

Alessandro Fedeli

ω H-sets and cardinal invariants

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 39 (1998), No. 2, 367--370

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/119013>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ω H-sets and cardinal invariants

ALESSANDRO FEDELI

Abstract. A subset A of a Hausdorff space X is called an ω H-set in X if for every open family \mathcal{U} in X such that $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ there exists a countable subfamily \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $A \subset \bigcup \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. In this paper we introduce a new cardinal function $t_{s\theta}$ and show that $|A| \leq 2^{t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X)}$ for every ω H-set A of a Hausdorff space X .

Keywords: cardinal function, ω H-set

Classification: 54A25, 54D20

All spaces considered in this paper are Hausdorff. We refer the reader to [8], [10] and [11] for notations and details on cardinal functions. Our approach to elementary submodels follows that of [15] (see also [5], [6], [9]). As usual $\psi(X)$ and $\chi(X)$ denote the pseudocharacter and the character of the space X . A Urysohn space is a space in which distinct points have disjoint closed neighbourhoods. Moreover, for any set S , we denote by $\mathcal{P}_m(S)$ the collection of all subsets of S whose cardinality is not greater than m .

A subset A of a space X is called an H-set (ω H-set) in X if for every open family \mathcal{U} in X such that $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ there exists a finite (countable) family $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $A \subset \bigcup \overline{V}$. A space X is said to be quasi Lindelöf if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a countable subfamily \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} satisfying $X = \bigcup \{\overline{U} : U \in \mathcal{V}\}$. It is clear that every H-set in a space X and every quasi Lindelöf space X is an ω H-set in X .

Let $x \in X$, a closed pseudobase for x in X is a family \mathcal{V} of open neighbourhoods of x in X such that $\bigcap \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\} = \{x\}$. The closed pseudocharacter of X , denoted by $\psi_c(X)$, is the smallest infinite cardinal κ such that every point has a closed pseudobase of cardinality not greater than κ .

The θ -closure of a subset A of a space X , denoted by $cl_\theta(A)$, is the set of all points $x \in X$ such that $\overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every open neighbourhood U of x ([13]).

For any space X , $t_{s\theta}(X)$ will denote the smallest infinite cardinal κ such that for every $C \subset X$ and any $x \in cl_\theta(C)$ there is $S \subset C$ with $|S| \leq \kappa$, $x \in cl_\theta(S)$ and $|cl_\theta(S)| \leq 2^\kappa$ (see [12] for related concepts).

Remark 1. If X is a Urysohn space, then $t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X) \leq \chi(X)$. Set $\chi(X) = \kappa$ and for every $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be a base for X at the point x such that $|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq \kappa$. Now let $C \subset X$ and $p \in cl_\theta(C)$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(p)$ take a point $x_B \in \overline{B} \cap C$ and set $S = \{x_B : B \in \mathcal{B}(p)\}$. Clearly $|S| \leq \kappa$ and $p \in cl_\theta(S)$. Now let us show that $|cl_\theta(S)| \leq 2^\kappa$. Since X is a Urysohn space, it follows that $\bigcap \{cl_\theta(\overline{B} \cap S) :$

$B \in \mathcal{B}(x)\} = \{x\}$ for every $x \in cl_\theta(S)$. Set $\mathcal{G}(x) = \{\overline{B} \cap S : B \in \mathcal{B}(x)\}$ and let $\psi : cl_\theta(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}(S))$ be the map defined by $\psi(x) = \mathcal{G}(x)$. Since ψ is injective, it follows that $|cl_\theta(S)| \leq |\mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}(S))| \leq 2^\kappa$. Therefore $t_{s\theta}(X) \leq \kappa$ and $t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X) \leq \chi(X)$.

Theorem 2. *If A is an ωH -set of the space X , then $|A| \leq 2^{t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X)}$.*

PROOF: Let $t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X) = \kappa$, let τ be the topology on X , and for every $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be a closed pseudobase for x in X such that $|\mathcal{B}(x)| \leq \kappa$.

Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\tau)$ be the map defined by $f(x) = \mathcal{B}(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Now let $T = 2^\kappa \cup \{A, X, \tau, 2^\kappa, f\}$ and take an elementary submodel \mathcal{M} such that $T \subset \mathcal{M}$, $|\mathcal{M}| = 2^\kappa$, and which reflects enough formulas so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $C \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $C \in \mathcal{P}_\kappa(\mathcal{M})$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $x \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$;
- (iii) if $C \subset X$ and $C \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\overline{C}, cl_\theta(C) \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (iv) if $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}$;
- (v) if C, D are subsets of X such that $C \cap \mathcal{M} \subset D$ and $C, D \in \mathcal{M}$, then $C \subset D$;
- (vi) if $E \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|E| \leq 2^\kappa$, then $E \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Observe also that by (ii) and (vi) $\mathcal{B}(x) \subset \mathcal{M}$ for every $x \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$.

Now let us show that $cl_\theta(X \cap \mathcal{M}) = X \cap \mathcal{M}$. Let $x \in cl_\theta(X \cap \mathcal{M})$, since $t_{s\theta}(X) \leq \kappa$, there is a subset S of $X \cap \mathcal{M}$ such that $|S| \leq \kappa$, $x \in cl_\theta(S)$ and $|cl_\theta(S)| \leq 2^\kappa$. Since $S \in \mathcal{M}$ (by (i)), it follows by (iii) that $cl_\theta(S) \in \mathcal{M}$. So by (vi) $cl_\theta(S) \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $x \in X \cap \mathcal{M}$.

The last step is to show that $A \subset X \cap \mathcal{M}$ (and hence $|A| \leq 2^{t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X)}$).

Suppose there is a point $p \in A \setminus \mathcal{M}$. For every $x \in A \cap \mathcal{M}$ let $U_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$ (observe that $U_x \in \mathcal{M}$) such that $p \notin \overline{U}_x$, and for every $x \in A \setminus \mathcal{M}$ let U_x be an open neighbourhood of x such that $\overline{U}_x \cap \mathcal{M} = \emptyset$. Since A is an ωH -set in X , it follows that there is a $C \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(A)$ such that $A \subset \bigcup \{\overline{U}_x : x \in C\}$. Now let $G = \bigcup \{\overline{U}_x : x \in C \cap \mathcal{M}\}$. If $y \in A \cap \mathcal{M}$, then there is some $x \in C$ such that $y \in \overline{U}_x$; hence $\overline{U}_x \cap \mathcal{M} \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in C \cap \mathcal{M}$. Therefore $y \in \overline{U}_x \subset G$ and $A \cap \mathcal{M} \subset G$.

Now $\overline{U}_x \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $x \in C \cap \mathcal{M}$ (by (iii)), therefore $\{\overline{U}_x : x \in C \cap \mathcal{M}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$. By (i) it follows that $\{\overline{U}_x : x \in C \cap \mathcal{M}\} \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $G \in \mathcal{M}$ (by (iv)).

Therefore, by (v), it follows that $A \subset G$. Since $p \notin G$, we have a contradiction. □

Corollary 3 (see [1]). *If A is an H -set in the Urysohn space X , then $|A| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$.*

Corollary 4 (see [2]). *If X is a quasi Lindelöf Urysohn space, then $|X| \leq 2^{\chi(X)}$.*

Example 5. Let τ be the euclidean topology on R and let X be R with the topology $\sigma = \{V \setminus C : V \in \tau, C \in \mathcal{P}_\omega(R)\}$. X is a Urysohn hereditarily Lindelöf space (so, a fortiori, every subset of X is an ωH -set in X). Observe that $\psi_c(X) = \omega$ and $\chi(X) = c$. Now let us show that $t_{s\theta}(X) = \omega$. First note that $cl_\sigma(V \setminus C) =$

