

Elena Brožíková

Existence of Schütte semiautomorphisms

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 107 (1982), No. 2, 143--158

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/118116>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1982

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

EXISTENCE OF SCHÜTTE SEMIAUTOMORPHISMS

ELENA BROŽÍKOVÁ

(Received March 24, 1980)

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the existence of Schütte semiautomorphisms (i.e., semiautomorphisms of alternative division rings, satisfying Schütte condition of orthogonality, [2]). A natural classification of these semiautomorphisms is found and examples corresponding to each of the types of semiautomorphisms are constructed.

1.1. An affine plane is a triple $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$, where \mathcal{P} is a set of points, \mathcal{L} a set of lines and \mathbf{I} is an incidence relation, satisfying

- 1) Any two distinct points $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ lie on exactly one line $l \in \mathcal{L}$ ($P_1 \mathbf{I} l, P_2 \mathbf{I} l$; denotation: $l = P_1 \sqcup P_2$).
- 2) For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $l_1 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P \text{ non } \mathbf{I} l_1$ there exists exactly one line $l_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ that passes through P and has no point on l_1 (l_1 and l_2 are parallel; denotation: $l_1 \parallel l_2$). If $P \mathbf{I} l_1$, then $l_1 = l_2$.
- 3) There exist three non colinear (not lying on the same line) points.

Herewith a binary relation of parallelity among lines is defined and this relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

An isomorphism from an affine plane $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I})$ onto an affine plane $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathbf{I}')$ is a couple (π, λ) of bijective mappings $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$, $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $P \mathbf{I} l \Leftrightarrow P' \mathbf{I}' l'$. The relation of isomorphism divides the class of all planes into disjoint classes of mutually isomorphic planes.

A binary relation on \mathcal{L} is called an orthogonality (denoted by \perp) if it satisfies the following axioms:

- 1) If $l_1 \perp l_2$, then $l_2 \perp l_1$.
- 2) If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $l_1 \in \mathcal{L}$, then there is exactly one $l_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $P \mathbf{I} l_2$ and $l_2 \perp l_1$.

We shall denote by $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I}; \perp)$ an affine plane with an orthogonality \perp . An isomorphism from $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbf{I}; \perp)$ onto $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}', \mathbf{I}'; \perp')$ is a couple (π, λ) of bijective mappings $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'$, $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $P \mathbf{I} l \Leftrightarrow P' \mathbf{I}' l'$ and $l_1 \perp l_2 \Leftrightarrow l'_1 \perp' l'_2$.

The preceding definitions imply:

$$l_1 \perp l_2, \quad l_2 \parallel l_3 \Rightarrow l_1 \perp l_3.$$

The *Fano condition* for an affine plane has the following meaning: For every quadrangle (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) (an ordered quadruple of mutually distinct points), where $A_1 \sqcup A_2 \parallel A_3 \sqcup A_4$ and $A_1 \sqcup A_4 \parallel A_2 \sqcup A_3$, there exists exactly one point $B \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $(A_1 \sqcup A_3) \cap (A_2 \sqcup A_4) = B$. (The symbol \cap denotes the point of intersection of two non-parallel lines.)

The *trapez condition*: Let (A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4) and (B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4) be two quadrangles, where $A_1 \sqcup A_2 \parallel A_3 \sqcup A_4$ and $B_1 \sqcup B_2 \parallel B_3 \sqcup B_4$, $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{P}$. If five of the relations $A_i \sqcup A_k \perp B_i \sqcup B_k$ ($1 \leq i < k \leq 4$) are satisfied, then the remaining sixth relation is also satisfied.

1.2. An *alternative division ring* is a non-void set T together with two binary operations $+$, \cdot on T , where $(T, +)$ is an Abelian group with a neutral element 0 (zero), $(T \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$ is a loop with a neutral element 1 (identity) and both distributive laws as well as both alternative laws are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} a(b + c) &= ab + ac, & (a + b)c &= ac + bc \\ (ab)b &= ab^2, & a^2b &= a(ab) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in T$.

