

Sergei Logunov

Strong remote points

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 43 (2002), No. 3, 511--514

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119340>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Strong remote points

SERGEI LOGUNOV

Abstract. Remote points constructed so far are actually strong remote. But we construct remote points of another type.

Keywords: remote point, strong remote point, p -chain

Classification: 54D35

1. Introduction

Let $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$ be the remainder in the Čech-Stone compactification βX of a completely regular space X . A point $p \in X^*$ is called a *remote point* of X if it is not in the closure of any nowhere dense subset of X . In 1962, Fine and Gillman [5] introduced remote points and proved that, under CH, the real line has remote points. Van Douwen [2], and, independently, Chae and Smith [1], showed that if X is a nonpseudocompact space with countable π -weight, then X has remote points. Alan Dow [4] showed that a nonpseudocompact space X with π -weight ω_1 has remote points if either X satisfies the ccc-condition, or ω^ω has some additional set-theoretical properties. Some counterexamples appeared [3], [4].

An inspection of the relevant results reveals that the remote points constructed so far satisfy the following

Definition 1.1 ([7]). A point $p \in X^*$ is called a *strong remote point* of X iff p is a remote point of X and

(*) there is a p -chain σ such that for any family of open sets $\mathcal{W} \subset 2^X$ the following holds: if $\mathcal{W} < \sigma$ and $p \in \text{Ex} \cup \mathcal{W}$, then there is a subfamily $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{W}' <_{\text{fin}} \sigma$ and $p \in \text{Ex} \cup \mathcal{W}'$.

A countable discrete family σ of open sets is called a p -chain if $p \in \text{Ex} \cup \sigma$. In [7] one can see that (*) is, apparently, more useful in research. In the present paper we show that not every remote point has property (*).

Theorem 1.2. *Every zero-dimensional, nowhere locally compact, separable and metrizable space has a remote point that is not strongly remote.*

2. Proofs

Let 2^X be set of all subsets of X . Then $U \in 2^X$ is clopen if it is closed and open simultaneously, we write $\text{Ex } U = \beta X \setminus \text{Cl}_{\beta X}(X \setminus U)$. A subset π of 2^X is called clopen if it consists of clopen sets; cellular if its members are mutually disjoint and locally finite, if for every $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood $Ox \subset X$ meeting at most finitely many members of π . For any $\sigma \subset 2^X$ we say that π refines σ , denoted $\pi < \sigma$, if for every $U \in \pi$ there is a $V \in \sigma$ such that $U \subseteq V$. If, in addition, $\{U \in \pi : U \subset V\}$ is finite or empty for every $V \in \sigma$, then π finitarily refines σ , $\pi <_{\text{fin}} \sigma$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mu : \mu = (i_0, \dots, i_m) \in \omega^{m+1}, m \in \omega\}$ be all finite sequences of numbers $i \in \omega$ and we let \mathcal{F} denote the set of functions from \mathcal{S} to the family of finite subsets of ω .

From now on the conditions of Theorem 1.2 hold. There is, obviously, a family $\{\mathcal{P}_m\}_{m \in \omega}$ of cellular clopen covers $\mathcal{P}_m = \{U_\mu : \mu \in \omega^{m+1}\}$, where $U_{\mu k} \subsetneq U_\mu$ for each $k \in \omega$, such that $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{m \in \omega} \mathcal{P}_m$ is a base in X . Let $\mathcal{B}^* = \{\pi \subset \mathcal{B} : \pi \text{ is a cellular cover of } X\}$ and $\pi(f) = \{U_{\mu k} : U_\mu \in \pi \text{ and } k \in \omega \setminus f(\mu)\}$ for every $\pi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

To begin we recall the remarkable construction by van Douwen [2, 4.1]: For any $U_\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ we index $\mathcal{B}(U_\mu) = \{V \in \mathcal{B} : V \subset U_\mu\}$ as $\mathcal{B}(U_\mu) = \{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \omega}$. For a nowhere dense set $F \subset X$ put $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha \in \omega : V_\alpha \cap F = \emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, 0) = \{V_{\alpha_0}\}$. Let for some $j \in \omega$, $\alpha_j \in \omega$ and $\mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, j) \subset \mathcal{B}(U_\mu)$ have been constructed. Then for every $\alpha \leq \alpha_j$, $\alpha^* = \min\{\beta \in \omega : V_\beta \subset V_\alpha \setminus F\}$, $\mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, j+1) = \mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, j) \cup \{V_{\alpha^*} : \alpha \leq \alpha_j\}$ and $\alpha_{j+1} = \max\{\alpha \in \omega : V_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, j+1)\}$. Finally, the family $\{\bigcup \mathcal{D}(F, U_\mu, n) : F \text{ is a nowhere dense subset of } X\}$ is n -centered for each $n \in \omega$ [2, 4.1].

