

Gabriele Bonanno; Salvatore A. Marano

A characterization of the existence of solutions to some higher order boundary value problems

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 36 (1995), No. 3, 459--460

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118773>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A characterization of the existence of solutions to some higher order boundary value problems

GABRIELE BONANNO, SALVATORE A. MARANO

Abstract. The aim of this short note is to present a theorem that characterizes the existence of solutions to a class of higher order boundary value problems. This result completely answers a question previously set by the authors in [Differential Integral Equations **6** (1993), 1119–1123].

Keywords: higher order ordinary differential equations, boundary value problems

Classification: 34B15

The aim of this short paper is to point out Theorem 1 below, which characterizes the existence of solutions to a class of higher order boundary value problems and, moreover, completely answers a question previously investigated in [2] and [3, Section 3.2]. Related results can also be found in the extensive survey by Agarwal [1, Chapter 9].

Our notation and terminology are standard. In any case, we refer to [2]. The symbol $C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is used to denote the space of all continuous real-valued functions defined on $[a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Theorem 1. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The length of $[a, b]$ is less than $\pi/2$.*
- (ii) *For every function $f \in C([a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and every bounded sequence $\{x_h\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, there exists an integer $\nu \geq n + 1$ such that, for any $k \geq \nu$ and any $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in [a, b]$, the problem*

$$\begin{cases} x^{(k)} = f(t, x, x', \dots, x^{(n)}) \\ x^{(i-1)}(t_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k \end{cases}$$

admits at least one solution $u \in C^k([a, b])$.

PROOF: If (i) is true, so does (ii), by Theorem 1.1 of [2]. Example 1 below shows that (ii) \Rightarrow (i). □

Remark 1. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 1 actually holds even if the function f satisfies Carathéodory’s type conditions only (see [2, Theorem 1.1] or [3, Theorem 5]). However, in this case, one achieves generalized solutions.

Remark 2. We emphasize that in assertion (ii) of Theorem 1 no condition on the finite sequence t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k is assumed. Moreover, whenever we specialize the choice of points t_i , assertion (ii) may be true also for $b - a \geq \pi/2$. As an example, this is the case if $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_k$; see [1, Theorem 9.2] and [3, Theorem 2].

Example 1. Let $f : [0, \pi/2] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function defined by

$$f(t, z) = \sin t + z, \quad (t, z) \in [0, \pi/2] \times \mathbb{R},$$

and let $x_h = 0$ for each $h \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then, for every positive integer ν , the problem

$$\begin{cases} x^{(4\nu)} = f(t, x) \\ x^{(i-1)}(t_i) = x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu, \end{cases}$$

where $t_i = 0$ for i odd and $t_i = \pi/2$ for i even, admits no solutions in $C^{4\nu}([0, \pi/2])$.

Indeed, if $u \in C^{4\nu}([0, \pi/2])$ is a solution to the preceding problem for some $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{\pi/2} u^{(4\nu)}(t) \sin t \, dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} u(t) \sin t \, dt + \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^2 t \, dt$$

and

$$(2) \quad u^{(i-1)}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \quad u^{(i-1)}(\pi/2) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \text{ even,} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 4\nu.$$

Owing to (2), integrating by parts, one has

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} u^{(4\nu)}(t) \sin t \, dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} u^{(4\nu-2)}(t) \sin t \, dt = \dots = \int_0^{\pi/2} u(t) \sin t \, dt;$$

therefore, identity (1) becomes

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} u(t) \sin t \, dt = \int_0^{\pi/2} u(t) \sin t \, dt + \frac{\pi}{4}.$$

This is clearly absurd.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Professor G. Dal Maso for suggesting Example 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal R.P., *Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Differential Equations*, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 1986.
- [2] Bonanno G., Marano S.A., *Higher order ordinary differential equations*, *Differential Integral Equations* **6** (1993), 1119–1123.
- [3] Majorana A., Marano S.A., *Boundary value problems for higher order ordinary differential equations*, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **35** (1994), 451–466.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA ELETTRONICA E MATEMATICA APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DI REGGIO CALABRIA, VIA E. CUZZOCREA 48, 89128 REGGIO CALABRIA, ITALY

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CATANIA, VIALE A. DORIA 6, 95125 CATANIA, ITALY

(Received March 3, 1995)