

Edvard Kramar

Invariant subspaces for some operators on locally convex spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 38 (1997), No. 4, 635--644

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118962>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1997

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## Invariant subspaces for some operators on locally convex spaces

EDVARD KRAMAR

*Abstract.* The invariant subspace problem for some operators and some operator algebras acting on a locally convex space is studied.

*Keywords:* invariant subspace, locally convex space, locally bounded operator, universally bounded operator, compact operator

*Classification:* 47A15, 46A32, 46A99

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a locally convex Hausdorff space over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . Each system of seminorms  $P$  inducing its topology will be called a *calibration* ([11]). We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the collection of all calibrations on  $X$ . Given  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , we call it *basic calibration* if the corresponding “semiballs”  $U(\varepsilon, p) = \{x \in X : p(x) < \varepsilon\}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p \in P$ , form a neighborhood base at 0. As it is easily seen,  $P$  is basic if and only if for each  $p_1, p_2 \in P$  there is some  $p_0 \in P$  such that  $p_i(x) \leq p_0(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . For any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  we can generate a basic calibration  $P' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  by taking maxima of finite seminorms from  $P$ . For a given  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  we denote by  $Q_P(X)$  the algebra of *quotient bounded* operators on  $X$ , i.e. the collection of all linear operators  $T$  on  $X$  for which

$$p(Tx) \leq c_p p(x), \quad x \in X, \quad p \in P,$$

and by  $B_P(X)$  the algebra of *universally bounded* operators on  $X$ , i.e. the set of all  $T \in Q_P(X)$  for which  $c = c_p$  is independent of  $p \in P$  ([11]). The algebra  $Q_P(X)$  is a unital locally  $m$ -convex algebra with respect to seminorms  $\widehat{P} = \{\widehat{p}\}$  (see eg. [6]) where

$$\widehat{p}(T) = \sup\{p(Tx) : x \in X, p(x) \leq 1\}, \quad p \in P,$$

and  $B_P(X)$  is a unital normed algebra with respect to the norm

$$\|T\|_P = \sup\{\widehat{p}(T) : p \in P\}.$$

Let us define still some other families of linear operators. A linear operator  $T$  on  $X$  is *locally bounded*, or  $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , if there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that

$T(U)$  is bounded, and  $T$  is *compact*, or  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , if there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $T(U)$  is a relatively compact set. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{B}^0(X) = \cup\{B_P(X), P \in \mathcal{P}(X)\},$$

and by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  the set of all linear continuous operators on  $X$  (similarly  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  for two spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ). The following inclusions hold:  $\mathcal{K}(X) \subset \mathcal{LB}(X) \subset \mathcal{B}^0(X) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$  (the second inclusion which is not so obvious will be verified later, or see [11]).

Given any linear operator  $T$  on  $X$ , we define the spectrum and the resolvent set of  $T$  with respect to various algebras. For  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ :  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  iff  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  exists in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , for  $T \in Q_P(X)$ :  $\lambda \in \rho(Q_P, T)$  iff  $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$  exists in  $Q_P(X)$  and similarly  $\rho(B_P, T)$  for  $T \in B_P(X)$ . The corresponding complements in  $\mathbb{C}$  will be denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma(Q_P, T)$  and  $\sigma(B_P, T)$ . Obviously,  $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(Q_P, T) \subset \sigma(B_P, T)$  for  $T \in B_P(X)$ . It is known that  $\sigma(B_P, T)$  is bounded and closed for  $T \in B_P(X)$  ([2]), but in general the above spectra can be unbounded. In the case when  $\sigma(T)$  is bounded we denote

$$r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

By  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  we shall denote the range of an operator  $T$ . Let  $S$  be a map on  $X$  which may be nonlinear. If there exist  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $c > 0$  such that

$$p(Sx) \leq cp(x), \quad x \in X, \quad p \in P,$$

$S$  will be called, as in [5], a *P-bounded map*.

