

Toshihiro Hamachi

Type III₀ cocycles without unbounded gaps

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 4, 713--720

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118798>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Type III₀ cocycles without unbounded gaps

TOSHIHIRO HAMACHI

Abstract. An example of type III₀ cocycle without unbounded gaps of an ergodic probability measure preserving transformation will be shown.

Keywords: ergodic measure preserving transformation, type III₀ cocycle, T-set, cocycle with unbounded gaps

Classification: 28D05, 28D15

1. Introduction

In this note, we give an answer to M. Lemańczyk’s question about type III₀ cocycles ([3]). Let T be an ergodic probability measure preserving transformation of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) . A measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a cocycle with unbounded gaps if there exists a sequence of open intervals P_n such that $|P_n| \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\{f^{(k)}(x) : x \in X, k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cap P_n = \emptyset$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Here $f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f(T^i x)$, if $k > 0$, $f^{(0)}(x) = 0$, $f^{(k)}(x) = -\sum_{i=k}^{-1} f(T^i x)$ if $k < 0$. In [4] M. Lemańczyk considers cocycles whose restriction to a measurable subset has unbounded gaps. This property is invariant up to cohomology. His question is whether it is a generic property among all type III₀ recurrent cocycles or not. We will show that there exists an example of type III₀ recurrent cocycle of an ergodic probability measure preserving transformation whose no restriction has unbounded gaps.

2. Construction

Here let us recall the notion of orbit cocycle. Let T be an ergodic probability measure preserving transformation of a Lebesgue space (X, \mathcal{B}, m) . Each measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a cocycle. Denote by

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(T) = \{(x, T^k x) : x \in X, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

and call it the relation generated by T . An orbit cocycle is any measurable function $\psi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfying

$$\psi(x, y) + \psi(y, z) = \psi(x, z)$$

for all $(x, y), (y, z) \in \mathcal{R}$. Since T acts freely, the set of all cocycles is bijectively mapped to the set of all orbit cocycles by the map

$$f \rightarrow \psi$$

where

$$\psi(x, y) = f^{(k)}(x) \text{ if } y = T^k x.$$

If $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then put

$$\mathcal{R}_B = \mathcal{R} \cap (B \times B).$$

The corresponding restricted orbit cocycle ψ_B is defined as

$$\psi_B(x, y) = \psi(x, y), (x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_B.$$

Let $\{N_s\}_{s \geq 0}$ be a sequence of positive integers satisfying that

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_s}} < \infty, \quad N_0 = 0$$

and set $M_s = N_1 + N_2 + \cdots + N_s$ and $I_s = \{2M_{s-1} + 1, 2M_{s-1} + 2, \cdots, 2M_s\}$. Define the infinite product probability measure space

$$(X, m) = \prod_{s=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in I_s} (\{0, 1\}, \{1/2, 1/2\})$$

and let \mathcal{B} be the smallest sigma algebra which makes each coordinate variable of X measurable. The transformation of X which we consider is the adding machine transformation T defined for $x = (x_n) \in X$ by

$$Tx = (x_1, x_2, \dots) + (1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

where the addition is the coordinatewise addition with right carry. Then,

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x_n = y_n \text{ for all but a finite number of } n\}.$$

Define an orbit cocycle $\psi(x, y)$ by setting for $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^s \left(\sum_{i \in I_s} x_i - \sum_{i \in I_s} y_i \right).$$

Notice that the sum is a finite sum.

Theorem 2.1. *The above cocycle ψ of \mathcal{R} is of type III₀, recurrent and does not admit any restricted cocycle with unbounded gaps.*

In a series of lemmas and propositions, we will complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Let $n \geq 1$ and define the probability space $(X_n, m_n) = \prod_1^{2n}(\{0, 1\}, \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\})$.

