$\frac{\sqrt{\kappa'(t+\widetilde{k}')}}{\sqrt{\kappa'(t)}} = \frac{\sqrt{k'(t+\widetilde{k}')}}{\sqrt{k'(t)}} = (-1)^{m}.$$

(\Longrightarrow) Let β be a phase of (\mathbb{Q}_1) satisfying (23), where $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$. We put

$$A(t) := \int_{0}^{t} |o(s)| ds, \quad B(t) := \int_{0}^{t} |\beta(s)| ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then A, B are increasing functions on R, A,B \in C³(R). Because of $| \swarrow (t+\pi) | = | \bigtriangleup (t) |$, $| \lessgtr (t+\pi) | = | \lessgtr (t) |$ we have

$$A(t+\hat{h}) = A(t) + a_1, B(t+\hat{h}) = B(t) + b_1, t \in R,$$

where $a_1 = A(\mathcal{F}) > 0$, $b_1 = B(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Setting $C(t) := \frac{a_1}{b_1} B(t)$, $c(t) := A^{-1}(C(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, yields

$$C(t+\widetilde{x}) = C(t) + a_1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $c(t+\widehat{x}) = A^{-1}(C(t) + a_1) = A^{-1}(C(t)) + \widehat{x} = c(t) + \widehat{x}$, sign c' = 1, c(0) = 0. From the equality C(t) = A(c(t)) it follows that $\int\limits_{0}^{t} |\beta(s)| ds = \frac{b_1}{a_1} \int\limits_{0}^{c(t)} |\alpha'(s)| ds$ whence

$$\left| \beta'(t) \right| = \frac{b_1}{a_1} c'(t) \left| \alpha'(c(t)) \right|, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (26)

Let us put $\varphi(t) := \frac{\beta'(t)}{(\alpha(c(t)))'}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $|\varphi(t)| = \frac{b_1}{a_1}$,

 $\varphi(t+\widehat{x})=\varphi(t), \varphi\in \widetilde{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let f_{φ} denote a continuous and single-valued branch of the argument of the function φ and $f_{\zeta'}$, $f_{\beta'}$ be defined analogous to the proof (\Longleftrightarrow) above. Then for some integers k, j there holds

$$f_{\varphi}(t) = f_{\beta'}(t) - f_{\alpha'}(c(t)) + 2j\widetilde{N},$$

$$f_{\alpha'}(t+\widetilde{N}) = f_{\alpha'}(t) + 2k\widetilde{N},$$

and from (24) there follows the existence of an integer n:

$$f_{\alpha'}(t+\widehat{\imath}) - f_{\alpha'}(t) = f_{\alpha'}(t+\widehat{\imath}) - f_{\alpha'}(t) + 4n\widehat{\imath}$$
.

Furthermore

$$\begin{split} f_{\varphi}(t+\widehat{k}) &= f_{\beta'}(t+\widehat{k}) - f_{\alpha'}(c(t)+\widehat{k}) + 2j\widehat{k} = f_{\beta'}(t) + \\ &+ f_{\alpha'}(t+\widehat{k}) - f_{\alpha'}(t) + 4n\widehat{k} - f_{\alpha'}(c(t)) - 2k\widehat{k} + \\ &+ 2j\widehat{k} = f_{\beta'}(t) - f_{\alpha'}(c(t)) + 2j\widehat{k} + 4n\widehat{k} = \\ &= f_{\varphi}(t) + 4n\widehat{k} . \end{split}$$

Therefore there exist an integer n and a function $\widetilde{\iota}$, $\widetilde{\iota} \in \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\widetilde{\iota}(t+\widetilde{\iota}) = \widetilde{\iota}(t) + 4n\widetilde{\iota}$ such that the function \mathscr{P} may be written as $\varphi(t) = de^{i\widetilde{\iota}(c(t))}$, where $d:=\frac{b_1}{a_1}$. From the definition of functions φ , $\widetilde{\iota}$ and from (26) we obtain $\beta'(t) = de^{i\widetilde{\iota}(c(t))}$. $\iota(\alpha(c(t)))'$. Integrating the last equality from 0 to t we get

$$\beta(t) = \beta(0) + d \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\tau(c(s))} \alpha'(c(s))c'(s)ds = \beta(0) + d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i\tau(s)} \alpha'(s)ds.$$

From this and from (23) it follows

$$\beta(0) + d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i\widetilde{t}(s)} \alpha'(s) ds + a =$$

$$= \beta(0) + d \int_{0}^{c(t)+\widetilde{t}} e^{i\widetilde{t}(s)} \alpha'(s) ds$$

and consequently

$$a = d \int_{0}^{c(t)+\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}} e^{i\widetilde{\iota}(s)} \alpha'(s) ds = d \int_{0}^{\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}}} e^{i\widetilde{\iota}(s)} \alpha'(s) ds.$$

.4

If we put
$$d:=a\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{i\Upsilon(s)}\alpha(s)ds\right]^{-1}$$
 and $k:=$

 $:= /3(0) + d \int_{c(0)}^{0} e^{i\tilde{\tau}(s)} \propto (s) ds, \text{ then the phase } /3 \text{ may be written}$ in the form of (25).

