Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Mihail G. Tkachenko

M-mappings make their images less cellular

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 3, 553--563

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118696

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 35,3 (1994)553–563

M-mappings make their images less cellular

Michael G. Tkačenko

Abstract. We consider M-mappings which include continuous mappings of spaces onto topological groups and continuous mappings of topological groups elsewhere. It is proved that if a space X is an image of a product of Lindelöf Σ-spaces under an M-mapping then every regular uncountable cardinal is a weak precaliber for X, and hence X has the Souslin property. An image X of a Lindelöf space under an M-mapping satisfies $cel_\omega X \leq 2^\omega$. Every M-mapping takes a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space to an $\aleph_0$-cellular space. In each of these results, the cellularity of the domain of an M-mapping can be arbitrarily large.

Keywords: M-mapping, topological group, Maltsev space, $\aleph_0$-cellularity

Classification: 54A25

1. Introduction

We define the notion of an M-mapping that takes its origin from topological groups and Maltsev spaces (see [7], [18] for the discussion of Maltsev spaces). Then we prove the results mentioned above thus generalizing [11, Theorem 1], [16, Theorem 1] and [9, Theorem 1.1].

Let G be a topological group. The mapping $F : G^3 \to G$ defined by $F(x, y, z) = x \cdot y^{-1} \cdot z$ is continuous and satisfies the condition

$$(*) \qquad F(x, y, y) = F(y, y, x) = x \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

This reason gives rise for the notion of Maltsev spaces [7], [18], or M-spaces for short: we say that X is an M-space, if there exists a continuous mapping $F : X^3 \to X$ satisfying $(*)$. The mapping F is called a Maltsev operation on X. It is clear that every topological group is an M-space. In fact, every retract of a topological group is an M-space (if r is a continuous retraction of a topological group G onto its subspace X, define $F : X^3 \to X$ by $F(x, y, z) = r(x \cdot y^{-1} \cdot z)$ for all x, y, z of X). It is an open problem whether every M-space is a retract of some topological group.

Every σ-compact topological group has the Souslin property [11]. Uspenskiĭ [17] generalized this result by proving that any Maltsev Lindelöf Σ-space has the same property (and even is $\aleph_0$- cellular). In fact, a little bit more is proved in [11]: if γ is a family of open sets in a σ-compact topological group G and the cardinality of γ is uncountable and regular, then there exists a subfamily μ of γ such that $|\mu| = |\gamma|$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all U, V of μ. In other words, every uncountable regular cardinal is a weak precaliber for G. The same conclusion can be proved for a Lindelöf Σ-group or more generally, for a Maltsev Lindelöf Σ-space.

Our aim is to show that both the Souslin property and the weak precaliber property arise when the structures of an M-space and of a Lindelöf Σ-space are 'separated' by a continuous mapping of a special kind. In fact, the structure of an M-space will be completely replaced by the so-called M-mapping.

1.1 Definition. *We call $f : X \to Y$ an M-mapping provided that there exists a continuous mapping $F : X^3 \to Y$ such that $F(x, y, y) = F(y, y, x) = f(x)$ for all x, y of X.*

It is clear that every M-mapping is continuous. If $f : X \to Y$ is continuous and X (or Y) is an M-space, then f is an M-mapping. One can easily see that if every continuous mapping of a space X to elsewhere is an M-mapping, then X is an M-space. Furthermore, X is an M-space iff the identity mapping id_X is an M-mapping. Also note that $f : X \to Y$ is an M-mapping if there exist an 'intermediate' M-space Z and continuous mappings $g : Z \to Y$ and $h : X \to Z$ such that $f = g \circ h$. The class of M-mappings does not consist only of mappings generated by M-spaces: it is not necessary to require that g be defined on the whole space Z; it suffices to assume that g is defined on a subspace Z_0 of Z containing the set $F_0(h(X)^3)$, where F_0 is a Maltsev operation for Z. Indeed, define a continuous mapping $F : X^3 \to Y$ by $F(x, y, z) = gF_0(h(x), h(y), h(z))$ for all x, y, z of X. Obviously, F is as in Definition 1.1. Note that neither $h(X)$ nor Z_0 have to be M-subspaces of Z. This is the reason why the notion of an M-mapping seems to be flexible. Some additional information on M-mappings is contained in [13].

We denote by $w(X)$, $l(X)$ and $c(X)$ the weight, Lindelöf number and cellularity of a space X respectively. A G_τ-set in X is an intersection of at most τ many open subsets of X. The τ-cellularity of X, denoted by $cel_\tau X$, is the least cardinal λ such that every family γ of G_τ-sets in X contains a subfamily μ of cardinality less than or equal to λ satisfying $cl(\cup\mu) = cl(\cup\lambda)$. A space X is said to be τ-cellular if $cel_\tau X \le \tau$.

All considered spaces are assumed to be completely regular.

The notion of a Σ-space (see [8]) is one of the most important in the paper. For the reader's convenience we give some definitions related to this concept.

1.2 Definition. *We call X a Σ-space, if there are two covers $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of X such that $\mathcal{K}$ is σ-locally finite and consists of closed sets, $\mathcal{C}$ consists of countably compact sets and for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and every neighborhood U of C there exists $K \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $C \subseteq K \subseteq U$. If in addition $|\mathcal{K}| \le \tau$, we call X a $\Sigma(\tau)$-space.*

Note that every countably compact space is obviously a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space. The classes of Σ- and $\Sigma(\tau)$-spaces are closed with respect to countable unions and passing to perfect (even quasiperfect) images [8].

