

Sergei Logunov

On remote points, non-normality and π -weight ω_1

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 42 (2001), No. 2, 379--384

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119252>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On remote points, non-normality and π -weight ω_1

SERGEI LOGUNOV

Abstract. We show, in particular, that every remote point of X is a nonnormality point of βX if X is a locally compact Lindelöf separable space without isolated points and $\pi w(X) \leq \omega_1$.

Keywords: remote point, butterfly-point, nonnormality point

Classification: 54D35

1. Introduction

We investigate some types of points in remainders $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$ of Čech-Stone compactifications.

A point $p \in X^*$ is called a remote point of X if it is not in the closure of any nowhere dense subset of X . This kind of points became popular after the papers [3], [4] of van Douwen had been published. The existence of remote points in the remainders of ccc nonpseudocompact spaces with π -weight ω_1 was proved by Dow [2]. An inspection of the relevant results in the literature reveals that the remote points constructed so far satisfy our condition (*) below. This leads us to the notion of a strong remote point. It is unknown to the author whether there is an example of a remote point, which is not a strong remote point.

If removing a point p from a compact Hausdorff space results in obtaining a nonnormal subspace, then p is called a nonnormality point of the space. There are several simple proofs that, under CH, any point of ω^* is a nonnormality point of ω^* ([8], [9]). “Naively”, it is known only for special points of ω^* . If p is an accumulation point of some countable discrete subset of ω^* , or if p is a strong R -point, or if p is a Kunen’s point, then p is a nonnormality point of ω^* (Blażczyk and Szymanski [1], Gryzlov [5], van Douwen, respectively). If X is a normal second countable space without isolated points, which is either locally compact or zero-dimensional, then every point of its remainder is a nonnormality point of βX ([6], [7]).

In some cases the fact that $p \in X^*$ is a strong remote point of X permits to show that p is a b -point of βX , i.e. that there are sets F and $G \subset X^* \setminus \{p\}$ which are closed in $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$, disjoint and have p as a limit point [7], [10] (see below). It easily implies that p is a nonnormality point of βX , i.e. $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.

In our paper, the following results are obtained.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a locally compact Lindelöf separable space without isolated points and $\pi w(X) \leq \omega_1$. Then every remote point $p \in X^*$ of X is a b -point (and, consequently, a nonnormality point) of βX .*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} X_i$ be a normal separable space without isolated points and $\pi w(X) \leq \omega_1$. Then every strong remote point $p \in X^*$ of X is a b -point (and, consequently, a nonnormality point) of βX .*

2. Proofs

We will present a proof of Theorem 1.2 below, assuming its conditions hold. By Claims 1 and 2 it is clear that Theorem 1.1 is an easy corollary to Theorem 1.2.

The set of all functions from ω to ω is denoted by ω^ω . For a set $U \subset X$ let $U^\epsilon = \beta X \setminus Cl_{\beta X}(X \setminus U)$ if U is open and $U^* = Cl_{\beta X}U \setminus X$ if U is closed. A set $U \subset X^*$ is called τ -bounded for a cardinal τ iff for any $F \subset U$, $|F| < \tau$ implies $Cl_{\beta X}F \subset U$. A π -base \mathcal{U} for X is a set of nonempty open subsets of X with the property that each nonempty open subset of X contains a member of \mathcal{U} . The π -weight of X , $\pi w(X)$, is the minimum cardinality of a π -base for X .

Let 2^X be set of all subsets of X . A subset π of 2^X is called *strong cellular* if the closures of its members in X form a pairwise disjoint family. One *refines* a subset σ of 2^X , $\pi > \sigma$, if $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ implies $U \subset V$ for any $U \in \pi$ and $V \in \sigma$. If, in addition, $\{U \in \pi : U \subset V\}$ is finite for every $V \in \sigma$, then π *finitely refines* σ , $\pi >_{fin} \sigma$. And, finally, π **-refines* σ , $\pi >_* \sigma$, iff there is a finite subset $\delta \subset \pi$ such that $\pi \setminus \delta$ refines σ .

If π_0, \dots, π_n are nonempty subsets of 2^X , then the collection

$$\prod_{k=0}^n \pi_k = \left\{ \bigcap_{k=0}^n U_k : U_k \in \pi_k \text{ and } \bigcap_{k=0}^n U_k \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

is said to be their *product*.

From now on $X = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} X_i$ is a free topological sum and $\pi_0 = \{X_i : i \in \omega\}$.