Remark 7. Let all solutions of (Q) not to be \mathcal{F} -periodic or \mathcal{F} -halfperiodic. It follows from Corollary 3 that a phase \mathcal{C} of (Q), for which (12) holds - where $0 \leq k_1 \leq (1+\text{sign } k_2) \mathcal{F}$, $k_1 \neq 2\mathcal{F}$, $k_2 \geq 0$ and n is an integer - is uniquely determined up to an additive constant.

Remark 7 justifies us to the following

Definition 1. Let all solutions of (Q) not be Υ -periodic or \mathscr{K} -halfperiodic, n being an integer, and $v^2=1$. We say that the pair of numbers (n,v) (it this order) is a significant pair of numbers of (Q) if there exists a phase \propto of (Q) such that (12) holds, where $0 \le k_1 \le (1+\operatorname{sign} k_2) \mathscr{K}$, $k_1 \ne 2 \mathscr{K}$, $k_2 \ge 0$ and $v = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(\mathscr{K})}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(\Omega)}} (= \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\mathscr{K})}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$).

Theorem 4. Let (n, ν) be the significant pair of numbers of (Q) and \propto be such a phase of (Q) that (12) holds, where $0 \le k_1 \le (1 + \text{sign } k_2) \mathcal{F}$, $k_1 \ne 2 \mathcal{F}$, $k_2 \ge 0$, $\nu = \frac{\sqrt{\beta'(\mathcal{F})}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(0)}}$.

Then (n, ?) is the significant pair of numbers of (Q_1) and the equations (Q) and (Q_1) have equal characteristic multipliers exactly if

$$Q_{1}(t) = Q(c(t))c^{2}(t) - \{c,t\} + (\alpha(c(t)))^{2}(1-d^{2}e^{2i\tau(c(t))}) + \frac{c^{2}(t)}{4} \left[2i\tau(c(t))\frac{\alpha''(c(t))}{\alpha'(c(t))} - 2i\tau(c(t)) - \tau^{2}(c(t))\right],$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (27)

where $\mathcal{C} \in C^2(R)$, $c \in C^3(R)$, $\mathcal{C}(t+\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{C}(t) + 4n\mathcal{T}(n \in Z)$, $c(t+\mathcal{T}) = c(t) + \mathcal{T}$, c'(t) > 0 for $t \in R$ and

$$d = \left(\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{i\tilde{t}(s)} \propto^{i}(s) ds \right)^{-1} \cdot (k_{1} + 2n)^{n} + ik_{2} .$$

 \underline{Proof} . (\Longrightarrow) Let $(n, \hat{\flat})$ be significant numbers of (Q_1) and let the equations (Q) and (Q_1) have equal characteristic multipliers. From Theorem 1, Corollary 3 and from its proof then there follows the existence of such a phase β of (Q_1) that

$$\beta(t+\mathcal{X}) = \beta(t) + (k_1 + 2n\mathcal{X}) + ik_2, t \in R,$$

and
$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{\sqrt{\beta'(\mathcal{T})}}{\sqrt{\beta'(0)}}$$
. By Theorem 3 naturally $\beta(t) = h + c(t)$
+ $d \int_{0}^{c(t)} e^{i\mathcal{T}(s)} \mathcal{L}(s) ds$, where $h \in \mathbb{C}$ and d , c , \mathcal{T} satisfying

the assumptions stated in the Theorem. From the equality $Q_1(t) = -\{\beta, t\} - {\beta'}^2(t)$ we get with some modification the form of (27) for the coefficient Q_1 of (Q_1) .

(\langle ==) Let the function Q_1 be defined by (27), where d, c, ${\mathcal C}$ satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem. A direct cal-

culation shows that the function $\beta(t):=d\int\limits_{-\infty}^{c(t)}e^{i\mathcal{C}(s)}\alpha'(s)ds$,

 $t \in R$, is a phase of (Q_1) . By Theorem 3 there hold (23) and (24), thus from Theorem 1 it follows that (n, v) is the significant pair of numbers of (Q) and (Q₁) and both equations have equal characteristic multipliers.

