

Francisco Javier González Vieli

Fourier inversion of distributions on projective spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 47 (2006), No. 3, 437--441

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119604>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Fourier inversion of distributions on projective spaces

FRANCISCO JAVIER GONZÁLEZ VIELI

Abstract. We show that the Fourier-Laplace series of a distribution on the real, complex or quaternionic projective space is uniformly Cesàro-summable to zero on a neighbourhood of a point if and only if this point does not belong to the support of the distribution.

Keywords: distribution, projective space, Fourier-Laplace series, Cesàro summability

Classification: Primary 46F12; Secondary 42C10

1. Introduction

In [5] Kahane and Salem characterized the closed sets of uniqueness in the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 by using the support of distributions. In particular they proved that, given a distribution T on \mathbb{S}^1 whose Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}T$ vanishes at infinity and E a closed set in \mathbb{S}^1 , the support of T is in E if and only if for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus E$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k=-N}^N \mathcal{F}T(k) \exp(2\pi i x k) = 0.$$

Later Walter showed that the Fourier series

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}T(k) \exp(2\pi i x k)$$

of any distribution T on \mathbb{S}^1 is Cesàro-summable to zero for all x out of the support of T ([7]). However, this is not sufficient to characterize the support of T , since, as Walter himself remarked, the Fourier series of the first derivative of the Dirac measure at a point $s \in \mathbb{S}^1$, δ'_s , is summable in Cesàro means of order 2 to zero everywhere.

In fact, a point x is out of the support of T if and only if the Fourier series of T is *uniformly* Cesàro-summable to zero on a neighbourhood of x . In [2] we established this result for the general case of a distribution T on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} ($n \geq 2$) and its Fourier-Laplace series (see Section 2 below). Here we will show in Section 4 that from the result on the sphere we can obtain the similar result about the Fourier-Laplace expansion of distributions on real, complex and quaternionic projective spaces. In Section 3 we introduce the necessary tools on projective spaces.

2. Fourier inversion on the sphere

We write $\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} b_m = B(C, k)$ to say that the series of complex numbers $\sum_{m \geq 0} b_m$ is summable in Cesàro means of order k to $B \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [3]).

The restriction to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n , of the non-radial part of the Laplace operator Δ on \mathbb{R}^n is the *Laplace-Beltrami operator* on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}}$. It is self-adjoint with respect to the scalar product of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, d\sigma_{n-1})$ and commutes with rotations (we choose $d\sigma_{n-1}$ normalized).

A *spherical harmonic of degree l on \mathbb{S}^{n-1}* ($l \in \mathbb{N}_0$) is the restriction to \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of a polynomial on \mathbb{R}^n which is harmonic and homogeneous of degree l . We write $\mathcal{SH}_l(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ the set of spherical harmonics of degree l . Every non zero element of $\mathcal{SH}_l(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}}$ with eigenvalue $-l(n+l-2)$. Let $(E_1^l, \dots, E_{d_l}^l)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{SH}_l(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. The function $Z_l(\zeta, \eta) := \sum_{j=1}^{d_l} E_j^l(\zeta) \overline{E_j^l(\eta)}$ is called *zonal of degree l* . For all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $Z_l(\zeta, \eta) = Z_l(\eta, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$(1) \quad Z_l(\rho\zeta, \eta) = Z_l(\zeta, \rho^{-1}\eta)$$

if $\rho \in O(n)$ ([6, Lemma 2.8, p. 143]).

We write $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for the set of test functions and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for the set of *distributions* on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . The support of $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is denoted by $\text{supp } T$. The *Fourier-Laplace series* of a distribution T on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} is $\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \Pi_l(T)$, where $\Pi_l(T)(\zeta) := T[\eta \mapsto Z_l(\zeta, \eta)]$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; this series converges to T in the sense of distributions. In [2, Theorem 1 and Remark 2] we obtained:

Proposition 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be of order $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

(i) *If there exist $k \geq 0$ and U an open subset of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} on which*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \Pi_l(T)(\zeta) = 0 \quad (C, k)$$

holds uniformly (in ζ), then T is zero on U .