The following cardinal invariant was defined by Arhangel'skiĭ [1] in a slightly different form. Let βX be the Čech-Stone compactification of X and $\mathcal{F}$ the family of all closed subsets of βX.

1.3 Definition. *The* <u>*Nagami number*</u> *of a space X is the cardinal number*

$$Nag(X) = \min\{|\gamma| : (\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{F})\&(\forall x \in X\ \forall y \in \beta X \setminus X\ \exists F \in \gamma \text{ with } x \in F \not\ni y)\}.$$

In other words, the Nagami number of X is the least cardinality of a family $\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ separating the points of X from the points of $\beta X \setminus X$. Note that a Σ-space X is Lindelöf iff $Nag(X) \leq \aleph_0$. Lindelöf Σ-spaces can also be characterized as continuous images of spaces that admit perfect mappings onto separable metric ones [1]. Compact and σ-compact spaces constitute proper subclasses of the class of Lindelöf Σ-spaces, and the latter is countably productive. Clearly, $l(X) \leq Nag(X)$ for every space X. It needs mentioning that the Čech-Stone compactification βX in Definition 1.3 can be replaced by any compactification of X.

2. Main results

Let $\Pi = \prod_{i \in J} P_i$ be a product space and $p^* \in \Pi$. Denote by $\sigma(p^*)$ the subspace of Π consisting of all points which differ from p^* on at most finitely many coordinates. The following theorem presents the main result of the paper.

2.1 Theorem. *Let $\Pi = \prod_{i \in J} P_i$ be a product of spaces satisfying $Nag(P_i) \leq \tau$ for each $i \in J$, and Z be a subspace of Π such that $\sigma(p^*) \subseteq Z$. If X is an image of Z under an M-mapping, then every regular cardinal $\lambda > \tau$ is a weak precaliber for X.*

We will prove the theorem by making use of two auxiliary set-theoretic lemmas. The first of them reminds of the Δ-lemma [5, Appendix 2] and has a similar proof.

2.2 Lemma. *Suppose that λ and τ are infinite cardinals such that $cf(\lambda) > \tau^+$ and $k^\tau < \lambda$ for each $k < \lambda$. If ξ is a family of sets of cardinality less than or equal to τ and $|\xi| = \lambda$, then ξ contains a quasidisjoint subfamily η of the same cardinality λ, i.e. there exists a set R such that $M \cap N = R$ whenever $M, N \in \eta$ and $M \neq N$.*

Proof: For an arbitrary set A put $\xi_A = \{M \setminus A : M \in \xi\}$. It suffices to consider the case when the family ξ_A does not contain disjoint subfamilies of cardinality λ whenever $|A| < \lambda$. If $\alpha < \tau^+$ and a subfamily $\eta(\beta)$ of ξ with $|\eta(\beta)| < \lambda$ has already been defined for each $\beta < \alpha$, we put $B(\beta) = \cup\eta(\beta)$, $A(\alpha) = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} B(\beta)$ and define $\eta(\alpha)$ as a <u>maximal</u> disjoint subfamily of $\xi_{A(\alpha)}$. Obviously $|A(\alpha)| < \lambda$, and hence $|\eta(\alpha)| < \lambda$ as well. If now $M \in \xi \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha<\tau^+} \eta(\alpha)$, then $M \cap B(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\alpha < \tau^+$. The latter implies $|M| > \tau$, for $B(\alpha) \cap B(\beta) = \emptyset$ whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$, which contradicts the assumption on the family ξ. □

If γ is a cover of a set X and $x \in X$, we denote $St(x, \gamma) = \cup\{U \in \gamma : x \in U\}$.

2.3 Lemma (see [11]). *Let $\{\gamma_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ be a family of finite covers of a set T, where λ is a regular uncountable cardinal. Then for every sequence $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subseteq T$ there exists a subset $A \subseteq \lambda$ such that $|A| = \lambda$ and $St(x_\alpha, \gamma_\beta) \cap St(x_\beta, \gamma_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let f be an M-mapping of Z onto X and suppose that a continuous mapping $F : Z^3 \to X$ witnesses that. Suppose also that $\{O_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ is a family of non-void open sets in X, where λ is a regular cardinal, $\lambda > \tau$. Since $\sigma = \sigma(p^*)$ is dense in Π (and in Z), for each $\alpha < \lambda$ one can find a point $x_\alpha \in \sigma$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in O_\alpha$. For all $x \in \sigma$ and $\alpha < \lambda$ define $U_\alpha(x) \ni x$ as a maximal open set in σ such that

(1) $F(x_\alpha, y, z) \in O_\alpha$ and $F(z, y, x_\alpha) \in O_\alpha$ for all $y, z \in U_\alpha(x)$.

Then define $\gamma_\alpha = \{U_\alpha(x) : x \in \sigma\}$; $\alpha < \lambda$. It is clear that γ_α is an open cover of σ for each $\alpha < \lambda$. Our aim is to show that the conclusion of Lemma 2.3 holds for the family $\{\gamma_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ and the sequence $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$. The further reasoning is divided into three parts.