Corollary 4. Suppose the group of increasing transformators L_Q^+ of (Q) is planar. Then there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q), $u(t)v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ satisfying (1).

<u>Proof.</u> By Corollary 1 [14] there exists a function $Y \in C^3(R)$, Y(R) = R, Y'(t) > 0 for $t \in R$ and a number $c \in C$, $c^2 \in C - R$ such that the function $\alpha(t) := c.Y(t)$ for $t \in R$, is a phase of (Q). Let $c = c_1 + ic_2$. Then $c_1c_2 \neq 0$ and it follows from the equality $-\left\{\alpha,t\right\} - \alpha^2(t) = Q(t)$ that $-2c_1c_2Y^{'2}(t) = \text{Im }Q(t)$, hence $Y'(t) = \gamma\sqrt{\frac{-\text{Im }Q(t)}{2c_1c_2}}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $v^2 = 1$. Naturally, then Y´ and thus also α ´ are y -periodic functions and from Theorem 1 there immediately follows the assertion of the Corollary.

Remark 8. If there exist a function $Y \in C^3(R)$, Y(R) = R, Y'(t) > 0 for $t \in R$ and a number $c \in C$, $c^2 \in C - R$ such that the function $\alpha(t) := c.Y$ is a phase of (Q), i.e. the group of increasing transformators of (Q) is planar (see Corollary 1 [14]), then it follows from Corollary 1 that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\Upsilon^{'}(0)}{\Upsilon^{'}(\Im)}} \, \exp \left[\mathrm{ic}(\Upsilon(\varUpsilon) \, - \, \Upsilon(0)) \right] \, , \quad \sqrt{\frac{\Upsilon^{'}(\varUpsilon)}{\Upsilon^{'}(0)}} \, \exp \left[\mathrm{ic}(\Upsilon(0) \, - \, \Upsilon(\varUpsilon)) \right]$$

are characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Case 2

Theorem 5. There exist independent solutions u, v of (Q) such that u(t) \neq 0 for t \in R, v has a zero at a point of R satisfying (1) exactly if there exist a phase \propto of (Q), an integer n, and $x \in$ R so that $\propto'(t)$ is not a \widetilde{x} -periodic function, the function i $\propto'(t) - \frac{\alpha''(t)}{2\alpha'(t)}$ is \widetilde{x} -periodic and $\alpha'(x+\widehat{x}) = \alpha'(x) + n\widetilde{x}$.

 $\underbrace{\text{Proof.}}_{\text{U}}() \text{ Suppose there exist independent solutions } \text{u, v of (Q) for which (1) holds, u(t)} \neq 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ v having a zero on R. Then, by Lemma 4, there exists a phase } \not \sim \text{ of (Q)} \text{ such that function } i \not \sim -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'} \text{ is } \mathscr{F}\text{-periodic and on account } \text{ of the fact that v has a zero on R, then by Theorem 1, the function } \alpha' \text{ is not } \mathscr{F}\text{-periodic. It next follows from Theorem 8 and Theorem 5 [13] that there exist numbers } c_1, c_2 \in C, c_1 \neq 0 \text{: v(t)} = c_1 \frac{\sin(\alpha(t) + c_2)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ For an } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ let } v(x) = 0 \text{ then it follows from (1) that } v(x + \mathscr{F}) = v(x) = 0 \text{ i.e. there exist such integers } n_1, n_2 \text{ that } \alpha(x) = -c_2 + n_1 \mathscr{F},$

 $\propto (x+\widetilde{x}) = -c_2 + n_2\widetilde{x}$, whence $\propto (x+\widetilde{x}) = \propto (x) + n\widetilde{y}$, $n(:=n_2-n_1)$ being an integer.

