

Marian Nowak

Inductive limit topologies on Orlicz spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 32 (1991), No. 4, 667--675

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118446>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1991

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Inductive limit topologies on Orlicz spaces

MARIAN NOWAK

Abstract. Let L^φ be an Orlicz space defined by a convex Orlicz function φ and let E^φ be the space of finite elements in L^φ (= the ideal of all elements of order continuous norm). We show that the usual norm topology \mathcal{T}_φ on L^φ restricted to E^φ can be obtained as an inductive limit topology with respect to some family of other Orlicz spaces. As an application we obtain a characterization of continuity of linear operators defined on E^φ .

Keywords: Orlicz spaces, inductive limit topologies, convex functions

Classification: 46E30

1. Introduction and preliminaries.

In [1] and [2] Davis, Murray and Weber discussed the spaces

$$L^{p+} = \bigcup_{p < t < \infty} L^t[0,1] \quad \text{and} \quad l^{p-} = \bigcup_{1 \leq t < p} l^t \quad (1 < p \leq \infty)$$

(endowed with the appropriate inductive limit topologies) which turned out to be distinct from the spaces L^p and l^p , respectively.

Moreover, in [8] it is proved that if $S \subset [0, \infty)$ with $\inf S \notin S$ or $\sup S \notin S$ and μ is an infinite atomless measure (resp. $\sup S \notin S$ and μ is the counting measure on \mathbb{N}), there is no Orlicz function φ such that:

$$E^\varphi = \text{Lin} \bigcup_{p \in S} L^p \quad \text{or} \quad L^\varphi = \text{Lin} \bigcup_{p \in S} L^p.$$

On the other hand, Krasnoselskii and Rutickii [3, p. 60] showed that if μ is the finite Lebesgue measure, then

$$L^1 = \bigcup_{\varphi} L^\varphi,$$

where φ are taken over the family of all N -functions. This equality was a starting point for many results concerning a representation of an Orlicz space L^φ or a space E^φ as the union of some families of Orlicz spaces which they contain properly (see [4], [7], [9], [12]).

In [7] for a convex Orlicz function φ we found the set Ψ^φ of N -functions such that:

$$E^\varphi = \bigcup_{\psi \in \Psi^\varphi} E^\psi = \bigcup_{\psi \in \Psi^\varphi} L^\psi.$$

In this paper we show that the appropriate inductive limit topologies on E^φ defined with respect to these representations coincide with the norm topology \mathcal{T}_φ on L^φ restricted to E^φ .

We now recall some notation and terminology concerning Orlicz spaces (see [3], [5], [11] for more details).

By an Orlicz function we mean a function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ which is non-decreasing, left continuous, continuous at zero with $\varphi(0) = 0$, and not identically equal to zero.

We shall say that an Orlicz function φ jumps to ∞ , whenever there is a number $u_0 > 0$ such that $\varphi(u) = \infty$ for $u > u_0$. We shall say that φ vanishes near zero, whenever $\varphi(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq u_0$ for some $u_0 > 0$.

An Orlicz function φ is called convex, if $\varphi(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \alpha\varphi(u) + \beta\varphi(v)$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$. A convex Orlicz function is usually called a Young function. A convex Orlicz function φ , vanishing only at 0 and taking only finite values is called an N -function if $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. By Φ_N we will denote the collection of all N -functions.

For a convex Orlicz function φ we denote by φ^* the function complementary to φ in the sense of Young, i.e.

$$\varphi^*(v) = \sup\{uv - \varphi(u) : u \geq 0\} \text{ for } v \geq 0.$$

For a set Ψ of convex Orlicz functions we will write

$$\Psi^* = \{\psi^* : \psi \in \Psi\}.$$

Throughout this paper we will write: $\varphi_p(u) = u^p$ for $u \geq 0$, where $p \geq 1$ and

$$\varphi_0(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{for } u > 1 \end{cases}, \text{ and } \varphi_\infty(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{for } u > 1 \end{cases}.$$

We shall say that two Orlicz functions ψ and φ are equivalent for all u (resp. for small u , resp. for large u), in symbols $\psi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \varphi$ (resp. $\psi \stackrel{s}{\sim} \varphi$, resp. $\psi \stackrel{l}{\sim} \varphi$) if there exist constants $a, b, c, d > 0$ such that $a\psi(bu) \leq \varphi(u) \leq c\psi(du)$ for all $u \geq 0$ (resp. for $0 \leq u \leq u_0$, resp. for $u \geq u_0$), where $u_0 > 0$.