Definition 2.1. A point $p \in X^*$ is called a *strong remote point* of X iff p is a remote point of X and

- (*) for any family of open sets $\mathcal{W} \subset 2^X$ the following holds: if $\mathcal{W} > \pi_0$ and $p \in \bigcup \mathcal{W}^\epsilon$, then there is a subfamily $\mathcal{W}' \subset \mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{W}' >_{fin} \pi_0$ and $p \in (\bigcup \mathcal{W}')^\epsilon$.

From now on a strong remote point $p \in X^*$ is fixed. It is easy to see that $p \notin Cl_{\beta X}X_i$ for each $i \in \omega$ and that (*) is trivial if every X_i is compact.

A discrete in X countable family of nonempty open sets $\pi \subset 2^X$ is called a p -chain if $\pi >_{fin} \pi_0$ and $p \in \bigcup \pi^\epsilon$. Thus π_0 is a p -chain. Next we put

$$[\pi] = \bigcap \{Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup \sigma : \sigma \subset \pi \text{ is a } p\text{-chain}\}$$

for any p -chain π and $S = \{s \in [\pi_0] : s \text{ is a strong remote point of } X\}$. We fix $Y = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} Y_i$, where $Y_i = \{y_{ij} : j \in \omega\}$ is a countable everywhere dense subset of X_i , and put

$$T = \{t \in [\pi_0] : t \in Cl_{\beta X} D \text{ for some } D \subset Y, \text{ for which every } D \cap Y_i \text{ is finite}\}.$$

From now on

$$\xi(p) = \{A \subset \omega : p \in (\bigcup_{i \in A} X_i)^c\}$$

is an ultrafilter on ω . For any $f, g \in \omega^\omega$, $f <_p g$ iff $\{i \in \omega : f(i) < g(i)\} \in \xi(p)$. It is a folklore and easy to see that there are so called $\xi(p)$ -dominant families $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\} \subset \omega^\omega$ having the following properties: $f_\alpha <_p f_\beta$ whenever $\alpha < \beta < \tau$ and for any $g \in \omega^\omega$, $g <_p f_\alpha$ for some $\alpha < \tau$. We fix one of them $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda(p)\}$ of the smallest cardinality $\lambda(p)$. Then, obviously, $\lambda(p) \geq \omega_1$. For any $\mathcal{G} \subset \omega^\omega$, $|\mathcal{G}| < \lambda(p)$ implies $g <_p f$ for each $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and for some $f \in \omega^\omega$.

Now for every $i \in \omega$ we fix a π -base $\mathcal{U}_i = \{U_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ for X_i . For any $\beta \in \omega_1$, for $\{U_{i\alpha} : \alpha < \beta\} \subset \mathcal{U}_i$ we fix a cellular refinement $\{\mathcal{V}_{ij}(\beta) : j \in \omega\}$ with the following properties:

- 1) every $\mathcal{V}_{ij}(\beta)$ is a maximal strong cellular family of nonempty open subsets of X_i ;
- 2) $\mathcal{V}_{ij+1}(\beta) > \mathcal{V}_{ij}(\beta)$ for each $j \in \omega$;
- 3) for every $\alpha < \beta$, $\mathcal{V}_{ij(i,\alpha,\beta)}(\beta) > \{U_{i\alpha}\}$ for some $j(i, \alpha, \beta) \in \omega$.

We put, also, $\mathcal{V}_g(\beta) = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_{ig(i)}(\beta)$ for each $g \in \omega^\omega$ and fix a p -chain $\pi_g(\beta)$ so that $\pi_g(\beta) \subset \mathcal{V}_g(\beta)$.

Claims 1 through 4 are easy and sometimes well-known and are left as exercises to the reader.

Claim 1. *If $p \in X^*$ is a b -point of βX , then $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.*

Claim 2. *Let $p \in X^*$, where X is a locally compact Lindelöf space. Then there exists a family $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ of compact regularly closed subsets of X such that $\{X_n : n \in \omega\}$ is a discrete in X family and $p \in Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup \{X_n : n \in \omega\}$.*

Claim 3. *For any p -chains π and σ , if $\pi >_* \sigma$, then $[\pi] \subset [\sigma]$.*

Claim 4. *For any finite family of p -chains $\{\pi_i\}_{i=0}^n$, $\prod_{i=0}^n \pi_i$ is a p -chain refining every π_i .*

Claim 5. *For any countable family of p -chains $\{\pi_i : i \in \omega\}$ there is a p -chain π $*$ -refining every π_i .*

PROOF: Let $\sigma = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \sigma(n)$, where

$$\sigma(n) = \prod_{i=0}^n \{U \subset X_n : \text{either } U \in \pi_i \text{ or } U = X_n \setminus Cl \bigcup \pi_i\}.$$

Then $Cl \cup \sigma = X$. So $Cl_{\beta X} Op \subset \bigcup \sigma^\epsilon$ for some neighborhood $Op \subset \beta X$. Any p -chain π such that $\pi \subset \{Op \cap U : U \in \sigma \text{ meets } Op\}$ is as required. \square

Claim 6. T is $\lambda(p)$ -bounded.

