

Jaroslav Hylán

Asymptotical properties of the Wronski determinant of a certain class of linear differential equations of the 2nd order

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 110 (1985), No. 1, 13--18

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118218>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ASYMPTOTICAL PROPERTIES OF THE WRONSKI DETERMINANT
OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
OF THE 2ND ORDER

JAROSLAV HYLÁN, Žilina

(Received May 25, 1982)

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] asymptotical properties of the solution of the following linear differential equation of the 2nd order have been investigated:

$$(1.1) \quad y'' + [l^r - q(x, l)] y = 0,$$

where $q(x, l) = \sum_{v=0}^{r'} a_v(x) l^v$ with real functions $a_v(x)$ continuous on the interval $[0, a]$, r is a natural number, $r' \leq r$ an integer and l a complex variable.

The functions $\varphi(x, l)$ and $\psi(x, l)$ which are the solution of equation (1, 1) satisfying the initial conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(0, l) &= \alpha_1, & \varphi'(0, l) &= \alpha_2, & \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 &> 0 \\ \psi(a, l) &= \beta_1, & \psi'(a, l) &= \beta_2, & \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

have been proved to be entire functions of the complex variable l for every $x \in [0, a]$.

By the substitution $s = l^{r/2} = |l|^{r/2} e^{i\alpha}$, $\alpha \in [0, \pi r)$, where $l = |l| e^{i\beta}$, $\beta \in [0, 2\pi]$, the differential equation (1, 1) transforms into the differential equation

$$(1.3) \quad y'' + [s^2 - q(x, l)] y = 0.$$

Denoting $\gamma = 2r'/r < 1$, asymptotic estimates for the functions $\varphi(x, l)$ and $\psi(x, l)$, their derivatives with respect to x and s and their integrals for $|s| = \varrho \rightarrow +\infty$ have been established.

In this work, the methods of [1] are extended in order to obtain asymptotical properties of the Wronski determinant of the functions $\varphi(x, l)$ and $\psi(x, l)$.

In this part we quote briefly those results from [1] that will be needed in the sequel:

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(x, l) = \alpha_1 \cos(sx) + \alpha_1 s^{-1} \sin(sx) + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^{\gamma-1} e_1(x) c_1(x);$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi(x, l)}{\partial x} &= -\alpha_1 x \sin(sx) + \alpha_2 x s^{-1} \cos(sx) - \\ &- \alpha_2 s^{-2} \sin(sx) + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^{\gamma-1} e_1(x) c_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $e_1(x) = \exp [x\varrho |\sin \alpha|]$ and $c_i(x)$ (for $i = 1, 2, \dots$) are functions of the variable x on the interval $[0, a]$, with positive constants c_i independent of $\varrho > 1$, $\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$ and $x \in [0, a]$.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY INFORMATION

The following definitions and notation are used: $k_i(s)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) denotes a function of the variables $\varrho = |s|$ and $\alpha = \arg s$, i.e. a function of the complex variable s , such that

$$|k_i(s)| < k_i$$

where k_i is a positive constant independent of s . The numbering of these functions is independent in every section and the index i of the function $k_i(s)$ in a relation which is to be proved need not coincide with the index j of the function $k_j(s)$, which is used in the proof of this relation.

3. Theorem. *Let $w(l)$ be the Wronski determinant of the functions $\varphi(x, l)$ and $\psi(x, l)$ which were defined above in Section 1.*

Then

$$(3.1) \quad w(l) = \alpha_1 \beta_1 s \sin(as) + [\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1] \cos(as) + \\ + \alpha_2 \beta_2 s^{-1} \sin(as) + [-\alpha_1 \beta_1 + (\beta_2 \alpha_1 - \beta_1 \alpha_2) \varrho^{-1} + \alpha_2 \beta_2 \varrho^{-2}] \varrho^\gamma e_1(a) k_1(s),$$

where $e_1(a)$ is defined in Section 2.

Proof. Liouville's formula implies that the Wronski determinant $w(l)$ is independent of x (the coefficient at y in equation (1.1) is equal to zero).

Further, an arbitrary number x can be substituted into the functions $\varphi(x, l)$ and $\psi(x, l)$ and their derivatives in the Wronski determinant $w(l)$.