## 2. Main results

Let us first prove two useful lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $p, q$  be two seminorms on  $X$  such that:  $q(x) \leq 1$  for each  $x \in X$  for which  $p(x) < 1$ . Then*

$$q(x) \leq p(x), \quad x \in X.$$

PROOF: Let  $0 \leq p(z) < q(z)$  for some  $z \in X$ . Then there is some  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $p(z) < \lambda < q(z)$ , hence  $p(z/\lambda) < 1$  and  $q(z/\lambda) > 1$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , then there exists a common calibration  $P' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $T_1, T_2 \in B_{P'}(X)$ .*

PROOF: We may take a basic calibration  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Then there exist neighborhoods  $U_1, U_2$  such that  $T_i(U_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are bounded. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $U_i$  is the open semiball corresponding to the seminorm  $p_i \in P$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . For every  $p \in P$  there are  $\lambda_1^{(p)}, \lambda_2^{(p)} \geq 0$  such that

$\sup\{p(T_i x) : x \in U_i\} \leq \lambda_i^{(p)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . We assume firstly that  $\lambda_i^{(p)} > 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . For  $x \in X$  for which  $p_i(x) < 1$  it follows  $p(T_i x/\lambda_i^{(p)}) \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and by Lemma 1 we obtain

$$p(T_i x) \leq \lambda_i^{(p)} p_i(x), \quad x \in X, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Since  $P$  is a basic calibration there is some  $p_0 \in P$  such that  $p_i(x) \leq p_0(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence for  $\lambda_p = \max\{\lambda_1^{(p)}, \lambda_2^{(p)}\}$  we have

$$p(T_i x) \leq \lambda_p p_0(x), \quad p \in P, \quad x \in X, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If one of  $\lambda_i^{(p)}$  is zero, then  $p(T_i x) = 0$  for each  $x \in X$  and the above inequality trivially holds. Especially, we have  $p_0(T_i x) \leq \lambda_0 p_0(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Let us define  $P' = \{p', p \in P\}$ , where

$$p'(x) = \max\{p(x), \lambda_p p_0(x)\}, \quad x \in X.$$

We readily verify that  $P'$  is again a calibration. Now, we can estimate for any  $p' \in P'$  and  $i = 1, 2$

$$p'(T_i x) = \max\{p(T_i x), \lambda_p p_0(T_i x)\} \leq \lambda_p c_0 p_0(x) \leq c_0 p'(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $c_0 = \max\{1, \lambda_0\}$ . Hence  $T_i \in B_{P'}(X)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . □

Taking  $T_1 = T_2$  we obtain

**Corollary.** *Each  $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{B}^0(X)$ .*

If we take  $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , then  $T \in B_P(X)$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and hence  $\sigma(B_P, T)$  is bounded and then  $\sigma(T)$  is bounded, too. We shall first prove some generalizations of some results from [5].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Hausdorff locally convex spaces,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $K \in \mathcal{LB}(Y)$ . Let  $S$  be a map on  $X$  such that for some  $P' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and some  $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$(1) \quad p'(Sx) \leq (r(K) + \varepsilon)^{-1} p'(x), \quad p' \in P', \quad x \in X.$$

*If  $T = KTS$ , then  $T = 0$ .*

PROOF: Let us choose any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ . Then there exists a neighborhood of zero  $U_0$  on  $Y$  such that  $K(U_0)$  is bounded. We may assume that  $U_0$  is an open semiball corresponding to  $p_0 \in P$ . Let us denote  $B = \overline{cob}K(U_0)$  the absolute convex closed hull of  $K(U_0)$  and  $Y_B = span(B)$  the linear span of  $B$ . This is a normed space with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_B$ , the Minkowski's functional of  $B$ . It is not hard to see that the topology induced by this norm is finer than the relative topology

induced by  $P$ . Clearly,  $K(Y) \subset Y_B$  since  $U_0$  is absorbent and  $K(U_0) \subset B$  and it follows  $\|Kx\|_B \leq 1$  for each  $x \in Y$  such that  $p_0(x) < 1$ . By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(2) \quad \|Kx\|_B \leq p_0(x), \quad x \in Y,$$

