

A. M. Saddeek; Sayed A. Ahmed

Iterative solution of nonlinear equations of the pseudo-monotone type in Banach spaces

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 44 (2008), No. 4, 285--293

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119768>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2008

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ITERATIVE SOLUTION OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS OF THE PSEUDO-MONOTONE TYPE IN BANACH SPACES

A.M. SADDEEK AND SAYED A. AHMED

ABSTRACT. The weak convergence of the iterative generated by $J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(Fu_n - Ju_n)$, $n \geq 0$, ($0 < \tau = \min \{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$) to a coincidence point of the mappings $F, J: V \rightarrow V^*$ is investigated, where V is a real reflexive Banach space and V^* its dual (assuming that V^* is strictly convex). The basic assumptions are that J is the duality mapping, $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded and that there exists a non-negative real valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that

$$\sup_{u, \eta \in V} \{r(u, \eta)\} = \lambda < \infty$$

$$r(u, \eta) \|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u) - (J - F)(\eta)\|_{V^*}, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

Furthermore, the case when V is a Hilbert space is given. An application of our results to filtration problems with limit gradient in a domain with semipermeable boundary is also provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

A map $\Phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a *gauge function* if Φ is continuous and strictly increasing, $\Phi(0) = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty$. Suppose V is a real Banach space with a strictly convex dual V^* . A map $J: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be a duality map with gauge function Φ if for each $u \in V$, $\langle Ju, u \rangle = \Phi(\|u\|_V) \|u\|_V$ and $\|Ju\|_{V^*} = \Phi(\|u\|_V)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality relation between V and V^* . It is well known that (see, e.g. [7]) if V^* is strictly convex, then J is single-valued and if V^* is uniformly convex and V is a reflexive Banach space, then J is uniformly continuous on bounded sets (see e.g. [5, Chapter 8]).

When $\Phi(t) = t$, J is called a *normalized duality map*. If V is a Hilbert space, then the normalized duality map J is the identity map I .

It is known (see, e.g. [7]) that $Ju = \Phi(\|u\|_V)u_0^*$ where $u_0^* \in V^*$, $\|u_0^*\|_{V^*} = 1$ and $\langle u_0^*, u_0 \rangle = \|u_0\|_V = 1$ ($u_0 = \frac{u}{\|u\|_V}$, $u \neq 0$).

We always use the symbols “ \rightarrow ” and “ \rightharpoonup ” to indicate strong and weak convergence, respectively.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 47H10; secondary 54H25.

Key words and phrases: iteration, coincidence point, demiclosed mappings, pseudo-monotone mappings, bounded Lipschitz continuous coercive mappings, filtration problems.

Received November 19, 2007, revised September 2008. Editor O. Došlý.

A map $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ is called *demiclosed at 0* (see, e.g. [4]) if for any sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in V the following implication holds: $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $Fu_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $u \in V$ and $Fu = 0$.

According to [2] and [11], the mapping $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *pseudo-monotone* if it is bounded and

$$u_n \rightarrow u \in V \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Fu_n, u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$$

imply

$$\langle Fu, u - \eta \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Fu_n, u_n - \eta \rangle \quad \text{for all } \eta \in V.$$

Recall that a map $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *bounded Lipschitz continuous* (see, e.g. [3]) if

$$\|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \leq \mu(R)\Phi(\|u - \eta\|_V) \quad \forall u, \eta \in V,$$

where $R = \max\{\|u\|_V, \|\eta\|_V\}$, μ is nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and Φ is the gauge function.

