

Brian Jefferies; Susumu Okada  
Semivariation in  $L^p$ -spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 46 (2005), No. 3, 425--436

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119538>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Semivariation in $L^p$ -spaces

BRIAN JEFFERIES, SUSUMU OKADA

*Abstract.* Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and that the Banach space  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  is their complete tensor product with respect to some tensor product topology  $\tau$ . A uniformly bounded  $X$ -valued function need not be integrable in  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  with respect to a  $Y$ -valued measure, unless, say,  $X$  and  $Y$  are Hilbert spaces and  $\tau$  is the Hilbert space tensor product topology, in which case Grothendieck’s theorem may be applied.

In this paper, we take an index  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $L^p$ -spaces with  $\tau_p$  the associated  $L^p$ -tensor product topology. An application of Orlicz’s lemma shows that not all uniformly bounded  $X$ -valued functions are integrable in  $X \hat{\otimes}_{\tau_p} Y$  with respect to a  $Y$ -valued measure in the case  $1 \leq p < 2$ . For  $2 < p < \infty$ , the negative result is equivalent to the fact that not all continuous linear maps from  $\ell^1$  to  $\ell^p$  are  $p$ -summing, which follows from a result of S. Kwapien.

*Keywords:* absolutely  $p$ -summing, bilinear integration, semivariation, tensor product

*Classification:* Primary 28B05, 46G10; Secondary 46B42, 47B65

### 1. Introduction

Bilinear integration arises in many areas of analysis, such as the representation of solutions of evolution equations [8]. Given a vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$  with values in a Banach space  $Y$  and defined over a measurable space  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{E})$ , an  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable simple function  $s = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \chi_{E_j}$  with values in a Banach space  $X$  has an indefinite integral  $s \otimes m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \otimes Y$  with respect to  $m$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad (s \otimes m)(E) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes m(E_j \cap E), \quad E \in \mathcal{E}.$$

If the tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  has a given locally convex topology  $\tau$ , then by a suitable limiting procedure, the integral (1.1) can be extended to more general functions  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  so that the indefinite integral  $f \otimes m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  takes values in the completion  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  of the tensor product  $X \otimes_\tau Y$  endowed with the topology  $\tau$ .

---

This paper could not have been written without the advice of H. Jarchow and S. Geiss to whom we are deeply indebted.

A general procedure of this nature is studied in [9] in the case that the tensor product topology  $\tau$  satisfies the special condition that  $X' \otimes Y'$  separates the space  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$ , see [9] for the relationship of this approach to other bilinear integrals ([1], [6]). It is a fact of bilinear life that not all uniformly bounded, strongly  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions  $f : \Sigma \rightarrow Y$  need be  $m$ -integrable in  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$ .

A simple example is given in [9, Proposition 4.2]. Take  $X = Y = L^2([0, 1])$  and let  $\pi$  be the projective tensor product topology on  $L^2([0, 1]) \otimes L^2([0, 1])$ . For the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  of  $[0, 1]$ , the vector measure  $m : \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$  is defined by  $m(B) = \chi_B$  for every set  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ . A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$  is  $m$ -integrable in  $L^2([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\pi L^2([0, 1])$  if and only if there exists a trace-class operator on  $L^2([0, 1])$  with kernel  $(x, y) \mapsto k(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $f(x) = k(x, \cdot)$  for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . For  $f$  to be  $m$ -integrable in the Banach space  $L^2([0, 1]) \hat{\otimes}_\pi L^2([0, 1])$ , it is simply not enough that there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_2 \leq M$  for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

A key consideration here is whether or not there exists a bound  $C > 0$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad \|(s \otimes m)(\Sigma)\|_\tau \leq C \|s\|_\infty$$

for every  $X$ -valued  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable simple function  $s$ . Here we suppose that the tensor product topology  $\tau$  is actually given by a norm  $\|\cdot\|_\tau$  and  $\|s\|_\infty = \max_j \|x_j\|_X$  for  $s = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \chi_{E_j}$  and  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^n$  pairwise disjoint. If the bound (1.2) holds, then we can hope to approximate a bounded  $X$ -valued function by the pointwise limit of uniformly bounded sequence of  $X$ -valued simple functions.

