Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica

Dalibor Klucký; Libuše Marková On inflection points of the plane quartic with two nodal and one flexnodal points

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 24 (1985), No. 1, 41--44

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120162

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Katedra algebry a geometrie přírodovědecké fakulty Univerzity Palackého v Olomouci Vedoucí katedry: Prof. RNDr. Ladislav Sedláček, CSc.

ON INFLECTION POINTS OF THE PLANE QUARTIC WITH TWO NODAL AND ONE FLEXNODAL POINTS

DALIBOR KLUCKÝ, LIBUŠE MARKOVÁ

(Received March 30, 1984)

Let a complex projective plane S_2 be given and let us consider an irreducible quartic (**K**) in S_2 containing three nodal-points **A**, **B**, **C** one of them, say **A**, be the flexnode, i.e. **A** be the intersection point of multiplicity 4 for the curve (**K**) and for any tangent of (**K**) at **A**. We may also say: **A** is the centre of two different linear places of (**K**) each of them is of class 2. Using the second Plücker's formula, we establish, the inflex-point divisor I on (**K**) to be of order 4. There is a natural question, under what conditions the divisor I is determined by a linear form on (**K**). This article is devoted to the solution of this problem. Let us note, that if the flexnodal point will be replaced by a cuspidal point, the divisor I will also be of order 4 and will not be determined by a linear form as proved in [1].

Now, let us choose the coordinate frame (A_0, A_1, A_2, E) in S_2 so that

$$A_0 = A$$
, $A_1 = B$, $A_2 = C$.

Then the quartic (K) will be expressed by the equation:

$$a_0x_1^2x_2^2 + a_1x_0^2x_2^2 + a_2x_0^2x_1^2 + 2b_0x_0^2x_1x_2 + 2b_1x_0x_1^2x_2 + 2b_2x_0x_1x_2^2 = 0, (1)$$

with $a_0 \neq 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$ (cf. [1] pg. 229). Let us rewrite (1) in the form:

$$x_0^2(a_2x_1^2 + 2b_0x_1x_2 + a_1x_2^2) + x_1x_2(2b_1x_0x_1 + a_0x_1x_2 + 2b_2x_0x_2) = 0.$$
 (2)

Then (2) shows that any common solution of

$$a_2 x_1^2 + 2b_0 x_1 x_2 + a_1 x_2^2 = 0, (3)$$

(the equation of tangents at A) and of

$$2b_1x_0x_1 + a_0x_1x_2 + 2b_2x_0x_2 = 0 (4)$$

(the equation of certain conic containing A and as well as B and C) is also the common solution of (3) and (1). However, as A is a flexnode, then (1) and (3) have just one common solution namely the point A = (1, 0, 0); consequently, it must be $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ and (K) has with respect to the reper (A_0, A_1, A_2, E) the equation:

(K):
$$a_0 x_1^2 x_2^2 + a_1 x_0^2 x_2^2 + a_2 x_0^2 x_1^2 + 2b_0 x_0^2 x_1 x_2 = 0,$$
 (5)

with $a_0 \neq 0$, $a_1 \neq 0$, $a_2 \neq 0$ and moreover $b_0^2 - a_1 a_2 \neq 0$ (in the opposite case the tangents at **A** would not be distinct).*

By a simple calculating we establish the Hessian H of the form (K) (the left side of (5)):

$$\mathbb{H} = 24 \left[(b_0^2 - a_1 a_2) x_0^4 (a_2 x_1^2 + 2b_0 x_1 x_2 + a_1 x_2^2) - a_0 a_2 x_1^4 (a_2 x_0^2 + a_0 x_2^2) - a_1 a_0 x_2^4 (a_1 x_0^2 + a_0 x_1^2) - a_1 a_0 x_2^4 (a_1 x_0^2 + a_0 x_1^2) - a_1 a_0 x_1^3 x_2^3 + 2a_0 a_2 b_0 x_0^2 x_1^3 x_2 + 2a_0 a_1 b_0 x_0^2 x_1 x_2 + 6a_0 a_1 a_2 x_0^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 \right].$$
(6)

(For the calculation see also [1] pg. 229.)

