Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Claude Tardif

The chromatic number of the product of two graphs is at least half the minimum of the fractional chromatic numbers of the factors

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 42 (2001), No. 2, 353--355

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119249

The chromatic number of the product of two graphs is at least half the minimum of the fractional chromatic numbers of the factors

Claude Tardif

Abstract. One consequence of Hedetniemi's conjecture on the chromatic number of the product of graphs is that the bound $\chi(G \times H) \geq \min\{\chi_f(G), \chi_f(H)\}$ should always hold. We prove that $\chi(G \times H) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min\{\chi_f(G), \chi_f(H)\}$.

Keywords: Hedetniemi's conjecture, (fractional) chromatic number
Classification: 05C15

One outstanding problem in graph theory is a formula concerning the chromatic number of the product of two graphs:

Conjecture 1 (Hedetniemi [2]). *For any two graphs G and H,*

$$\chi(G \times H) = \min\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

This formula seems natural and attractive; however it is remarkably bold compared to our current state of knowledge: El-Zahar and Sauer [1] proved that the chromatic number of the product of two 4-chromatic graphs is 4, but it is not yet established that there exists a number n such that the chromatic number of the product of any two n-chromatic graphs is at least 5. Poljak and Rödl [4] introduced the function

$$f(n) = \min\{\chi(G \times H) : \chi(G) \geq n, \chi(H) \geq n\},$$

and in [3], [6], we find proofs of the strange result that f either goes to infinity with n or is bounded by 9. An attempt to settle at least a fractional version of this problem led us to the result presented in the title:

Theorem 2. *For any two graphs G and H,*

$$\chi(G \times H) \geq \tfrac{1}{2} \min\{\chi_f(G), \chi_f(H)\}.$$

In particular, this shows that the function

$$f'(n) = \min\{\chi(G \times H) : \chi_f(G) \geq n, \chi_f(H) \geq n\},$$

goes to infinity with n, though it has no direct bearing on the Poljak-Rödl function. However, the argument seems to suggest that it may be possible to prove that the Poljak-Rödl function is unbounded using probabilistic methods. At least, this is the hope that the author wishes to share in presenting this note.

1. Basic concepts

The *product* $G \times H$ of two graphs G and H is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, whose edges are all pairs $[(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2)]$ with $[u_1, v_1] \in E(G)$ and $[u_2, v_2] \in E(H)$. Colorings of G or H naturally induce colorings of $G \times H$ hence the inequality $\chi(G \times H) \leq \min\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}$ trivially holds.

For two graphs G and K, the *exponential graph* K^G has for vertices all the functions from $V(G)$ to $V(K)$, and two of these functions f, g are joined by an edge if $[f(u), g(v)] \in E(K)$ for all $[u, v] \in E(G)$. There is a natural correspondence between the n-colorings of $G \times H$ and the edge-preserving maps from H to ${K_n}^G$. Applications of this correspondence in the context of Hedetniemi's conjecture are given in [1], [6].

Let $\mathcal{I}(G)$ denote the family of all independent sets of a graph G. A function $\mu : \mathcal{I}(G) \mapsto [0, 1]$ is called a *fractional coloring* of G if we have $\sum_{u \in I} \mu(I) \geq 1$ for all $u \in V(G)$. The value $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}(G)} \mu(I)$ is called the *weight* of μ. Also, a function $\nu : V(G) \mapsto [0, 1]$ is called a *fractional clique* of G if $\sum_{u \in I} \nu(u) \leq 1$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}(G)$. Its *weight* is $\sum_{u \in V(G)} \nu(u)$. The *fractional chromatic number* $\chi_f(G)$ of G is the common value of the minimum weight of a fractional coloring of G and the maximum weight of a fractional clique of G (see [5]). We have $\chi_f(G) \leq \chi(G)$ for any graph G. Also, if there exists an edge-preserving map from G to H, then $\chi_f(G) \leq \chi_f(H)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let G, H be graphs such that $\chi(G \times H) = n$ and $\chi_f(G) \geq 2n$. Any n-coloring $\phi : G \times H \mapsto K_n$ induces an edge-preserving map $\psi : H \mapsto {K_n}^G$ defined by $\psi(v) = h_v$, where $h_v(u) = \phi(u, v)$ for all $u \in V(G)$, $v \in V(H)$. Therefore $\chi_f(H) \leq \chi_f({K_n}^G)$, and it will suffice to show that $\chi_f({K_n}^G) \leq 2n$.

For $u \in V(G)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, put

$$I(u, k) = \{h \in {K_n}^G : h(u) = k = h(v) \text{ for some } [u, v] \in E(G)\}.$$

If $h \in I(u, k)$ and h' is adjacent to h in ${K_n}^G$, then $h'(v) \neq k$ for all $[u, v] \in E(G)$, thus $h' \notin I(u, k)$. This shows that $I(u, k)$ is an independent set.

Let $\nu : V(G) \mapsto [0, 1]$ be a fractional clique of weight $\chi_f(G)$. For $u \in V(G)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, put

$$\mu(I(u, k)) = \frac{2}{\chi_f(G)} \nu(u).$$

Then $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}({K_n}^G)} \mu(I) = 2n$. We will show that μ is a fractional coloring of ${K_n}^G$. For a function $h \in V({K_n}^G)$, let G_h be the subgraph of G induced by

$$V(G_h) = \{u \in V(G) : h(u) = h(v) \text{ for some } [u, v] \in E(G)\}.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{h\in I}\mu(I)=\sum_{u\in V(G_h)}\mu(I(u,h(u)))=\frac{2}{\chi_f(G)}\sum_{u\in V(G_h)}\nu(u).$$

Now, the restriction of h to $V(G)\setminus V(G_h)$ is a proper coloring of $G-G_h$ whence $\sum_{u\in V(G)\setminus V(G_h)}\nu(u)\leq n\leq\frac{\chi_f(G)}{2}$. Therefore $\sum_{h\in I}\mu(I)\geq 1$ and μ is a fractional coloring of ${K_n}^G$. This shows that $\chi_f({K_n}^G)\leq 2n$, and concludes the proof of Theorem 2. □

Slight improvements on Theorem 2 are readily possible. Ideally, it would be nice to prove that the inequality

$$\chi(G\times H)\geq\min\{\chi_f(G),\chi_f(H)\} \tag{1}$$

holds for all graphs G and H. At least, this is a desirable result in view of Conjecture 1. Note that Theorem 2 remains true in the context of directed graphs, with essentially the same proof. However it is shown in [4] that for any $n\geq 3$, there exist tournaments T_1,T_2 on $n+1$ vertices such that $\chi(T_1\times T_2)\leq n$. This shows that (1) does not always hold in the case of directed graphs.

References

[1] El-Zahar M., Sauer N., *The chromatic number of the product of two* 4*-chromatic graphs is* 4, Combinatorica **5** (1985), 121–126.

[2] Hedetniemi S.H., *Homomorphisms of graphs and automata*, University of Michigan Technical Report 03105-44-T, 1966.

[3] Poljak S., *Coloring digraphs by iterated antichains*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **32** (1991), 209–212.

[4] Poljak S., Rödl V., *On the arc-chromatic number of a digraph*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **31** (1981), 339–350.

[5] Scheinerman E.R., Ullman D.H., *Fractional Graph Theory*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997, xviii+211 pp.

[6] Zhu X., *A survey on Hedetniemi's conjecture*, Taiwanese J. Math. **2** (1998), 1–24.

Department of Theoretical Computer Science and Mathematical Logic,
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Malostranské nám. 25,
118 00 Praha 1, Czech Republic

(*Received* September 3, 2000)