The *center* C of T is the set of all $p \in T$, which commute and associate with all elements of T :

$$C = \{p \in T \mid (px)y = p(xy), px = xp \text{ for every } x, y \in T\}.$$

A one-to-one mapping $\sigma : T \rightarrow T$ satisfying $(x + y)^\sigma = x^\sigma + y^\sigma$ is called

- 1) an *automorphism* if $(xy)^\sigma = x^\sigma y^\sigma$ for all $x, y \in T$,
- 2) an *antiautomorphism* if $(xy)^\sigma = y^\sigma x^\sigma$ for all $x, y \in T$,
- 3) an *semiautomorphism* if one of the following pairwise mutually equivalent conditions is fulfilled:
 - a) $(xyx)^\sigma = x^\sigma y^\sigma x^\sigma$ for all $x, y \in T$,
 - b) $(x^2)^\sigma = (x^\sigma)^2$ for all $x \in T$,
 - c) $(xy + yx)^\sigma = x^\sigma y^\sigma + y^\sigma x^\sigma$ for all $x, y \in T$,
 - d) $(y^{-1})^\sigma = (y^\sigma)^{-1}$ for $y \neq 0, y \in T$.

Every automorphism or antiautomorphism is a special kind of semiautomorphism on T . An alternative non-associative division ring admits semiautomorphisms which are not automorphisms nor antiautomorphisms.

1.3. Let $(T, +, \cdot)$ be an alternative division ring. We put $\mathcal{P} := T \times T$, $\mathcal{L} := (T \times T) \cup T$ and define $I \subseteq \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{L}$ as follows:

$(x, y) \perp (u, v) \Leftrightarrow y = ux + v$ for all $x, y, u, v \in \mathbf{T}$,

$(x, y) \perp u \Leftrightarrow x = u$ for all $x, y, u \in \mathbf{T}$.

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \perp)$ is an affine plane over \mathbf{T} . In this plane the Little Desargues condition holds. If \mathbf{T} is associative, then the affine plane satisfies the Desargues condition ([1], p. 73).

Theorem (K. Schütte). *For every affine plane with an orthogonality $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}, \perp)$ satisfying the trapez condition there exist an alternative division ring \mathbf{T} , a semiautomorphism $\sigma : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ and an element $k \in \mathbf{T}$ such that $(ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak$ holds for every $a \in \mathbf{T}$. Then the affine plane over \mathbf{T} with the orthogonality defined by $y = ax \perp y = (ka^\sigma)^{-1} x$ is isomorphic with the original affine plane.*

Conversely. *Let \mathbf{T} be an alternative division ring, $\sigma : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$ a semiautomorphism and $k \in \mathbf{T}$ an element satisfying $(ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak$ for every $a \in \mathbf{T}$. Then the affine plane over \mathbf{T} provided with the orthogonality $y = ax \perp y = (ka^\sigma)^{-1} x$ satisfies the trapez condition ([2] – Theorem 9).*

1.4. Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$ and let \mathbf{Q} be a quaternion division algebra over F , consisting of elements of the form $x = a_0 + a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3$; $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in F$. The symbol \bar{x} will denote the conjugate element to x , $\bar{x} = a_0 - a_1e_1 - a_2e_2 - a_3e_3$.

A Cayley (Cayley-Dickson) division algebra \mathbf{A} over F is a set of the form $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} + g\mathbf{Q}$ with elements $x = x_1 + gx_2$ ($x_i \in \mathbf{Q}$) and with the following operations:

a) addition is defined by the rule

$$(x_1 + gx_2) + (y_1 + gy_2) = (x_1 + y_1) + g(x_2 + y_2)$$

for every $x_i, y_i \in \mathbf{Q}$,

b) multiplication is defined by

$$(x_1 + gx_2)(y_1 + gy_2) = (x_1y_1 + \gamma y_2\bar{x}_2) + g(\bar{x}_1y_2 + y_1x_2)$$

for every $x_i, y_i \in \mathbf{Q}$, where $g^2 = \gamma \neq 0$, $\gamma \in F$.

The following theorems are known ([1], p. 175, p. 302):

Theorem (L. A. Skornjakov, R. H. Bruck, E. Kleinfeld). *If \mathbf{T} is an alternative division ring over F , then either \mathbf{T} is associative or \mathbf{T} is a Cayley division algebra over the field F .*

Theorem (Wedderburn). *A finite alternative division ring is a field.*

All automorphisms of an alternative division ring have been described by N. Jacobson ([5]).

