

Yukinobu Yajima

Rectangular covers of products missing diagonals

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 35 (1994), No. 1, 147--153

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118648>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Rectangular covers of products missing diagonals

YUKINOBU YAJIMA

Abstract. We give a characterization of a paracompact Σ -space to have a G_δ -diagonal in terms of three rectangular covers of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$. Moreover, we show that a local property and a global property of a space X are given by the orthocompactness of $(X \times \beta X) \setminus \Delta$.

Keywords: Σ -space, G_δ -diagonal, σ -closure-preserving, σ -cushioned, rectangular cover, orthocompact, metacompact, Fréchet space

Classification: 54B10, 54D20, 54E18

1. Main theorem

All spaces in this paper are assumed to be regular T_1 . The diagonal of a space X is denoted by Δ , that is, $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

Let X be a space and $\mathcal{V}$ a collection of subsets of the square X^2 . We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is *rectangular* if each member of $\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of the form $U \times W$ in X^2 . Note that if $\mathcal{V}$ is a rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$, then it covers $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ and each member of $\mathcal{V}$ is a subset of the form $U \times W$ such that U and W are disjoint open sets in X .

Gruenhage and Pelant [4] proved that a paracompact Σ -space X has a G_δ -diagonal (i.e. is a σ -space), if $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is paracompact. Subsequently, Kombarov [7] proved that a paracompact Σ -space X has a G_δ -diagonal if and only if there is a locally finite rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$.

Our main theorem is an extension of these results in terms of three rectangular covers of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$.

Theorem 1. *The following are equivalent for a paracompact Σ -space X .*

- (a) *X has a G_δ -diagonal.*
- (b) *There is a σ -locally finite rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$.*
- (c) *There is a σ -closure-preserving rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$.*
- (d) *There is a rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ which has a σ -cushioned open refinement.*

The author announced Theorem 1 except (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in [10], and asked whether (c) implies (a) in the conference. Answering this, we give a complete proof of Theorem 1 in the next section.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is based on Gruenhage-Pelant's. In fact, it will be proceeded along the line of that of [4, Theorem 4]. Here, we have to do two kinds of parallel arguments.

Recall that a collection of $\mathcal{V}$ of subsets of a space X is *closure-preserving* if $\overline{\bigcup\{V : V \in \mathcal{V}'\}} = \bigcup\{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$ for each $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is *σ -closure-preserving* if it can be written as $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$ such that each $\mathcal{V}_n$ is closure-preserving.

Lemma 1. *Let X be a space with $p \in X$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$ be a σ -closure-preserving rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$. If there is a countable subset M of $X \setminus \{p\}$ such that $p \in \overline{M}$, then p is a G_δ -point.*

PROOF: Let $M = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$. Let $F_n = \bigcup\{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}_n, j \leq n \text{ with } (p, x_n) \notin \overline{V}\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Then each F_n is a closed subset in $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ such that $(p, x_n) \notin F_n$. For each $n \in \omega$, take a basic open neighborhood $G_n \times H_n$ of (p, x_n) in $X^2 \setminus \Delta$, disjoint from F_n . We show $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n = \{p\}$. Assume that there is some $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G_n$ with $y \neq p$. Take some $V = U \times W \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $(y, p) \in V$. Choose $m \in \omega$ with $V \in \mathcal{V}_m$. By $p \notin \overline{U}$, we have $(p, x_n) \notin \overline{V}$. Hence it follows that $\overline{V} \subset F_n$ for each $n \geq m$. Choose some $k \geq m$ with $x_k \in W$. Then it follows that $(y, x_k) \in U \times W = V \subset \overline{V} \subset F_k$. On the other hand, by $(y, x_k) \in G_k \times H_k$, we have $(y, x_k) \notin F_k$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{O}$ be two collections of subsets of a space X . Recall that $\mathcal{V}$ is *cushioned in $\mathcal{O}$* if for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$, one can assign an $O(V) \in \mathcal{O}$ such that for each $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$, $\bigcup\{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}'\} \subset \bigcup\{O(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}'\}$. Such an assignment $V \mapsto O(V)$, $V \in \mathcal{V}$, is called a *cushioned assignment* from $\mathcal{V}$ into $\mathcal{O}$. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is *σ -cushioned in $\mathcal{O}$* if it can be written as $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$ such that each $\mathcal{V}_n$ is cushioned in $\mathcal{O}$.