To be more precise, the  $X$ -semivariation of  $m$  in  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  is the set function  $\beta_X(m) : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \beta_X(m)(E) = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \otimes m(E_j) \right\|_\tau \right\}$$

for every  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ; the supremum is taken over all pairwise disjoint sets  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  from  $\mathcal{E} \cap E$  and vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  from  $X$ , such that  $\|x_j\|_X \leq 1$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The bound (1.2) therefore holds exactly when  $\beta_X(m)(\Sigma) < \infty$ . If  $\beta_X(m)(\Sigma) < \infty$  and the Banach space  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$  contains no copy of  $c_0$ , then the  $X$ -semivariation  $\beta_X(m)$  is *continuous* in the sense of Dobrakov, namely,  $\beta_X(m)(A_k) \rightarrow 0$  whenever  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  decreasing to the empty set; see [6, \*-Theorem]. This suffices to deduce that bounded strongly measurable  $X$ -valued functions are  $m$ -integrable in  $X \hat{\otimes}_\tau Y$ , see [7, Theorem 5] and [9, Theorem 2.7]. For the converse statement, see [13, Theorem 6]. If, in particular,  $\|x \otimes y\|_\tau = \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  (that is,  $\|\cdot\|_\tau$  is a cross norm), then

$$(1.4) \quad \|m\|(E) \leq \beta_X(m)(E), \quad E \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Here  $\|m\| : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  denotes the usual semivariation of the vector measure  $m$ , [4, Definition I.1.4].

This note is concerned with the natural situation in which  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures,  $X = L^p(\mu)$ ,  $Y = L^p(\nu)$  and  $\tau$  is the relative tensor product topology of the space  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$  of functions  $p$ th-integrable with respect to the product measure  $\mu \otimes \nu$ . The completion  $L^p(\mu) \hat{\otimes}_\tau L^p(\nu)$  may be identified with any of the spaces  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$ ,  $L^p(\mu, L^p(\nu))$  or  $L^p(\nu, L^p(\mu))$  and in the case  $p = 1$ , the tensor product topology  $\tau$  is just the projective tensor product topology  $\pi$ , [4, Example VIII.1.10].

In the main result of this work, Theorem 3.3, we show that for every  $2 < p < \infty$ , there is some vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  whose  $L^p([0, 1])$ -semivariation in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$  is infinite. We prove this by reducing the problem to determining whether or not any continuous linear mapping from  $\ell^1$  into  $\ell^p$  is  $p$ -summing. That this is false follows from a result of S. Kwapien [10, Theorem 7, 2<sup>0</sup>] and some standard Banach space arguments. The proof does not obviously give an explicit example of a continuous linear map from  $\ell^1$  into  $\ell^p$  that is not  $p$ -summing when  $2 < p < \infty$ . It is a well-known consequence of Grothendieck's inequality that any continuous linear map from  $\ell^1$  into  $\ell^2$  is absolutely summing and so  $p$ -summing for all  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Some background on semivariation in  $L^p$ -spaces is provided in Section 2. Many of the basic facts given in Section 2 were proved by the authors prior to the publication of [8], where they were needed for the representation of evolutions. The connection between absolutely  $p$ -summing maps and semivariation in  $L^p$ -spaces is explained in Section 3, where the main result Theorem 3.3 is stated. The short argument that reduces the search for a non- $p$ -summing map from  $\ell^1$  into  $\ell^p$  to Kwapien's result is given in Lemma 4.1 in Section 4.

## 2. Semivariation

An example of an  $L^p([0, 1])$ -valued measure without finite  $L^p([0, 1])$ -semivariation in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$  was given in [9, Example 2.2], for any  $1 \leq p < 2$ , as a consequence of Orlicz's Theorem [11, Theorem 1.c.2]; see Example 2.3 below.