PROOF: Let $F \subset T$ and $|F| < \lambda(p)$. For every $x \in F$, $x \in Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \{y_{ij} \in Y : j \leq f_x(i)\}$ for some $f_x \in \omega^\omega$. For some $f \in \omega^\omega$, $f_x <_p f$ for each $x \in F$. But then

$$Cl_{\beta X} F \subset Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \{y_{ij} \in Y : j \leq f(i)\} \cap [\pi_0] \subset T. \quad \square$$

Claim 7. S is $\lambda(p)$ -bounded.

PROOF: Let $q \in [\pi_0] \setminus S$. Then there is a maximal strong cellular family of open sets $\mathcal{W} = \{V_{ij} \subset X_i : i, j \in \omega\}$ such that $q \notin Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup \sigma$ for any $\sigma \subset \mathcal{W}$, $\sigma >_{fin} \pi_0$. Let $F \subset S$ and $|F| < \lambda(p)$. Then for every $x \in F$, $x \in (\bigcup_{i \in \omega} \bigcup_{j \leq f_x(i)} V_{ij})^\epsilon$ for some $f_x \in \omega^\omega$. For some $f \in \omega^\omega$, $f_x <_p f$ for each $x \in F$. But then

$$Cl_{\beta X} F \subset Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \bigcup_{j \leq f(i)} V_{ij} \subset \beta X \setminus \{q\}. \quad \square$$

Claim 8. For any family of p -chains $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \tau}$, if $\tau < \lambda(p)$ then $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha] \cap T \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: For any finite $\rho \subset \tau$ we can fix a point $t(\rho) \in T$ so that

$$t(\rho) \in [\prod_{\alpha \in \rho} \pi_\alpha] \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \rho} [\pi_\alpha].$$

But then the set $Cl_{\beta X} \{t(\rho) : \rho \subset \tau \text{ is finite}\}$, which is contained in T by Claim 6, meets $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha]$. \square

Claim 9. For any family of p -chains $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \tau}$, if $\tau < \lambda(p)$, then p is not isolated in $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha]$.

PROOF: Let $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha] \cap Cl_{\beta X} Op = \{p\}$ for some neighborhood $Op \subset \beta X$. Then for a p -chain $\pi = \{Op \cap X_i : i \in \omega\}$ we have $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha] \cap [\pi] \cap T = \emptyset$ in a contradiction to Claim 8. \square

Claim 10. Let $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha] \cap S = \{p\}$ for some family of p -chains $\{\pi_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \tau}$ of cardinality $\tau < \lambda(p)$. Then p is a b -point of βX .

PROOF: For any finite $\rho \subset \tau$ we can fix a point $s(\rho) \in S \setminus \{p\}$ so that $s(\rho) \in [\prod_{\alpha \in \rho} \pi_\alpha]$ by [2]. But then the sets $Cl_{\beta X} \{s(\rho) : \rho \subset \tau \text{ is finite}\} \setminus \{p\}$ and $\bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} [\pi_\alpha] \setminus \{p\}$ are as required. \square

Below we have only to examine the case when the hypotheses of Claim 10 are wrong.

Claim 11. For an arbitrary neighborhood $Op \subset \beta X$, $[\pi_{f_\alpha}(\beta)] \subset Op$ for some $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\beta \in \omega_1$.