An operator $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is said to be *coercive* (see, e.g. [7]) if

$$\langle Au, u \rangle \geq \rho(\|u\|_V)\|u\|_{V^*}; \quad \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\xi) = +\infty.$$

According to [3] the mapping A is said to be *potential* if

$$\int_0^1 (\langle A(t(u + \eta)), u + \eta \rangle - \langle A(tu), u \rangle) dt = \int_0^1 \langle A(u + t\eta), \eta \rangle dt \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

The main objective of this work is the construction and investigation of approximation methods for solving the nonlinear equation

$$(\star) \quad Au = f$$

in Banach and Hilbert spaces, where A is a bounded Lipschitz continuous, potential coercive, pseudo monotone operator from V into V^* and $f \in V^*$. The problem (\star) arises in the description of steady-state filtration processes (see, e.g. [8]).

2. MAIN RESULTS

We now establish the main results of this section:

Theorem 1. *Let V be a real reflexive Banach space with a strictly convex dual space V^* , and let $F, J: V \rightarrow V^*$ (where J is the duality map) be two mappings. Suppose $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded, and there exists a non-negative real valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that*

$$(1) \quad \sup_{u, \eta \in V} \{r(u, \eta)\} = \lambda < \infty$$

$$(2) \quad r(u, \eta)\|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u) - (J - F)(\eta)\|_{V^*}, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V.$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined by

$$(3) \quad J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(Fu_n - Ju_n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where u_0 is a point in V and $0 < \tau = \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, is bounded in V and all its weak limit points are elements of $\mathfrak{F} = \{u \in V: Fu = Ju\}$.

Proof. Let us first prove the boundedness of the iterative sequence; more precisely, let us show that

$$(4) \quad \{u_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset S_0, \quad \|u_n\|_V \leq R_0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $R_0 = \sup_{u \in S_0} \|u\|_V$, $S_0 = \{u \in M : F_1(u) \leq F_1(u_0)\}$, and $F_1 : V \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a functional defined by the formula

$$(5) \quad F_1(u) = \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(tu), u \rangle dt \quad \forall u \in V.$$

By definition, $u_0 \in S_0$. Let $u_n \in S_0$; we claim that $u_{n+1} \in S_0$.

Indeed, substituting $u = u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})$, $\eta = u_n$ in (2) and writing r for $r(u_{n+1}, u_n)$, we obtain

$$r \|J((t-1)(u_n - u_{n+1}))\|_{V^*} \geq \|(J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n)\|_{V^*}.$$

Using the definition of J , we get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) &\geq r\Phi(\|(t-1)(u_n - u_{n+1})\|_V) \\ &\geq \|(J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n)\|_{V^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$. Consequently, it follows that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\leq r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} &-|\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle| \\ &\geq -r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V) \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Further, following [3], from (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(u_n) - F_1(u_{n+1}) &= \int_0^1 (\langle (J - F)(t(u_n), u_n) \rangle - \langle (J - F)(tu_{n+1}), u_{n+1} \rangle) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) \\ &\quad - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle dt + \langle (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\geq - \int_0^1 |\langle (J - F)(u_{n+1} + t(u_n - u_{n+1})) \\ &\quad - (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle| dt + \langle (J - F)(u_n), u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with [8] and (3), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(u_n) - F_1(u_{n+1}) &\geq -r\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V)\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V \\
 &\quad + \tau^{-1}\langle J(u_{n+1} - u_n), u_{n+1} - u_n \rangle \\
 &\geq -\lambda\Phi(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V)\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V \\
 &\quad + \tau^{-1}\langle J(u_{n+1} - u_n), u_{n+1} - u_n \rangle \\
 (9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \mu\Phi(\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V)\|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_V, \quad \mu = (\tau^{-1} - \lambda) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $F_1(u_{n+1}) \leq F_1(u_n) \leq F_1(u_0)$, i.e., $u_{n+1} \in S_0$, which completes the proof of (4).