In the case  $p = 2$ , let  $X = L^2(\mu)$  and  $Y = L^2(\nu)$  for  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . The inner product is denoted by  $(\cdot | \cdot)$ . Then with  $(s \otimes m)(E)$  given by formula (1.1) and  $\|x_j\|_2 = 1$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(s \otimes m)(E)\|_2^2 &= ((s \otimes m)(E) | (s \otimes m)(E)) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n (x_j | x_k) \cdot (m(E_j \cap E) | m(E_k \cap E)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K_G \sup \left| \sum_{k,j=1}^n s_j t_k (m(E_j \cap E) \mid m(E_k \cap E)) \right| \\ &= K_G (\|m\|(E))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the supremum on the right is over all complex numbers  $s_j, t_k$  with  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $|s_j| \leq 1$  and  $|t_k| \leq 1$  for all  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $K_G$  is Grothendieck’s constant [11, Theorem 2.b.5] and the bound is uniform in  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The  $L^2(\mu)$ -semivariation in  $L^2(\mu \otimes \nu)$  of any  $L^2(\nu)$ -valued vector measure  $m$  is therefore finite and (1.4) gives

$$\|m\|(E) \leq \beta_X(m)(E) \leq \sqrt{K_G} \|m\|(E), \quad E \in \mathcal{E}.$$

We note this in the following statement.

**Proposition 2.1** ([8, Proposition 4.5.3]). *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^2(\nu)$  a measure. Let  $\|m\| : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the semivariation of  $m$  in  $L^2(\nu)$ . Then the measure  $m$  has finite  $H$ -semivariation  $\beta_H(m)$  in  $L^2(\nu, H)$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $C > 0$ , independent of  $H$  and  $m$ , and a finite measure  $\eta$  with  $0 \leq \eta \leq \|m\|$  such that  $\lim_{\eta(E) \rightarrow 0} \|m\|(E) = 0$  and  $\beta_H(m)(E) \leq C \|m\|(E)$ , for all  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , and hence  $\beta_H(m)$  is continuous in the sense of Dobrakov.*

On the positive side, by [8, Proposition 4.5.1], for every  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and any Banach space  $X$ , an  $L^p(\nu)$ -valued measure  $m$  with order bounded range has finite  $X$ -semivariation in  $L^p(\nu, X)$  and  $\beta_X(m)$  is continuous.

Now consider the case  $p = \infty$ , every  $L^\infty(\nu)$ -valued measure  $m$  automatically has order bounded range because its range is bounded ([4, Corollary I.2.7]). So,  $m$  admits  $\sigma$ -additive modulus  $|m| : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^\infty(\nu)_+$ , [12, Theorem 5]. The same argument as in the proof of [8, Proposition 4.5.1] shows that

$$\beta_X(m)(A) \leq \| |m| \| (A), \quad A \in \mathcal{E}$$

and hence,  $m$  has finite  $X$ -semivariation for every Banach space  $X$ . So it is the oscillatory nature of vector measures that is of concern in this note.

Let  $Y$  be a Banach space and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$  is said to have finite  $p$ -variation if there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and every finite family of pairwise disjoint sets  $E_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the inequality  $\sum_{j=1}^n \|m(E_j)\|_Y^p \leq C$  holds.

According to the following observation, for any  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the property of having finite  $L^p(\mu)$ -semivariation in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$  is stronger than having finite  $p$ -variation.

**Proposition 2.2** ([8, Proposition 4.5.5]). *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$  be a measure. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a set  $\Lambda$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$*

a finite measure for which  $\mathcal{F}$  contains infinitely many, pairwise disjoint non- $\mu$ -null sets. If the measure  $m$  has finite  $L^p(\mu)$ -semivariation  $\beta_{L^p(\nu)}(m)$  in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$ , then  $m$  has finite  $p$ -variation.