Lemma 2. *Let X be a space with $p \in X$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a rectangular open cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a collection of open sets in $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ which is cushioned in $\mathcal{O}$. If there is a countable subset M of $X \setminus \{p\}$ such that $p \in \overline{M}$ and $M \times \{p\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}$, then p is a G_δ -point.*

PROOF: Let $V \mapsto O(V)$ be a cushioned assignment from $\mathcal{V}$ into $\mathcal{O}$, and let $M = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$. For each $n \in \omega$, take $V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ with $(x_n, p) \in V_n$, and let $W_n = \{x \in X : (x_n, x) \in V_n\}$. It suffices to show $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n = \{p\}$. Assume that there is some $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n$ with $y \neq p$. Let $O(V_n) = P_n \times Q_n$ for each $n \in \omega$. By $(x_n, p) \in V_n \subset O(V_n)$, we have $p \notin \overline{P_n}$ for each $n \in \omega$. So it follows that $(p, y) \notin \bigcup_{n \in \omega} (P_n \times Q_n) = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} O(V_n) \supset \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \omega} V_n}$. There is an open neighborhood G of p such that $(G \times \{y\}) \cap (\bigcup_{n \in \omega} V_n) = \emptyset$. By $(x_n, y) \in V_n$, each x_n is not in G . Hence we have $p \notin \overline{M}$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

A space X is called a *Σ -space* if there are a closed cover $\mathcal{C}$ of X by countably compact sets, and a σ -discrete closed cover $\mathcal{F}$ of X such that whenever $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and U is open in X with $C \subset U$, then $C \subset F \subset U$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

The class of paracompact Σ -spaces is a broad one which is countably productive (see [3], [8]).

Lemma 3. *Let X be a Σ -space. If there is a σ -closure-preserving rectangular open cover $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$ of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$, then each point of X is G_δ .*

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be two closed covers of X , described as above. Assume that some $p \in X$ is not a G_δ -point. As stated in the proof of [4, Lemma 3], there is a closed G_δ -set Y in X containing p such that $y \in Y$ and $y \in C \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $p \in C$. Then note that p is not G_δ in Y . Let $V = U_V \times W_V$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n \text{ with } p \in W_V\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Note that each $\mathcal{U}_n$ is closure-preserving in $X \setminus \{p\}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{U}_n$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is a σ -closure-preserving open cover of $X \setminus \{p\}$.

Now, we construct $\{U_\alpha, G_\alpha, z_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$, satisfying the following conditions; for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$,

- (i) $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ and G_α is an open set in Y ,
- (ii) $\overline{U_\alpha} \cap Y \subset G_\alpha \subset \overline{G_\alpha} \subset Y \setminus \{p\}$,
- (iii) $z_\alpha \in (U_\alpha \cap (Y \setminus \{p\})) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} G_\beta$.

In fact, for $\alpha \in \omega_1$, assume that $\{U_\beta, G_\beta, z_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ satisfies the above conditions. Since $Y \setminus \{p\}$ is not F_σ in Y , $\{\overline{G_\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}$ does not cover $Y \setminus \{p\}$. However, as $\mathcal{U}$ covers $X \setminus \{p\}$, we can choose the desired z_α and U_α . By the choice of $\mathcal{U}_n$, note that $p \notin \overline{U_\alpha}$. Since X is regular, we can choose the desired G_α .