Case 1. Suppose that $\lambda \leq 2^\tau$. For each $x \in \sigma$ denote by $supp(x)$ the set of all indices of J on which x differs from p^*. Put $B = \bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda} supp(x_\alpha)$. Then $|B| \leq \lambda$, because $|supp(x)| < \aleph_0$ whenever $x \in \sigma$. Denote by π_B the projection of Π onto $\Pi_B = \prod_{i \in B} P_i$. The subspace $\sigma_B = \{x \in \sigma : supp(x) \subseteq B\}$ of Π is homeomorphic to $\pi_B(\sigma)$, and the latter is the σ-product $\sigma(\pi_B(p^*)) \subseteq \Pi_B$ with the base point $\pi_B(p^*)$. We have $|B| \leq \lambda \leq 2^\tau$ and $Nag(P_i) \leq \tau$ for all $i \in B$. Therefore $Nag(\sigma_B) = Nag(\pi_B(\sigma)) \leq \tau$ by a theorem of Ranchin [2]. Let $\beta\Pi$ be the Čech-Stone compactification of Π and $\mathcal{F}$ a family of closed sets in $\beta\Pi$ separating points of σ_B from the points of $\beta\Pi \setminus \sigma_B$, $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \tau$. We can assume that the family $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under finite intersections. For every $\alpha < \lambda$ denote by $\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha$ a family of open sets in $\beta\Pi$ whose traces on σ constitute the cover γ_α of σ and find an element $L \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x_\alpha \in L \subseteq \cup\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha$. It does exist, for otherwise $\cap\mathcal{F}_\alpha \setminus \cup\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, where $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \{K \in \mathcal{F} : x_\alpha \in K\}$. The latter, however, contradicts the separating property of $\mathcal{F}$. Since λ is a regular cardinal and $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \tau < \lambda$, one can find a set $A_0 \subseteq \lambda$, $|A_0| = \lambda$, and an element $K^* \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x_\alpha \in K^* \subseteq \cup\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A_0$. Using compactness of K^*, we can assume that $|\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha| < \aleph_0$ for each $\alpha \in A_0$. Thus γ_α is a finite cover of the set $T = \{x_\beta : \beta \in A_0\}$ for each $\alpha \in A_0$, and Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of a subset $A \subseteq A_0$ with $|A| = \lambda$ such that $St(x_\alpha, \gamma_\beta) \cap St(x_\beta, \gamma_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$.

Case 2. There exists a cardinal k such that $\tau < k < \lambda \leq 2^k$.

The argument here is just the same as in Case 1 with k playing the rôle of τ.

Case 3. $2^k < \lambda$ for each $k < \lambda$ (i.e. λ is a strong limit cardinal). This is the only case to care about thoroughly. Since X is completely regular, we can assume that for each $\alpha < \lambda$ there exists a continuous function $\varphi_\alpha : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $O_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(0, 1]$. Consider continuous mappings $\psi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \circ F$ and $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha = \psi_\alpha|_{\sigma^3}$; $\alpha < \lambda$. Note that for every finite $B \subseteq J$ the space Π_B satisfies the inequality $l(\Pi_B) \leq Nag(\Pi_B) \leq \tau$, and hence $l(\sigma) \leq \tau$ by a theorem of [6]. By the same reason we have $l(\sigma^3) \leq \tau$. Since $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha$ is a continuous mapping of σ^3 to a second-countable space, $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha$ depends on at most τ coordinates by Corollary 1 of [10] (another way is to apply a theorem of Engelking [3]). Let M_α be the set of all the indices of J the function $\tilde{\psi}_\alpha$ depends on; $|M_\alpha| \leq \tau$. Note that in fact we should speak about coordinates of the index set J^3, but 'spilling' the triples of J^3 we get the sets M_α lying in J. Obviously, ψ_α does not depend on $J \setminus M_\alpha$ either, because σ^3 is dense in Z^3. For every $\alpha < \lambda$ denote $N_\alpha = M_\alpha \cup supp(x_\alpha)$ and consider the family $\xi = \{N_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$. If $|\xi| < \lambda$, by the regularity of λ there exists a set $E \subseteq \lambda$ of cardinality λ such that $N_\alpha = N_\beta = B$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in E$. Then $|B| \leq \tau$ and we will get Case 1 if we consider the subspace σ_B of Z and the family $\{\gamma_\alpha : \alpha \in E\}$ of covers of σ_B. So it remains to assume that $|\xi| = \lambda$. Then by Lemma 2.2 the family ξ contains a quasidisjoint subfamily η with $|\eta| = \lambda$. Let $\eta = \{N_\alpha : \alpha \in C\}$, where $C \subseteq \lambda$, and suppose that R is a root of η. Diminishing η, we can also assume that $N_\alpha \neq N_\beta$ for all distinct α, β of C. For each $\alpha \in C$ put $N_\alpha^* = N_\alpha \setminus R$. Then $\{N_\alpha^* : \alpha \in C\}$ is a disjoint family of cardinality λ.