(ii) *Conversely, if $k > n - 2 + 2m$, then (2) holds uniformly on every closed subset of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{supp } T$.*

(iii) *Moreover, if $\text{supp } T$ has at least two points, then (2) holds uniformly on every closed subset of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus \text{supp } T$ as soon as $k > n/2 - 1 + m$.*

3. Projective spaces

Here we will write \mathbb{K} for either \mathbb{R} , or \mathbb{C} , or \mathbb{H} (the algebra of quaternions) and let $d := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{K}$. We also define $U(\mathbb{K}) := \{k \in \mathbb{K} : \|k\| = 1\}$ and note dk the normalized Haar measure of $U(\mathbb{K})$.

For $x, y \in \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, write $x \sim y$ if there exists $k \in \mathbb{K}^*$ such that $x = ky$, and let $[x]$ be the equivalence class of x . The projective space of dimension n on \mathbb{K} is $P^n(\mathbb{K}) := \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} / \sim$; it is a compact symmetric space of rank one (see [4]). Identifying \mathbb{K}^{n+1} with \mathbb{R}^{dn+d} , we see that $P^n(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} / \sim$. The connected component of the identity in the group of isometries of $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ is a group we write $S\mathbb{K}(n+1)$; in fact $S\mathbb{K}(n+1) = SO(n+1)$, $SU(n+1)$ or $Sp(n+1)$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{H} respectively (see [1]). Moreover, the action of $S\mathbb{K}(n+1)$ on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ is the one induced by the action on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} of $S\mathbb{K}(n+1)$ as a subgroup of $SO(dn+d)$. We also have, from the action of $U(\mathbb{K})$ on \mathbb{K}^{n+1} ,

$$(3) \quad U(\mathbb{K}) < O(dn+d).$$

If g is a $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant function on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} , we can define a function $g \downarrow$ on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ by $g \downarrow([\eta]) := g(\eta)$. Conversely, if f is a function on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$, we get, by putting $f \uparrow(\eta) := f([\eta])$, a $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant function $f \uparrow$ on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} with $(f \uparrow) \downarrow = f$. Now, given an arbitrary function g on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} , we define a $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant function g^\sharp on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} by $g^\sharp(\eta) := \int_{U(\mathbb{K})} g(k\eta) dk$ (when g is $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant, $g^\sharp = g$). We then put $g^b := (g^\sharp) \downarrow$. If T is a distribution on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$, we let, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1})$, $T \uparrow(\varphi) := T(\varphi^b)$. Then $T \uparrow$ is a distribution on \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} of the same order as T and $\text{supp } T \uparrow = \{\eta \in \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1} : [\eta] \in \text{supp } T\}$.

We write dp_n for the unique normalized Radon measure on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ which is $S\mathbb{K}(n+1)$ -invariant. The link between dp_n and $d\sigma_{dn+d-1}$ is:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1}} g(\zeta) d\sigma_{dn+d-1}(\zeta) = \int_{P^n(\mathbb{K})} g^b(z) dp_n(z)$$

for every $g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1})$. Finally, we can define the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_P on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ by $\Delta_P(f) := (\Delta_S(f \uparrow)) \downarrow$, using (3) and the facts that $f \uparrow$ is $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant and Δ_S commutes with all rotations. Then Δ_P commutes with all elements of $S\mathbb{K}(n+1)$.

4. Fourier inversion on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$

Given $T \in \mathcal{D}'(P^n(\mathbb{K}))$, $\Pi_l(T \uparrow) \in \mathcal{S}H_l(\mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1})$ is $U(\mathbb{K})$ -invariant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_l(T \uparrow)(u\zeta) &= T \uparrow(\eta \mapsto Z_l(u\zeta, \eta)) \\ &= T(Z_l(u\zeta, \cdot)^b) \\ &= T([\eta] \mapsto \int_{U(\mathbb{K})} Z_l(u\zeta, k\eta) dk) \\ &= T([\eta] \mapsto \int_{U(\mathbb{K})} Z_l(\zeta, u^{-1}k\eta) dk) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= T([\eta] \mapsto \int_{U(\mathbb{K})} Z_l(\zeta, k\eta) dk) \\
 &= T(Z_l(\zeta, \cdot)^{\flat}) = \Pi_l(T\uparrow)(\zeta)
 \end{aligned}$$