We say that an Orlicz function φ increases essentially more rapidly than any other ψ for all u (resp. for small u , resp. for large u), in symbols $\psi \stackrel{a}{\ll} \varphi$ (resp. $\psi \stackrel{s}{\ll} \varphi$, resp. $\psi \stackrel{l}{\ll} \varphi$) if for any $c > 0$, $\psi(cu)/\varphi(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ (resp. as $u \rightarrow 0$, resp. $u \rightarrow \infty$) (see [3, p. 114]).

It is known that $\psi \stackrel{a}{\ll} \varphi$ (resp. $\psi \stackrel{s}{\ll} \varphi$, resp. $\psi \stackrel{l}{\ll} \varphi$) implies $\varphi^* \stackrel{a}{\ll} \psi^*$ (resp. $\varphi^* \stackrel{s}{\ll} \psi^*$, resp. $\varphi^* \stackrel{l}{\ll} \psi^*$) (see [3, Lemma 13.1]).

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a positive measure space, and let L^0 denote the set of equivalence classes of all real valued μ -measurable functions defined and finite a.e. on Ω . An Orlicz function φ determines a functional $m_\varphi : L^0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by the formula:

$$m_\varphi(x) = \int_\Omega \varphi(|x(t)|) d\mu.$$

The Orlicz space determined by φ is the ideal of L^0 defined by

$$L^\varphi = \{x \in L^0 : m_\varphi(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

The functional m_φ restricted to L^φ is an orthogonally additive modular (see [6]).

L^φ can be equipped with the complete metrizable topology \mathcal{T}_φ of the Riesz F -norm

$$|x|_\varphi = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : m_\varphi(x/\lambda) \leq \lambda\}.$$

Moreover, if φ is convex, then the topology \mathcal{T}_φ is generated by the norm

$$\|x\|_\varphi = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : m_\varphi(x/\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

Let

$$E^\varphi = \{x \in L^0 : m_\varphi(\lambda x) < \infty \text{ for all } \lambda > 0\}.$$

Then E^φ is a closed ideal of L^φ , and it is well known that E^φ coincides with the ideal of all elements of L^φ with order continuous F -norm $|\cdot|_\varphi$. It is known that $L^\varphi = E^\varphi$ if φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, i.e.

$$\limsup \frac{\varphi(2u)}{\varphi(u)} < \infty \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } u \rightarrow \infty.$$

If μ is the counting measure on the set \mathbb{N} of all natural numbers, we will write l^φ and h^φ instead of L^φ and E^φ , respectively. By c_0 we will denote the space of all sequences that are convergent to 0.

Given a linear topological space (X, ξ) , by $(X, \xi)^*$ we will denote its topological dual.

2. Some equalities among Orlicz spaces.

In this section we present some equalities among Orlicz spaces, obtained in [7], that are of the key importance in the paper.

Let Φ_1 be the set of all convex Orlicz functions φ taking only finite values and such that $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{11} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi(u) > 0 \text{ for } u > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty\}, \\ \Phi_{12} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi(u) > 0 \text{ for } u > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow a \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty, a > 0\}, \\ \Phi_{13} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi(u) = 0 \text{ near zero and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty\}, \\ \Phi_{14} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_1 : \varphi(u) = 0 \text{ near zero and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow a \text{ as } u \rightarrow \infty, a > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Phi_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{1i}$, where the sets are pairwise disjoint. It is seen that $\Phi_{11} = \Phi_N$.

Theorem 2.1 [7, Theorems 1.1–1.4, Theorem 1.7]. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then the following equalities hold:*

$$E^\varphi = \bigcup_{\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi} E^\psi = \bigcup_{\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi} L^\psi,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{11}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \varphi \ll^a \psi\}, \\ \Psi_{12}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \varphi \ll^s \psi\}, \\ \Psi_{13}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \varphi \ll^l \psi\}, \\ \Psi_{14}^\varphi &= \Phi_N. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if μ is an atomless measure or the counting measure on \mathbb{N} , then for each $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$, the strict inclusion $L^\psi \subsetneq E^\varphi$ holds.

