

Paola Cavalieri; Anna D'Ottavio; Francesco Leonetti; Maria Longobardi
Differentiability for minimizers of anisotropic integrals

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 4, 685--696

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119044>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Differentiability for minimizers of anisotropic integrals

P. CAVALIERE, A. D'OTTAVIO, F. LEONETTI, M. LONGOBARDI

Abstract. We consider a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, minimizing the integral $\int_{\Omega}(|D_1 u|^2 + \dots + |D_{n-1} u|^2 + |D_n u|^p) dx$, $2(n+1)/(n+3) \leq p < 2$, where $D_i u = \partial u / \partial x_i$, or some more general functional with the same behaviour; we prove the existence of second weak derivatives $D(D_1 u), \dots, D(D_{n-1} u) \in L^2$ and $D(D_n u) \in L^p$.

Keywords: regularity, minimizers, integral functionals, anisotropic growth

Classification: 49N60, 35J60

0. Introduction

We consider the integral functional

$$(0.1) \quad I(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(Du(x)) dx,$$

where Ω is bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, and $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$. F satisfies the following growth condition

$$a \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|^{q_i} - b \leq F(\xi) \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n |\xi_i|^{q_i} + d, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN},$$

with a, b, c, d positive constants and $1 < q_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. The isotropic case, i.e. $q_i = q \forall i$, has been deeply studied, see, for example, [G]. In this paper we study the anisotropic case, in which at least one of the q_i 's differs from the others. We recall that in the anisotropic case, minimizers of (0.1) may be singular when no restriction is assumed on the q_i 's ([G1], [M]). On the other hand, if the q_i 's are close enough, there are regularity results, among them, [M1], [FS], [FS1] deal with scalar minimizers $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (0.1) and [L], [BL], [BL1], [D] consider (possibly) vector valued minimizers $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$. In the present paper we improve on the differentiability result for minimizers of (0.1) contained in [BL1]. As there, the prototype for (0.1) is

$$(0.2) \quad I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_i u|^2 + \frac{1}{p} |D_n u|^p \right) dx,$$

where $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $Du = (D_1 u, \dots, D_n u)$, $D_i u = \partial u / \partial x_i$, $1 < p < 2$.

This work has been supported by MURST, GNAFA-CNR, INDAM, MURST 60% and MURST 40%.

1. Notation and main results

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, u be a (possibly) vector-valued function, $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$; we consider integrals

$$(1.1) \quad I(u) = \int_{\Omega} F(Du(x)) dx,$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}^{nN} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^{nN})$ and satisfies, for some positive constants c and m ,

$$(1.2) \quad |F(\xi)| \leq c(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\xi_i|^2 + |\xi_n|^p),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(\xi) \right| \leq c(1 + |\xi_i|) \quad \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_n^\alpha}(\xi) \right| \leq c(1 + |\xi_n|^{p-1})$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\beta=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_j^\beta}(\nu) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_j^\beta}(\lambda) \right) (\nu_j^\beta - \lambda_j^\beta) \\ & \geq m \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\nu_j - \lambda_j|^2 + m \left(1 + |\nu_n|^2 + |\lambda_n|^2 \right)^{(p-2)/2} |\nu_n - \lambda_n|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\lambda, \nu, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$. Here, $\lambda = \{\lambda_i^\alpha\}$, $\xi = \{\xi_i^\alpha\}$, $|\lambda_i|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N |\lambda_i^\alpha|^2$. About p , we assume that

$$(1.6) \quad 1 < p < 2.$$

We point out that (0.2) verifies (1.2)–(1.5). We say that u minimizes the integral (1.1) if $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $D_i u \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and

$$I(u) \leq I(u + \phi),$$

for every $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $D_i \phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

We first prove the following differentiability result for Du :