We use this observation to construct, for  $1 \leq p < 2$ , an example of an  $L^p(\nu)$ -valued measure without finite  $L^p(\mu)$ -semivariation in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $Y$  be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. If  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^2 < \infty$ , then there exists an unconditionally summable sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $Y$  such that  $\|y_j\| = \lambda_j$ , ([11, Theorem 1.c.2]). Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ . We can choose  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^2 < \infty$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^p = \infty$ . It follows that there exists an unconditionally summable sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $Y$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|y_j\|^p = \infty$ . For  $Y = L^p(\nu)$ , the vector measure  $m : 2^\mathbb{N} \rightarrow Y$  defined by  $m(E) = \sum_{j \in E} y_j$ ,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , therefore has infinite  $p$ -variation, and so it has infinite  $L^p(\mu)$ -semivariation in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$  by Proposition 2.2.

We show in Theorem 3.3 below, that for every  $2 < p < \infty$ , there is some vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  whose  $L^p([0, 1])$ -semivariation in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$  is infinite. Nevertheless, for  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , every vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  does have finite  $p$ -variation as will be shown in the following proposition, and therefore it is not possible to adapt the arguments in Example 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $\nu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Then every vector measure  $m : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$  has finite  $p$ -variation.*

PROOF: According to [5, Corollary 10.7], every weak  $\ell^1$ -sequence is a strong  $\ell^p$ -sequence and there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{\|x'\|_q \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle x_j, x' \rangle|,$$

for all  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset L^p(\nu)$  and all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular, the bound

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n \|m(E_j)\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{\|x'\|_q \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^n |\langle m(E_j), x' \rangle| \leq C \|m\|(\Sigma) < \infty,$$

holds for all finite  $\mathcal{E}$ -partitions  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  of  $\Sigma$ . □

### 3. Absolutely $p$ -summing maps and semivariation

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . A continuous linear map  $u : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *absolutely  $p$ -summing* if there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \|u(x_j)\|_Y^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{\|x'\|_{X'} \leq 1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^k |\langle x_j, x' \rangle|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all  $x_j \in X, j = 1, \dots, k$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . The set of all absolutely  $p$ -summing maps from  $X$  into  $Y$  is denoted by  $\Pi_p(X, Y)$ . An absolutely summing map (for  $p = 1$ ) is characterised by the fact that it maps unconditionally summable sequences into absolutely summable sequences.

To see how  $p$ -summing maps relate to semivariation, let us start with the following general result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y)$  denote the vector space of all  $Y$ -valued vector measures on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $\tau$  be a cross norm on the tensor product  $X \otimes Y$  and assume that  $\beta_X(m)(\mathbb{N}) < \infty$  for every  $m \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\beta_X(m)(\mathbb{N}) \leq C \|m\|(\mathbb{N}), \quad m \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y).$$

PROOF: It is clear that the vector space  $\mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y)$  is complete in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{sv} : m \mapsto \|m\|(\mathbb{N})$ . Define another norm by  $\|m\|_{bsv} = \beta_X(m)(\mathbb{N})$  for  $m \in \mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y)$ . By (1.4) this new norm  $\|\cdot\|_{bsv}$  is stronger than  $\|\cdot\|_{sv}$ . From this we can deduce that  $\mathcal{M}(2^{\mathbb{N}}, Y)$  is complete even in the new norm. Hence, it follows from the open mapping theorem that these two norms  $\|\cdot\|_{sv}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{bsv}$  are equivalent, which completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, let  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_n = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a finite ordered subset of  $L^p([0, 1])$  with  $n$  elements. The norm of  $L^p([0, 1])$  is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Set  $m_{\mathcal{F}_n}(A) = \sum_{j \in A} f_j$  for every subset  $A$  of the finite set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then, this  $L^p([0, 1])$ -valued vector measure  $m_{\mathcal{F}_n}$  satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad (\beta_{L^p}(m_{\mathcal{F}_n}))([0, 1]) = \sup_{\|x_j\|_p \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes f_j \right\|_{L^p([0,1]^2)}.$$