Here we may assume without loss of generality that $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{U}_m$ for some $m \in \omega$. Let $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$. Then Z is uncountable. Moreover, Z is closed discrete in $Y \setminus \{p\}$. For, pick any $x \in \overline{Z} \setminus \{p\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}_m$ is closure-preserving, $\overline{Z} \setminus \{p\} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} \overline{U_\alpha}$. Let $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha \in \omega_1 : x \in \overline{U_\alpha}\}$. Let $N = G_{\alpha_0} \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha_0} \overline{U_\beta}$. Then N is an open neighborhood of x in Y such that $N \cap Z \subset \{z_{\alpha_0}\}$.

It follows from Lemma 1 that $p \notin \overline{M}$ for each countable subset M of Z . The remaining argument is the same as in the proof of [4, Lemma 3]. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let X be a Σ -space. If there is a rectangular open cover $\mathcal{O}$ of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ which has a σ -cushioned open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$, then each point of X is G_δ .*

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{F}$, p and Y be the same as in the above proof. Let $V \mapsto O(V)$, $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$, be a cushioned assignment of $\mathcal{V}_n$ into $\mathcal{O}$. Let $U_V = \{x \in X : (x, p) \in V\}$ and $O(V) = P_V \times Q_V$ for each $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{U}_n = \{U_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_V : V \in \mathcal{V}_n \text{ with } p \in Q_V\}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{U}_n$ and $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{P}_n$. Then $\mathcal{U}$ is an open cover of $X \setminus \{p\}$ and each $\mathcal{U}_n$ is cushioned in $\mathcal{P}_n$ with the cushioned assignment $U_V \mapsto P_V$ in $X \setminus \{p\}$. Since $p \notin \overline{P}$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y \setminus \{p\}$ is not F_σ in Y , $\{P \cap Y : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$ has no countable subcover of $Y \setminus \{p\}$. So we can inductively choose $\{U_\alpha, P_\alpha, z_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$, satisfying for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$,

- (iv) $U_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ and $P_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (v) $z_\alpha \in (U_\alpha \cap (Y \setminus \{p\})) \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta$.

We may assume that $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{U}_m$ and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\} \subset \mathcal{P}_m$ for some $m \in \omega$. Then $U_\alpha \mapsto P_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \omega_1$, is a cushioned assignment. Let $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$. Similarly, Z is closed discrete in $Y \setminus \{p\}$. Here, using Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1, the remaining argument is the same as above. $\square$

A space X is called a β -space if there is a function $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau(X)$, where $\tau(X)$ denotes the topology of X , satisfying for each $x \in X$,

- (i) $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} g(n, x)$,
- (ii) if $x \in g(n, x_n)$ for each $n \in \omega$, then $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ has a cluster point in X .

Since Σ -spaces and semi-stratifiable spaces are β -spaces (see [3, Theorem 7.8 (i)]), the class of β -spaces is fairly broad.

The definition of W_δ -diagonal in terms of the notion of a sieve is seen in [3], [4]. We do not restate it here. It is shown in [2] (or [3, Theorem 6.6]) that a submetacompact space with a W_δ -diagonal has a G_δ -diagonal.

Lemma 5. *Let X be a β -space such that each point of X is G_δ . If there is a σ -closure-preserving rectangular open cover $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$ of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$, then X has a W_δ -diagonal.*

PROOF: Let $g : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau(X)$ be a function, described as above. Let $h : \omega \times X \rightarrow \tau(X)$ be a function such that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} h(n, x) = \{x\}$ for each $x \in X$. As in the proof of [4, Theorem 4], we can construct a sieve $(G, X^{<\omega})$, satisfying the following: If $s = \langle x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle \in X^{<\omega}$ and $x \in G(s)$, then $G(s \smallfrown \langle x \rangle)$ is an open neighborhood of x such that

