

Ladislav Beran

On the rhomboidal heredity in ideal lattices

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 33 (1992), No. 4, 723--726

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118544>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## On the rhomboidal heredity in ideal lattices

LADISLAV BERAN

*Abstract.* We show that the class of principal ideals and the class of semiprime ideals are rhomboidal hereditary in the class of modular lattices. Similar results are presented for the class of ideals with forbidden exterior quotients and for the class of prime ideals.

*Keywords:* rhomboidal heredity, principal ideal, semiprime ideal, modular lattice, quotient, allele, relatively complemented lattice, weakly perspective and weakly projective quotients

*Classification:* 06B10

Let  $C$  be a class of lattices and let  $D$  denote a class of ideals. We say that  $D$  is *rhomboidal hereditary* in  $C$ , if any ideals  $P, Q$  of a lattice  $L \in C$  belong to  $D$  whenever  $P \vee Q$  and  $P \wedge Q$  belong to  $D$ .

In this terminology, a result of G. Grätzer and E.T. Schmidt [3, p. 83] can be restated as the assertion that the class of principal ideals is rhomboidal hereditary in the class of distributive lattices.

Our first theorem generalizes the mentioned result:

**Theorem 1.** *The class of principal ideals is rhomboidal hereditary in the class of modular lattices.*

PROOF: Suppose  $P$  and  $Q$  are ideals of a modular lattice  $L$  such that  $P \vee Q = (a)$  and  $P \wedge Q = (b)$ .

Since  $P \vee Q = (a)$ , there exist  $p_0 \in P$  and  $q_0 \in Q$  such that  $a \leq p_0 \vee q_0$ . However,  $(p_0] \subset P \subset (a)$ , and so  $p_0 \leq a$ . Similarly we get  $q_0 \leq a$ . This implies  $p_0 \vee q_0 = a$ . Let  $p_1 = p_0 \vee b$  and  $q_1 = q_0 \vee b$ . From  $b \leq a$  we can see that

$$(1) \quad p_1 \vee q_1 = a.$$

Let  $p$  be an arbitrary element in  $P$  and let  $p_2 = p \vee p_1$ . From  $(p] \subset P \subset (a)$  and (1) we conclude that

$$(2) \quad p_2 \vee q_1 = a.$$

Clearly,  $p_2 \geq p_1 = p_0 \vee b \geq b$  and  $q_1 = q_0 \vee b \geq b$ . This gives  $p_2 \wedge q_1 \geq b$ . But  $p_2 \wedge q_1 \in P \wedge Q = (b)$ , and so

$$(3) \quad p_2 \wedge q_1 = p_1 \wedge q_1 = b.$$

Using (2), (3), the modularity of  $L$  and the fact that  $p_1 \leq p_2$ , we get  $p_1 = p_2$ . It follows that  $p_1 = p \vee p_1 \geq p$ , and, consequently,  $I = (p_1]$ . □

For any lattice  $L$ , let  $\theta(L)$  denote a congruence of  $L$  and let  $\theta$  be the class of all these congruences. We shall say that an ideal  $I$  of  $L$  is an *ideal with forbidden exterior quotients* in  $\theta$ , if the following condition is satisfied for any  $a, b \in L$ : If  $a \leq b$ ,  $(a, b) \in \theta(L)$  and  $a \in I$ , then  $b \in I$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $\theta$ , the class of ideals with forbidden exterior quotients in  $\theta$  is rhomboidal hereditary in the class of modular lattices.*

PROOF: Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be ideals of a lattice  $L$ . Suppose that  $P \vee Q$  and  $P \wedge Q$  are ideals with forbidden exterior quotients in  $\theta$  and let  $b \geq a \in P$  be such that  $(a, b) \in \theta(L)$ .

Since the ideal  $P \vee Q$  is an ideal with forbidden exterior quotients in  $\theta$ , it follows that  $b \in P \vee Q$ . Hence we have  $b \leq p \vee q$  for some  $p \in P$  and  $q \in Q$ .

Let  $a_1 = p \vee a$ ,  $b_1 = p \vee b$ . Note that  $(a_1, b_1) \in \theta(L)$  and  $a_1 \leq b_1$ . Similarly,  $(a_1 \wedge q, b_1 \wedge q) \in \theta(L)$ . But  $a_1 \wedge q \in P \wedge Q$  and so finally  $b_1 \wedge q \in P \wedge Q$ . Now set  $a_2 = a_1 \vee (b_1 \wedge q)$ , so that  $a_2 \in P$ .

Observe that the relations  $b_1 \wedge q \leq a_2 \leq b_1$  imply  $b_1 \wedge q \leq a_2 \wedge q \leq b_1 \wedge q$ . Hence

$$(4) \qquad b_1 \wedge q = a_2 \wedge q.$$

On the other hand

$$a_2 \vee q = a_1 \vee q = p \vee q \vee a \geq p \vee b = b_1 \geq a_2$$

which implies  $a_2 \vee q \leq b_1 \vee q \leq a_2 \vee q$ . Thus

$$(5) \qquad b_1 \vee q = a_2 \vee q.$$

A combination of (4), (5) and  $a_2 \leq b_1$  with the modularity of  $L$  yields  $b \leq b_1 = a_2 \in P$ . Consequently,  $b \in P$ . □

**Remark.** If  $P$  and  $Q$  are ideals of a relatively complemented lattice  $L$  such that  $P \wedge Q$  is an ideal with forbidden exterior quotients, then the same can be said about the ideals  $P$  and  $Q$ . Indeed, suppose  $a \in P, a \leq b$  and  $(a, b) \in \theta(L)$  and let  $d$  be an element of  $P \wedge Q$ . If  $a^+$  denotes a relative complement of  $a$  in the interval  $[e, b]$  where  $e = d \wedge a$ , then  $(e, a^+) \in \theta(L)$ . By assumption,  $a^+ \in P \wedge Q$ , and, therefore,  $b = a \vee a^+ \in P \vee (P \wedge Q) = P$ .