We claim that $U = \sigma \cap \pi_{N_\alpha}^{-1}\pi_{N_\alpha}(U)$ for all $U \in \gamma_\alpha$ and $\alpha < \lambda$. First, note that the restriction of π_{N_α} to σ is an open mapping onto $\pi_{N_\alpha}(\sigma)$; therefore by the maximality of a set U ($= U_\alpha(x)$ for some point $x \in \sigma$) it suffices to verify that the open set $\sigma \cap \pi_{N_\alpha}^{-1}\pi_{N_\alpha}(U)$ satisfies the above condition (1). Indeed, take $y, z, y', z' \in \sigma$ such that $y, z \in U_\alpha(x)$, $\pi_{N_\alpha}(y') = \pi_{N_\alpha}(y)$ and $\pi_{N_\alpha}(z') = \pi_{N_\alpha}(z)$. Then $\pi^3_{N_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y', z') = \pi^3_{N_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y, z)$, and the definition of the subset M_α of N_α implies

$$\psi_\alpha(x_\alpha, y', z') = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, y', z') = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, y, z) = \psi_\alpha(x_\alpha, y, z).$$

By the definition of $U_\alpha(x)$ we have

$$y, z \in U_\alpha(x) \Longrightarrow \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, y, z) > 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, y', z') > 0.$$

Analogously,

$$y, z \in U_\alpha(x) \Longrightarrow \varphi_\alpha F(z, y, x_\alpha) > 0 \Longrightarrow \varphi_\alpha F(z', y', x_\alpha) > 0.$$

Since $O_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(0, 1]$, the inequalities above imply that the set $\tilde{U}_\alpha(x) = \sigma \cap \pi_{N_\alpha}^{-1}\pi_{N_\alpha}(U_\alpha(x))$ satisfies (1), and hence $\tilde{U}_\alpha(x) = U_\alpha(x)$.

Denote $\Pi_R^* = \Pi_R \times \{\pi_{J\setminus R}(p^*)\}$ and $\Phi = cl_{\beta\Pi}\Pi_R^*$. Since $|R| \leq \tau$, the subspace $\sigma_R = \sigma \cap \Pi_R^*$ of Π satisfies $Nag(\sigma_R) \leq \tau$. The set σ_R is dense in Φ, and hence there exists a family $\mathcal{F}$ of closed sets in Φ separating points of σ_R from the points of $\Phi \setminus \sigma_R$, $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \tau$. For each $\alpha \in C$, define a point $x_\alpha^* \in \sigma_R$ by $\pi_R(x_\alpha^*) = \pi_R(x_\alpha)$ and $\pi_{J\setminus R}(x_\alpha^*) = \pi_{J\setminus R}(p^*)$. The same argument as in Case 1 gives us an element $K^* \in \mathcal{F}$ and a subset D of C such that $|D| = \lambda$ and $x_\alpha^* \in K^* \subseteq \cup\tilde{\gamma}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in D$.

Claim. If $\mu \subseteq \gamma_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in D$ and $K^* \subseteq \cup\mu$, then $\{x_\beta : \beta \in D\} \setminus \{x_\alpha\} \subseteq \cup\mu$.

Indeed, let $\alpha \in D$ and $\mu \subseteq \gamma_\alpha$, $F \subseteq \cup\mu$. For an arbitrary $\beta \in D \setminus \{\alpha\}$ choose $U \in \mu$ with $x^*_\beta \in U$. The points x_β and x^*_β coincide on all coordinates of $J \setminus N^*_\beta$, and $N^*_\beta \cap N_\alpha = \emptyset$. Since $x^*_\beta \in U$ and $U = \pi^{-1}_{N_\alpha}\pi_{N_\alpha}(U)$, we conclude that $x_\beta \in U$. This proves the claim.

For each $\alpha \in D$, find a finite subfamily μ_α of γ_α that covers the compact set $K^* \cup \{x_\alpha\}$ and apply Lemma 2.4 to the family $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ of covers of the sequence $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ (Claim works here). This gives us a subset $A \subseteq D$ of cardinality λ such that $St(x_\alpha, \mu_\beta) \cap St(x_\beta, \mu_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$. Since $\mu_\alpha \subseteq \gamma_\alpha$, we conclude that

$(*)$ $St(x_\alpha, \gamma_\beta) \cap St(x_\beta, \gamma_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$.

*Final step.*In each of Cases 1–3 we have found a subset $A \subseteq \lambda$ of cardinality λ with the above property $(*)$. It remains to show that $O_\alpha \cap O_\beta \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$. To this end apply an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 1 of [16]. Let $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. By $(*)$ one can find $U \in \gamma_\alpha$, $V \in \gamma_\beta$ and a point $z \in \sigma$ such that $\{x_\alpha, z\} \subseteq V$ and $\{x_\beta, z\} \subseteq U$. Then by the definition of γ_α and γ_β, we have $F(x_\alpha, z, x_\beta) \in O_\alpha \cap O_\beta \neq \emptyset$. □

The following result was earlier announced by the author in [12] for $\tau = \aleph_0$.

2.4 Theorem. *Let $\Pi = \prod_{i \in J} P_i$ be a product of spaces satisfying $Nag(P_i) \leq \tau$ for all $i \in J$, and suppose that $f : \Pi \to X$ is an M-mapping onto a Tikhonov space X. Then every regular cardinal $\lambda > \tau$ is a weak precaliber for X and $cel_\tau X \leq \tau$.*

Proof: It suffices to put $Z = \Pi$ in Theorem 2.1. The inequality $cel_\tau \leq \tau$ follows from [13, Theorem 2.2]. □

2.5 Remark. The subspace Z of the product Π in Theorem 2.1 could not be an *arbitrary* dense set in Π even if the index set J is one-point, i.e. $Nag(\Pi) \leq \tau$. In fact, *every* space is an image of some dense subspace of a *compact space* under an M-mapping. Indeed, for a given space X let X_d be a discrete group with the underlying set X. Then the identity mapping $id_X : X_d \to X$ is obviously an M-mapping and X_d is dense in the compact space βX_d.