Here  $x \otimes f$  is the element of  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$  defined for functions  $x$  and  $f$  in  $L^p([0, 1])$  by the function  $(s, t) \mapsto x(s)f(t)$ , for almost all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . If the  $L^p$ -semivariation of every  $L^p$ -valued measure were finite in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$ , then Lemma 3.1 would imply that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad (\beta_{L^p}(m_{\mathcal{F}_n}))([0, 1]) \leq C \sup_{|a_j| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f_j \right\|_p$$

for any finite set  $\mathcal{F}_n \subset L^p([0, 1])$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $\ell_n^1 = \mathbb{C}^n$  with the  $\ell^1$ -norm and then denote the standard basis vectors by  $e_j, j = 1, \dots, n$ . For any finite ordered subset  $\mathcal{X}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the closed unit ball of  $L^p([0, 1])$  with  $n$  elements, let  $U_{\mathcal{X}_n} : \ell_n^1 \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  denote the linear map such that  $U_{\mathcal{X}_n}(e_j) = x_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

For any finite ordered subset  $\mathcal{F}_n = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of  $L^p([0, 1])$  with  $n$  elements, let  $F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)e_k \in \ell_n^1$  for almost all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then the bound (3.3) can be rewritten as

$$(3.4) \quad \left( \int_0^1 \|U_{\mathcal{X}_n} \circ F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t)\|_p^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p$$

for any choice of the finite  $n$ -tuples  $\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that the linear map  $u : \ell^1 \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  maps the closed unit ball of  $\ell^1$  into the closed unit ball of  $L^p([0, 1])$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\mathcal{X}_n = (u(e_1), \dots, u(e_n))$  with  $e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ , being the standard basis vectors of  $\ell^1$ .*

*Then there exists  $C > 0$  (which depends on  $u$ ) such that the bound (3.4) holds for every finite ordered subset  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of  $L^p([0, 1])$  with  $n$  elements and every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  if and only if the map  $u$  is absolutely  $p$ -summing.*

PROOF: Suppose first that (3.4) holds for every finite subset  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of  $L^p([0, 1])$  with  $n$  elements and every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  and let  $y_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ , be elements of  $\ell^1$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , denote the projection onto the first  $n$  coordinates by  $P_n : \ell^1 \rightarrow \ell^1$  and identify  $\ell_n^1$  with the finite-dimensional subspace  $P_n(\ell^1)$  of  $\ell^1$ . Let  $E_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ , be pairwise disjoint intervals in  $[0, 1]$  with positive length  $|E_j|, j = 1, \dots, N$ , such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j = [0, 1]$ . Define  $F_{\mathcal{F}_n} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell_n^1$  by

$$(3.5) \quad F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N |E_j|^{-1/p} \cdot \chi_{E_j}(t) \cdot P_n(y_j), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Here, the  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{F}_n = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of elements of  $L^p([0, 1])$  consists of the functions

$$f_k = \sum_{j=1}^N |E_j|^{-1/p} \cdot \chi_{E_j}(\cdot) \cdot y_{j,k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $y_j = (y_{j,k})_{k=1}^\infty \in \ell^1$ . For each  $\xi \in \ell^\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p^p &= \int_0^1 |\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t), \xi \rangle|^p dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle P_n(y_j), \xi \rangle|^p \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand,

$$\int_0^1 \|U_{\mathcal{X}_n} \circ F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t)\|_p^p dt = \sum_{j=1}^N \|u(P_n(y_j))\|_p^p,$$

so that by (3.4), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \|u(P_n(y_j))\|_p^p \leq C^p \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle P_n(y_j), \xi \rangle|^p.$$

For each  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , the vectors  $P_n(y_j)$  converge to  $y_j$  in  $\ell^1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The continuity of  $u$  ensures that we can take  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the estimate (3.6) to obtain the bound (3.1) for every  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , so that  $u$  is absolutely  $p$ -summing.