Next, let Φ_2 be the set of all convex Orlicz functions φ vanishing only at 0 and such that $\varphi(u)/u \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{21} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_2 : \varphi(u) < 0 \text{ for } u > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0\}, \\ \Phi_{22} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_2 : \varphi \text{ jumps to } \infty \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0\}, \\ \Phi_{23} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_2 : \varphi(u) < 0 \text{ for } u > 0 \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow a \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0, a > 0\}, \\ \Phi_{24} &= \{\varphi \in \Phi_2 : \varphi \text{ jumps to } \infty \text{ and } \varphi(u)/u \rightarrow a \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0, a > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Phi_2 = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \Phi_{2i}$ and $\Phi_{21} = \Phi_N$.

Theorem 2.2 [7, Theorems 2.1–2.4, Theorem 2.6]. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{2i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then the following equalities hold:*

$$L^\varphi = \bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi_{2i}^\varphi} L^\psi = \bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi_{2i}^\varphi} E^\psi,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{21}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \ll^a \varphi\}, \\ \Psi_{22}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \ll^s \varphi\}, \\ \Psi_{23}^\varphi &= \{\psi \in \Phi_N : \psi \ll^l \varphi\}, \\ \Psi_{24}^\varphi &= \Phi_N. \end{aligned}$$

At last, according to [7, Lemma 3.1, Theorem 3.3] we have

Theorem 2.3. *Let φ_1 and φ_2 be a pair of complementary convex Orlicz functions, i.e. $\varphi_1^* = \varphi_2$. Then $\varphi_1 \in \Phi_{1i}$ iff $\varphi_2 \in \Phi_{2i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$), and moreover, the sets $\Psi_{1i}^{\varphi_1}$ and $\Psi_{2i}^{\varphi_2}$ are mutually related in such a way that:*

$$(\Psi_{1i}^{\varphi_1})^* = \Psi_{2i}^{\varphi_2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Psi_{2i}^{\varphi_2})^* = \Psi_{1i}^{\varphi_1}.$$

3. Inductive limit topologies on E^φ .

Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then in view of Theorem 2.1, one can consider on E^φ the inductive limit topologies $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$ and $\mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi$ with respect to the families $\{(E^\psi, \mathcal{T}_\psi |_{E^\psi}) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\}$ and $\{(L^\psi, \mathcal{T}_\psi) : \psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi\}$, respectively (see [10, Chapter V, § 2]). Thus $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi$) is the finest of all locally convex topologies ξ on E^φ that satisfy, for each $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$, the condition $\xi |_{E^\psi} \subset \mathcal{T}_\psi |_{E^\psi}$ (resp. $\xi |_{L^\psi} \subset \mathcal{T}_\psi$). It is seen that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_\varphi |_{E^\varphi} \subset \mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi \subset \mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi.$$

Our aim is to show that the topology $\mathcal{T}_\varphi |_{E^\varphi}$ coincides with $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$ and $\mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi$. For this purpose, the following theorem will be of importance.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_1$ and let μ be a σ -finite measure. Then for a linear functional f on E^φ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) f is $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$ -continuous.
- (b) There exists a unique $y \in L^{\varphi^*}$ such that

$$f(x) = f_y(x) = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y(t) d\mu \quad \text{for all } x \in E^\varphi.$$

PROOF: (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Then for each $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$, the functional $f |_{E^\psi}$ is continuous for $\mathcal{T}_\psi |_{E^\psi}$, so according to [5, Chapter II, § 3, Theorem 2] there exists a unique function $y_\psi \in L^{\psi^*}$ such that

$$(+) \quad f(x) = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y_\psi(t) d\mu \quad \text{for all } x \in E^\psi.$$

Assume that there exist $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$ such that $y_{\psi_1} \neq y_{\psi_2}$, and $f(x) = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y_{\psi_k}(t) d\mu$ for $x \in E^{\psi_k}$, where $k = 1, 2$. Let us assume, for example, that $\mu(\{t \in \Omega : y_{\psi_1}(t) > y_{\psi_2}(t)\}) > 0$, and let $A \subset \{t \in \Omega : y_{\psi_1}(t) > y_{\psi_2}(t)\}$ be a measurable set with $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$. Denoting by χ_A the characteristic function of A , we have $\chi_A \in E^{\psi_1} \cap E^{\psi_2}$, so by (+) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \chi_A(t)(y_{\psi_1}(t) - y_{\psi_2}(t)) d\mu = \int_A (y_{\psi_1}(t) - y_{\psi_2}(t)) d\mu = 0.$$