PROOF: Let $Cl_{\beta X} O'p \subset Op$ for a neighborhood $O'p \subset \beta X$. As p is a strong remote point, $p \in (\bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{U}'_i)^\epsilon \subset O'p$ for some finite $\mathcal{U}'_i \subset \mathcal{U}_i$. For some $\beta < \omega_1$, $\mathcal{U}'_i \subset \{U_{i\alpha} : \alpha < \beta\}$ for each $i \in \omega$. For every $U_{i\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}'_i$ we can choose $j(i, \alpha, \beta) \in \omega$ so that $\mathcal{V}_{ij(i, \alpha, \beta)}(\beta) > \{U_{i\alpha}\}$ (see above). Let $g \in \omega^\omega$ be defined for any $i \in \omega$ as follows: $g(i) = \max \{j(i, \alpha, \beta) : U_{i\alpha} \in \mathcal{U}'_i\}$ if $\mathcal{U}'_i \neq \emptyset$ and $g(i) = 1$ otherwise. Then $\mathcal{V}_g(\beta) > \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{U}'_i$ by our construction. Let, finally, $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ be chosen so that $g <_p f_\alpha$. But then $[\pi_{f_\alpha}(\beta)] \subset [\pi_g(\beta)] \subset Cl_{\beta X} \bigcup_{i \in \omega} \mathcal{U}'_i \subset Op$. \square

Claim 12. If $|\mathcal{F}| > \omega_1$, then p is a b -point of βX .

PROOF: For every $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ there are points $t_\alpha \in T$ and $s_\alpha \in S \setminus \{p\}$, belonging to $B_{f_\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta < \omega_1} [\pi_{f_\alpha}(\beta)]$ by Claims 8 and 10. Then the sets $F = Cl_{\beta X} \{t_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda(p)\} \setminus \{p\}$ and $G = Cl_{\beta X} \{s_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda(p)\} \setminus \{p\}$ are as required. Indeed, they have p as a limit point by Claim 11. For every $\lambda < \gamma < \lambda(p)$, $f_\lambda <_p f_\gamma$ clearly implies $[\pi_{f_\gamma}(\beta)] \subset [\pi_{f_\lambda}(\beta)]$ for each $\beta < \omega_1$, so $B_{f_\gamma} \subset B_{f_\lambda}$. But then

$$F \cap G \setminus B_{f_\lambda} \subset Cl_{\beta X} \{t_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \cap Cl_{\beta X} \{s_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subset T \cap S = \emptyset.$$

\square

Claim 13. If $|\mathcal{F}| = \omega_1$, then p is a b -point of βX .

PROOF: Let $\{\pi_{f_\alpha}(\beta) : f_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}, \beta \in \omega_1\}$ be listing into the form $\{\pi_\gamma : \gamma \in \omega_1\}$. By Claim 5 we can construct p -chains σ_γ ($\gamma < \omega_1$) so that $\sigma_\gamma >_* \pi_\gamma$ and $\sigma_\gamma >_* \sigma_\lambda$ if $\lambda < \gamma < \omega_1$. We can fix points $t_\gamma \in T$ and $s_\gamma \in S \setminus \{p\}$, belonging to $[\sigma_\gamma]$, and repeat the proof of Claim 12, using these points.

Our proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Blaszczyk A., Szymanski A., *Some nonnormal subspaces of the Čech-Stone compactifications of a discrete space*, in: Proc. 8-th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Prague (1980).
- [2] Dow A., *Remote points in spaces with π -weight ω_1* , Fund. Math. **124** (1984), 197–205.
- [3] van Douwen E.K., *Why certain Čech-Stone remainders are not homogeneous*, Colloq. Math. **41** (1979), 45–52.
- [4] van Douwen E.K., *Remote points*, Dissert. Math. **188** (1988).
- [5] Gryzlov A.A., *On the question of hereditary normality of the space $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* , Topology and Set Theory (Udmurt. Gos. Univ., Izhevsk) (1982), 61–64 (in Russian).
- [6] Logunov S., *On hereditary normality of compactifications*, Topology Appl. **73** (1996), 213–216.
- [7] Logunov S., *On hereditary normality of zero-dimensional spaces*, Topology Appl. **102** (2000), 53–58.
- [8] van Mill J., *An easy proof that $\beta N \setminus N \setminus \{p\}$ is non-normal*, Ann. Math. Silesianea **2** (1984), 81–84.

- [9] Rajagopalan M., $\beta N \setminus N \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal, J. Indian Math. Soc. **36** (1972).
- [10] Shapirovskij B., On embedding extremely disconnected spaces in compact Hausdorff spaces, b -points and weight of pointwise normal spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **223** (1987), 1083–1086 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT FOR ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY, UDMURT STATE UNIVERSITY,
KRASNOGEROYSKAYA 71, IZHEVSK 426034, RUSSIA

E-mail: postmaster@ziga.udm.ru

(Received December 6, 1999, revised November 17, 2000)