Theorem 1. *Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfy $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $D_i u \in L^2(\Omega)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. If F satisfies (1.2)–(1.5), (1.6) and u minimizes the integral (1.1), then for $s = 1, \dots, n-1$*

$$(1.7) \quad D_s(D_i u) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(1.8) \quad D_s(D_n u) \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega),$$

$$(1.9) \quad D_s \left((1 + |D_n u|^2)^{(p-2)/4} D_n u \right) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega).$$

This differentiability result allows us to improve on the integrability of first $n-1$ components $D_1 u, \dots, D_{n-1} u$ of the gradient:

Corollary 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 we have

$$D_s u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega), \quad s = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where

$$\bar{p}^* = \frac{2pn}{p(n-3)+2} > 2.$$

So, by the improved integrability, we can get the existence of second weak derivatives with respect to x_n :

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, if p verifies the additional restriction

$$(1.10) \quad 2 \frac{n+1}{n+3} \leq p < 2,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(D_i u) &\in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ D_n(D_n u) &\in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega), \\ D_n \left((1 + |D_n u|^2)^{(p-2)/4} D_n u \right) &\in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Using Sobolev imbedding theorem we get Hölder continuity for u in dimension 2 and 3:

Corollary 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,\beta}(\Omega), \quad \forall \beta < 1, \quad \text{when } n = 2, \\ u &\in C_{\text{loc}}^{0,1-1/p}(\Omega), \quad \text{when } n = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The higher differentiability contained in Theorem 1 and 2 was proved in [BL1] under the stronger assumption $2 - 2/(n+1) < p < 2$.

2. Known results

For a vector-valued function $f(x)$, define the difference

$$\tau_{s,h} f(x) = f(x + he_s) - f(x),$$

where $h \in \mathbb{R}$, e_s is the unit vector in the x_s direction, and $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $B_R = B_R(x_0)$ be the ball centered at x_0 with radius R . We now state several lemmas that we need later. In the following $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $k \geq 1$; B_ρ , B_R , $B_{2\rho}$ and B_{2R} are concentric balls.

Lemma 1. If $0 < \rho < R$, $|h| < R - \rho$, $1 \leq t < \infty$, $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $f, D_s f \in L^t(B_R)$, then

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\tau_{s,h} f(x)|^t dx \leq |h|^t \int_{B_R} |D_s f(x)|^t dx.$$

(See [G, p. 45], [C, p. 28].)

Lemma 2. Let $f \in L^t(B_{2\rho})$, $1 < t < \infty$, $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$; if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\tau_{s,h} f(x)|^t dx \leq C|h|^t,$$

for every h with $|h| < \rho$, then there exists $D_s f \in L^t(B_\rho)$. (See [G, p. 45], [C, p. 26].)

Lemma 3. For every $\gamma \in (-1/2, 0)$ we have

$$(2\gamma + 1)|a - b| \leq \frac{|(1 + |a|^2)^\gamma a - (1 + |b|^2)^\gamma b|}{(1 + |a|^2 + |b|^2)^\gamma} \leq \frac{c(k)}{2\gamma + 1}|a - b|,$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^k$. (See [AF].)

Lemma 4. Let Q be an open cube of \mathbb{R}^n , $f \in W^{1,1}(Q)$, with $D_i f \in L^{p_i}(Q)$, $p_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\frac{1}{\bar{p}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}.$$

If $\bar{p} < n$ and $p_i < \bar{p}^* = \bar{p}n/(n - \bar{p}) \forall i = 1, \dots, n$, then $f \in L^{\bar{p}^*}(Q)$. (See [T], [AF1].)