This contradiction establishes that there exists a unique

$$y \in \bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi} L^{\psi^*} \text{ such that } f(x) = \int_{\Omega} x(t)y(t) d\mu \text{ for all } x \in E^\varphi.$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi^* \in \Phi_{2i}$ and $(\Psi_{1i}^\varphi)^* = \Psi_{2i}^{\varphi^*}$ (see Theorem 2.3), according to Theorem 2.2,

$$\bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi} L^{\psi^*} = \bigcap_{\psi \in (\Psi_{1i}^\varphi)^*} L^\psi = \bigcap_{\psi \in \Psi_{2i}^{\varphi^*}} L^\psi = L^{\varphi^*}.$$

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i=1,2,3,4$). Then for each $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$, by Theorem 2.3, $\psi^* \in \Psi_{2i}^{\varphi^*}$. Hence $L^{\varphi^*} \subset L^{\psi^*}$, and the functional $f|_{E^\psi}$ is continuous for $\mathcal{T}_\psi|_{E^\psi}$ (see [5, Chapter 2, § 3, Theorem 2]). Therefore, in view of [10, Chapter V, Proposition 5], the functional f is continuous for $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$.

Thus the proof is completed. □

Now we are in a position to prove our main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_1$ and μ be a σ -finite measure. Then the norm topology \mathcal{T}_φ restricted to E^φ coincides with the inductive limit topologies $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$ and $\mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi$, that is*

$$\mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi} = \mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi = \mathcal{T}_{I_2}^\varphi.$$

PROOF: Since the space $(E^\varphi, \mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi})$ is barrelled and $(E^\varphi, \mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi})^* = \{f_y : y \in L^{\varphi^*}\}$ (see [5, Chapter II, § 3, Theorem 2]), the equality $\mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi} = \beta(E^\varphi, L^{\varphi^*})$ holds (see [10, Chapter IV, § 1, Corollary 1]).

On the other hand, the space $(E^\varphi, \mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi)$ is barrelled, because an inductive limit of barrelled spaces is barrelled (see [10, Chapter 2, Proposition 6]). Hence, in view of Theorem 3.1, the equality $\mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi = \beta(E^\varphi, L^{\varphi^*})$ holds. Thus $\mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi} = \mathcal{T}_{I_1}^\varphi$, and by (3.1) our proof is completed. □

4. A characterization of continuity of linear operators on E^φ .

As an application of Theorem 3.2, in view of the general property of inductive limit topologies (see [10, Chapter V, 2, Proposition 5]), we obtain a characterization of linear operators of E^φ into a locally convex space X . The details follow.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi \in \Phi_{1i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and let (X, ξ) be a locally convex space. For a linear operator $A : E^\varphi \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) A is $(\mathcal{T}_\varphi|_{E^\varphi}, \xi)$ -continuous.
- (b) $A|_{E^\psi}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_\psi|_{E^\psi}, \xi)$ -continuous for every $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$.
- (c) $A|_{E^\psi}$ is (\mathcal{T}_ψ, ξ) -continuous for every $\psi \in \Psi_{1i}^\varphi$.

We close this section with an application of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1 to the spaces: $L^p, L^1 + L^p$ ($p > 1$) and c_0 .

Examples.

A. Let $p > 1$. Then $\varphi_p \in \Phi_{11}$ and in view of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1 we get the following

Corollary 4.2. *Let $p > 1$. Then the following equalities hold:*

$$L^p = \bigcup_{\psi} E^\psi = \bigcup_{\psi} L^\psi,$$

where the unions are taken over all N -functions ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, if the measure μ is σ -finite, then for a locally convex space (X, ξ) and a linear operator $A : L^p \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is (\mathcal{T}_{L^p}, ξ) -continuous.
- (b) $A|_{E^\psi}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_\psi|_{E^\psi}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) $A|_{L^\psi}$ is (\mathcal{T}_ψ, ξ) -continuous for every N -function ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$.