Since the iterative sequence is bounded and the operator $J - F$ is bounded, it follows from the definition of F_1 that $\{F_1(u_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence; by (9), it is monotone. Therefore, the numerical sequence $\{F_1(u_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ has a finite limit. Consequently, from (9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mu\Phi(\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V)\|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V = 0.$$

This, together with the continuity and the strictly monotone growth of Φ , implies that

$$(10) \qquad \qquad \qquad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u_{n+1}\|_V = 0.$$

Using the definition of J again, it follows from (3) and (10) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ju_n - Fu_n\|_{V^*} = 0.$$

Since V is reflexive and $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded, we find some subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ which converges weakly to some $u^* \in V$. Moreover, u^* is a coincidence point of F and J , since $Ju_{n_j} - Fu_{n_j} \rightarrow 0$ and $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0. Hence $Ju^* = Fu^*$. This completes the proof. □

We close this section with the case when the space V is a Hilbert space

Theorem 2. *Let $V = H$ be a real Hilbert space, and let F be a self-mapping of H such that $I - F$ is demiclosed at 0, coercive, potential and bounded and there exists a nonnegative real-valued function $r(u, \eta)$ such that (1) holds,*

$$(11) \qquad r(u, \eta)\|u - \eta\|_H \geq \|(I - F)(u) - (I - F)(\eta)\|_H, \quad \forall u, \eta \in H.$$

Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of Mann iterates (see, e.g. [9]) defined by

$$u_{n+1} = (1 - \tau)u_n + \tau Fu_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $0 < \tau = \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, converges weakly to a fixed point of F .

Proof. By Theorem 1, it follows that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ which converges weakly to a fixed point of F . The rest of the argument now follows exactly as in ([10, p.70]) to yield that $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges weakly to a fixed point of F . □

3. AN APPLICATION TO FILTRATION PROBLEMS WITH LIMIT GRADIENT IN A DOMAIN WITH SEMIPERMEABLE BOUNDARY

In this section we apply our results to the stationary problem on the filtration of an incompressible fluid governed by a discontinuous filtration law with the limit gradient (see, e.g. [8]).

We consider the nonlinear stationary problem of filtration theory for the case of a discontinuous law with the limit gradient (see., e.g. [6])

$$\vec{v}(u) = -g(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u,$$

where $\vec{v}(u)$ is the filtration velocity, u the pressure, $\nabla u = \text{grad}u$, $g(\xi^2)\xi$ is the function describing the filtration law. Let Ω be a bounded domain in R^n , $n \geq 1$, with the Lipschitz continuous boundary Γ .

We assume that $g(\xi^2)\xi = g_0(\xi^2)\xi + g_1(\xi^2)\xi$, where $\xi \rightarrow g_i(\xi^2)\xi$, $i = 0, 1$, are nonnegative functions, equal to zero when $\xi \leq \beta$, ($\beta \geq 0$ is the limit gradient), $\xi \rightarrow g_0(\xi^2)\xi$ is continuous and strictly increasing when $\xi > \beta$,

$$(12) \quad c_1(\xi - \beta)^{p-1} \leq g_0(\xi^2)\xi \leq c_2(\xi - \beta)^{p-1}$$

when $\xi \geq \beta$, $p > 1$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$, and $g_1(\xi^2)\xi = \vartheta > 0$ for $\xi > \beta$. We also assume that

$$(13) \quad \frac{(g_0(\xi^2)\xi - g_0(\eta^2)\eta)}{(\xi - \eta)} \leq c_0(1 + \xi + \eta)^{p-2} \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in R \cup \{+\infty\}.$$

Following [6], we define the solution of stationary filtration problem with a discontinuous law as the function $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which satisfies the nonlinear equation

$$Au = f,$$

where the operator $A: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is induced by the form

$$Au = -\text{div}(g(|\nabla u|^2)\nabla u),$$

and $f \in W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$ is the density of external sources.

It is known that the operator A is pseudo-monotone potential coercive (see, e.g. [8]).

The following lemma is proved in [1].