($\langle ----\rangle$) Suppose there exists a phase \varnothing of (Q) such that \varnothing is not \mathscr{F} -periodic, the function i \simeq ----- is \mathscr{F} -periodic and there exist an integer n and an $x \in \mathbb{R}$: $\varnothing(x+\mathscr{F}) = \varnothing(x) + n\mathscr{F}$. From Lemma 4 there then follows the existence of a solution u of (Q), u(t) \neq 0 for t $\in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying (6), where $0 \neq \emptyset \in \mathbb{C}$. If we put $v(t) := \frac{\sin(\varnothing(t)-\varnothing(x))}{\sqrt{\varnothing(t)}}$ for t $\in \mathbb{R}$, then v is a solution of (Q), v(x) = 0. Thus u, v are independent solutions of (Q) and $v(x+\mathscr{F}) = \frac{\sin(\varnothing(x+\mathscr{F})-\varnothing(x))}{\sqrt{\varnothing(x+\mathscr{F})}} = \frac{\sin n\mathscr{F}}{\sqrt{\varnothing(x+\mathscr{F})}} = 0$. Therefore $v(x) = v(x+\mathscr{F}) = 0$ and thus $v(t+\mathscr{F}) = \mathscr{F} \cdot v(t)$ for t $\in \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathscr{F} \in \mathbb{C}$ is a suitable number, $\mathscr{F} \neq 0$. From the Floquet theory we have $\mathscr{F} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}$. We see that the solutions u, v of (Q) satisfy (1).

Remark 9. If there exist such independent solutions u, v of (Q) that $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, v having a zero on R and (1) is valid, then the Riccati equation (11) has exactly one \mathcal{F} -periodic solution.

Corollary 5. Let α be such a phase of (Q) that α is not a \mathcal{F} -periodic function, i α' - $\frac{\alpha''}{2\,\alpha'}$ is a \mathcal{F} -periodic function and for an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\alpha(x+\mathcal{F}) = \alpha(x) + n\mathcal{F}$, n being an integer. Then

$$(-1)^{\mathsf{n}} \quad \frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{c}'(\mathsf{x} + \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}})}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{c}'(\mathsf{x})}} \quad , \qquad \qquad (-1)^{\mathsf{n}} \quad \frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{c}'(\mathsf{x})}}{\sqrt{\operatorname{c}'(\mathsf{x} + \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}})}} \quad ,$$

are the values of the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

 $\frac{\text{Proof.}}{\text{x+}\widetilde{\textbf{M}}}. \text{ From Corollary 1 and from its proof we find that } \\ \text{exp}(\int\limits_{\textbf{X}}^{\textbf{Y}} p(s) ds), \text{exp}(-\int\limits_{\textbf{X}}^{\textbf{Y}} p(s) ds), \text{ where } p := i \propto \widehat{} - \frac{\cancel{M}}{2 \cancel{M}}, \text{ are } \\ \\$

the characteristic multipliers of (Q). Since

$$\int_{X}^{x+\widehat{n}} p(s)ds = i \left[\alpha(x+\widehat{n}) - \alpha(x) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \alpha'(x+\widehat{n}) - \ln \alpha'(x) \right] = i n \widehat{n} + \ln \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(x+\widehat{n}')}}$$

then

$$\exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(s)ds\right) = (-1)^n \left(\frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(x)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(x+\widetilde{y}')}}\right)^{\sqrt{2}}$$

where $y^2 = 1$.

Remark 10. The result of Corollary 5 may be proved also in other way. If we put $\beta(t) := \alpha(t) - \alpha(x)$, $v(t) := \frac{\sin\beta(t)}{\sqrt{\beta'(t)}}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then β is a phase of (Q) and v is a solution of this equation, $v(x) = v(x+\Re) = 0$. Hence, the equality $v(t+\Re) = -\frac{1}{2}$, v(t) holds for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where v(t) is one of the characteristic multipliers of (Q). By differentiating the equality $\frac{\sin\beta(t+\Re)}{\sqrt{\beta'(t+\Re)}} = v(t)$ and setting now in the resulting equality $v(t+\Re) = v(t)$ and setting now in the resulting equality $v(t+\Re) = v(t)$ and v(t) = v(t) v(t) v(t) = v(t) v(t)

Remark 11. Let a phase \propto of (Q) satisfy the assumptions of Corollary 5. Let further p:= $i\propto -\frac{\alpha''}{2\alpha'}$. From Lemma 5 there follows that then for every phase β of (Q) for which $i\beta' - \frac{\beta''}{2\beta''} = p$, we have $\beta(x+\widehat{x}) = \beta(x) + n\widehat{x}$.