Substituting $x = a$ into $w(l)$ we obtain by virtue of (1.2), (1.4) and (1.5)

$$(3.2) \quad w(l) = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi(a, l) & \varphi'(a, l) \\ \psi(a, l) & \psi'(a, l) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \varphi(a, l) & \varphi'(a, l) \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{vmatrix} = \\ = \beta_2 \varphi(a, l) - \beta_1 \varphi'(a, l) = \beta_2 \{ \alpha_1 \cos(as) + \alpha_2 s^{-1} \sin(as) + \\ + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^{\gamma-1} e_1(a) k_2(s) \} - \beta_1 \{ -\alpha_1 s \sin(as) + \\ + \alpha_2 \cos(as) + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^\gamma e_1(a) k_3(s) \}.$$

Formula (3.1) follows by easy calculation.

4. Theorem. *Let $u(s) = w(l)$, where s is defined in Section 1. Then*

a) $\alpha_1 \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$

$$(4.1) \quad u(s) = \alpha_1 \beta_1 s \sin(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_1(s)] = e_1(a) k_2(s);$$

b) $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0, \alpha_2 \beta_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$

$$(4.2) \quad u(s) = \alpha_2 \beta_2 s^{-1} \sin(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_3(s)] = \varrho^{-1} e_1(a) k_4(s);$$

$$c) \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(4.3) \quad u(s) = -\alpha_2 \beta_1 \cos(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_5(s)] = e_1(a) k_6(s);$$

$$d) \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0, \beta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(4.4) \quad u(s) = \alpha_1 \beta_2 \cos(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_7(s)] = e_1(a) k_8(s).$$

Proof results from (3,1) by using the notation introduced in Section 2.

5. Theorem

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\omega(s)}{ds} &= \alpha_1 \beta_1 [as \cos(as) + \sin(as)] + \\ &+ [\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2] a \sin(as) - \alpha_2 \beta_2 s^{-1} [s^{-1} \sin(as) - a \cos(as)] + \\ &+ [\alpha_1 \beta_1 + (\alpha_2 \beta_1 + \alpha_1 \beta_2) \varrho^{-1} + \alpha_2 \beta_2 \varrho^{-2}] \varrho^\gamma e_1(a) k_1(s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We differentiate (3.2) with respect to s and make use of the expressions derived in [1]:

$$(a) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\varphi(a, l)}{ds} &= -\alpha_1 a \sin(as) + \alpha_2 a s^{-1} \cos(as) - \\ &- \alpha_2 s^{-2} \sin(as) + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^{\gamma-1} e_1(a) c_1(a); \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi(x, l)}{\partial x} \right) \right]_{x=a} &= -\alpha_2 a \sin(as) - \alpha_1 \sin(as) - \\ &- \alpha_1 a s \cos(as) + [\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \varrho^{-1}] \varrho^\gamma e_1(a) c_2(a). \end{aligned}$$

6. Theorem

$$a) \quad \alpha_1 \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{d\omega(s)}{ds} = \alpha_1 \beta_1 a s \cos(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_1(s)] = \varrho e_1(a) k_2(s);$$

$$b) \quad \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0, \alpha_2 \beta_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{d\omega(s)}{ds} = \alpha_2 \beta_2 a s^{-1} \cos(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_3(s)] = e_1(a) k_4(s);$$

$$c) \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{d\omega(s)}{ds} = \alpha_2 \beta_1 a \sin(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_5(s)] = e_1(a) k_6(s);$$

d) $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \beta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{d\omega(s)}{ds} = \alpha_1 \beta_2 a \sin(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_7(s)] = e_1(a) k_8(s).$$

Proof follows from the modified equation (5.1).

7. Note. In what follows, n represents a natural number and c_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are positive constants independent of n , $\varrho > 1$, $\tau \in [0, \pi r]$.

8. Theorem. Let $\{\varrho_n\}$ be an increasing sequence of real numbers such that

$$(8.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varrho_n = +\infty$$

and let there exist $c_1 \in (0, 1)$ independent of n and such that

$$(8.2) \quad |\sin(a\varrho_n)| > c_1.$$

Then for $\tau \in [0, \pi r]$ the inequality

$$(8.3) \quad |\operatorname{cosec}(a\varrho_n e^{i\tau})| < c_2 e_1(-a)$$

holds for almost all n .

Similarly,

$$(8.4) \quad |\cos(a\varrho_n)| > c_3 \Rightarrow |\sec(a\varrho_n e^{i\tau})| < c_4 e_1(-a)$$

for almost all n and for $c_3 \in (0, 1)$ independent of n ; $e_1(-a) = \exp[-a\varrho_n |\sin \tau|]$.