- (i) $\overline{G(s \smallfrown \langle x \rangle)} \subset G(s) \cap g(n, x) \cap h(n, x)$,
- (ii) if $i < n$ and $x_i \neq x$, then

$$(\{x_i\} \times G(s \smallfrown \langle x \rangle)) \cap \left(\bigcup \{ \overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}_j, j \leq n \text{ with } (x_i, x) \notin \overline{V} \} \right) = \emptyset.$$

Assume that $\bigcap_{n \in \omega} G(s \upharpoonright n)$ contains two distinct points for some $s = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots \rangle \in X^\omega$. Then, by (i), no point of X is repeated infinitely many times in the sequence s . By the choice of g and (i), $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ has a cluster point y . Then we have $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \overline{G(s \upharpoonright n)} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G(s \upharpoonright n)$. There is some $z \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} G(s \upharpoonright n)$ with $y \neq z$. Choose an $n_0 \in \omega$ and a $V_0 = U \times W \in \mathcal{V}_{n_0}$ with $(y, z) \in V_0$. Find some $k, m \in \omega$ such that $m > k > n_0$, $x_k \neq x_m$ and $\{x_k, x_m\} \subset U$. By $x_m \notin \overline{W}$, note $(x_k, x_m) \notin \overline{V_0}$. By (ii), we have $(\{x_k\} \times G(s \upharpoonright m + 1)) \cap \overline{V_0} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, we have $(x_k, z) \in (\{x_k\} \times G(s \upharpoonright m + 1)) \cap \overline{V_0}$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let X be a β -space such that each point of X is G_δ . If there is a rectangular open cover $\mathcal{O}$ of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ which has a σ -cushioned open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \mathcal{V}_n$, then X has a W_δ -diagonal.*

PROOF: Let $V \mapsto O(V)$ be a cushioned assignment from $\mathcal{V}_n$ into $\mathcal{O}$ for each $n \in \omega$. Let g and h be the same functions as above. Moreover, we can also construct

a similar sieve $(G, X^{<\omega})$ as above, where we only replace the condition (ii) with the following;

(ii') if $i < n$ and $x_i \neq x$, then

$$(\{x_i\} \times G(s \smallfrown \langle x \rangle)) \cap \left(\bigcup \{V \in \mathcal{V}_j, j \leq n, (x_i, x) \notin O(V)\} \right) = \emptyset.$$

Take s, y and z as above. Choose an $n_0 \in \omega$ and a $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_0}$ with $(y, z) \in V_0$. Take an open neighborhood U of y such that $U \times \{z\} \subset V_0$. Find some $k, m \in \omega$ with $m > k > n_0$, $x_k \neq x_m$ and $\{x_k, x_m\} \subset U$. Let $O(V_0) = P \times Q$. Since $x_m \in U \subset P$, it follows that $(x_k, x_m) \notin O(V_0)$. By (ii'), we have $(\{x_k\} \times G(s \upharpoonright m + 1)) \cap V_0 = \emptyset$. On the other hand, we have

$$(x_k, z) \in (\{x_k\} \times G(s \upharpoonright m + 1)) \cap (U \times \{z\}) \subset (\{x_k\} \times G(s \upharpoonright m + 1)) \cap V_0.$$

This is a contradiction. $\square$

We say that an open cover $\mathcal{O}$ of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is *rectangular cozero* if each member of $\mathcal{O}$ is a subset of the form $P \times Q$ such that P and Q are disjoint cozero sets in X .

Since each open F_σ -set in a normal space is exactly a cozero set, so is each open set in a metric space. So, Kombarov [7] actually showed the following.

Lemma 7. *If a paracompact space X has a G_δ -diagonal, then there is a locally finite rectangular cozero cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$.*

Now, we complete the proof of our main theorem.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): This follows from Lemma 7 (or [7, Theorem 1]).