Lemma 1 (see [1]). *Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2$. Then A is bounded Lipschitz continuous with*

$$\mu(\xi) = c_3(1 + 2\xi)^{p(2-q)}, \quad c_3 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\xi) = \xi.$$

Remark 1. If we set $p = q = 2$ in Lemma 1, the bounded Lipschitz continuous condition reduces to

$$\|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \leq c_3\|u - \eta\|_V \quad \forall u, \eta \in V$$

which is exactly the condition of Lipschitz continuity of the operator A with constant $c_3 > 0$.

Theorem 3. *Let $V = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2$, $V^* = W_q^{-1}(\Omega)$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Suppose $A: V \rightarrow V^*$ is a bounded Lipschitz continuous pseudo-monotone potential coercive mapping. Then the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ generated from a suitable $u_0 \in V$ by*

$$(14) \quad J(u_{n+1} - u_n) = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $0 < \tau = \min \{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}\}$, $f \in V^*$, with

$$\sup\{(1 + \|u\|_V + \|\eta\|_V)^{p(2-q)}\} = \lambda < \infty, \quad p \geq 2$$

is bounded in V and all its weak limit points are solutions of the equation

$$(15) \quad Au = f.$$

Proof. Note that (15) has at least one solution because of conditions on A (see, e.g. [7]). We apply Theorem 1 with $F: V \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $Fu = Ju - Au + f$. If we set

$$\Omega_\eta^+ = \{x \in \Omega \mid \nabla\eta(x) \mid > \beta\}, \quad \Omega_\eta^- = \Omega/\Omega_\eta^+$$

and

$$\langle Ju, \eta \rangle = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx.$$

Then taking into account (12) for all $u, \eta \in V$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0 u, \eta \rangle &= \int_\Omega [g_0(|\nabla u|^2)(\nabla u, \nabla \eta)] \, dx \\ &\leq c_2 \int_{\Omega_u^+} \frac{(|\nabla u| - \beta)^{p-1}}{|\nabla u|} (\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_0 u, \eta \rangle &\leq c_2 \int_{\Omega_u^+} \||\nabla u| - \beta|^{p-1} |\nabla \eta| \, dx \\ &\leq c_2 \left[\int_{\Omega_u^+} \||\nabla u| - \beta|^p \, dx \right]^q \|\nabla \eta\|_V \\ &\leq c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} \|\nabla \eta\|_V \\ \langle A_1 u, \eta \rangle &= \int_\Omega g_1(|\nabla u|^2)(\nabla u, \nabla \eta) \, dx \\ &\leq \vartheta \int_{\Omega_u^+} |\nabla \eta| \, dx \leq \vartheta \int_\Omega |\nabla \eta| \, dx = \vartheta \|\nabla \eta\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\langle Au, \eta \rangle \leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Au - Ju, \eta \rangle &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V + \beta^{p-1} \int_{\Omega_u^+} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta] \|\nabla \eta\|_V + \beta^{p-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta| dx \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1}] \|\nabla \eta\|_V \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au - Ju\|_{V^*} &= \sup_{\eta \neq 0} \frac{\langle Au - Ju, \eta \rangle}{\|\eta\|_V} \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1}] \quad \forall u \in V \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Fu\|_{V^*} &\leq \|Ju - Au\|_{V^*} + \|f\|_{V^*} \\ &\leq [c_2 \|\nabla u\|_V^{p-1} + \vartheta + \beta^{p-1} + \|f\|_{V^*}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we are going to prove that condition (2) is satisfied. Since $p \geq 2$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(J - F)u - (J - F)\eta\|_{V^*} &= \|Au - A\eta\|_{V^*} \\ &\leq c_3(1 + \|u\|_V + \|\eta\|_V)^{p(2-q)} \|u - \eta\|_V, \quad \forall u, \eta \in V. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that condition (2) is satisfied for

$$r(u, v) = (1 + \|u\|_V + \|v\|_V) \quad \text{and} \quad \|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} = c_3 \|u - \eta\|_V.$$

Also from the pseudomonotonicity, coercivity, and the potentiality of A we obtain the boundedness, coercivity and the potentiality of $J - F$.