Let us investigate the common points of the divisor (H) and the coordinate axises. For the axis $x_0 = 0$, we get the equation

$$-a_0^2a_2x_1^4x_2^2-2a_0^2b_0x_1^3x_2^3-a_0^2a_1x_1^2x_2^4=0.$$

The solution $x_1^2 = 0$ and $x_2^2 = 0$ lead to the points $\mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{B}$. The coordinates x_1, x_2 of the remaining common points of (H), say \mathbf{X}_0 , \mathbf{Y}_0 under the condition $x_0 = 0$, are determined by

$$a_2 x_1^2 + 2b_0 x_1 x_2 + a_1 x_2^2 = 0. (7_0)$$

Let X_1 , Y_1 and X_2 , Y_2 respectively have a similar meaning for the divisor (H) and the coordinate axis $x_1 = 0$ resp. $x_2 = 0$. Then the coordinates x_0 , x_2 of X_1 , Y_1 resp. the coordinates x_0 , x_1 of X_2 , Y_2 are determined as a solution of equation

$$(b_0^2 - a_1 a_2) x_0^2 - a_0 a_1 x_2^2 = 0, (7_1)$$

resp.

$$(b_0^2 - a_1 a_2) x_0^2 - a_0 a_2 x_1^2 = 0. (7_2)$$

It follows from (7_0) , (7_1) , (7_2) that all pairs X_0 , Y_0 , X_1 , Y_1 , X_2 , Y_2 are parwice different and distinct from A, B, C. Moreover, (7_1) and (7_2) imply that the cross-ratios (ACX_1Y_1) and (ABX_2Y_2) equal to -1.

The six points X_0 , Y_0 , X_1 , Y_1 , X_2 , Y_2 are contained on a certain conic (Q) namely

(Q):
$$(b_0^2 - a_1 a_2) x_0^2 - a_0 a_2 x_1^2 - a_0 a_1 x_2^2 - 2a_0 b_0 x_1 x_2 = 0.$$
 (8)

Using (6), (5) and (8) we find that

$$\mathbf{H} - 24\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K} = 72a_0x_0^2x_1x_2[2a_2b_0x_1^2 + (3a_1a_2 + b_0^2)x_1x_2 + 2a_1b_0x_2^2].$$
 (9)

^{*)} Remark: We get thus a further geometrical meaning of the condition $b_1 = b_2 = 0$: The tangents at the nodal points B, C separate the lines BA, BC, resp. CA, CB harmonically.

Relation (9) shows that the inflection points of (K) lie on the singular conic

$$(\mathbb{Q}_1): \qquad 2a_2b_0x_1^2 + (3a_1a_2 + b_0^2)x_1x_2 + 2a_1b_0x_2^2 = 0, \tag{10}$$

more exactly: Denoting by P, P' both (linear) places of (K) with the centre A, then the form (Q) on the left side of (10) determines the divisor

$$2P + 2P' + I$$
 (11)

on (K). Any of the components I_1 , I_2 of (Q_1) determines the divisor

$$P + P' + D$$
 resp. $P + P' + D'$. (12)

with

$$D + D' = I \tag{13}$$

on (K). It follows from this that I may not be determined by any linear form. We conclude by

Theorem: Let (K) be a plane quartic with two nodal-points and by a single flexnodal-point. Let P and P' be its places having the flexnode as the centre and let I be the inflex-point divisor. Then I is of order 4 and there exists a conic (\mathbb{Q}_1) whose singular point is the flexnode of (K) determining the divisor 2P + 2P' + I on (K). There does not exist any line determining the divisor I on (K); the divisor I is of the form (I3), where (I2) are the divisors intersected by components of (\mathbb{Q}_1) on (K).

REFERENCES

- B. Bydžovský: Inflexní body některých rovinných kvartik. Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 88 (1963), str. 224-235.
- [2] J. Metelka: Poznámka k článku akademika Bohumila Bydžovského "Inflexní body některých rovinných kvartik". Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 90 (1965), str. 445-457.
- [3] Dalibor Klucký, Jaromír Krys: Druhá poznámka k článku akademika Bohumila Bydžovského "Inflexní body některých rovinných kvartik,.. Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 92 (1967), str. 212–214.
- [4] Dalibor Klucký, Libuše Marková: A contribution to the theory of tacnodal quartics. Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, roč. 110 (1985), str. 92—100.
- [5] Robert J. Walker: Algebraic curves. Springer-Verlag New York 1950.

INFLEXNÍ BODY ROVINNÉ KVARTIKY SE DVĚMA UZLOVÝMI A JEDNÍM FLEKTNODÁLNÍM BODEM

Souhrn

V článku je vyšetřován divizor inflexních bodů trinodální rovinné kvartiky, jejíž právě jeden uzlový bod je navíc flektonodální, tj. je středem dvou lineárních větví druhé třídy.

ТОЧКИ ПЕРЕГИБА АЛГЕБРАИЧЕСКОЙ КРИВОЙ 4-ОГО ПОРЯДКА ОБЛЯДАЮЩЕЙ ДВУМЯ ТОЧКАМИ САМОПЕРЕСЕЧЕНИЯ И ОДНОЙ ТОЧКОЙ КОТОРАЯ ЦЕНТРОМ ДВУХ ЛИНЕЙНЫХ ВЕТВЕЙ ВТОРОГО КЛАССА

Резюме

В статье рассматривается дивизор точек перегиба плоской кривой порядка 4 обладающей тремя особыми точками самопересечения, одна из них является центром двух линейных ветвей второго класса.