Let \mathbf{T} be an alternative non-associative division ring over a field with characteristic $\neq 2$. Then \mathbf{T} is a Cayley algebra over its center \mathbf{C} and there is a basis $1, e_1, \dots, e_7$,

where $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ ($i \neq j$), $e_i^2 = -\alpha_i$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{C}$. The following result was proved in [3], Theorems 5, 6:

Theorem (V. Havel). *Every semiautomorphism σ of an alternative division ring \mathbf{T} over its center \mathbf{C} has the following form:*

$$(1) \quad e_i^\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^7 a_{ik} e_k; \quad i = 1, \dots, 7,$$

where the constants $a_{ik} \in \mathbf{C}$ satisfy

$$(2) \quad \alpha_i^\sigma = \sum_{k=1}^7 \alpha_k a_{ik}^2 \quad \text{for every } i = 1, \dots, 7,$$

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^7 \alpha_k a_{ik} a_{jk} = 0 \quad \text{for every } i, j = 1, \dots, 7, \quad i \neq j.$$

Conversely. *Every mapping σ with the properties (1), (2) and (3) is a semiautomorphism of \mathbf{T} . Furthermore, the restriction $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ is an automorphism on \mathbf{C} and if $x \in \mathbf{C}$, $y \in \mathbf{T}$, then $(xy)^\sigma = x^\sigma y^\sigma$.*

If \mathbf{C} is the field \mathbf{R} of real numbers, then $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}} = \text{id}$, $0^\sigma = 0$, $1^\sigma = 1$.

Now we shall investigate the condition

$$(4) \quad (ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak,$$

where for $a = 1$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad k^\sigma = k.$$

We shall investigate this condition in single cases.

2.1. Let $k \in \mathbf{C}$. Then (4) implies: $(ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak \Rightarrow k^\sigma a^{\sigma^2} = ak \Rightarrow ka^{\sigma^2} = ka \Rightarrow a^{\sigma^2} = a \Rightarrow$

$$(6) \quad \sigma^2 = \text{id}, \quad \text{but } \sigma \neq \text{id}.$$

If We choose $a = e_i$ then from (1) we get

$$e_i^{\sigma^2} = (e_i^\sigma)^\sigma = \left(\sum_j a_{ij} e_j \right)^\sigma = \sum_j a_{ij}^\sigma e_j^\sigma = \sum_{j,m} a_{ij}^\sigma a_{jm} e_m = e_i$$

or

$$(7) \quad \sum_j a_{ij}^\sigma a_{jm} = \delta_{im}.$$

Now we shall demonstrate on two examples that such a mapping $\sigma \neq \text{id}$ exists.

Example 1. Let \mathbf{T} be a Cayley division algebra with a basis $1, e_1, \dots, e_7$ and the multiplication table

| | e_1 | e_2 | e_3 | e_4 | e_5 | e_6 | e_7 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| e_1 | -1 | $-e_3$ | e_2 | $-e_5$ | e_4 | e_7 | $-e_6$ |
| e_2 | e_3 | -1 | $-e_1$ | $-e_6$ | $-e_7$ | e_4 | e_5 |
| e_3 | $-e_2$ | e_1 | -1 | $-e_7$ | e_6 | $-e_5$ | e_4 |
| e_4 | e_5 | e_6 | e_7 | -1 | $-e_1$ | $-e_2$ | $-e_3$ |
| e_5 | $-e_4$ | e_7 | $-e_6$ | e_1 | -1 | e_3 | $-e_2$ |
| e_6 | $-e_7$ | $-e_4$ | e_5 | e_2 | $-e_3$ | -1 | e_1 |
| e_7 | e_6 | $-e_5$ | $-e_4$ | e_3 | e_2 | $-e_1$ | -1 |

Here $e_i^2 = -1$, $\alpha_i = 1$.

Let the mapping σ be given by the matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$:

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & a_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{43} & a_{44} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1(\mp 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the mapping is neither an automorphism nor an antiautomorphism:

$$e_2 = e_2^\sigma = (e_1 e_3)^\sigma \neq (e_1^\sigma e_3^\sigma) = e_1(a_{33} e_3 + a_{34} e_4) = a_{33} e_2 - a_{34} e_5,$$

$$e_2 = e_2^\sigma = (e_1 e_3)^\sigma \neq (e_3^\sigma e_1^\sigma) = (a_{33} e_3 + a_{34} e_4) e_1 = -a_{33} e_2 + a_{34} e_5.$$