(where $u \in U(\mathbb{K})$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1}$), using (1) and (3) for the fourth equality. Hence we can define a function $\Xi_l(T)$ on $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ by $\Xi_l(T) := (\Pi_l(T\uparrow))\downarrow$. Since $\Pi_l(T\uparrow)$ is either 0 or an eigenfunction of Δ_S , $\Xi_l(T)$ is either 0 or an eigenfunction of Δ_P . Moreover, if $l \neq m$, $\Xi_l(T)$ and $\Xi_m(T)$ are orthogonal in $L^2(P^n(\mathbb{K}), dp_n)$. This justifies the name *Fourier-Laplace series of T* we give to $\sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \Xi_l(T)$; this series converges to T in the sense of distributions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{l=0}^N \Xi_l(T)(\varphi) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{l=0}^N \int_{P^n(\mathbb{K})} \Xi_l(T)(z) \varphi(z) dp_n(z) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{l=0}^N \int_{\mathbb{S}^{dn+d-1}} \Pi_l(T\uparrow)(\zeta) \varphi\uparrow(\zeta) d\sigma_{dn+d-1}(\zeta) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{l=0}^N \Pi_l(T\uparrow)(\varphi\uparrow) \\
 &= T\uparrow(\varphi\uparrow) = T((\varphi\uparrow)^{\flat}) = T(\varphi)
 \end{aligned}$$

if $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P^n(\mathbb{K}))$. From the preceding section and Proposition 1 we deduce:

Proposition 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'(P^n(\mathbb{K}))$ be of order $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.*

(i) *If there exist $k \geq 0$ and U an open subset of $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ on which*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \Xi_l(T)(z) = 0 \quad (C, k)$$

holds uniformly (in z), then T is zero on U .

(ii) *Conversely, if $k > (dn + d)/2 - 1 + m$, then (4) holds uniformly on every closed subset of $P^n(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \text{supp } T$.*

Remarks. 1. Naturally (4) can hold for some $k \leq (dn + d)/2 - 1 + m$. For example, take $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 2$, pick a point z_0 in $P^n(\mathbb{R})$ and consider the ball B with centre z_0 whose radius is the diameter of $P^n(\mathbb{R})$; its boundary ∂B can be identified with $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$. For all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P^n(\mathbb{R}))$ we let

$$\mu_{n-1}(\varphi) := \int_{\partial B} \varphi(z) dp_{n-1}(z);$$

this defines a measure μ_{n-1} on $P^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then the distribution $\Delta_P^q \mu_{n-1}$ ($q \in \mathbb{N}_0$) has order $2q$ and support ∂B ; its Fourier-Laplace series is (C, k) -summable to 0 at all points outside $\partial B \cup \{z_0\}$ if and only if $k > 2q$ and at z_0 if and only if $k > (n-1)/2 + 2q$; this follows from [2, Proposition 1].

2. If (4) holds uniformly on a subset A of $P^n(\mathbb{K})$, it holds uniformly on the closure of A . Hence, when the interior of $\text{supp} T$ is empty, (4) does not hold uniformly on $P^n(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \text{supp} T$; this is the case in the preceding example.

3. Since $P^n(\mathbb{K})$ is a sphere when $n = 1$, Proposition 2(ii) gives a partial refinement of Proposition 1(ii):

Corollary. *Let $d = 1, 2$ or 4 and $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{S}^d)$ be of order $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $k > d-1+m$, then (2) holds uniformly on every closed subset of $\mathbb{S}^d \setminus \text{supp} T$.*

Acknowledgments. Work partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. We would like to thank Professor A. Strasburger for stimulating conversations and the referee for the suggested improvements.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fomenko A.T., *Symplectic Geometry*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1988.
- [2] González Vieli F.J., *Fourier inversion of distributions on the sphere*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **41** (2004), 755–772.
- [3] Hardy G.H., *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [4] Helgason S., *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [5] Kahane J.-P., Salem R., *Ensembles parfaits et séries trigonométriques*, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [6] Stein E.M., Weiss G., *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.
- [7] Walter G., *Pointwise convergence of distribution expansions*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 143–154.

F.J. GONZÁLEZ VIELI, MONTOIE 45, 1007 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

E-mail: Francisco-Javier.Gonzalez@gmx.ch

(Received August 31, 2004, revised April 3, 2006)