Conversely, suppose that  $u : \ell^1 \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  is absolutely  $p$ -summing. By the Pietsch Domination Theorem [5, Theorem 2.12], there exist  $C > 0$  and a weak\*-regular Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on the closed unit ball  $B(\ell^\infty)$  of  $\ell^\infty$  such that

$$\|u(x)\|_p \leq C \left( \int_{B(\ell^\infty)} |\langle x, \xi \rangle|^p d\mu(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad x \in \ell^1.$$

Then for any  $n$ -tuple  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of elements of  $L^p([0, 1])$ , the operator  $U_{\mathcal{X}_n}$  being the restriction of  $u$  to  $P_n(\ell^1)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|U_{\mathcal{X}_n} \circ F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t)\|_p^p dt &= \int_0^1 \|u \circ F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t)\|_p^p dt \\ &\leq C^p \int_0^1 \left( \int_{B(\ell^\infty)} |\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t), \xi \rangle|^p d\mu(\xi) \right) dt \\ &= C^p \int_{B(\ell^\infty)} \left( \int_0^1 |\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(t), \xi \rangle|^p dt \right) d\mu(\xi) \\ &\leq C^p \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|\langle F_{\mathcal{F}_n}(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p^p \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's theorem. It follows that the bound (3.4) is valid. □

For each  $2 < p < \infty$ , once we know the existence of a continuous linear map  $u : \ell^1 \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  which is not absolutely  $p$ -summing, then there exists no constant  $C$  for which the bound (3.3) holds uniformly for any choice of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then it follows that not every  $L^p$ -valued measure has finite  $L^p$ -semivariation in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$ .

The space  $\ell^p$  embeds isometrically onto a closed subspace of  $L^p([0, 1])$  by choosing pairwise disjoint intervals  $E_j$  in  $[0, 1]$  with positive length  $|E_j|$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

and mapping  $\alpha = (\alpha_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell^p$  to the function  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \alpha_j |E_j|^{-1/p} \chi_{E_j}$ . Therefore, if  $2 < p < \infty$ , the existence of a continuous linear map  $u : \ell^1 \rightarrow \ell^p$  which is not absolutely  $p$ -summing also implies that not every  $L^p$ -valued measure has finite  $L^p$ -semivariation in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$ . Moreover, such a measure  $m$  is constructed explicitly in the following fashion. The construction is best motivated by the discussion preceding Lemma 3.2.

Let  $2 < p < \infty$  and suppose that the continuous linear map  $u : \ell^1 \rightarrow \ell^p$  is not absolutely  $p$ -summing. Choose a sequence  $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $\ell^1$  such that

$$(3.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle y_j, \xi \rangle|^p < \infty, \quad \text{for every } \xi \in \ell^\infty,$$

but  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|u(y_j)\|_{\ell^p}^p = \infty$ . Choosing pairwise disjoint intervals  $E_j$  in  $[0, 1]$  with positive length  $|E_j|$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , the function  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \ell^1$  is defined in the same manner as in (3.5) by

$$(3.8) \quad F(t) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |E_j|^{-1/p} \cdot \chi_{E_j}(t) \cdot y_j, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^1 |\langle F(t), \xi \rangle|^p dt = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle y_j, \xi \rangle|^p,$$

that is,  $\langle F(\cdot), \xi \rangle \in L^p([0, 1])$  for all  $\xi \in \ell^\infty$ .