Theorem 6. There exist independent solutions u, v of (Q), $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$ for which (2) is valid exactly if the function

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{i}{2} \ln \left[P(t) - \frac{2igt}{a \hat{x}} \right] , t \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (28)

is a phase of (Q), where $0 \neq a \in C$, $P \in \widetilde{C}^3(R)$ is a \widetilde{r} -periodic function, $P(t) \neq \frac{2i\ell t}{a\widetilde{r}}$, $P'(t) \neq \frac{2i\ell}{a\widetilde{r}}$, $\sqrt{iP'(t+\widetilde{r}) + \frac{2\ell}{a\widetilde{r}}} =$ $= \sqrt{iP'(t) + \frac{2\ell}{a\widetilde{r}}} \quad \text{for } t \in R.$

<u>Proof.</u> (\Longrightarrow) Let (2) hold, where u, v are independent solutions of (Q), v(t) \neq 0 for t \in R. Then there exists such a phase \propto of (Q) that

$$v(t) = \frac{e^{i\alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha(t)}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Every solution of (Q) may be written as $y(t) = v(t) \left[a \int_0^t \frac{ds}{v^2(s)} + b \right]$, where a,b \in C. An easy calculation shows that the function u satisfies (2) exactly if

$$u(t) = v(t) \left[a \int_{0}^{t} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2}(s)} + b \right],$$

where b \in C is an arbitrary constant and ap $\int_{+}^{t+\pi} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2}(s)} = 1 \text{ (with } \frac{ds}{\sqrt$

respect to the \mathcal{T} -periodicity of v^2 we see that $\int_t^{t+\mathcal{T}} \frac{ds}{v^2(s)} = a$ constant). Then

$$\frac{1}{a} = \emptyset \int_{t}^{t+\widetilde{n}} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2(s)}} = \emptyset \int_{t}^{t+\widetilde{n}} \alpha'(s)e^{-2i\alpha(s)}ds =$$

$$= \frac{i \ell}{2} \left[e^{-2i\alpha(t+\widetilde{n})} - e^{-2i\alpha(t)} \right],$$

hence

$$e^{-2i\alpha(t+\widetilde{k})} = e^{-2i\alpha(t)} - \frac{2i\varrho}{a}$$
.

From the latter equality then follows the existence of a such a \mathcal{T} -periodic function Pé $\widetilde{C}^3(R)$, P(t) $\neq \frac{2i\ell t}{a\mathcal{T}}$, P'(t) $\neq \frac{2i\ell}{a\mathcal{T}}$ for téR that the function $e^{-2i\ell(t)}$ may be written as

$$e^{-2i\alpha(t)} = P(t) - \frac{2iet}{2\pi}$$
 for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

whence $\alpha(t) = \frac{i}{2} \ln(P(t) - \frac{2i\ell t}{a r})$. From the last relation and from the equality $v(t) = \frac{e^{i\alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ (with some modification) we obtain

$$v(t) = 0^{\sim} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{iP'(t) + \frac{2q}{ar}}} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ where } 0^2 = 1.$$

It the follows from the assumption $v(t+\Re) = \varrho .v(t)$ that $\sqrt{iP'(t+\Re) + \frac{2\varrho}{a\Re}} = \varrho \sqrt{iP'(t) + \frac{2\varrho}{a\Re}} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$

($\langle = \rangle$) Let the function \propto defined by (28) be a phase of (Q) where the function P and the number a satisfy the assumptions of the Theorem. Putting $v(t) := \frac{e^{i\alpha(t)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ yields $v(t) \neq 0$, v(t) = 0 $\sqrt{\frac{12}{(t)^2 + \frac{2}{a_N}}}$, $v(t+\gamma) = 0$. v(t) for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where v(t) = 0 v(t) and v(t) = 0 v(t) for v(t) = 0 v(t) for v(t) v(t) for v(t) v(t)

$$+\frac{ia}{2}e^{-2i\kappa(0)}$$
 for t $\in \mathbb{R}$. Then u is a solution of (\mathbb{Q}) and

$$u(t) = \frac{ia}{2} \vee (t)e^{-2ix(t)} = \frac{\sigma_a \sqrt{2}}{2} \frac{iP(t) + \frac{2 \cdot rt}{a \cdot r}}{\sqrt{iP'(t) + \frac{2 \cdot rt}{a \cdot rt}}}.$$
 Consequently

 $u(t+\Im) = \varphi \cdot u(t) + v(t)$. So, we have proved that there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q), $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, satisfying (2).

Corollary 6. There exist independent solutions u, v of (Q), $v(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$, for which (2) is valid exactly if

$$Q(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{P^{\parallel}(t)}{P'(t) - \frac{2i\mathfrak{q}}{a\mathfrak{R}}} + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{P^{\parallel}(t)}{P'(t) - \frac{2i\mathfrak{q}}{a\mathfrak{R}}} \right)^{2} \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $0 \neq a \notin C$, $P \notin \tilde{C}^3(R)$ is a \mathcal{F} -periodic function, $P(t) \neq 0$

$$\neq \frac{2i\varrho t}{a\pi}$$
, $P'(t) \neq \frac{2i\varrho}{a\pi}$, $\sqrt{iP'(t+\pi) + \frac{2\varrho}{a\pi}} = \varrho \sqrt{iP'(t) + \frac{2\varrho}{a\pi}}$

for $t \in R$.