Definition 2.1. *Let $A, B \subset X_n$ and $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be an bijection. Suppose ϕ satisfies the two conditions:*

1. $\sum_1^{2n} \phi(x)_i - x_i = 1, \quad \forall x \in A$.
2. *The subset A is maximal in the sense that if $\phi' : A' \rightarrow B'$ is another bijection satisfying the condition (1) and if $A' \supset A$, then $A = A'$.*

We call such a map ϕ a lacunary map and write $A = \text{Dom}(\phi), B = \text{Im}(\phi)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Any lacunary map ϕ satisfies $m_n((\text{Dom}(\phi))^c) = O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF: Set $S_{2n}(x) = \sum_1^{2n} x_i$, and $E_k = \{S_{2n}(x) = k\}, 0 \leq k \leq 2n$. If $k < n$ then $\#E_k < \#E_{k+1}$. This means $\cup_{k=0}^{n-1} E_k \subset \text{Dom } \phi$. On the other hand, $\#E_k > \#E_{k+1}$, if $k \geq n$. Therefore, $\#((\text{Dom } \phi)^c \cap E_k) = \#E_k - \#E_{k+1}, k \geq n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} m((\text{Dom } \phi)^c) &= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=n}^{2n} (\#E_k - \#E_{k+1}) \\ &= (\#E_n - \#E_{2n})/2^{2n} \\ &< \#E_n/2^{2n} \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}n!n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Stirling's formula, the right hand $\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi}}$. □

Definition 2.2. *By $[\mathcal{R}]_*$ we denote the set of all measurable injective maps $g : A \rightarrow B = g(A)$, where A and B are measurable subsets of X , such that*

$$gx \in \{y \mid (y, x) \in \mathcal{R}\}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in A.$$

Such maps are called \mathcal{R} -partial transformations.

Note that \mathcal{R} -partial transformations preserve the restricted measures.

Proposition 2.1. *For any measurable subset $E \subset X$ of positive measure, the restricted cocycle ψ_E of \mathcal{R}_E does not have unbounded gaps.*

PROOF: Let $E \subset X$. Notice that for a.e. $x \in E$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(E \cap [x_1, \dots, x_n]_1^n)}{m([x_1, \dots, x_n]_1^n)} = 1.$$

For each $s \geq 1$, we let ϕ_s be a lacunary map for $\prod_{i \in I_s} (\{0, 1\}, \{1/2, 1/2\})$. Let ϕ_s act on X by setting

$$\phi_s(x)_i = \begin{cases} (\phi_s([x]_{I_s}))_{i-2M_{s-1}} & \text{if } i \in I_s, \\ x_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\phi_s \in [\mathcal{R}]_*$ and $\psi(\phi_s x, x) = 2^s, x \in \text{Dom}(\phi_s)$.

For a.e. $x \in E$, there exists an integer $T \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{m(E \cap [x_1, \dots, x_n]_1^n)}{m([x_1, \dots, x_n]_1^n)} > \frac{3}{4}, \quad \forall n \geq 2N_T.$$

By $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}$ we denote the word $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{2M_T}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and the assumption on $\{N_s\}_{s \geq 1}$ that we may assume that

$$\prod_{s=T+1}^{\infty} m(\text{Dom } \phi_s) > \frac{3}{4}.$$

We are going to show that

$$m(x \in E \mid \exists y \in E \text{ such that } (y, x) \in \mathcal{R}, \psi(y, x) = l2^{T+1}) > 0, \quad \forall l \geq 1.$$

Then this means ψ_E does not admit unbounded gaps.

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m([\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T} \cap \bigcap_{s=T+1}^{\infty} \text{Dom } \phi_s)}{m([\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})} &= m\left(\bigcap_{s=T+1}^{\infty} \text{Dom } \phi_s\right) \\ &> \frac{3}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{m([\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T} \cap E \cap \bigcap_{s=T+1}^{\infty} \text{Dom } \phi_s)}{m([\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Set

$$E' = [\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T} \cap E \cap \bigcap_{s=T+1}^{\infty} \text{Dom } \phi_s,$$

and for each $l \geq 1$ let $l \cdot 2^{T+1} = \sum_{s=1}^L 2^s l_s$ be a dyadic expansion. Set $I = \{s \in [T+1, L] \mid l_s = 1\}$, then $I \neq \emptyset$. Let us define an \mathcal{R} -partial transformation f by setting for $x \in E', s \geq 0, j \in I_s$,

$$f(x)_j = \begin{cases} (\phi_s(x))_j, & \text{if } j \in I_s, \text{ for some } s \in I, \\ x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f is measure preserving, it follows that $f \in [\mathcal{R}]_*$ with $\text{Dom } f = E'$ and that

$$\frac{m(f(E') \cap [\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T} \cap E)}{m([\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})} > \frac{1}{4} > 0.$$