hence the map  $K : Y \rightarrow Y_B$  is continuous. Let us prove that  $K_B := K|_{Y_B}$  is continuous on  $Y_B$ . Since  $B$  is bounded there is some  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $B \subset \lambda U_0$ , hence  $K(B) \subset \lambda K(U_0) \subset \lambda B$ . Consequently, for all  $x \in Y_B$  such that  $\|x\|_B < 1$  it follows that  $\lambda^{-1}\|Kx\|_B \leq 1$  and by Lemma 1 we have

$$\|Kx\|_B \leq \lambda\|x\|_B, \quad x \in Y_B.$$

Denote by  $J : Y_B \rightarrow Y$  the inclusion map, then clearly  $K_B = KJ$ . Since the norm topology on  $Y_B$  is finer than the relative one, we obtain ([3])  $\sigma(K) - \{0\} = \sigma(K_B) - \{0\}$ . Thus,  $r(K) = r(K_B)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P'$  is a basic calibration and (1) again holds. By the supposed equality it follows that  $Tx \in Y_B$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $T = K^n T S^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix any  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by the continuity of  $K_B$  and  $T$  and by the inequalities (1) and (2) we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx\|_B &= \|K^{n+1} T S^{n+1} x\|_B = \|K_B^n K T S^{n+1} x\|_B \leq \|K_B^n\|_B \cdot \|K T S^{n+1} x\|_B \\ &\leq \|K_B^n\|_B \cdot p_0(T S^{n+1} x) \leq \|K_B^n\|_B \cdot C \cdot p'_1(S^{n+1} x) \\ &\leq C \cdot \|K_B^n\|_B \cdot (r(K) + \varepsilon)^{-(n+1)} p'_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p'_1 \in P'$ . For the above  $\varepsilon > 0$  take any  $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large to yield  $\|K_B^n\|_B < (r(K_B) + \delta)^n$ . Then

$$\|Tx\|_B \leq C \cdot (r(K) + \delta)^n \cdot (r(K) + \varepsilon)^{-(n+1)} \cdot p'_1(x).$$

Sending  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain  $Tx = 0$  and since  $x \in X$  is arbitrary we have  $T = 0$ . □

As in [5] we call  $K \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$  *decomposable at 0* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have a decomposition  $X = M \oplus N$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are nontrivial invariant subspaces of  $K$  and  $r(K|_M) < \varepsilon$ .

Let us prove the following result for locally convex spaces.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $Y$  a complete Hausdorff locally convex space,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{LB}(Y)$  and  $S$  a  $P$ -bounded map on  $X$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and such that  $T = KTS$ . Then*

- (i) if  $r(K) = 0$ , then  $T = 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , then  $T$  has finite rank;
- (iii) if  $K$  is decomposable at 0, then  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is not dense in  $Y$ .

PROOF: (i) Since  $S$  is  $P$ -bounded we have  $p(Sx) \leq cp(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $p \in P$ , for some  $c > 0$ . Let us choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < 1/c$ . Then  $p(Sx) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}p(x)$ ,  $p \in P$ ,  $x \in X$ , and by Lemma 3,  $T = 0$ .

(ii) Now, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\varepsilon < (2c)^{-1}$ . Since  $K$  is compact, its spectrum  $\sigma(K)$  is a compact set, it has no limit point other than 0 and each  $\lambda \in \sigma(K)$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , is an eigenvalue ([3]). For a locally bounded operator one can generalize the Riesz functional calculus to locally convex spaces (see [10]). Denote  $\sigma_\varepsilon = \{\lambda \in \sigma(K) : |\lambda| < \varepsilon\}$  and by  $P_\varepsilon$  the corresponding projector for which  $P_\varepsilon K = K P_\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma(K|_{R(P_\varepsilon)}) = \sigma_\varepsilon$ . By the same calibration  $P$  as in (i) we have:  $p(Sx) \leq (2\varepsilon)^{-1}p(x) \leq (r(P_\varepsilon K) + \varepsilon)^{-1}p(x)$ ,  $p \in P$ ,  $x \in X$ , since  $P_\varepsilon T = P_\varepsilon^2 K T S = P_\varepsilon K P_\varepsilon T S$ , by Lemma 3,  $P_\varepsilon T = 0$ , hence  $T = (I - P_\varepsilon)T$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is contained in the finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{R}(I - P_\varepsilon)$ .