For each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , the evaluation functional  $\delta_k$  at the  $k$ 'th coordinate is an element of  $(\ell^1)' = \ell^\infty$ , and set  $f_k(t) = \langle F(t), \delta_k \rangle$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $F(t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k(t) e_k$  pointwise on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $x_k = u(e_k)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now  $u$  is continuous and linear, so  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k(t) x_k = u(F(t)) \in \ell^p$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty f_k(t) x_k \right\|_{\ell^p}^p dt &= \int_0^1 \|u(F(t))\|_{\ell^p}^p dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \int_{E_j} \frac{1}{|E_j|} \|u(y_j)\|_{\ell^p}^p dt \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|u(y_j)\|_{\ell^p}^p = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Fatou’s lemma gives

$$(3.10) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)x_k \right\|_{\ell^p}^p dt = \infty.$$

Next we claim that the sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is unconditionally summable in  $L^p([0, 1])$ . To this end, let  $p' = p/(p - 1)$  and we shall show that

$$(3.11) \quad \sup_{\|\phi\|_{p'} \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^\infty |\langle f_k, \phi \rangle| \leq \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|\langle F(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p < \infty.$$

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Apply [4, Proposition I.1.11] to the  $L^p([0, 1])$ -valued vector measure  $m_n : A \mapsto \sum_{k \in A} f_k$  on  $2^{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$ , in order to deduce that

$$(3.12) \quad \sup_{\|\phi\|_{p'} \leq 1} \sum_{k=1}^n |\langle f_k, \phi \rangle| = \sup_{|\epsilon_k| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k f_k \right\|_p.$$

Given scalars  $\epsilon_k$  with  $|\epsilon_k| \leq 1$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , since  $\|\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k \delta_k\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1$ , it follows that  $\|\sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_k f_k\|_p \leq \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|\langle F(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p$ . This and (3.12) establish the first inequality of (3.11). Now the linear map  $v : \xi \mapsto (\langle y_j, \xi \rangle)_{j=1}^\infty$  from  $\ell^\infty$  into  $\ell^p$  is continuous by the closed graph theorem. So, it follows from (3.9) that  $\sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq 1} \|\langle F(\cdot), \xi \rangle\|_p = \|v\| < \infty$ , which establishes (3.11). In particular,  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |\langle f_k, \phi \rangle| < \infty$  for every  $\phi \in L^{p'}([0, 1]) = (L^p([0, 1]))'$ . The Bessaga-Pelczynski theorem [3, Theorem V.8] implies that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is unconditionally summable in  $L^p([0, 1])$ .

We can now define the vector measure  $m : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L^p([0, 1])$  by  $m(A) = \sum_{k \in A} f_k$  for every subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{N}$ . With  $\|u\|$  denoting the operator norm of  $u$ , we have, from the definition of  $\beta_{\ell^p}(m)$  and (3.10), that

$$\beta_{\ell^p}(m)([0, 1]) \geq \frac{1}{\|u\|} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(t)x_k \right\|_{\ell^p}^p dt \right)^{1/p} = \infty$$

because  $x_k/\|u\|$  belongs to the unit ball of  $\ell^p$ . So, the  $L^p$ -semivariation of  $m$  in  $L^p([0, 1]^2)$  is also infinite.

The same argument will work for any  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  for which  $L^p(\mu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  are infinite-dimensional vector spaces, that is, they have infinitely many essentially distinct non-null sets. We now state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $2 < p < \infty$  and let  $\mu, \nu$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measures for which  $L^p(\mu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  are infinite-dimensional vector spaces. Then there exists a vector measure  $m : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$  with infinite  $L^p(\nu)$ -semivariation in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$ .*

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $2 < p < \infty$  and let  $\mu, \nu$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measures for which  $L^p(\mu)$  and  $L^p(\nu)$  are infinite-dimensional vector spaces. Then there exists a vector measure  $m : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L^p(\nu)$  and a bounded function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$  such that the sequence  $\{f(k) \otimes m(\{k\})\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is unbounded in  $L^p(\mu \otimes \nu)$ .*

The proof of these statements will follow from the preceding discussion once we show that for  $2 < p < \infty$ , not every continuous linear map from  $\ell^1$  into  $\ell^p$  is  $p$ -summing.

#### 4. A non- $p$ -summing map from $\ell^1$ to $\ell^p$ for $p > 2$

Let  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  denote the space of all continuous linear maps from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$ . Let  $2 < p < \infty$  be fixed throughout this section and let  $p' = p/(p - 1)$  as before.

