

Paolo Cubiotti; Beatrice Di Bella

A generalization of the Schauder fixed point theorem via multivalued contractions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 42 (2001), No. 4, 637--640

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119279>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A generalization of the Schauder fixed point theorem via multivalued contractions

PAOLO CUBIOTTI, BEATRICE DI BELLA

Abstract. We establish a fixed point theorem for a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow E$, where E is a Banach space and $X \subseteq E$. Our result, which involves multivalued contractions, contains the classical Schauder fixed point theorem as a special case. An application is presented.

Keywords: fixed points, multivalued contractions, absolute retracts

Classification: 47H10

1. Introduction

The aim of this short note is to point out the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a Banach space, X a nonempty closed convex subset of E , $f : X \rightarrow E$ a continuous function, $G : X \times X \rightarrow 2^E$ a multifunction with non-empty values. Moreover, assume that:*

- (i) $f(f(X) \cap X) \subseteq X$;
- (ii) $f(f^{-1}(X))$ is relatively compact;
- (iii) for every $x \in X$, one has $G(x, x) = \{0_E\}$ and the multifunction $G(x, \cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous and with convex graph;
- (iv) the multifunction $F_G : X \rightarrow 2^X$ defined by putting

$$F_G(x) = \left\{ y \in X : [G(x, y) + f(x)] \cap X \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

for all $x \in X$, is a multivalued contraction.

Then, f admits at least one fixed point.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Section 2. When X is compact and $f(X) \subseteq X$, each assumption of Theorem 1 is satisfied. In particular, it suffices to take $G(x, y) \equiv \{0_E\}$. Hence, the classical Schauder fixed point theorem is a particular case of Theorem 1.

As an application of Theorem 1, in Section 2 we shall also prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let E be a Banach space, and let $X = B(x_0, R)$ be the closed ball centered at $x_0 \in E$ with radius $R > 0$. Let $f : X \rightarrow E$ be a continuous function satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1. Moreover, assume that:*

- (iii)' $\alpha := \sup_{x \in X} \|x - f(x)\| < 2R$;
- (iv)' *the function $x \in X \rightarrow x - f(x)$ is a contraction with constant $L < \psi(\alpha)$, where*

$$\psi(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } t \in [0, R] \\ 1 - \frac{t}{2R} & \text{if } t \in]R, 2R[. \end{cases}$$

Then, f admits at least one fixed point.

2. The proofs

This section is devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. For the basic facts and definitions about multifunctions, we refer to [1], [4].

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: If we put $\text{Fix}(F_G) := \{x \in X : x \in F_G(x)\}$, by (iii) we have

$$\text{Fix}(F_G) = f^{-1}(X).$$

On the other hand, for every $x \in X$ we have

$$F_G(x) = \left\{ y \in X : G(x, y) \cap (X - f(x)) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Hence, by (iii), it follows that the set $F_G(x)$ is closed and convex. Consequently, by (iv) and Theorem 1 of [5], the set $\text{Fix}(F_G)$, endowed with the relative norm topology, is a non-empty absolute extensor for paracompact spaces. Hence, in particular, it is an absolute retract (see [2, p. 92]). On the other hand, (i) is equivalent to the fact that $f(f^{-1}(X)) \subseteq f^{-1}(X)$. At this point, our conclusion follows from Theorem 10.8 at page 94 of [2]. □

If A and D are nonempty subsets of the Banach space E and $x \in E$, we put

$$d(x, D) := \inf_{v \in D} \|x - v\|, \quad d^*(A, D) := \sup_{u \in A} d(u, D).$$

Moreover, we denote by $d_H(A, D)$ the Hausdorff distance between A and D , namely we put

$$d_H(A, D) := \max \{d^*(A, D), d^*(D, A)\}.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2: We want to apply Theorem 1 by taking $G(x, y) = \{y - x\}$. Of course, condition (iii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied. We now prove that assumption (iv) is also satisfied. To this aim, we first observe that for each $x \in X$ one has

$$(1) \quad F_G(x) = X \cap B(x - f(x) + x_0, R).$$

Now we claim that, for each $z \in X$ and each $v \in E$, with $\|v\| < 2R$, one has

$$(2) \quad d(z, X \cap B(v + x_0, R)) \leq \psi(\|v\|)^{-1} d(z, B(v + x_0, R)).$$

To prove (2), fix z and v as above. We distinguish two cases.

(a) $\|v\| \leq R$. Since $x_0 \in X \cap B(v + x_0, R)$, we have

$$d^*(X \cap B(v + x_0, R), E \setminus X) \geq d(x_0, E \setminus X) = R.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 1 of [3] we have that the inequality

$$d(z, X \cap B(v + x_0, R)) \leq \frac{2R}{\rho} d(z, B(v + x_0, R))$$

holds for all $\rho \in]0, R[$. Of course, this implies

$$d(z, X \cap B(v + x_0, R)) \leq 2 d(z, B(v + x_0, R)),$$

as desired.

(b) $\|v\| > R$. Since

$$u := x_0 + v \left(1 - \frac{R}{\|v\|}\right) \in X \cap B(v + x_0, R)$$

and $B(u, 2R - \|v\|) \subseteq X$, we get

$$d^*(X \cap B(v + x_0, R), E \setminus X) \geq d(u, E \setminus X) \geq 2R - \|v\|.$$

Again by Lemma 1 of [3], the inequality

$$d(z, X \cap B(v + x_0, R)) \leq \frac{2R}{\rho} d(z, B(v + x_0, R))$$

holds for all $\rho \in]0, 2R - \|v\|[$. This implies

$$d(z, X \cap B(v + x_0, R)) \leq \frac{2R}{2R - \|v\|} d(z, B(v + x_0, R)),$$

as desired. Hence, (2) holds.

At this point, fix $x, y \in X$. By (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^*(F_G(y), F_G(x)) &\leq \psi(\|x - f(x)\|)^{-1} d^*(F_G(y), B(x - f(x) + x_0, R)) \\ &\leq \psi(\|x - f(x)\|)^{-1} d_H(B(y - f(y) + x_0, R), B(x - f(x) + x_0, R)). \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ decreases in $[0, \alpha]$, by assumption (iv)' and by the previous inequality we get

$$d_H(F_G(y), F_G(x)) \leq \frac{L}{\psi(\alpha)} \|x - y\|,$$

hence F_G is a multivalued contraction. By Theorem 1 our claim follows. \square

Remark. When E is an Hilbert space, the more precise estimation given in Lemma 1 of [3] allows us to take the function $\psi(t)$ in the statement of Theorem 2 in the following better way:

$$\psi(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, R] \\ \frac{2R}{t} - 1 & \text{if } t \in]R, 2R[. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Aubin J.P., Frankowska H., *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.
- [2] Dugundji J., Granas A., *Fixed Point Theory*, Vol. I, PWN - Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1982.
- [3] Hoffmann A., *The distance to the intersection of two convex sets expressed by the distances to each of them*, Math. Nachr. **157** (1992), 81–98.
- [4] Klein E., Thompson A.C., *Theory of Correspondences*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.
- [5] Ricceri B., *Une propriété topologique de l'ensemble des points fixes d'une contraction multivoque à valeurs convexes*, Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. Fis. **81** (8) (1987), 283–286.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, CONTRADA PAPARDO, SALITA SPERONE 31, 98166 MESSINA, ITALY

(Received July 11, 2001)