Proof. Let $s = \varrho_n e^{i\tau}$. Then an elementary calculation yields

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\operatorname{cosec}(as)| &= |\operatorname{cosec}(a\varrho_n e^{i\tau})| = \\ &= 2 e_1(-a) [(e_1^2(-a) - 1)^2 + 4 e_1^2(-a) \sin^2(a\varrho_n \cos \tau)]^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Let $\tau \in [0, \pi/a\varrho_n]$, where obviously $\pi/a\varrho_n < \pi/2$ for almost all n .

It may be easily proved that

$$(8.6) \quad \cos \tau > 1 - \frac{\pi}{a\varrho_n} \quad \text{for almost all } n.$$

Considering (8.2) and (8.6) we conclude

$$(8.7) \quad \sin^2(a\varrho_n \cos \tau) > \sin^2 \left[a\varrho_n \left(1 - \frac{\pi}{a\varrho_n} \right) \right] = \sin^2(a\varrho_n) > c_1^2.$$

Since

$$(8.8) \quad e_1(-a) < e^{-\pi} \quad \text{for } \tau \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{a\varrho_n} \right],$$

(8.5) together with (8.7) and (8.8) yields

$$|\operatorname{cosec}(a\varrho_n e^{i\tau})| < c_2 e_1(-a).$$

Thus the relationship (8.3) is proved for $\tau \in [0, \pi/a\varrho_n]$.

Further, let

$$(b) \quad \tau \in \left[\frac{\pi}{a\varrho_n}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right].$$

We apply the inequality

$$(8.9) \quad \sin \tau > \frac{2\tau}{\pi} \quad \text{for } \tau \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{2} \right),$$

obtaining

$$(8.10) \quad e_1(-a) < e^{-2} < 1.$$

It can be seen that even in this case, (8.5) together with (8.2), (8.9) and (8.10) yields

$$|\operatorname{cosec}(a\varrho_n e^{i\tau})| < c_3 e_1(-a).$$

This proves the relation (8.3) for $\tau \in [\pi/a\varrho_n, \pi/2]$.

The equation (8.3) may be proved similarly.

For the other values of τ the proof is based on the fact that $\operatorname{cosec}(as)$ is an odd function assuming real values for s real, while $\sec(as)$ is an even function with real values for s real.

9. Theorem.

Let

$$(9.1) \quad s = \varrho_n e^{i\tau}, \quad \tau \in [0, \pi r],$$

where ϱ_n satisfies conditions (8.1) to (8.4).

Then

$$a) \quad \alpha_1 \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(9.2) \quad \omega^{-1}(s) = (\alpha_1 \beta_1 s)^{-1} \operatorname{cosec}(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_1(s)] = \varrho^{-1} e_1(-a) k_2(s);$$

$$b) \quad \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0, \alpha_2 \beta_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(9.3) \quad \omega^{-1}(s) = (\alpha_2 \beta_2)^{-1} s \operatorname{cosec}(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_3(s)] = \varrho e_1(-a) k_4(s);$$

$$c) \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \beta_1 \neq 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(9.4) \quad \omega^{-1}(s) = -(\alpha_2 \beta_1)^{-1} \sec(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_5(s)] = e_1(-a) k_6(s);$$

$$d) \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0, \beta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$(9.5) \quad \omega^{-1}(s) = (\alpha_1 \beta_2)^{-1} \sec(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_7(s)] = e_1(-a) k_8(s).$$

Proof. For s from (9.1) it follows from (4.1) and (8.2) that

$$\omega(s) = \alpha_1 \beta_1 s \sin(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_1(s)],$$

hence

$$u^{-1}(s) = (\alpha_1 \beta_1 s)^{-1} \operatorname{cosec}(as) [1 + \varrho^{\gamma-1} k_2(s)] = \varrho^{-1} e_1(-a) k_3(s),$$

which proves (9.2).

Relations (9.3), (9.4) and (9.5) are derived analogously.

References

- [1] *J. Hylán*: Asymptotical properties of solution of a certain class of linear differential equations of the 2nd order (Czech). Proceedings 6th Scientific Conference in Žilina, 1979 at Technical University for Transport Engineering.
- [2] *E. C. Titchmarsh*: Eigenfunction expansions associated with second-order differential equations. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1946 (Russian translation, Moskva 1960).

Author's address: 010 00 Žilina, Marxa-Engelsa 25 (katedra matematiky Vysoké školy dopravy a spojů).