**Lemma 4.1.** *One has  $\Pi_p(\ell^1, \ell^p) \neq \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$ .*

PROOF: We shall assume that  $\Pi_p(\ell^1, \ell^p) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$  and deduce that  $\Pi_p(\ell^\infty, \ell^p) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty, \ell^p)$ , so contradicting [10, Theorem 7, 2<sup>0</sup>]. Hence, there exists  $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$  such that  $u$  is not absolutely  $p$ -summing and the proof of Theorem 3.3 is then complete.

Let  $u \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^\infty, \ell^p)$  and let  $v \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{p'}, \ell^\infty)$ . Then  $u \circ v \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^{p'}, \ell^p)$ . Because  $v$  is necessarily  $\sigma(\ell^{p'}, \ell^p)$ - $\sigma(\ell^\infty, \ell^1)$ -continuous, there exists  $w \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$  such that  $v = w'$ . By assumption,  $w \in \Pi_p(\ell^1, \ell^p)$ , and hence,  $v' = w'' \in \Pi_p((\ell^\infty)', \ell^p)$  by [5, Proposition 2.19]. Therefore,  $(u \circ v)' = v' \circ u' \in \Pi_p(\ell^{p'}, \ell^p)$ , and [5, Corollary 5.22] then implies that  $u \circ v \in \Pi_p(\ell^{p'}, \ell^p)$ , too. Since  $v$  can be any continuous linear map from  $\ell^{p'}$  to  $\ell^\infty$ , it follows from [5, Proposition 2.7] that  $u \in \Pi_p(\ell^\infty, \ell^p)$ . This contradicts [10, Theorem 7, 2<sup>0</sup>], so the assumption that  $\Pi_p(\ell^1, \ell^p) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$  must be false.  $\square$

Continuous linear maps from  $\ell^1$  to  $\ell^p$  only just fail to be  $p$ -summing. We have

**Remark 4.2.** It follows from [2, Corollary 24.6] that  $\Pi_q(\ell^1, \ell^p) = \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, \ell^p)$  whenever  $q > p > 2$ . This observation may be useful for obtaining conditions for a bounded  $L^p$ -valued function to be  $m$ -integrable in  $L^p$  for  $p > 2$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bartle R., *A general bilinear vector integral*, *Studia Math.* **15** (1956), 337–351.
- [2] Defand A., Floret K., *Tensor Norms and Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [3] Diestel J., *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [4] Diestel J., Uhl J.J., Jr., *Vector Measures*, *Math. Surveys No. 15*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- [5] Diestel J., Jarchow H., Tonge A., *Absolutely Summing Operators*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [6] Dobrakov I., *On integration in Banach spaces, I*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **20** (1970), 511–536.

- [7] Dobrakov I., *On integration in Banach spaces, II*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **20** (1970), 680–695.
- [8] Jefferies B.R.F., *Evolution Processes and the Feynman-Kac Formula*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1996.
- [9] Jefferies B., Okada S., *Bilinear integration in tensor products*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **28** (1998), no. 2, 517–545.
- [10] Kwapien S., *On a theorem of L. Schwartz and its application to absolutely summing operators*, Studia Math. **38** (1970), 193–201.
- [11] Lindenstrauss J., Tzafriri L., *Classical Banach spaces I. Sequence Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1977.
- [12] Schaefer H.H., Zhang X.-D., *A note on bounded vector measures*, Arch. Math. **23** (1994), 152–157.
- [13] Swarcz C., *Integrating bounded functions for the Dobrakov integral*, Math. Slovaca **33** (1983), 141–144.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

*E-mail:* brianj@maths.unsw.edu.au

MATH.-GEOGR. FAKULTAET, KATHOLISCHE UNIVERSITAET EICHSTAETT,  
D-85071 EICHSTAETT, GERMANY

*E-mail:* susumu.okada@ku-eichstaett.de

(Received July 22, 2004)