2.6 Remark. A proof of the 'weak precaliber' part of Theorem 2.4 can be essentially simplified in comparison with the proof of Theorem 2.1. Indeed, let us have defined the points $x_\alpha \in \Pi$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in O_\alpha$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$. For every $\alpha < \lambda$ there exists a standard open set $V_\alpha \ni x_\alpha$ in Π such that $f(V_\alpha) \subseteq O_\alpha$. Then $V_\alpha = \pi^{-1}_{D(\alpha)}\pi_{D(\alpha)}V_\alpha$ for some finite subset $D(\alpha)$ of J. By the usual $\triangle$-lemma, the family $\xi = \{D(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$ contains a quasidisjoint subfamily of the same cardinality λ, so we can assume that ξ is quasidisjoint itself and has a root R, $|R| < \aleph_0$. Put $E(\alpha) = D(\alpha) \setminus R$ for each $\alpha < \lambda$. The family $\{E(\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$ is disjoint, and one can find a point $q \in \Pi_{J \setminus R}$ such that $q|_{E(\alpha)} = x_\alpha|_{E(\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$. Denote $\Pi^*_R = \Pi_R \times \{q\}$. Then $V_\alpha \bigcap \Pi^*_R \neq \emptyset$, and hence $f(\Pi^*_R) \bigcap O_\alpha \neq \emptyset$

for all $\alpha < \lambda$. Since $Nag(\Pi^*_R) = Nag(\Pi_R) \leq \tau$, it remains to apply the argument of Case 1 and Final step of the corresponding proof.

2.7 Corollary. *If an M-space X is a continuous image of a product of Lindelöf Σ-spaces, then every regular uncountable cardinal is a weak precaliber for X and X is $\aleph_0$-cellular.*

PROOF: Every continuous mapping onto an M-space is an M-mapping. The conclusion now follows from Theorem 2.4. □

2.8 Corollary. *If X is a dense subspace of a Lindelöf Σ-group, then every regular uncountable cardinal is a weak precaliber for X.*

PROOF: The 'weak precaliber' property is hereditary with respect to dense subspaces. It remains to note that a topological group is an M-space and apply Corollary 2.7. □

It is known that the cellularity can be raised by multiplying of two spaces [14]. Recently Todorčević [15] constructed (in ZFC only) an example of a topological group H with $c(H \times H) > c(H)$. However, the latter is impossible in the class of subgroups of Lindelöf Σ-groups.

2.9 Proposition. *Let G be a subgroup of a Lindelöf Σ-group. Then $c(G \times H) = c(H)$ for every infinite topological group H.*

PROOF: There exists a Lindelöf Σ-group $\hat{G}$ containing G as a subgroup. Then $K = cl_{\hat{G}} G$ is a closed subgroup of $\hat{G}$, and hence is a Lindelöf Σ-group. Since G is dense in K, every uncountable regular cardinal is a weak precaliber for G by Corollary 2.8. In particular, this is the case for τ^+ where $\tau = c(H)$. For the following argument, topological group structures of G and H are unessential. Let γ be a family of open sets in $G \times H$, $|\gamma| = \tau^+$. It suffices to find two distinct elements of γ with a non-empty intersection. We can assume that each element of γ has the form $U \times V$ for some open sets $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$. For every subfamily μ of γ denote $\widetilde{\mu} = \{p_1(O) : O \in \mu\}$, where p_1 is the projection of $G \times H$ onto G. Since τ^+ is a weak precaliber for G, one can find a subfamily μ of γ such that $|\mu| = \tau^+$ and $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ for all $U, U' \in \tilde{\mu}$. The inequality $c(H) \leq \tau$ implies that $p_2(O) \cap p_2(O') \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct $O, O' \in \mu$ where p_2 is the projection of $G \times H$ onto H. Since O and O' have rectangular form, we conclude that $O \cap O' \neq \emptyset$. □

By Theorem 1.1 of [9], every topological group G with $l(G) \leq \tau$ is 2^τ-cellular, i.e. $cel_\tau(G) \leq 2^\tau$. Roughly speaking, this result was proved by making use of a 'good' lattice of open mappings of G onto quotient groups of countable pseudocharacter. Again, we show that the existence of an appropriate M-mapping is responsible for this phenomenon.

2.10 Theorem. *Let $f : \Pi \to X$ be an M-mapping of a space Π with $l(\Pi) \leq \tau$ onto X. Then $cel_\tau(X) \leq 2^\tau$.*

PROOF: Suppose the contrary. Then there exists a sequence $\{(K_\alpha, O_\alpha) : \alpha < \lambda\}$ such that $K_\alpha \subseteq O_\alpha \subseteq X$, K_α is a non-empty closed G_τ-set in X, O_α is open in

X and $K_\beta \cap O_\alpha = \emptyset$ whenever $\beta < \alpha < \lambda$; $\lambda = (2^\tau)^+$. Diminishing K_α and O_α if necessary, we can assume that for each $\alpha < \lambda$ there exists a continuous mapping φ_α of X onto a space X_α of weight at most τ such that $K_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}\varphi_\alpha K_\alpha$.