(iii) Again choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  as in (ii) and use the decomposition  $X = M \oplus N$  where  $r(K|_M) < \varepsilon$ . Denote by  $P_M : Y \rightarrow M$  the corresponding projector. As in (ii) we obtain  $P_M T = 0$ , and since  $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset \mathcal{R}(I - P_M)$ , the range of  $T$  is not dense.  $\square$

As it is shown in [5], for two given operators  $A, B$  with  $\mathcal{R}(A) \subset \mathcal{R}(B)$  acting between Banach spaces there exists a bounded map  $S$  (which need not be linear) such that  $A = BS$ . This result can be generalized to the case in which the final space is locally convex.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $X, Z$  be Banach spaces and  $Y$  a Hausdorff locally convex space. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(Z, Y)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(A) \subset \mathcal{R}(B)$ . Then there exists a map  $S$  (not linear in general) from  $X$  into  $Z$  such that  $A = BS$  and such that for some  $C > 0$*

$$\|Sx\| \leq C\|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

The proof is the same as in [5] and we omit it.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $Y$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space,  $K \in \mathcal{L}B(Y)$  and  $M := \mathcal{R}(T) \subset Y$  for some continuous operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $X$  into  $Y$  and let  $M \subset K(M)$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) if  $r(K) = 0$ , then  $M = \{0\}$ ;
- (ii) if  $K \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$ , then  $M$  is finite-dimensional;
- (iii) if  $K$  is decomposable at 0, then  $M$  is not dense in  $Y$ .

PROOF: Since  $\mathcal{R}(T) \subset \mathcal{R}(KT)$ , by Lemma 5 there is some  $\|\cdot\|$ -bounded map  $S : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $T = KTS$  and by Theorem 4 all statements follow immediately.  $\square$

We shall now consider some invariant subspace problems on locally convex spaces. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{L}_b(X)$  the space  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  endowed with the topology  $\tau_b$  of uniform convergence on bounded sets.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $\mathcal{A}$  an operator algebra in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}(S)$  for some continuous operator  $S$*

from a Banach space  $Y$  into  $\mathcal{L}_b(X)$ . Let there exist an operator  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  and an operator  $K_2 \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$  which is decomposable at 0, such that

$$AK_1 \subset K_2A.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

PROOF: If  $\mathcal{A}$  had no invariant subspace then by a generalized Lomonosov's theorem (see [7]) there exists an  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_0K_1z = z, z \neq 0, z \in X$ . Define  $Ty := (Sy)(z), y \in Y$ , and let us prove that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y, X)$ . Let us choose any  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , any  $p \in P$  and any bounded set  $M$  which contains  $z \in X$ . Then by the continuity of  $S$  there is some  $C_p^M > 0$  such that  $q_p^M(Sy) := \sup\{p((Sy)x) : x \in M\} \leq C_p^M \|y\|$  and hence for any  $y \in Y$

$$p(Ty) = p((Sy)z) \leq C_p^M \|y\|.$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{A}z = \{Az, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}z = \{0\}$ , then  $V = \text{span}\{z\}$  is an invariant subspace for  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}z \neq \{0\}$  then  $\mathcal{A}z$  is a range of a nonzero continuous operator  $T$  and clearly,  $\mathcal{A}z$  is invariant for  $\mathcal{A}$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $Az = AA_0K_1z = K_2A_2z$  for some  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}z \subset K_2(\mathcal{A}z)$ . By part (iii) of Theorem 6,  $\mathcal{A}z$  is not dense in  $X$ , hence  $\overline{\mathcal{A}z}$  is a proper invariant subspace for  $\mathcal{A}$ . □