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c): Obvious.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (d): Since a σ -locally finite rectangular cozero cover of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ has a σ -cushioned (rectangular) open refinement, this also follows from Lemma 7.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Remember that each Σ -space is a β -space, and that a submetacompact space has a G_δ -diagonal iff it has a W_δ -diagonal. So this follows from Lemmas 3 and 5.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Similarly, this follows from Lemmas 4 and 6. $\square$

3. Orthocompactness of $(X \times \beta X) \setminus \Delta$

Arhangel'skiĭ and Kombarov [1] proved that a compact space X is first countable if $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is normal. First, we consider what local property of a compact space X can be obtained if the normality of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is replaced by the orthocompactness of it. For this, we also use some rectangular open covers.

Recall that an open cover $\mathcal{V}$ of a space X is *interior-preserving* if $\bigcap \mathcal{V}'$ is open in X for each $\mathcal{V}' \subset \mathcal{V}$.

A space X is called a *Fréchet space* if for each $p \in X$ and each subset M of X with $p \in \overline{M}$, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in M which converges to p .

Note that point-finite open covers of a space are interior-preserving, and that first countable spaces and Lašnev spaces are Fréchet.

For a collection $\mathcal{V}$ of open sets in a product $X \times C$ and an $(x, y) \in X \times C$, let $\bigcap \mathcal{V}(x, y) = \bigcap \{V \in \mathcal{V} : (x, y) \in V\}$.

Theorem 2. *Let C be a countably compact space and X a subspace of C . If there is a rectangular open cover of $(X \times C) \setminus \Delta$ which has an interior-preserving open refinement, then X is a Fréchet space.*

PROOF: Let M be a subset of X with $p \in \overline{M} \setminus M$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a rectangular open cover of $(X \times C) \setminus \Delta$ and $\mathcal{V}$ an interior-preserving open refinement of $\mathcal{O}$. Since p is not isolated in X and each $\bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, x)$ is an open neighborhood of (p, x) in $X \times C$, we can inductively choose a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ of distinct points in M such that $(x_n, x_i) \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, x_i)$ for each $i < n$ and each $n \in \omega$. We show that $\{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ converges to p . Assume the contrary. This is an open neighborhood U of p in X such that $x_n \notin U$ for infinitely many n 's. There is a cluster point y of $\{x_n \in X \setminus U : n \in \omega\}$ in C . By $y \neq p$, we can find a $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and an $O = P \times Q \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $(p, y) \in V \subset O$. Take an open neighborhood W of y in C such that $\{p\} \times W \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, y)$. Moreover, take some $k, m \in \omega$ such that $k < m$ and $\{x_k, x_m\} \subset W$. Since $(p, x_k) \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, y)$, it follows that $\bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, x_k) \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, y)$. Hence we have

$$(x_m, x_k) \in \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, x_k) \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, y) \subset V \subset O = P \times Q.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(p, x_m) \in \{p\} \times W \subset \bigcap \mathcal{V}(p, y) \subset V \subset O = P \times Q.$$

Thus we obtain $x_m \in P \cap Q$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

The author first showed in Theorem 2 that X has countable tightness. Subsequently, N. Kemoto kindly pointed out that X is a Fréchet space.

We say that a space X is *orthocompact* if every open cover of X has an interior-preserving open refinement.

As an analogue of [1, Theorem 10], we immediately have

Corollary 1. *Let X be a countably compact space. If $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ is orthocompact, then X is a Fréchet space.*

For a Tychonoff space X , we denote by βX the Stone-Čech compactification of X . Junnila [5] proved that the orthocompactness of $X \times \beta X$ gives the metacompactness of X . Finally, we show that the orthocompactness of $(X \times \beta X) \setminus \Delta$ gives not only the local property of X but also the global property of X .