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Since u minimizes the integral (1.1) with growth conditions as in (1.2)–(1.4), u solves the Euler equation

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du(x)) D_i \phi^\alpha(x) dx = 0,$$

for all functions $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, with $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $D_1 \phi, \dots, D_{n-1} \phi \in L^2(\Omega)$. Let $R > 0$ be such that $\overline{B_{4R}} \subset \Omega$ and let B_ρ and B_R be concentric balls with $0 < \rho < R \leq 1$. Fix s , take $0 < |h| < R$ and let $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a “cut off” function

in $C_0^2(B_R)$ with $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\eta \equiv 1$ on B_ρ . Using $\phi = \tau_{s,-h}(\eta^2 \tau_{s,h} u)$ in (3.1) we get, as usual,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \int \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \tau_{s,-h} \left(D_i(\eta^2 \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha) \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \int \tau_{s,h} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \right) (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha + \eta^2 \tau_{s,h} D_i u^\alpha) dx, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad (I) &= \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_{s,h} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \right) \tau_{s,h} D_i u^\alpha \eta^2 dx \\ &= - \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_{s,h} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \right) 2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha dx = (II). \end{aligned}$$

We apply (1.5) so that

$$\begin{aligned} m \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u(x)|^2 \eta^2(x) dx \\ + m \int_{B_R} (1 + |D_n u(x)|^2 + |D_n u(x + he_s)|^2)^{(p-2)/2} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u(x)|^2 \eta^2(x) dx \leq (I). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.3) \quad V(\xi_n) = (1 + |\xi_n|^2)^{(p-2)/4} \xi_n, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}.$$

Using Lemma 3 we find

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad C_2 |\tau_{s,h} D_n u(x)| &\leq \frac{|\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u(x))|}{(1 + |D_n u(x)|^2 + |D_n u(x + he_s)|^2)^{(p-2)/4}} \\ &\leq C_3 |\tau_{s,h} D_n u(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants C_2, C_3 depending only on N and p . Then

$$(3.5) \quad m \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + m \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \leq C_4(I),$$

for some positive constant C_4 , depending only on N and p . We use the left-hand side of (3.4), Hölder's inequality with $2/(2-p)$ and $2/p$ in order to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u(x)|^p \eta^p(x) dx \\ & \leq C_2^{-p} \int_{B_R} (1 + |D_n u(x)|^2 + |D_n u(x + he_s)|^2)^{p(2-p)/4} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u(x))|^p \eta^p(x) dx \\ & \leq C_2^{-p} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |D_n u(x)|^2 + |D_n u(x + he_s)|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u(x))|^2 \eta^2(x) dx \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, splitting the integral and changing variables yield

$$\begin{aligned} & C_2^{-p} \left(\int_{B_R} (1 + |D_n u(x)|^2 + |D_n u(x + he_s)|^2)^{p/2} dx \right)^{(2-p)/2} \\ & \leq C_5 \left(\int_{B_{2R}} (1 + |D_n u(y)|^p) dy \right)^{(2-p)/2} = C_6, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants C_5 and C_6 , independent of h , so that

$$(3.6) \quad C_6^{-2/p} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} \leq \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx,$$

then, using (3.6), (3.5) and (3.2) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad & \frac{m}{2} C_6^{-2/p} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx \\ & + m \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \leq C_4(I) = C_4(II). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that, from (3.2)

$$(II) = - \int \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_{s,h} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \right) 2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha dx;$$

now we shift the difference operator $\tau_{s,h}$ from $(\partial F/\partial \xi_i^\alpha)(Du)$ to $2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha$ ([N]):

$$(3.8) \quad (II) = - \int \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_{s,h} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \right) 2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha dx \\ = - \int \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_i^\alpha}(Du) \tau_{s,-h} \left(2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u^\alpha \right) dx.$$

We use the growth conditions (1.3), (1.4) and Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality in (3.8) in order to get

$$(3.9) \quad C_4(II) \leq C_7 \left(\int_{B_{2R}} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_i u|^2 + |D_n u|^{2p-2}) dx \right)^{1/2} \times \\ \times \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tau_{s,-h} (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

for some positive constant C_7 independent of h . Since $0 < 2p - 2 < p$,

$$(3.10) \quad \left(\int_{B_{2R}} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_i u|^2 + |D_n u|^{2p-2}) dx \right)^{1/2} = C_8 < \infty.$$