It remains to show that $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0. Let $\{u_{n_k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u^*$ and $\{Au_{n_k} - f\}_{k=0}^\infty$ converges strongly in V to zero. Suppose

$$(16) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - u^* \rangle \leq 0.$$

Since A is pseudo-monotone, then

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, u_{n_k} - \eta \rangle \geq \langle Au^*, u^* - \eta \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Or

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k}, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle \leq \langle Au^*, \eta - u^* \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Now we prove that A satisfies condition (16).

Since $u_{n_k} \rightharpoonup u^*$ in V , then it is bounded, consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, u_{n_k} - u^* \rangle &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Au_{n_k} - f\|_{V^*} \|u_{n_k} - u^*\|_V \\ &\leq \text{const} \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|Au_{n_k} - f\|_{V^*} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (16), we have

$$\langle Au^* - f, \eta - u^* \rangle \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle \quad \forall \eta \in V.$$

Analogically to the above argument, we get

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Au_{n_k} - f, \eta - u_{n_k} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \eta \in V,$$

that is u^* is the solution of the following variational inequality

$$\langle Au^* - f, \eta - u^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in V,$$

and consequently (see, e.g. [7]), $Au^* - f = 0$. Therefore $J - F$ is demiclosed at 0 on V . \square

An application of Theorem 1 now completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Remark 2. It follows from Remark 1 that relation (2) is satisfied with $r(u, v) = 1$ and

$$\|J(u - \eta)\|_{V^*} = c_3 \|u - \eta\|_V.$$

It is obvious that all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Therefore, the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ generated by (14) converges weakly to a solution of (15).

Remark 3. It should be noted that at every step of the iterative process (14) it is necessary to solve the nonlinear problem

$$-\|w\|_V^{2-p} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w) = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad w = u_{n+1} - u_n \in V, \quad p > 2$$

which, with the help of the substitution $w = \|w_1\|_V^{p-2} w_1$, reduces to the problem

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla w_1|^{p-2} \nabla w_1) = \tau(f - Au_n).$$

When $p = 2$, (14) reduces to solve

$$-\Delta w = \tau(f - Au_n), \quad w = u_{n+1} - u_n \in V.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Badriev, I. B., Karchevskii, M. M., *On the convergance of the iterative process in Banach spaces*, Issledovaniya po prikladnoi matematike (Investigations in Applied Mathematics) **17** (1990), 3–15, in Russian.
- [2] Brezis, H., Nirenberg, L., Stampacchia, G., *A remark on Ky Fan's minimax principle*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. **6** (1972), 293–300.
- [3] Gajewski, H., Gröger, K., Zacharias, K., *Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operatordifferentialgleichungen*, Akademie Verlag Berlin, 1974.
- [4] Goebel, K., Kirk, W. A., *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **28** (1990).
- [5] Istratescu, V.I., *Fixed Point Theory*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1981.
- [6] Karchevskii, M. M., Badriev, I. B., *Nonlinear problems of filtration theory with dis continuous monotone operators*, Chislennye Metody Mekh. Sploshnoi Sredy **10** (5) (1979), 63–78, in Russian.
- [7] Lions, J. L., *Quelques Methods de Resolution des Problemes aux Limites Nonlineaires*, Dunod and Gauthier-Villars, 1969.

- [8] Lyashko, A. D., Karchevskii, M. M., *On the solution of some nonlinear problems of filtration theory*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Matematika **6** (1975), 73–81, in Russian.
- [9] Mann, W. R., *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 506–510.
- [10] Maruster, S., *The solution by iteration of nonlinear equations in Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (1) (1977), 69–73.
- [11] Zeidler, E., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications*, Nonlinear Monotone Operators, vol. II(B), Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
ASSIUT UNIVERSITY, ASSIUT, EGYPT

E-mail: a_m_saddeek@yahoo.com s_a_ahmed2003@yahoo.com