Theorem 3. *Let X be a Tychonoff space and γX a compactification of X . If $(X \times \gamma X) \setminus \Delta$ is orthocompact, then X is metacompact.*

PROOF: The proof is obtained by modifying that of [9, Theorem 2.2]. Let $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}^*, \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be the same ones as in the proof of it. There is an interior-preserving

open refinement $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}|(X \times \gamma X) \setminus \Delta$. For each $x \in X$, fix a $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ with $x \in V_x$. For each $(x, x') \in (X \times \gamma X) \setminus \Delta$, we take a basic open neighborhood $P_{x,x'} \times Q_{x,x'}$ of (x, x') which is contained in some member of $\mathcal{H}$. Pick $x \in X$. Since $\gamma X \setminus V_x$ is compact, there is a finite subset $F(x)$ of $\gamma X \setminus V_x$ such that $\gamma X \setminus V_x \subset \bigcup_{z \in F(x)} Q_{x,z}$. Let $W_x = (\bigcap_{z \in F(x)} P_{x,z}) \cap V_x$. Here, we set $\mathcal{W} = \{W_x : x \in X\}$. It suffices from [6, Theorem 3.6] to show that there is a finite subcollection $\mathcal{U}_x$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{W}) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_x$ and $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}_x$ for each $x \in X$. For this, it also suffices to show that $\text{Cl}_{\gamma X} \text{St}(x, \mathcal{W}) \subset \text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}^*)$ for each $x \in X$. Assuming the contrary, we pick some $x \in X$ and some $q \in \text{Cl}_{\gamma X} \text{St}(x, \mathcal{W}) \setminus \text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}^*)$. By $x \neq q$, $\bigcap \mathcal{H}(x, q)$ is an open neighborhood of (x, q) . Take a basic open neighborhood $S \times T$ of (x, q) contained in $\bigcap \mathcal{H}(x, q)$. Pick $p \in T \cap \text{St}(x, \mathcal{W})$, and pick $y \in X$ with $x \in W_y$ and $p \in W_y$. Since $x \in W_y \subset V_y \subset U_{V_y}^* \in \mathcal{U}^*$, it follows that

$$q \in \gamma X \setminus \text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}^*) \subset \gamma X \setminus V_y \subset \bigcup_{z \in F(y)} Q_{y,z}.$$

Find $z \in F(y)$ with $q \in Q_{y,z}$. By the same argument as in the proof of [9, Theorem 2.2], we obtain that $\{(x, q), (x, p), (p, q)\} \subset H_0$ for some $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, and so that $\{(x, p), (p, q)\} \subset (V_0 \cap X) \times (\gamma X \setminus \text{Cl}_{\gamma X} V_0)$ for some $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

By Theorems 2 and 3, we obtain

Corollary 2. *Let X be a Tychonoff space. If $(X \times \beta X) \setminus \Delta$ is orthocompact, then X is metacompact and Fréchet.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Arhangel'skiĭ A.V., Kombarov A.P., *On ∇ -normal spaces*, Topology and Appl. **35** (1990), 121–126.
- [2] Chaber J., Čoban M., Nagami N., *On monotonic generalizations of Moore spaces, Čech-complete spaces, and p -spaces*, Fund. Math. **83** (1974), 107–119.
- [3] Gruenhage G., *Generalized metric spaces*, Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 423–501.
- [4] Gruenhage G., Pelant J., *Analytic spaces and paracompactness of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$* , Topology and Appl. **28** (1988), 11–15.
- [5] Junnila H.J.K., *Metacompactness, paracompactness and interior-preserving open covers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (1979), 373–385.
- [6] ———, *On submetacompactness*, Topology Proc. **3** (1978), 375–405.
- [7] Kombarov A.P., *On rectangular covers of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$* , Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **30** (1989), 81–83.
- [8] Nagami K., *Σ -spaces*, Fund. Math. **65** (1969), 169–192.
- [9] Yajima Y., *A characterization of submetacompactness in terms of products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 291–296.
- [10] ———, *Subspaces of squares; $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ and others*, Abstracts of Short Conference of Uniform Mathematics and its Applications, Bern, 1991.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY, YOKOHAMA 221, JAPAN

(Received May 20, 1993)