B. For $p > 1$ let us put

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} u^p & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ pu + 1 - p & \text{for } u > 1, \end{cases}$$

and let $\varphi'(u) = \min(\varphi_1(u), \varphi_p(u))$. Then φ is a convex Orlicz function and $\varphi \stackrel{a}{\sim} \varphi'$, so $E^\varphi = L^\varphi = L^{\varphi'} = L^1 + L^p$ and $\mathcal{T}_\varphi = \mathcal{T}_{\varphi'}$, where the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\varphi'}$ is generated by the norm:

$$\|x\|_{L^1+L^p} = \inf\{\|x_1\|_{L^1} + \|x_2\|_{L^p} : x = x_1 + x_2, \quad x_1 \in L^1, \quad x_2 \in L^p\}.$$

Since $\varphi \in \Phi_{12}$, according to Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1 we have

Corollary 4.3. *Let $p > 1$. Then the following equalities hold:*

$$L^1 + L^p = \bigcup_{\psi} E^\psi = \bigcup_{\psi} L^\psi,$$

where the unions are taken over the set of all N -functions ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.

Moreover, if the measure μ is σ -finite, then for a locally convex space (X, ξ) and a linear operator $A : L^1 + L^p \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is $(\mathcal{T}_{L^1+L^p}, \xi)$ -continuous.
- (b) $A|_{E^\psi}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_\psi|_{E^\psi}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.
- (c) $A|_{L^\psi}$ is (\mathcal{T}_ψ, ξ) -continuous for every N -function ψ such that $\psi(u)/u^p \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$.

In particular, if the measure μ is finite, then

$$L^1 = \bigcup_{\psi} E^{\psi} = \bigcup_{\psi} L^{\psi},$$

where the unions are taken over the set of all N -functions ψ .

Moreover, for a linear operator $A : L^1 \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is (\mathcal{T}_{L^1}, ξ) -continuous.
- (b) $A|_{E^{\psi}}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_{\psi}|_{E^{\psi}}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ .
- (c) $A|_{L^{\psi}}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_{\psi}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ .

C. Let

$$\varphi(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ u - 1 & \text{for } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then φ is a convex Orlicz function and $\varphi \stackrel{s}{\sim} \varphi_0$. Hence $l^{\varphi} = l^{\varphi_0} = l^{\infty}$ and $h^{\varphi} = h^{\varphi_0} = c_0$, and the topology \mathcal{T}_{φ} on l^{φ} agrees with the topology \mathcal{T}_{∞} of the norm $\|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_i |x(i)|$ on l^{∞} . Since $\varphi \in \Phi_{14}$, in view of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 4.1, we have

Corollary 4.4. *The following equalities hold:*

$$c_0 = \bigcup_{\psi} h^{\psi} = \bigcup_{\psi} l^{\psi},$$

where the unions are taken over the set of all N -functions.

Moreover, for a locally convex space (X, ξ) and a linear operator $A : c_0 \rightarrow X$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) A is $(\mathcal{T}_{\infty}|_{c_0}, \xi)$ -continuous.
- (b) $A|_{h^{\psi}}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_{\psi}|_{h^{\psi}}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ .
- (c) $A|_{l^{\psi}}$ is $(\mathcal{T}_{\psi}, \xi)$ -continuous for every N -function ψ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Davis H.W., Murray F.J., Weber J.K., *Families of L_p -spaces with inductive and projective topologies*, Pacific J. Math. **34** (1970), 619–638.
- [2] ———, *Inductive and projective limits of L_p -spaces*, Portugal. Math. **38** (1972), 21–29.
- [3] Krasnoselskii M.A., Rutickii Ya.B., *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*, Groningen, 1961.
- [4] Leśniewicz R., *On two equalities for Orlicz spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. **27** (1979), 557–560.
- [5] Luxemburg W.A., *Banach Functions Spaces*, Delft, 1955.
- [6] Musielak J., Orlicz W., *Some remarks on modular spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. **7** (1959), 661–668.
- [7] Nowak M., *Some equalities among Orlicz spaces II*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. **34** (1986), 675–687.
- [8] ———, *Unions and intersections of families of L^p -spaces*, Math. Nachr. **136** (1988), 241–251.
- [9] ———, *Some equalities among Orlicz spaces I*, Comment. Math. **29** (1990), 255–275.
- [10] Robertson A.P., Robertson W.J., *Topological Vector Spaces*, Cambridge, 1973.

- [11] Turpin Ph., *Convexités dans les espaces vectoriels topologiques généraux*, Dissertationes Math. **131** (1976).
- [12] Welland R., *Inclusions relations among Orlicz spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 135–138.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, MATEJKI 48/49, 60–769 POZNAŃ,
POLAND

(Received August 8, 1991)