Let $F : \Pi^3 \to X$ be a continuous mapping with the property $F(x, y, y) = F(y, y, x) = f(x)$ for all $x, y \in \Pi$. For every $\alpha < \lambda$ pick a point $x_\alpha \in \Pi$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$ and define a continuous mapping $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} : \Pi \to X_\alpha$ by $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, x_\beta, x)$ for all $\alpha, \beta < \lambda$ and $x \in \Pi$. Note that $\psi_{\beta,\beta} = \varphi_\beta \circ f$ for all $\beta < \lambda$. Denote $T = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ and $T_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$; $\alpha < \lambda$. If $g : \Pi \to Y$ is an arbitrary mapping to a space Y with $w(Y) \leq \tau$, then $|Y| \leq 2^\tau$; therefore there exists an ordinal $\delta(g) < \lambda$ such that $g(T_{\delta(g)}) = g(T)$.

The following step is a transfinite construction on $\alpha < \lambda$. Put $\nu(0) = 0$. Suppose that for some $\alpha < \tau^+$ we have already defined ordinals $\nu(\beta) < \lambda$ for all $\beta < \alpha$. If α is limit, we put $\nu(\alpha) = sup_{\beta<\alpha}\nu(\beta)$. Otherwise $\alpha = \beta + 1$ for some β and we put $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \{\psi_{\gamma,\gamma'} : \gamma, \gamma' \leq \beta\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ the family $\{\triangle\Psi : \Psi \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\alpha, |\Psi| \leq \tau\}$, where $\triangle\Psi$ is the diagonal product of mappings of Ψ. Obviously, $|\mathcal{H}_\alpha| \leq 2^\tau$ and the weight of the space $g(\Pi)$ does not exceed τ for each $g \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Therefore we can define $\nu(\alpha)$ as the maximum of the ordinals $\nu(\beta)$ and $sup\{\delta(g) : g \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha\}$. Clearly, $\nu(\alpha) < \lambda$. This completes our construction.

Put $\nu = sup_{\alpha<\tau^+}\nu(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{G} = \{\psi_{\gamma,\gamma'} : \gamma, \gamma' < \nu\}$ and $h = \triangle\mathcal{G}$. The crucial statement is that the set $C = cl_\Pi T_\nu$ has the property $h(T) \subseteq h(C)$. Indeed, by the construction, for every subfamily Ψ of $\mathcal{G}$ with $|\Psi| \leq \tau$ we have $(\triangle\Psi)(T) \subseteq (\triangle\Psi)(T_\nu)$, and the statement follows from the fact that $l(C) \leq \tau$.

Choose a point $z \in C$ with $h(z) = h(x_\nu)$. We have $F(z, z, x_\nu) = f(x_\nu) \in K_\nu \subseteq O_\nu$, and the continuity of F in the first argument implies that there exists an open neighbourhood V of z in Π such that $F(x, z, x_\nu) \in O_\nu$ for all $x \in V$. Since z is in the closure of T_ν, one can find $\alpha < \nu$ with $x_\alpha \in V$. Thus we have $F(x_\alpha, z, x_\nu) \in O_\nu$. To get a contradiction it remains to show that $F(x_\alpha, z, x_\nu) \in K_\alpha$.

Since $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $\beta < \nu$, from the choice of the point z it follows that $\varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_\nu) = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, x_\beta, z)$ for each $\beta < \nu$. Using the continuity of F in the first argument, we get

$$\varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, z, x_\nu) = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, z, z) = \varphi_\alpha f(x_\alpha) \in \varphi_\alpha(K_\alpha).$$

However, $K_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}\varphi_\alpha(K_\alpha)$, whence it follows that the point $p = F(x_\alpha, z, x_\nu)$ belongs to K_α. Thus $p \in O_\nu \cap K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha < \nu$, a contradiction with the choice of K_α and O_ν. □

2.11 Remark. An easy examination of the above proof shows that we have not used the continuity of the mapping $F : \Pi^3 \to X$ in all its power. In fact, just the separate continuity of F in its arguments is necessary. This enables to consider groups with a separately continuous multiplication (and continuous inverse); let us call them quasitopological. Thus we have the following.

2.12 Corollary. *A quasitopological group G with $l(G) \leq \tau$ satisfies $cel_\tau(G) \leq 2^\tau$.*

This corollary of Theorem 2.10 is a slight generalization of [9, Theorem 1.1]. Note that one cannot improve Corollary 2.12 by showing $cel_\tau(G) \leq \tau$ even for a topological group G. Indeed, if X is a one-point τ-Lindelöfication of a discrete set of cardinality greater than τ, then the free Abelian group $G = A(X)$ satisfies $l(G) \leq \tau$ and $c(G) > \tau$ (see [11] for details).

2.13 Problem. Does Theorem 2.10 remain valid for a weakly τ-Lindelöf space Π (i.e. for a space each open cover of which contains a subfamily of cardinality $\leq \tau$ with a dense union)?

The following problem is closely connected with the previous one.