<u>Proof.</u> This immediately follows from the preceding Theorem and from the fact that α is a phase of (Q) exactly if it is a solution (on R) the equation Q(t) = $-\{\alpha, t\}$ - $-\alpha^{-2}(t)$.

Example 2. Consider the equation

$$y'' = \frac{4e^{2it}(1 - e^{2it})}{(1 + 2e^{2it})^2} y$$
.

The functions $v(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\Im}}{\sqrt{1+2e^{2it}}}$ and $u(t) = \frac{t-ie^{2it}}{\sqrt{\Im}} \sqrt{1+2e^{2it}}$ are its independ solutions for which $v(t+\Im) = v(t)$, $u(t+\Im) = u(t) + v(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 7. An equation (Q) has independent solutions u, v satisfying

$$u(t+\pi) = Q.u(t), v(t+\pi) = Q.v(t), t \in R, Q^2 \neq 1,$$
 (29)

where u, v have zeros on R exactly if there exists such a phase α of (Q) that α is not a π -periodic function and

$$\alpha(t_1) = n_1 \widetilde{i}, \qquad \alpha(t_2) = \frac{\widetilde{i}}{2} + n_2 \widetilde{i},$$

$$\alpha(t_1 + \widetilde{i}) = k_1 \widetilde{i}, \qquad \alpha(t_2 + \widetilde{i}) = \frac{\widetilde{i}}{2} + k_2 \widetilde{i},$$
(30)

where $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \Upsilon)$, $t_1 \neq t_2$ and k_1 , k_2 , n_1 , n_2 are integers. In this case $(-1)^k 1^{-n} 1 = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1 + \Upsilon)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1)}}$, $(-1)^k 1^{-n} 1 = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1 + \Upsilon)}}$

$$(\text{or also } (-1)^k 2^{-n} 2 \ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_2 + \pi)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_2)}} \ , \ (-1)^k 2^{-n} 2 \ \frac{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_2)}}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t_2 + \pi)}} \) \ \text{are}$$

the characteristic multipliers of (Q).

Proof. (⇒>) Let there exist independent solutions u, v of (Q) satisfying (29), both having zeros on R. Without loss of generality we may assume $u^2(t) + v^2(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in R$. From (29) there follows that u, v have zeros on $[0, \Im)$. Suppose now $u(t_1) = v(t_2) = 0$, where t_1 , $t_2 \in [0, \Im)$, $t_1 \neq t_2$. Let \ll be a phase of the basis (u,v) of (Q). Then $u(t) = c \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$, $v(t) = c \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ for $t \in R$, where $c \in C$, $c \neq 0$. Since $u(t_1 + \Im) = u(t_1) = 0$, $v(t_2 + \Im) = v(t_2) = 0$, we have $\alpha(t_1 + \Im) = k_1 \Im$, $\alpha(t_1) = n_1 \Im$, $\alpha(t_2 + \Im) = \frac{\Im}{2} + k_2 \Im$, $\alpha(t_2) = \frac{\Im}{2} + k_2 \Im$, where n_1 , n_2 , k_1 , k_2 are integers. With

respect to $Q^2 \neq 1$, it follows from Corollary 2 that α' is not a \widehat{x} -periodic function.

(\iff) Let there exist such a phase \propto of (Q) that \propto is not a \Im -periodic function and (30) is valid, where t_1 , $t_2 \in \left[0, \Im\right)$, $t_1 \neq t_2$ with n_1 , n_2 , k_1 , k_2 being integers.

Setting
$$u(t) := \frac{\sin \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$$
, $v(t) := \frac{\cos \alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}$ ($t \in R$), then u, v

are independent solutions of (Q), $u(t_1) = u(t_1 + \mathcal{T}) = 0$, $v(t_2) = v(t_2 + \mathcal{T}) = 0$. Thus (29) holds for a $\emptyset \in \mathbb{C}$, $\emptyset \neq 0$ and since \varnothing is not a \mathcal{T} -periodic function, then - by Corollary 2 - we get $\emptyset^2 \neq 1$. Writing now t_2 and t_1 for t in the equations

$$\frac{\sin \alpha'(t+\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{x})}} = \sqrt[p]{\frac{\sin \alpha'(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}} , \quad \frac{\cos \alpha'(t+\widetilde{x})}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t+\widetilde{x})}} = \sqrt[p]{\frac{\cos \alpha'(t)}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}}} ,$$

respectively, we obtain

Theorem 8. Suppose α is a phase of (Q). This equation has independent solutions u, v satisfying (2), where v has a zero on R exactly if α is not a π -periodic function and $\alpha(t_1+\pi)=\alpha(t_1)+k\pi$, $(-1)^k\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1+\pi)}=\sqrt{\alpha'(t_1)}$, where $t_1\in [0,\pi)$, k being an integer.