The mapping σ is just a semiautomorphism if the constants a_{ij} and their images a_{ij}^σ satisfy

$$(8) \quad \sum_k a_{ik}^2 = 1, \quad \sum_k a_{ik} a_{jk} = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

and

$$(9) \quad \sum_j a_{ij}^\sigma a_{jm} = \delta_{im}, \quad a_{ij}^{\sigma^2} = a_{ij}, \quad \sigma \neq id.$$

In our case (9) yields $a_{ij}^\sigma = a_{ij}$ for $i \neq 3, 4$ or $j \neq 3, 4$. For $i, j = 3, 4$ the following identities must be fulfilled:

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} a_{33}^\sigma a_{33} + a_{34}^\sigma a_{43} = 1 \\ a_{33}^\sigma a_{34} + a_{34}^\sigma a_{44} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} a_{43}^\sigma a_{33} + a_{44}^\sigma a_{43} = 0 \\ a_{43}^\sigma a_{34} + a_{44}^\sigma a_{44} = 1 \end{cases}.$$

The determinants of the systems (10) and (11) are

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & a_{43} \\ a_{34} & a_{44} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D = \pm 1, \text{ because the matrix } \|a_{ij}\|$$

must be orthogonal. From (8) we get

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} a_{33}^2 + a_{34}^2 = 1 \\ a_{43}^2 + a_{44}^2 = 1 \\ a_{33}a_{43} + a_{34}a_{44} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We shall investigate the last system in detail:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{34}^2 &= 1 - a_{33}^2, & a_{43}^2 &= 1 - a_{44}^2 \\ a_{33}^2 a_{43}^2 &= a_{34}^2 a_{44}^2 \\ a_{33}^2(1 - a_{44}^2) &= (1 - a_{33}^2) a_{44}^2 \Rightarrow a_{33}^2 = a_{44}^2 \Rightarrow a_{34}^2 = a_{43}^2 \\ D &= a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43} = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the systems (10) and (11) are

$$a_{33}^\sigma = \frac{a_{44}}{D}, \quad a_{34}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{34}}{D}, \quad a_{43}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{43}}{D}, \quad a_{44}^\sigma = \frac{a_{33}}{D}.$$

We distinguish the following cases:

1) $a_{34} = a_{43}$

a) $a_{44} = a_{33}$

$$D = a_{33}^2 - 1 + a_{33}^2 = \pm 1$$

I) $D = 1 : 2a_{33}^2 = 2 \Rightarrow a_{33} = \pm 1 = a_{44}, a_{34} = a_{43} = 0$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1, \quad \sigma_C = id, \quad \sigma \text{ is either an automorphism or an anti-automorphism.}$$

II) $D = -1 : a_{33}^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_{33} = a_{44} = 0, a_{34} = a_{43} = \pm 1$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -1, \quad \sigma_C = id, \quad \sigma_T \neq id,$$

$$e_3^\sigma = \pm e_4, \quad e_4^\sigma = \pm e_3, \quad \sigma \text{ is a semiautomorphism of } T : (e_1 e_3)^\sigma \neq e_1^\sigma e_3^\sigma, \\ (e_1 e_3)^\sigma \neq e_3^\sigma e_1^\sigma$$

b) $a_{44} = -a_{33}$

$$D = -a_{33}^2 - 1 + a_{33}^2 = -1$$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & \pm \sqrt{(1 - a_{33}^2)} \\ \pm \sqrt{(1 - a_{33}^2)} & -a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = -1$$

$\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = id$, σ is a semiautomorphism of \mathbf{T}

$$e_3^\sigma e_1^\sigma \neq (e_1 e_3)^\sigma \neq e_1^\sigma e_3^\sigma$$

2) $a_{34} = -a_{43}$

a) $a_{44} = a_{33}$

$$D = a_{33}^2 + 1 - a_{33}^2 = 1$$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} a_{33} & \pm\sqrt{(1 - a_{33}^2)} \\ \mp\sqrt{(1 - a_{33}^2)} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

$$a_{33}^\sigma = a_{44}^\sigma = a_{33} = a_{44}, a_{34}^\sigma = a_{43}, a_{43}^\sigma = a_{34}$$

σ is a semiautomorphism of \mathbf{T} , $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} \neq id$

b) $a_{44} = -a_{33}$

$$D = -a_{33}^2 + 1 - a_{33}^2 = \pm 1$$

I) $D = 1 \Rightarrow a_{33}^2 = 0 \Rightarrow a_{33} = a_{44} = 0, a_{34} = -a_{43} = \pm 1$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \mp 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1$$

$\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = id$, σ is a semiautomorphism of \mathbf{T}

II) $D = -1 : a_{33}^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a_{33} = \pm 1, a_{44} = \mp 1, a_{34} = a_{43} = 0$

$$D = \begin{vmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mp 1 \end{vmatrix} = -1$$

$\sigma_{\mathbf{C}} = id$, σ is an automorphism or an antiautomorphism of \mathbf{T} .