However, simple examples show that such a simplification is not possible for modular lattices.

It is also easy to draw the following conclusion: *In any relatively complemented lattice, the ideal  $P \wedge Q$  is an ideal with forbidden exterior quotients if and only if  $P$  and  $Q$  have the same property.* A similar assertion is of course true for any non-void intersection of an arbitrary system of ideals in a relatively complemented lattice.

We now recall some basic definitions and some related techniques.

A quotient  $q/p$  of a lattice  $L$  is *weakly perspective* into a quotient  $s/r$  of  $L$  (written  $q/p \sim_w s/r$ ) if either

$$q \wedge r = p \ \& \ q \vee r \leq s$$

or

$$s \vee p = q \ \& \ s \wedge p \geq r.$$

A quotient  $u/t$  is weakly projective into a quotient  $w/v$  (written  $u/t \approx_w w/v$ ) if there exist quotients  $u_i/t_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) such that

$$u_0/t_0 = u/t \sim_w u_1/t_1 \sim_w \dots \sim_w u_n/t_n = w/v.$$

An allele is a quotient  $y/x$  for which there exists a quotient  $b/a$  such that  $y/x \approx_w b/a$  and either  $y \leq a$  or  $b \leq x$ .

Let  $\hat{C}(L)$  be the congruence defined on  $L$  in the following way [1]: the couple  $(a, b)$  belongs to  $\hat{C}(L)$  if and only if there exists  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a sequence of alleles  $c_{i+1}/c_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ ) such that

$$a \wedge b = c_0 \leq c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_n = a \vee b.$$

An ideal  $I$  of a lattice  $L$  is said to be semiprime [4] if the following implication holds for any  $a, b, c$  of  $L$ :

$$(a \wedge b \in I \ \& \ a \wedge c \in I) \Rightarrow a \wedge (b \vee c) \in I.$$

Finally, we shall make use of the following main result of [2]: An ideal  $I$  of a lattice  $L$  is semiprime if and only if there exists no allele  $p/i$  with  $i \in I$  and  $p \notin I$ . As an immediate consequence of this we obtain the following proposition:

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\hat{C}$  be the class of all the congruences  $\hat{C}(L)$ . An ideal  $I$  of a lattice  $L$  is semiprime if and only if it is an ideal with forbidden exterior quotients in  $\hat{C}$ .

We are now in a position to state the next theorem on the rhomboidal heredity:

**Theorem 4.** The class of semiprime ideals is rhomboidal hereditary in the class of modular lattices.

PROOF: Apply Theorem 2 and Proposition 3. □

**Corollary 5.** Let  $P_i, i \in I \neq \emptyset$ , be ideals of a relatively complemented lattice  $L$ . If  $\bigcap(P_i, i \in I)$  is non-void, then it is a semiprime ideal of  $L$  if and only if all the ideals  $P_i$  are semiprime.

**Corollary 6.** Let  $P_i, i \in I \neq \emptyset$ , be ideals of an orthomodular lattice  $L$ . Then  $\bigcap(P_i, i \in I)$  is a semiprime ideal of  $L$  if and only if all the ideals  $P_i$  are semiprime.

**Theorem 7.** The class of prime ideals is rhomboidal hereditary in the class of all lattices.

PROOF: Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be ideals of a lattice  $L$  such that  $P \vee Q$  and  $P \wedge Q$  are prime and let  $a \wedge b \in P$  for  $a, b \in L$ . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $a \in P \vee Q$ . Moreover, we can suppose that  $Q$  is not contained in  $P$ . It follows that there exists  $q$  belonging to  $Q \setminus P$ . From  $a \wedge b \in P$  and  $q \in Q$  we get  $a \wedge (b \wedge q) \in P \wedge Q$ . If  $a \in P \wedge Q$ , then it is clear that  $a \in P$ . We may thus assume that  $a \notin P \wedge Q$ . However, in this case we have  $b \wedge q \in P \wedge Q$  with  $q \in Q \setminus P$ . Since  $P \wedge Q$  is prime, this gives  $b \in P \wedge Q \subset P$ , completing the proof. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] Beran L., *Orthomodular Lattices (Algebraic Approach)*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985.
- [2] ———, *On semiprime ideals in lattices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **64** (1990), 223–227.
- [3] Grätzer G. and Schmidt E.T., *On ideal theory for lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. **19** (1958), 82–92.
- [4] Rav Y., *Semiprime ideals in general lattices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **56** (1989), 105–118.

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 00 PRAHA 8,  
CZECHOSLOVAKIA

(Received June 11, 1992)