2.14 Problem. Let S be a weakly Lindelöf subspace of a product $\prod_{i\in J} P_i$ and suppose that $f : S \to X$ is an M-mapping to a second-countable space X. Does f depend on countably many coordinates?

The answer to Problem 2.14 is "yes" if S is a *subgroup* of a product of *topological groups* P_i, or even if S is an M*-subspace* of a product of M*-spaces* P_i [4, Theorem 1.1].

Combining the argument exposed in the proof of Theorems 2.2 and 2.10, we can prove a result generalizing Proposition 6 of [18]. This requires the following notation. Suppose $f : \Pi \to Y$ and $g : \Pi \to Z$ are continuous mappings and f is onto. We write $f \prec g$ if there exists a continuous mapping $h : Y \to Z$ such that $g = h \circ f$.

2.15 Theorem. *An image of a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space under an M-mapping is $\aleph_0$-cellular.*

Proof: Let $f : \Pi \to X$ be an M-mapping of a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space Π onto X and suppose that $F : \Pi^3 \to X$ witnesses that. If X is not $\aleph_0$-cellular, there exists a sequence $\{(K_\alpha, O_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ such that $K_\alpha \subseteq O_\alpha \subseteq X$, K_α is a non-empty G_δ-set in X, O_α is open and $K_\beta \cap O_\alpha = \emptyset$ whenever $\beta < \alpha < \omega_1$. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$ pick a point $x_\alpha \in \Pi$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in K_\alpha$. We can also assume that for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists a continuous function $\varphi_\alpha : X \to [0,1]$ such that $K_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(1)$ and $X \setminus O_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(0)$. Define a continuous mapping $\psi_{\alpha,\beta} : \Pi \to [0,1]$ by $\psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \varphi_\alpha F(x_\alpha, x_\beta, x)$ for all $\alpha, \beta < \omega_1$ and $x \in \Pi$. Let two covers $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ of Π witness that Π is a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space, $|\mathcal{K}| \leq \aleph_0$. The family $\mathcal{K}$ can be chosen closed under finite intersections.

Let α_0 be a countable ordinal. Put $g_0 = \triangle\{\psi_{\beta,\gamma} : \beta, \gamma \leq \alpha_0\}$. Then the space $Y_0 = g_0(\Pi)$ is second-countable. Suppose that for some integer n we have defined an ordinal $\alpha_n < \omega_1$ and a continuous mapping $g_n : \Pi \to Y_n$ onto a space Y_n with $w(Y_n) \leq \aleph_0$. Since Y_n is hereditarily separable, for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$ there exists an ordinal $\delta = \delta_n(K) < \omega_1$ such that $g_n(K \cap T_\delta)$ is dense in $g_n(K \cap T)$, where $T = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ and $T_\delta = \{x_\nu : \nu < \delta\}$. Put $\alpha_{n+1} = max\{\alpha_n, sup\{\delta_n(K) : K \in \mathcal{K}\}\}$ and $g_{n+1} = g_n \triangle(\triangle\{\psi_{\beta,\gamma} : \beta, \gamma \leq \alpha_{n+1}\})$; the symbol $\triangle$ stands for the diagonal product of mappings. Thus we have defined an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\}$ of countable ordinals and can now put $\alpha = sup_{n\in\omega} \alpha_n$ and $g = \triangle\{\psi_{\beta,\gamma} : \beta, \gamma < \alpha\}$. Then $\alpha < \omega_1$ and the space $Y = g(\Pi)$ is second-countable.

From the construction it follows that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(1) $g(K \cap T_\alpha)$ is dense in $g(K \cap T)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$;

(2) $g \prec \psi_{\beta,\gamma}$ for all $\beta, \gamma < \alpha$.

We claim that $g(x_\alpha) \in g(cl_\Pi T_\alpha)$. Indeed, choose $C^* \in \mathcal{C}$ with $x_\alpha \in C^*$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}$ a countable base of the space Y at $g(x_\alpha)$. By (1), the family $\mathcal{F} = \{g^{-1}(cl_Y U) \cap K \cap cl_\Pi T_\alpha : U \in \mathcal{B}, C^* \subseteq K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ consists of non-empty closed subsets of Π. The set $g^{-1}(cl_Y U) \cap cl_\Pi T_\alpha$ meets C^* for each $U \in \mathcal{B}$, otherwise by the choice of $\mathcal{K}$ there exists $K^* \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $C^* \subseteq K^* \subseteq \Pi \setminus (g^{-1}(cl_Y U) \cap cl_\Pi T_\alpha)$, which contradicts the fact that all elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are non-empty. Thus, the countable family $\mathcal{F}^* = \{g^{-1}(cl_Y U) \cap C^* \cap cl_\Pi T_\alpha : U \in \mathcal{B}\}$ consists of non-empty closed subsets of C^* and by the choice of $\mathcal{B}$ has the finite intersection property. Since C^* is countably compact, we have $\emptyset \neq \cap \mathcal{F}^* = g^{-1}g(x_\alpha) \cap C^* \cap cl_\Pi T_\alpha$. Consequently, $g(x_\alpha) \in g(cl_\Pi T_\alpha)$.