Now we apply Lemma 1:

$$(3.11) \quad \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tau_{s,-h} (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq |h| \left(\int_{B_{3R}} |D_s (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = |h| \left(\int_{B_R} |D_s (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

since $\eta = 0$ outside B_R . Taking into account (3.7), (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we arrive at

$$(3.12) \quad \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} + \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \\ \leq C_9 |h| \left(\int_{B_R} |D_s (2\eta D_i \eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = (III),$$

for some positive constant C_9 , independent of h . Now, using the Young's inequality, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad (III) \leq \frac{C_9^2 |h|^2}{\epsilon} + \epsilon \int_{B_R} |D_s(2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx.$$

The integral in the previous inequality is dealt with as follows:

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |D_s(2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_{B_R} |D_s(2\eta D\eta) \tau_{s,h} u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{B_R} |2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} D_s u|^2 dx = (A) + (B). \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 4 allows us to use Lemma 1 to get for some positive constants C_{10} and C_{11} , independent of h ,

$$(3.15) \quad (A) \leq C_{10} |h|^2 \int_{B_{2R}} |D_s u|^2 dx = C_{11} |h|^2,$$

which holds true just for $s = 1, \dots, n-1$, since $D_1 u, \dots, D_{n-1} u \in L^2$ but $D_n u \in L^p$, $p < 2$. On the other hand, we have, for $s = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(3.16) \quad (B) \leq C_{12} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_s u|^2 \eta^2 dx \leq C_{12} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx$$

for a positive constant C_{12} , independent of h . We insert (3.15) and (3.16) into (3.14), use the resulting inequality in (3.13) and keep in mind (3.12). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} &+ \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_{13} |h|^2}{\epsilon} + \epsilon C_{13} \left(|h|^2 + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_{13} , independent of h and ϵ , so taking $\epsilon = 1/(2C_{13})$, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx &\leq C_{14} |h|^2, \\ \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx &\leq C_{14}^{p/2} |h|^p, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_{14} , independent of h . Since $\eta = 1$ on $B_\rho \subset B_R$, we can apply Lemma 2 and, after recalling (3.3) for the definition of $V(D_n u)$, we get (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), so we end the proof. \square

4. Proof of Corollary 1

Since we can change the order for distributional derivatives, so $D_i D_s u = D_s D_i u$, using the result of Theorem 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_i D_s u &\in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ D_n D_s u &\in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

for every $s \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Applying Lemma 4 with $p_1 = \dots = p_{n-1} = 2$, $p_n = p$ we obtain $\bar{p} = (2pn)/[p(n-1) + 2] < n$ thus $\bar{p}^* = (2pn)/[p(n-3) + 2]$ and

$$D_s u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega) \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

This ends the proof. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 2

Corollary 1 guarantees that

$$D_1 u, \dots, D_{n-1} u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{\bar{p}^*}(\Omega).$$

Moreover the additional restriction (1.10) implies that $\bar{p}^* \geq p/(p-1)$, thus

$$(5.1) \quad D_1 u, \dots, D_{n-1} u \in L_{\text{loc}}^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega).$$

Now we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 until (3.8). Then, using the growth conditions (1.3), (1.4) and the Hölder's inequality with $p/(p-1)$ and p , we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_4(II) &\leq C_{15} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_i u|^{p/(p-1)} + |D_n u|^p \right) dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tau_{s,-h} (2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_{15} independent of h . The previous inequality is exactly (5.5) in [BL1] and from now the proof goes on as there. For the convenience of reader we quote the main steps. We use the higher integrability result stated in (5.1):

$$\left(\int_{B_{2R}} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |D_i u|^{p/(p-1)} + |D_n u|^p) dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} = C_{16} < \infty.$$