<u>Proof.</u> (\Longrightarrow) Let (Q) have independent solutions u, v satisfying (2) where v has a zero on R. It follows from (2)

that there may be assumed without any loss on generality $v(t_1)=0$ for $t_1\in \left[0,\Im\right)$. If we put $\beta(t):=\alpha(t)-\alpha(t_1)$ for $t\in R$, then β is a phase of (Q), $\beta(t_1)=0$ and v(t)=c $\frac{\sin\beta(t)}{\sqrt{\beta'(t)}}$ for $t\in R$, where $0\neq c\in C$. Since $v(t_1+\Im)=0$, we have $\beta(t_1+\Im)=k\Im$, k being an integer, hence $\beta(t_1+\Im)-\beta(t_1)=\alpha(t_1+\Im)-\alpha(t_1)=k\Im$.

Differentiating the equality $\frac{\sin(\varkappa(t+1)-\varkappa(t_1))}{\sqrt{\varkappa'(t+1)}} =$

 $= \emptyset \frac{\sin(\alpha(t) - \alpha(t_1))}{\sqrt{\alpha'(t)}} \quad \text{and inserting } t_1 \quad \text{in place of t in the resulting equality, we obtain } (-1)^k \sqrt{\alpha'(t_1 + \mathcal{T})} = \emptyset \sqrt{\alpha'(t_1)}.$ Since it follows from (2) that every solution of (Q) is not a \mathcal{T} -periodic or \mathcal{T} -halfperiodic, then by Corollary 2, α' is not a \mathcal{T} -periodic function, too.

 $(\langle \Longrightarrow \rangle \text{ Let } \propto \text{ not to be a } \text{\mathcal{T}-periodic function and } \propto (\mathsf{t}_1 + \mathcal{T}) = \propto (\mathsf{t}_1) + \mathsf{k} \mathcal{T} \text{ , } (-1)^\mathsf{k} \sqrt{\alpha'(\mathsf{t}_1 + \mathcal{T})} = \mathsf{Q} \sqrt{\alpha'(\mathsf{t}_1)} \text{ , where } \mathsf{t}_1 \in \left[0, \mathcal{T}\right), \mathsf{k} \text{ being an integer, and } \mathsf{Q}^2 = 1. \text{ Without any loss on generality there may be assumed } \alpha'(\mathsf{t}_1) = 0. \text{ Putting } \mathsf{v}(\mathsf{t}) := \frac{\sin \alpha'(\mathsf{t})}{\sqrt{\alpha'(\mathsf{t})}} \text{ for } \mathsf{t} \notin \mathsf{R}, \text{ then } \mathsf{v} \text{ is a solution of } (\mathsf{Q}),$

 $v(t_1)=v(t_1+\widehat{\chi})=0, \text{ thus } v(t+\widehat{\chi})=\widehat{\mathcal{C}}.v(t) \text{ for } t\in \mathbb{R},$ where $\mathcal{T}\in \mathbb{C}$ is an appropriate number and from the equality $(-1)^k$ $\sqrt{\mathcal{L}(t_1+\widehat{\chi})}=\emptyset\sqrt{\mathcal{L}(t_1)}$ there follows $\emptyset=\widehat{\mathcal{C}}=1$. Since \mathscr{L} is not a \mathscr{T} -periodic function, it follows from Corollary 2 that every solution of (\mathbb{Q}) is not \mathscr{T} -periodic or \mathscr{T} -half-periodic. Consequently it follows for (\mathbb{Q}) from the Floquet theory that there exist such a solution u of (\mathbb{Q}) that u, v are independent solutions of this equation and (2) holds.

Remark 12. If the assumptions of Theorem 7 or of Theorem 8 are satisfied, then there do not exist any \mathcal{F} -periodic solutions of the Riccati equation (11).