Pick a point $z \in cl_\Pi T_\alpha$ with $g(z) = g(x_\alpha)$. Since $F(z, z, x_\alpha) = f(x_\alpha) \in K_\alpha \subseteq O_\alpha$, there exists a neighbourhood V of z such that $F(V \times \{z\} \times \{x_\alpha\}) \subseteq O_\alpha$. From $z \in cl_\Pi T_\alpha$ it follows that $x_\beta \in V$ for some $\beta < \alpha$, and we have $F(x_\beta, z, x_\alpha) \in O_\alpha$. Since $g(x_\alpha) = g(z)$, (2) implies that $\psi_{\beta,\gamma}(x_\alpha) = \psi_{\beta,\gamma}(z)$, i.e. $\varphi_\beta F(x_\beta, x_\gamma, x_\alpha) = \varphi_\beta F(x_\beta, x_\gamma, z)$ for all $\gamma < \alpha$. Taking into account the continuity of F in the first argument and the fact that $z \in cl_\Pi T_\alpha$, we get the equalities

$$\varphi_\beta F(x_\beta, z, x_\alpha) = \varphi_\beta F(x_\beta, z, z) = \varphi_\beta f(x_\beta) = 1.$$

Since $K_\beta = \varphi_\beta^{-1}(1)$, the latter means that $y = F(x_\beta, z, x_\alpha) \in K_\beta$. Thus $y \in K_\beta \cap O_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and $\beta < \alpha$, which contradicts the choice of the sequence $\{(K_\nu, O_\nu) : \nu < \omega_1\}$. □

Note again that the separate continuity of the mapping $F : \Pi^3 \to X$ was only used in the proof of the above theorem. Thus we have the following corollary.

2.16 Corollary. *If a quasitopological group G is a continuous image of some $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space, then G is $\aleph_0$-cellular.*

2.17 Corollary. *Suppose a space Π admits a quasiperfect (i.e. continuous closed with countably compact fibers) mapping onto a space with a countable network. Then every image of Π under an M-mapping is $\aleph_0$-cellular.*

Proof: Let $h : \Pi \to Z$ be a quasiperfect mapping of Π onto a space Z with a countable network $\mathcal{N}$. Since Z is regular, we can assume that $\mathcal{N}$ consists of closed sets. Put $\mathcal{C} = \{h^{-1}(z) : z \in Z\}$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{h^{-1}(N) : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$. Then the covers $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ of Π witness that Π is a $\Sigma(\aleph_0)$-space. It remains to apply Theorem 2.15. □

2.18 Problem. Suppose $f : \Pi \to X$ is an M-mapping of a countably compact space Π onto X. Is then every regular uncountable cardinal a caliber for X?

2.19 Problem (see also [13, Problem 2.4]). Does an image of a pseudocompact space under an M-mapping have the Souslin property?

It is still unknown whether every pseudocompact M-space has the Souslin property; see [18] for details.

We conclude with a little bit alien problem to the area.

2.20 Problem (see [13, Problem 2.5]). If X is an image of a compact space under an M-mapping, must X be dyadic?

References

[1] Arhangelskiĭ A.V., *Factorization theorems and function spaces: stability and monolithicity*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **26** (1982), 177–181.
[2] Arhangelskiĭ A.V., Ranchin D.V., *On dense subspaces of topological products and properties related with final compactness* (in Russian), Vestnik Mosc. Univ. 1982, No.6, 21–28.
[3] Engelking R., *On functions defined on cartesian products*, Fund. Math. **59** (1966), 221–231.
[4] Hušek M., *Productivity of properties of topological groups*, Topology Appl. **44** (1992), 189–196.
[5] Juhász I., *Cardinal Functions in Topology*, Math. Centrum Tracts 34, Amsterdam, 1971.
[6] Kombarov A.P., Malykhin V.I., *On Σ-products* (in Russian), Dokl. AN SSSR **213** (1973), 774–776.
[7] Maltsev A.I., *To the general theory of algebraic systems* (in Russian), Mat. Sb. **35** (1954), 3–20.
[8] Nagami K., *Σ-spaces*, Fund. Math. **65** (1969), 169–192.
[9] Pasynkov B.A., *On the relative cellularity of Lindelöf subspaces of topological groups*, Topol. Appl., to appear.
[10] Tkačenko M.G., *Some results on inverse spectra. I.*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **22** (1981), 621–633.
[11] ———, *On the Souslin property in free topological groups over compacta* (in Russian), Matem. Zametki **34** (1983), 601–607.
[12] ———, *On mappings improving properties of their images* (in Russian), Uspekhi Matem. Nauk **48** (1993), 187–188.
[13] ———, *M-spaces and the cellularity of spaces*, Topology Appl., to appear.
[14] Todorčević S., *Remarks on cellularity in products*, Compositio Math. **57** (1986), 357–372.
[15] ———, *Cellularity of topological groups*, Handwritten notes.
[16] Uspenskiĭ V.V., *Topological group generated by a Lindelöf Σ-space has the Souslin property*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **26** (1982), 166–169.
[17] ——— On continuous images of Lindelöf topological groups (in Russian, translated in English), Dokl. AN SSSR **285** (1985), 824–827.
[18] ———, *The Maltsev operation on countably compact spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **30** (1989), 395–402.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Unidad Iztapalapa, México 13

E-mail: mich@xanum.uam.mx or rolando@redvax1.dgsca.mx to Tkachenko

(*Received* December 28, 1993)