If we set $F = \{x \in E' \mid f(x) \in E\}$. Then, $m(F) > 0, f(F) \subset E, \psi(f(x), x) = l2^{T+1}, \forall x \in F$. □

Proposition 2.2. *The orbit cocycle ψ is recurrent.*

PROOF: For each $s \geq 0$ let θ_s be a transformation of $\prod_{i \in I_s} (\{0, 1\}, \{1/2, 1/2\})$ which transitively acts on each set $\{x \mid S_{2N_s} = j\}$, $0 \leq j \leq 2N_s$. θ_s can naturally act on the infinite product probability measure space (X, m) . Then, $\theta_s \in [\mathcal{R}]_*$ and $\psi(\theta_s(x), x) = 0$, $x \in X$. Now for any measurable subset E of positive measure, we have an integer T and a word $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{2M_T}$ such that

$$\frac{m(E \cap [\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})}{m([\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since θ_{T+1} is measure preserving, we have

$$m(E \cap [\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T} \cap \theta_{T+1}(E \cap [\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_{2M_T}]_1^{2M_T})) > 0.$$

Thus, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & m(x \in E \mid \exists y \in E \text{ such that } y \neq x, (y, x) \in \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \psi(y, x) = 0) \\ & \geq m(x \in E \mid \theta_{T+1}(x) \in E) \\ & > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means ψ is recurrent. □

Remark 2.1. *The cocycle defined by*

$$f(x) = \psi(x, y), \text{ where } y = x + (1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

is integrable with mean 0 and hence recurrent. This was kindly told the author by M. Lemańczyk.

Next we will show that ψ is of type III₀. For this, let us recall a “T-set” $T(\psi)$ of a cocycle ψ ([1]) which is the set of all real numbers t such that there exists a real measurable function $\xi(x)$ satisfying

$$e^{it\psi(y,x)} = \frac{e^{i\xi(y)}}{e^{i\xi(x)}}, \text{ a.e. } x.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). *Let $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then, $t \in T(\psi)$ if and only if there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{a_{n,t}\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n \{X_j(x) - a_{j,t}\}} \text{ exists a.e. } x$$

where for $x \in X$, $X_j(x) = X_j(x_j) = 2^s x_j$, if $j \in I_s$, $s \geq 1$.

PROOF: (←) Set

$$e^{i\xi_t(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n \{X_j(x) - a_{j,t}\}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^{i\xi_t(y)}}{e^{i\xi_t(x)}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n \{X_j(y) - X_j(x)\}} \\ &= e^{it\psi(y,x)}. \end{aligned}$$

(\rightarrow) Let for each $i \geq 1$ $g_i(k) = k + 1 \pmod{2}$. For each $n \geq 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\epsilon_i = 0$ or 1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp(i\{\xi_t(g_1^{\epsilon_1}x_1, \dots, g_n^{\epsilon_n}x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) + t\{X_1(g_1^{\epsilon_1}x_1) + \dots + X_n(g_n^{\epsilon_n}x_n)\}\}) \\ &= \exp(i\{\xi_t(x) + t\{X_1(x_1) + \dots + X_n(x_n)\}\}). \end{aligned}$$

The orbit of $(x_1 \dots, x_n)$ by the group generated by the transformations $g_1^{\epsilon_1} \times g_2^{\epsilon_2} \times \dots \times g_n^{\epsilon_n}$ is the whole set $\prod_{i=1}^n \{0, 1\}$. Hence,

$$e^{i\xi_t(x) + t \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(x)} = e^{i\xi_{n+1,t}(x)},$$

where $\xi_{n+1,t}(x)$ is a function of x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots . If we put