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathbb{C}.I$  a Banach algebra in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  with a norm topology finer than the topology  $\tau_b$  inherited from  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and let there be some  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $K_2 \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , decomposable at 0, such that*

$$AK_1 \subset K_2A.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

The algebra of universally bounded operators is a normed algebra with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_P$  for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  and it is complete whenever  $X$  is complete (see [11]). Thus, we have

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $B_P(X) \neq \mathbb{C}.I$  and let exist  $K_1 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $K_2 \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , decomposable at 0, such that*

$$B_P(X)K_1 \subset K_2B_P(X).$$

Then  $B_P(X)$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $X$  be a complete Hausdorff locally convex space and  $\mathcal{A} \neq \mathbb{C}.I$  an operator algebra in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Let there be some continuous operator  $T$  from a Banach space  $Y$  into  $\mathcal{L}_b(X)$  such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{R}(T)$  and let there be some  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that*

$$AK_1 \subset K_2A.$$

Then the commutant of  $\mathcal{A}$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.

PROOF: If the commutant  $\mathcal{A}'$  had no invariant subspace then by Lomonosov's theorem [7] there exist an operator  $B \in \mathcal{A}'$  and a nonzero  $z \in X$  such that  $BK_1z = z$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  it follows:  $Az = ABK_1z = BAK_1z = BK_2A_1z$  for some  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Hence the linear manifold  $\mathcal{A}z$  satisfies the inclusion  $\mathcal{A}z \subset (BK_2)\mathcal{A}z$  and as in the above proof we see that  $\mathcal{A}z = \mathcal{R}(T)$ , where  $T$  is a continuous operator from a Banach space. By part (ii) of Theorem 6 it follows that  $\mathcal{A}z$  is finite-dimensional. Let us choose  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_0 \neq \lambda I$ . If  $\mathcal{A}z = \{0\}$  then  $A_0$  has a nontrivial nullspace  $M \supset span\{z\}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}z \neq \{0\}$  then it is a finite-dimensional invariant subspace for  $A_0$ . Thus  $A_0$  has a nontrivial eigenspace which is invariant for all operators commuting with  $A_0$ , and  $\mathcal{A}'$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.** Let  $X$  be a complete infra-barrelled locally convex space and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $A \neq \lambda I$  and such that for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  it satisfies the condition:

$$p(A^n x) \leq C_p p(x), \quad x \in X, p \in P, C_p \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let there be some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  such that

$$AK = KA^k.$$

Then  $A$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.

PROOF: Let us choose any sequence  $\{a_n\} \in l_1$  and define

$$S_n x = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j A^j x, \quad x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find for arbitrary  $p \in P$  and any bounded set  $M$ , sufficiently large  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m > n$ , such that the following estimations hold

$$q_p^M(S_m - S_n) = \sup_{x \in M} p\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^m a_j A^j x\right) \leq C_p \sup_{x \in M} p(x) \cdot \sum_{j=n+1}^m |a_j| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $\{S_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}_b(X)$ , since it is quasicomplete ([9]) it is also sequentially complete and we have for each sequence  $\{a_n\} \in l_1$  an operator  $S = \sum a_j A^j \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Denote  $\mathcal{A} = \{S := \sum a_j A^j : \{a_j\} \in l_1\}$ . Then by an estimation similar to the one given above we can prove that the map  $\{a_j\} \rightarrow S$  is a continuous map of  $l_1$  into  $\mathcal{L}_b(X)$ . So,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a range of a continuous operator from a Banach space and clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. In the same manner as in [5] we have  $SK = KS_1$  where  $S, S_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and the conclusion follows by Theorem 10.  $\square$

Let us now generalize a result from [8].