It can be easily verified that $\sigma^2 = id$ in all the cases investigated. The determinants from 1)b) and 2)a) have sense only in \mathbf{C} , where $\sqrt{\quad}$ is defined.

Example 2. Let \mathbf{T} be a Cayley division algebra with the multiplication table

| | e_1 | e_2 | e_3 | e_4 | e_5 | e_6 | e_7 |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| e_1 | $-\alpha_1$ | $-e_3$ | $\alpha_1 e_2$ | $-e_5$ | $\alpha_1 e_4$ | e_7 | $-\alpha_1 e_6$ |
| e_2 | e_3 | $-\alpha_2$ | $-\alpha_2 e_1$ | $-e_6$ | $-e_7$ | $\alpha_2 e_4$ | $\alpha_2 e_5$ |
| e_3 | $-\alpha_1 e_2$ | $\alpha_2 e_1$ | $-\alpha_3$ | $-e_7$ | $\alpha_1 e_6$ | $-\alpha_2 e_5$ | $\alpha_3 e_4$ |
| e_4 | e_5 | e_6 | e_7 | $-\alpha_4$ | $-\alpha_4 e_1$ | $-\alpha_4 e_2$ | $-\alpha_4 e_3$ |
| e_5 | $-\alpha_1 e_4$ | e_7 | $-\alpha_1 e_6$ | $\alpha_4 e_5$ | $-\alpha_5$ | $\alpha_4 e_3$ | $-\alpha_5 e_2$ |
| e_6 | $-e_7$ | $-\alpha_2 e_4$ | $\alpha_2 e_5$ | $\alpha_4 e_2$ | $-\alpha_4 e_3$ | $-\alpha_6$ | $\alpha_6 e_1$ |
| e_7 | $\alpha_1 e_6$ | $-\alpha_2 e_5$ | $-\alpha_3 e_4$ | $\alpha_4 e_3$ | $\alpha_5 e_2$ | $-\alpha_6 e_1$ | $-\alpha_7$ |

It is known that we can choose $e_i, i = 1, \dots, 7$ in such a way that $\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2, \alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \alpha_4, \alpha_6 = \alpha_2 \alpha_4, \alpha_7 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_4$.

Let $\|a_{ij}\|$ be the matrix of the mapping $\sigma : T \rightarrow T$. We want to construct an example with $\alpha_i^\sigma \neq \alpha_i$ at least for one i . If we choose $a_{ii} = a_{jj} = a_{kk} = a_{qq} = 1$ and $a_{im} = a_{jm} = a_{km} = a_{qm} = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq 7$ and i, j, k, q mutually different, then we necessarily get $\alpha_i^\sigma = \alpha_i$ for all i 's, because every α_i is either directly some of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4$ or some of the products $\alpha_1\alpha_2, \alpha_1\alpha_4, \alpha_2\alpha_4, \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4$, and when we express $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq 7$, in terms of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4$, then each of the elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4$ occurs in every quadruple $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j, \alpha_k, \alpha_q)$ (i, j, k, q mutually different). For example: if $a_{11} = a_{33} = a_{55} = a_{77} = 1, a_{1i} = a_{3i} = a_{5i} = a_{7i} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 7$, then $\alpha_1^\sigma = \alpha_1, \alpha_3^\sigma = \alpha_3, \alpha_5^\sigma = \alpha_5, \alpha_7^\sigma = \alpha_7$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3 &= \alpha_1\alpha_2 \quad \text{we get} \quad \alpha_3^\sigma = \alpha_1^\sigma\alpha_2^\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha_3 = \alpha_1\alpha_2^\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_2; \\ \alpha_5 &= \alpha_1\alpha_4 \Rightarrow \alpha_5^\sigma = \alpha_1^\sigma\alpha_4^\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha_5 = \alpha_1\alpha_4^\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha_4^\sigma = \alpha_4 \quad \text{and} \\ \alpha_6 &= \alpha_2\alpha_4 \Rightarrow \alpha_6^\sigma = \alpha_2^\sigma\alpha_4^\sigma \Rightarrow \alpha_6^\sigma = \alpha_2\alpha_4 \Rightarrow \alpha_6^\sigma = \alpha_6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we choose a matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$ which contains at most three 1's in the main diagonal:

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} & a_{45} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{54} & a_{55} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} \neq \begin{vmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1(\mp 1) \end{vmatrix} \neq \begin{vmatrix} a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{54} & a_{55} \end{vmatrix};$$

σ is neither an automorphism nor an antiautomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^\sigma &= \alpha_1 \quad (\alpha_2\alpha_4)^\sigma = \alpha_2^\sigma\alpha_4^\sigma = \alpha_2\alpha_4 \\ (\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4)^\sigma &= \alpha_1^\sigma\alpha_2^\sigma\alpha_4^\sigma = \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_4. \end{aligned}$$

From (1), (2), (3) and (7) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_2 a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_{23}^2 = \alpha_2^\sigma \\ \alpha_2 a_{32}^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_{33}^2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2^\sigma \\ \alpha_4 a_{44}^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 a_{45}^2 = \alpha_4^\sigma \\ \alpha_4 a_{54}^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 a_{55}^2 = \alpha_1 \alpha_4^\sigma \end{cases}$$

and consequently

$$(13') \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_1 a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1^2 a_{23}^2 = a_{32}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{33}^2 \\ \alpha_1 a_{44}^2 + \alpha_1^2 a_{45}^2 = a_{54}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{55}^2 \end{cases}$$

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_2 a_{22} a_{32} + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_{23} a_{33} = 0 \\ \alpha_4 a_{44} a_{54} + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 a_{45} a_{55} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(14') \quad \begin{cases} a_{22}a_{32} + \alpha_1 a_{23}a_{33} = 0 \\ a_{44}a_{54} + \alpha_1 a_{45}a_{55} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(15) \quad a_{ij}^\sigma = a_{ij} \quad \text{for } (i, j) \neq (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4), (4, 5), (5, 4), (5, 5)$$

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} a_{22}^\sigma a_{22} + a_{23}^\sigma a_{32} = 1 \\ a_{22}^\sigma a_{23} + a_{23}^\sigma a_{33} = 0 \\ a_{32}^\sigma a_{22} + a_{33}^\sigma a_{32} = 0 \\ a_{32}^\sigma a_{23} + a_{33}^\sigma a_{33} = 1 \end{cases} \quad (16') \quad \begin{cases} a_{44}^\sigma a_{44} + a_{45}^\sigma a_{54} = 1 \\ a_{44}^\sigma a_{45} + a_{45}^\sigma a_{55} = 0 \\ a_{54}^\sigma a_{44} + a_{55}^\sigma a_{54} = 0 \\ a_{54}^\sigma a_{45} + a_{55}^\sigma a_{55} = 1 \end{cases}$$

The determinants of the systems (16) and (16') are

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{23} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32}$$

and

$$D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{44} & a_{54} \\ a_{45} & a_{55} \end{vmatrix} = a_{44}a_{55} - a_{45}a_{54}, \quad \text{where } D_1 D_2 = \pm 1.$$

We shall restrict ourselves to $i, j \in \{2, 3\}$. From (13') we get

$$a_{33}^2 = a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2 - \frac{a_{32}^2}{\alpha_1}.$$

We substitute this result in (14'):

$$(a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2) a_{32}^2 = \alpha_1^2 a_{23}^2 (a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2).$$

$$\text{Let } a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_{32}^2 = \alpha_1^2 a_{23}^2 \Rightarrow a_{32} = \pm \alpha_1 a_{23} \Rightarrow a_{33}^2 = a_{22}^2 \Rightarrow a_{33} = \pm a_{22}.$$

The solution of the system (16) is

$$a_{22}^\sigma = \frac{a_{33}}{D_1}, \quad a_{33}^\sigma = \frac{a_{22}}{D_1}, \quad a_{23}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{23}}{D_1}, \quad a_{32}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{32}}{D_1}.$$