$$e^{iC_{n+1,t}} = \frac{E(e^{i\xi_{n+1,t}})}{|E(e^{i\xi_{n+1,t}})|}$$

then, by the martingale convergence theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-it \sum_{j=1}^n X_j + iC_{n+1,t}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E\{e^{i\xi_t} \mid \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j\}}{|E\{e^{i\xi_t} \mid \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{F}_j\}|} \\ &= \frac{e^{i\xi_t}}{|e^{i\xi_t}|} \\ &= e^{i\xi_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, \mathcal{F}_j denotes the sub σ -algebra generated by the cylinder sets $[0]_j$ and $[1]_j$. If we set

$$a_{n,t} = C_{n+1,t} - C_{n,t}, \quad C_{0,t} = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n \{X_j(x) - a_{j,t}\}} \text{ exists a.e. } x.$$

□

Lemma 2.3 ([1]). *Let $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Then, $t \in \{\frac{k}{2^s} \mid s \geq 0, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ if and only if $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} e^{2\pi i 2^s t} = 1$.*

PROOF: (\rightarrow) Obvious.

(←) Let $t = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_s}{2^s}$, where $t_s \in \{0, 1\}$. Suppose $t \notin \{\frac{k}{2^s} \mid s \geq 0, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Then, there are infinitely many s such that

$$t_s = 1 \text{ and } t_{s+1} = 0.$$

On the other hand, there exists an integer $L \geq 1$ such that

$$|e^{2\pi i 2^s t} - 1| < \sqrt{2}, \quad \forall s \geq L.$$

So, one can get an s such that $t_s = 1, t_{s+1} = 0, s \geq L + 1$.

Then,

$$e^{2\pi i 2^{s-1} t} = e^{2\pi i \{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t_{s+2}}{2^3} + \frac{t_{s+3}}{2^4} + \dots\}}.$$

Hence

$$|1 - e^{2\pi i 2^{s-1} t}| > \sqrt{2}.$$

This is a contradiction. □

Lemma 2.4 ([1]). $T(\psi) = 2\pi\{\frac{k}{2^s} \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}, s \geq 0\}$.

PROOF: Let $t = 2\pi \cdot \frac{k}{2^s}$. Then,

$$e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n X_j(x)} = e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{2^s} \sum_{j=1}^{M_s-1} X_j(x)}, \quad \forall n > M_{s-1}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we see that $t \in T(\psi)$.

Conversely, let $t \in T(\psi)$. Then, again by Lemma 2.2, there exists a sequence of real numbers $\{a_{n,t}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{it \sum_{j=1}^n \{X_j(x) - a_{j,t}\}} \text{ exists a.e. } x.$$

Since $m(X_n(x) = 0) = \frac{1}{2}$, this implies

$$e^{it a_{n,t}} \rightarrow 1, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence,

$$e^{it X_n} \rightarrow 1 \text{ a.e.}$$

On the other hand

$$m(e^{it X_n} = e^{it 2^s}) = \frac{1}{2}, \text{ if } n \in I_s.$$

Therefore, $e^{it 2^s} \rightarrow 1$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, we see that $t \in 2\pi\{\frac{k}{2^s} \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}, s \geq 0\}$. □

Proposition 2.3. *The cocycle ψ is of type III₀.*

PROOF: It is known ([2]) that $T(\psi)$ coincides with the L^∞ spectrum of the associated flow of the cocycle ψ and hence by Lemma 2.4 we see that L^∞ -spectrum of the associated flow is the set $2\pi\{\frac{k}{2^n} \mid n \geq 1, k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. This implies that the flow is neither the translation of the real line nor periodic flow, that is, ψ is of type III₀ ([2]). □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his sincere appreciation to Marius Lemańczyk for his helpful discussion and correspondence. He also thanks the referee for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hamachi T., Oka Y., Osikawa M., *A classification of ergodic non-singular transformation groups*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. **18** (1974), 113–133.
- [2] Hamachi T., Oka Y., Osikawa M., *Flows associated with ergodic non-singular transformation groups*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **11** (1975), 31–50.
- [3] Lemańczyk M., *Private communications*.
- [4] Lemańczyk M., *Analytic nonregular cocycles over irrational rotations*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **36.4** (1995), 727–735.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, ROPPONMATSU, CHUO-KU,
FUKUOKA, 810, JAPAN