**Theorem 12.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space,  $A \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$  and  $\{K_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  a sequence of operators from  $B_P(X)$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $\|K_n\|_P \rightarrow 0$  and  $K_0 \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ . Let the following relations hold*

$$K_n A = A K_{n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

*Then  $A$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

PROOF: By the above relations it immediately follows that  $K_0 A^n = A^n K_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and clearly  $K_0 A$  is compact, too. Denote  $\mathcal{A} = \{A\}'$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  had no invariant subspace, then by [7] there exists  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $1 \in \sigma_p(A_1 K_0 A)$  (the point spectrum). Since  $A_1 K_0 A$  is also compact, then  $1 \in \sigma_p((A_1 K_0 A)^*)$ , too ([3]). Thus, there is some  $f \in X', f \neq 0$  such that  $(A_1 K_0 A)^* f = f$ . Consequently, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$(3) \quad K_n^* A_1^* A^* (A^*)^{n-1} f = (A^*)^n K_0^* A_1^* f = (A^*)^{n-1} f.$$

If  $(A^*)^n f = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\ker(A^*) \neq \{0\}$  and then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)}^\perp \neq \{0\}$  ([9]) (where for  $M \subset X : M^\perp = \{f \in X' : f(x) = 0, x \in M\}$ ). So,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(A)} \neq X$ . In this case this set is a proper hyperinvariant subspace of  $A$ . If  $g_n := (A^*)^{n-1} f \neq 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then (3) implies

$$K_n^* A_1^* A^* g_n = g_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us prove that there exists some  $P' \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that all  $K_n$  and  $A_1 A$  are in  $B_{P'}(X)$ . Clearly,  $AA_1$  is also locally bounded, hence there is some neighborhood  $U_0$  for which  $AA_1(U_0)$  is bounded. We may assume that  $U_0$  is the semiball corresponding to some  $p_0 \in P$ . Thus, we have  $\sup\{p(AA_1 x) : x \in U_0\} \leq \lambda_p$ ,  $p \in P$ . Without loss of generality we may also assume that  $\lambda_p > 0$  for each  $p \in P$ . By Lemma 1 we obtain

$$p(AA_1 x) \leq \lambda_p p_0(x), \quad x \in X, p \in P,$$

and especially also  $p_0(AA_1 x) \leq \lambda_0 p_0(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ . At the same time we have

$$p(K_n x) \leq \|K_n\|_P \cdot p(x), \quad x \in X, p \in P.$$

Let us define  $P' = \{p'\}$ , where

$$p'(x) = \max\{p(x), \lambda_p p_0(x)\}, \quad x \in X, p \in P.$$

It is easy to see that  $P'$  is again a calibration on  $X$  and for each  $x \in X$  and  $p' \in P'$  we can estimate

$$p'(AA_1 x) = \max\{p(AA_1 x), \lambda_p p_0(AA_1 x)\} \leq \lambda_p c_0 p_0(x) \leq c_0 p'(x),$$

where  $c_0 = \max\{1, \lambda_0\}$ , and by a simple verification we also have

$$p'(K_n x) \leq \|K_n\|_{P.p'}(x), \quad x \in X, p' \in P'.$$

Thus, all  $K_n$  and  $AA_1$  are in  $B_{P'}(X)$  and  $\|K_n\|_{P'} \leq \|K_n\|_P$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us take an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $g_n \in X'$ , there is some  $p'_n \in P'$  with the corresponding quotient space  $X_n := X/\ker p'_n$  (which is a normed space with respect to the norm  $\|\hat{x}_n\|_n = p'_n(x)$ , where  $\hat{x}_n = x + \ker p'_n$ ) such that  $g_n \in (X_n)'$  (see [4]). For any  $x \in X$  we can now estimate

$$|g_n(x)| = |g_n(AA_1 K_n x)| \leq \|g_n\|_n p'_n(AA_1 K_n x) \leq \|g_n\|_n \|AA_1\|_{P'} \|K_n\|_P p'_n(x).$$

Taking supremum over all  $x \in X$  for which  $p'_n(x) = \|\hat{x}_n\|_n \leq 1$  we obtain

$$\|g_n\|_n \leq \|g_n\|_n \|AA_1\|_{P'} \|K_n\|_P,$$

hence

$$1 \leq \|AA_1\|_{P'} \|K_n\|_P.$$

Since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary and  $\|K_n\|_P \rightarrow 0$ , we have a contradiction. □

Finally, we give some generalization of some results from [1].