Now for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$, $U_\mu \subseteq U_\nu$ iff $\nu = (i_0, \dots, i_t)$ is an initial segment of $\mu = (i_0, \dots, i_t, \dots, i_m)$. We set

$$\mathcal{D}_0(F, U_\mu, n) = \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}(F, U_{\nu k}, n) : U_\mu \subseteq U_\nu \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } k \in \omega\}.$$

If $\mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n)$ has been defined for some $j \in \omega$, then

$$\mathcal{D}_{j+1}(F, U_\mu, n) = \mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n) \cup \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}_0(F, V, n) : V \in \mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n)\}.$$

And, finally,

$$\mathcal{D}(F) = \bigcup_{U_n \in \mathcal{P}_0} \mathcal{D}_{n+1}(F, U_n, n).$$

Claim 1. *Let $U_\mu \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_m(F, U_\mu, n)$ is locally finite in X for any $m, n \in \omega$ and nowhere dense $F \subset X$.*

PROOF: As $\{U_{\nu k}\}_{k \in \omega} \subset \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$ for any $\nu \in \omega^{m+1}$ and \mathcal{P}_{m+1} is a cellular clopen cover of X , the family $\bigcup_{k \in \omega} \mathcal{D}(F, U_{\nu k}, n)$ is locally finite in X . It follows by its definition that $\mathcal{D}_0(F, U_\mu, n)$ is locally finite.

Let $\mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n)$ be locally finite for some $j \in \omega$. Then for any $x \in X, x \in U_\nu$ for some $U_\nu \in \mathcal{B}$ meeting at most finitely many sets $V \in \mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n)$. For each of them, $\mathcal{D}_0(F, V, n)$ is locally finite as above. For any other $V \in \mathcal{D}_j(F, U_\mu, n), U_\nu \cap V = \emptyset$ implies by the definition of the base \mathcal{B} that

$$\{W \in \mathcal{D}_0(F, V, n) : U_\nu \cap W \neq \emptyset\} \subset \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}(F, U_\eta, n) : U_\nu \subseteq U_\eta \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

As the last set is finite, the proof is done. □

With insignificant modifications Claim 2 has been proved in [6].

Claim 2. *Let $U_n \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{i=0}^n (\bigcup \pi_i(f_i)) \cap \bigcap_{j=0}^n (\bigcup \mathcal{D}_{n+1}(F_j, U_n, n)) \neq \emptyset$$

for every $\pi_i \in \mathcal{B}^*, f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and nowhere dense sets $F_j \subset X$.

It follows that the point p in Claim 3 does really exist.

Claim 3. *Any point $p \in X^*$ such that*

$$p \in \bigcap \{Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup \pi(f) : \pi \in \mathcal{B}^* \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{F}\} \cap \bigcap \{Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup \mathcal{D}(F) : F \text{ is a nowhere dense subset of } X\}$$

satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

PROOF: Being in the intersection of the second family, p is a remote point. For any p -chain σ we just have to show that σ does not satisfy (*). Indeed, as X is strongly zero-dimensional, $Op \subset Ex \bigcup \sigma$ for a clopen neighborhood $Op \subset \beta X$. For any $x \in X$ define $U(x) \in \mathcal{B}$ to be the maximal neighborhood with the following properties: either $U(x) \subset Op \cap V$ for some $V \in \sigma$, or $U(x) \cap Op = \emptyset$. As the sets from the cover $\{U(x) : x \in X\}$ are pairwise either disjoint or equal, there is a cellular subcover π . Let $\mathcal{W} = \{U_{\mu k} : U_\mu \in \pi, U_\mu \subset Op \text{ and } k \in \omega\}$. Then $\mathcal{W} < \sigma, p \in Ex \bigcup \mathcal{W}$ and for any $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}' <_{fin} \sigma$ implies $\mathcal{W}' <_{fin} \pi$. Define $f \in \mathcal{F}$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ as follows: $f(\mu) = \{k : U_{\mu k} \in \mathcal{W}'\}$. Then $\bigcup \pi(f) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$ and, so, $p \notin Ex \bigcup \mathcal{W}'$. □

Our proof is complete.

REFERENCES

[1] Chae S.B., Smith J.H., *Remote points and G-spaces*, Topology Appl. **11** (1980), 243-246.
 [2] van Douwen E.K., *Remote points*, Dissert. Math. **188** (1988).

- [3] van Douwen E.K., van Mill J., *Spaces without remote points*, Pacific J. Math. **105** (1983), 69–75.
- [4] Dow A., *Remote points in spaces with π -weight ω_1* , Fund. Math. **124** (1984), 197–205.
- [5] Fine N.J., Gillman L., *Remote points in βR* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 29–36.
- [6] Logunov S., *On hereditary normality of zero-dimensional spaces*, Topology Appl. **102** (2000), 53–58.
- [7] Logunov S., *On remote points, non-normality and π -weight ω_1* , Comment Math. Univ. Carolinae **42.2** (2001), 379–384.

DEPARTMENT FOR ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY, UDMURT STATE UNIVERSITY, KRASNOGEROY-
SKAYA 71, IZHEVSK 426034, RUSSIA

E-mail: postmaster@ziga.udm.ru

(Received December 13, 2001, revised February 27, 2002)