$cl_\sigma(V)$ for every $V \setminus C \in \sigma$. In fact, let $x \in cl_\sigma(V)$ and take $W \setminus K \in \sigma$ such that $x \in W \setminus K$. Since $(W \setminus K) \cap V \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $|(W \cap V) \setminus K| = c$ (observe that $W \cap V$ is a non-empty open set of the euclidean line). Therefore $\emptyset \neq (W \cap V) \setminus (K \cup C) = (W \setminus K) \cap (V \setminus C)$, and $x \in cl_\sigma(V \setminus C)$. Now let $B \subset R$ and $x \in cl_\theta(B)$. Set $V_n = (x - \frac{1}{n}, x + \frac{1}{n})$ for every $n \in N$ and take a point $x_n \in cl_\sigma(V_n) \cap B$. The set $S = \{x_n : n \in N\}$ is a countable subset of B such that $|cl_\theta(S)| \leq c$. It remains to show that $x \in cl_\theta(S)$. Let $G = V \setminus C \in \sigma$ such that $x \in G$ and let n be such that $V_n \subset V$. Then $x_n \in cl_\sigma(V_n) \subset cl_\sigma(V) = cl_\sigma(V \setminus C) = cl_\sigma(G)$ and $cl_\sigma(G) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. So $x \in cl_\theta(S)$. Therefore $|X| = 2^{t_{s\theta}(X)\psi_c(X)} < 2^{\chi(X)}$.

Recently A. Bella and I.V. Yaschenko have shown that ‘‘Urysohn’’ cannot be omitted in the above corollaries ([3]).

Remark 6. A space X is H-closed if every open cover of X has a finite subfamily whose union is dense in X . It is worth noting that $|X| \leq 2^{\psi_c(X)}$ for every H-closed space X ([7]). Moreover there is an H-closed space X such that $|X| > 2^{2^{\psi_c(X)}}$ ([4]).

Question 7. Let A be an H-set in the Urysohn space X . Is it true that $|A| \leq 2^{\psi_c(X)}$?

Remark 8. Observe that it is not possible to obtain a bound for the cardinality of an ω H-set in terms of its character, in fact there are discrete H-sets of any cardinality (see, e.g., [1] and [4]).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bella A., *A couple of questions concerning cardinal invariants*, Q & A in General Topology **14.2** (1996), pages ???.
- [2] Bella A., Cammaroto F., *On the cardinality of Urysohn spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **31** (1988), 153–158.
- [3] Bella A., Yaschenko I.V., *Embeddings into first countable spaces with H-closed like properties*, preprint.
- [4] Bella A., Porter J., *Local cardinal functions of H-closed spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **37.2** (1996), 371–374.
- [5] Dow A., *An introduction to applications of elementary submodels in topology*, Topology Proceedings **13** (1988), 17–72.
- [6] Dow A., *More set-theory for topologists*, Topology Appl. **64** (1995), 243–300.
- [7] Dow A., Porter J., *Cardinalities of H-closed spaces*, Topology Proceedings **7** (1982), 27–50.
- [8] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [9] Fedeli A., Watson S., *Elementary submodels and cardinal functions*, Topology Proceedings **20** (1995), 91–110.
- [10] Hodel R.E., *Cardinal functions I*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology, (Kunen K. and Vaughan J.E., eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., North Holland, 1984, pp. 1–61.
- [11] Juhász I., *Cardinal functions in topology – ten years later*, Mathematical Centre Tracts 123, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [12] Kočinac Lj., *On the cardinality of Urysohn and H-closed spaces*, Proc. of the Mathematical Conference in Priština, 1994, pp. 105–111.
- [13] Veličhko N.V., *H-closed topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **78** (ser. 2) (1969), 103–118.

- [14] Watson S., *The construction of topological spaces: Planks and Resolutions*, Recent Progress in General Topology, (Hušek M. and Van Mill J., eds.), Elsevier Science Publishers, B.V., North Holland, 1992, pp. 675–757.
- [15] Watson S., *The Lindelöf number of a power; an introduction to the use of elementary submodels in general topology*, Topology Appl. **58** (1994), 25–34.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF L'AQUILA, VIA VETOIO (LOC. COPPITO),
67100 L'AQUILA, ITALY

E-mail: fedeli@axscaq.aquila.infn.it

(Received June 20, 1997)