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $A \neq \lambda I$ . Let*

$$AK = \mu KA$$

*for some nonzero  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $A$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

The proof of this theorem and of the following one is for a locally convex space the same as for a normed space and we omit it.

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $A \neq \lambda I$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  of finite dimension such that  $A\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}A$  and such that  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{K}(X) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $A$  has a nontrivial hyperinvariant subspace.*

We shall give the following variant of generalization of a result from [1].

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff locally convex space and  $A \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  nontrivial operators such that there exist  $\lambda, \theta \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|\lambda| < 1$  and  $|\theta| \leq 1$  with the properties*

$$BA = \lambda AB \quad \text{and} \quad BK = \theta KB.$$

*Then  $A$  has a nontrivial invariant subspace.*

PROOF: Since also  $K \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ , by Lemma 2 there exists a calibration  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  such that  $A, K \in B_P(X)$ . If  $A$  had no nontrivial invariant subspace then the

same would be true for the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $A^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By [7] then there exist  $S \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \neq 0$  such that  $SKx = x$ . Since  $S = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j A^j$  for some  $\{\lambda_j\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j A^j)Kx = x$  and for each  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  also  $B^m(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j A^j)Kx = B^m x$ . Taking into account the supposed relations we have

$$B^m A^j K = \lambda^{mj} \theta^m A^j K B^m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and we obtain

$$(4) \quad [(\lambda_1 \lambda^m \theta^m A + \lambda_2 \lambda^{2m} \theta^m A^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \lambda^{nm} \theta^m A^n)K]B^m x = B^m x.$$

Denote by  $T_m$  the operator in the square brackets. Then for each  $p \in P$  and  $y \in X$  we can estimate  $p(T_m y) \leq M_{m,n} p(y)$ , where

$$M_{m,n} = |\lambda|^m |\theta|^m \|A\|_P [|\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2| |\lambda|^m \|A\|_P + \dots + |\lambda_n| |\lambda|^{(n-1)m} \|A\|_P^{n-1}]. \|K\|_P.$$

Thus,  $T_m \in B_P(X)$  and  $\|T_m\|_P \rightarrow 0$  for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . In virtue of (4) we obtain for any  $p \in P$  and  $x \in X$

$$p(B^m x) = p(T_m B^m x) \leq \|T_m\|_P \cdot p(B^m x)$$

and if we choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|T_k\|_P < 1$ , we have  $p(B^k x) = 0$  for all  $p \in P$ . Consequently,  $B^k x = 0$ . So,  $B$  has a nontrivial kernel which is an invariant subspace for  $A$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Brown S., *Connections between an operator and a compact operator that yields hyperinvariant subspaces*, J. Oper. Theory **1** (1979), 117–121.
- [2] Chilana A.K., *Invariant subspaces for linear operators in locally convex spaces*, J. Lond. Soc. **2** (1970), 493–503.
- [3] Edwards R.E., *Functional Analysis, Theory and Applications*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1965.
- [4] Floret K., Wloka J., *Einführung in die Theorie der lokalkonvexen Räume*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 56, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1968.
- [5] Fong C.K., Nordgren E.A., Radjabalipour M., Radjavi H., Rosenthal P., *Extensions of Lomonosov's invariant subspace theorem*, Acta Sci. Math. **41** (1979), 55–62.
- [6] Joseph G.A., *Boundedness and completeness in locally convex spaces and algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **24** (Series A) (1977), 50–63.
- [7] Kalnins D., *Sous-espaces hyperinvariant d'un operateur compact*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, ser. A **288** (1979), 115–116.
- [8] Kim H.W., Moore R., Pearcy C.M., *A variation of Lomonosov theorem*, J. Oper. Theory **2** (1979), 131–140.
- [9] Köthe G., *Topological vector spaces II*, N. York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1979.
- [10] Lerer L.E., *K spektralnoj teoriji ograničenih operatorov v lokalno vipuklom prostranstve*, Matem. Issled. **2** (1967), 206–214.
- [11] Moore R.T., *Banach algebras of operators on locally convex spaces*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 68–73.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19,  
1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

(Received November 26, 1996)