Applying Lemma 1 with $t = p$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |\tau_{s,-h}(2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} &\leq |h| \left(\int_{B_{3R}} |D_s(2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} u)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq |h| \left(\int_{B_R} |D_s(2\eta D\eta) \tau_{s,h} u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + |h| \left(\int_{B_R} |2\eta D\eta \tau_{s,h} D_s u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= |h| \left\{ (A) + (B) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Lemma 1, we get

$$(A) \leq C_{17} \left(\int_{B_{2R}} |D_s u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} |h| = C_{18} |h|,$$

for some positive constants C_{17} and C_{18} , independent of h . On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &\leq C_{19} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_s u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C_{19} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_{20} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{1/p} + C_{20} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_{21} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + C_{20} \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants C_{19} , C_{20} and C_{21} , independent of h . Eventually, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} + \int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{C_{22} |h|^2}{\epsilon} + \epsilon C_{22} \left(|h|^2 + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx + \left(\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \right)^{2/p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant C_{22} , independent of h and ϵ , so taking $\epsilon = 1/(2C_{22})$, we finally have

$$\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} V(D_n u)|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{B_R} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\tau_{s,h} D_i u|^2 \eta^2 dx \leq C_{23} |h|^2,$$

$$\int_{B_R} |\tau_{s,h} D_n u|^p \eta^p dx \leq C_{23}^{p/2} |h|^p,$$

for some positive constant C_{23} , independent of h , where s may also assume the value n . Application of Lemma 2 ends the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [AF] Acerbi E., Fusco N., *Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals: the case $1 < p < 2$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **140** (1989), 115–135.
- [AF1] Acerbi E., Fusco N., *Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions*, J. Differential Equations **107** (1994), 46–67.
- [BL] Bhattacharya T., Leonetti F., *Some remarks on the regularity of minimizers of integrals with anisotropic growth*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **34** (1993), 597–611.
- [BL1] Bhattacharya T., Leonetti F., *On improved regularity of weak solutions of some degenerate, anisotropic elliptic systems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **170** (1996), 241–255.
- [C] Campanato S., *Sistemi ellittici in forma divergenza. Regolarità all'interno*, Quaderni Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1980.
- [D] D'Ottavio A., *A remark on a paper by Bhattacharya and Leonetti*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **36** (1995), 489–491.
- [FS] Fusco N., Sbordone C., *Local boundedness of minimizers in a limit case*, Manuscripta Math. **69** (1990), 19–25.
- [FS1] Fusco N., Sbordone C., *Some remarks on the regularity of minima of anisotropic integrals*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **18** (1993), 153–167.
- [G] Giaquinta M., *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and nonlinear elliptic systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 105, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1983.
- [G1] Giaquinta M., *Growth conditions and regularity, a counterexample*, Manuscripta Math. **59** (1987), 245–248.
- [L] Leonetti F., *Higher integrability for minimizers of integral functionals with nonstandard growth*, J. Differential Equations **112** (1994), 308–324.
- [M] Marcellini P., *Un exemple de solution discontinue d'un probleme variationnel dans ce cas scalaire*, preprint, Istituto Matematico “U. Dini”, Universita' di Firenze, 1987/88, n. 11.
- [M1] Marcellini P., *Regularity of minimizers of integrals of the calculus of variations with nonstandard growth conditions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), 267–284.
- [N] Naumann J., *Interior integral estimates on weak solutions of certain degenerate elliptic systems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **156** (1990), 113–125.

- [Ni] Nirenberg L., *Remarks on strongly elliptic differential equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (1955), 649–675.
- [T] Troisi M., *Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi*, Ricerche di Mat. **18** (1969), 3–24.

Paola Cavalieri, Maria Longobardi:

FACOLTÀ DI SCIENZE, UNIVERSITÀ DI SALERNO, VIA S. ALLENDE, 84081 BARONISSI (SA),
ITALY

E-mail: cavalier@matna3.dma.unina.it
longob@matna3.dma.unina.it

Anna D'Ottavio, Francesco Leonetti:

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI L'AQUILA,
67100 L'AQUILA, ITALY

E-mail: dottavio@axscaq.aquila.infn.it
leonetti@univaq.it

(Received October 21, 1997)