REFERENCES

- [1] Borůvka, O.: Lineare Differential Transformations of the Second Order. The English Univ.Press, London 1971.
- [2] Borûvka, O.: On central dispersions of the differential equation y = q(t)y with periodic coefficients. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 415 (1974), 47-60.
- [3] Borůvka, O.: Sur les blocs des équations différentielles y´´ = q(t)y aux coefficients périodiques. Rend. di Mat. (2), 8 (1975), 519-532.
- [4] Borůvka, O.: Sur quelques compléments à la théorie de Floquet pour les équations différentielles du deuxièma ordre. Ann.Mat.Pura Appl. S.IV, CII (1975), 71-77.
- [5] Ворувка, О.: Теория глобальных свойств обыкновенных линейных дифференциальных уравнений второго порядка. Дифференциальные уравнения, т. XII 1976, 1347-1383.
- [6] B o r ů v k a, O.: Sur les blocs des équations différentielles linéaires du deuxième ordre et leurs transformations. Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, 111 (1986), 78-88.
- [7] Magnus, W. and Winkler, S.: Hill's Equation. Interscience Publishers, New York, 1966.
- [8] N e u m a n, F.: Note on bounded non-periodic solutions of second-order linear differential equations with periodic coefficients. Math.Nach. 39 (1969), 217-222.
- [9] Neuman, F. and Staněk, S.: On the structure of second-order periodic differential equations with given characteristic multipliers. Arch.Math. (Brno), XIII (1977), 149-157.
- [10] Плис, В.А.: Нелокальные проблемы теории колебаний. Издательство Наука, 1964.
- [11] S t a n ě k, S.: A note on the disconjugate linear differential equations of the second order with periodic coefficients. Acta Univ.Palackianae Olomucensis, F.R.N., Vol.61, 1979, 93-101.
- [12] S t a n ĕ k, S.: On limit properties of phases and of central dispersions in the oscillatory equation y = = q(t)y with a periodic coefficient. Acta Univ.Palackiane Olomucensis, F.R.N., Vol.69, 1981, 85-92.
- Staněk, S.: A phase of the differential equation y'= Q(t)y with a complex coefficient Q of a real variable. Acta Univ.Palackianae Olomucensis, F.R.N., Mathematica XXV, vol.85, 1986, 57-73.
- [14] S t a n ĕ k, S.: On a transformation of solutions of the differential equation y´ = Q(t)y with a complex coefficient Q of a real variable. Acta Univ.Palackianae Olomucensis. Math. XXVI., Vol. 88, 1987

[15] S w a n s o n, C.A.: Comparison and Oscillation Theory of Linear Differential Equations. Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.

FLOQUETOVA TEORIE DIFERENCIÁLNÍCH ROVNIC y´´ = Q(t)y S KOMPLEXNÍM KOEFICIENTEM REÁLNÉ PROMĚNNÉ

Souhrn

Je vyšetřována diferenciální rovnice

$$y'' = Q(t)y$$
, $Q(t+\mathcal{T}) = Q(t)$, Im $Q(t) \not\equiv 0$ pro $t \in \mathbb{R}$, (Q)

kde Q je spojitá komplexní funkce na R. Z Floquetovy teorie plyne, že ke každé rovnici (Q) lze přiřadit čísla ${}^{\circ}$, ${}^{\circ}$, která se nazývají charakteristické multiplikátory rovnice (Q). Tato čísla jsou důležitá při vyšetřování kvalitativních vlastností řešení rovnice (Q). V práci je dán nový pohled na Floquetovu teorii rovnic typu (Q) z hlediska teorie fází. Zejména je dokázáno, jak lze hodnoty charakteristických multiplikátorů vyjádřit pomocí nějaké fáze rovnice (Q).

ТЕОРИЯ ФЛОКЕ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫХ УРАВНЕНИЙ

С КОМПЛЕКСНЫМ КОЭФФИЦИЕНТОМ ВЕЩЕСТВЕННОЙ ПЕРЕМЕННОЙ

Резюме

Изучается дифференциальное уравнение y'' = Q(t)y, Q(t+T) = Q(t), Im $Q(t) \neq 0$, $t \in R$, (Q) где Q непрерывная комплексная функция на R . Из теории

Флоке следует, что к каждому уравнению (Q) присоединяются числа ρ , ρ^{-1} , которые навываются характеристические мультипликаторы уравнения (Q). Эти числа важные при исследовании квалитетивных свойств решений уравнения (Q).

В этой работе приводится новый взгляд на теорию Флоке уравнений типа (Q) с точки зрения теории фаз. В особенности доказывается как значения характеристик мультипликаторов уравнения (Q) представить с помощью некоторой фазы уравнения (Q).

> Author's address: RNDr. Svatoslav Staněk, CSc. přírodovědecká fakulta Univerzity Palackého Gottwaldova 15 771 46 Olomouc ČSSR /Czechoslovakia/