Now we shall investigate the possibilities $a_{33} = \pm a_{22}$, $a_{32} = \pm \alpha_1 a_{23}$. We distinguish four cases:

$$1) \quad a_{33} = a_{22}, \quad a_{32} = \alpha_1 a_{23},$$

$$D_1 = a_{22}^2 - \alpha_1 a_{23}^2.$$

$$\text{In this case (14') reads } \alpha_1 a_{22} a_{23} + \alpha_1 a_{23} a_{22} = 0, \quad 2\alpha_1 a_{22} a_{23} = 0, \quad \alpha_1 \neq 0.$$

$$a) \quad a_{23} = a_{32} = 0,$$

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{22}^2, \quad \sigma_C \neq id \Rightarrow a_{22} \neq \pm 1,$$

$$a_{22}^\sigma = a_{33}^\sigma = \frac{1}{a_{22}}, \quad \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_2 a_{22}^2;$$

b) $a_{22} = a_{33} = 0$,

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{23} \\ \alpha_1 a_{23} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -\alpha_1 a_{23}^2,$$

$$a_{23}^\sigma = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 a_{23}}, \quad a_{32}^\sigma = \frac{1}{a_{32}}, \quad \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_{23}^2.$$

2) $a_{22} = a_{33}$, $a_{32} = -\alpha_1 a_{23}$,

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ -\alpha_1 a_{23} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2 \neq 0 \text{ (as we have already assumed).}$$

Now (14') is satisfied trivially ($-a_{22}\alpha_1 a_{23} + \alpha_1 a_{23} a_{22} = 0$).

$$a_{22}^\sigma = a_{33}^\sigma = \frac{a_{22}}{a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2}, \quad a_{23}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{23}}{a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2},$$

$$a_{32}^\sigma = \frac{\alpha_1 a_{23}}{a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2}, \quad \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_2 (a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2),$$

$\sigma_C \neq id \Leftrightarrow a_{23} \neq 0$ and at the same time $a_{22} \neq \pm 1$.

3) $a_{33} = -a_{22}$, $a_{32} = \alpha_1 a_{23}$,

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ \alpha_1 a_{23} & -a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = -(a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2) \neq 0.$$

(14') is also satisfied trivially,

$$a_{22}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{22}}{D_1}, \quad a_{33}^\sigma = \frac{a_{22}}{D_1}, \quad a_{23}^\sigma = \frac{-a_{23}}{D_1}, \quad a_{32}^\sigma = \frac{-\alpha_1 a_{23}}{D_1},$$

$$\alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_2 (a_{22}^2 + \alpha_1 a_{23}^2),$$

$\sigma_C \neq id \Leftrightarrow D_1 \neq -1$.

4) $a_{33} = -a_{22}$, $a_{32} = -\alpha_1 a_{23}$.

Now (14') gives $\alpha_1 a_{22} a_{23} = 0$.

a) $a_{23} = a_{32} = 0$,

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = -a_{22}^2,$$

$$a_{22}^\sigma = \frac{1}{a_{22}}, \quad a_{33}^\sigma = -\frac{1}{a_{22}}, \quad \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_2 a_{22}^2,$$

$\sigma_C \neq id \Rightarrow a_{22} \neq \pm 1$;

b) $a_{22} = a_{33} = 0$,

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{23} \\ -\alpha_1 a_{23} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \alpha_1 a_{23}^2,$$

$$a_{23}^\sigma = \frac{-1}{\alpha_1 a_{23}}, \quad a_{32}^\sigma = \frac{1}{a_{23}}, \quad \alpha_2^\sigma = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 a_{23}^2.$$

It can be verified by direct computation that $\sigma^2 = id$ in all the cases.

This completes the discussion of all possible choices for $a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{32}, a_{33}$ (6 cases) such that $\sigma_C \neq id$ but $\sigma^2 = id$. The discussion for $a_{44}, a_{45}, a_{54}, a_{55}$ is similar, but the condition $D_1 D_2 = \pm 1$ must be then fulfilled, while in the preceding part we imposed no requirements on D_1 . Now we shall choose concrete values for $a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{32}, a_{33}$ and the corresponding values for $a_{44}, a_{45}, a_{54}, a_{55}$ so that $\sigma_C = id, \sigma^2 = id$:

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{23} \\ \alpha_1 a_{23} & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & a_{45} \\ \alpha_1 a_{45} & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$a_{44} = a_{55} = 0, \quad a_{54} = \alpha_1 a_{45},$$

$$D_1 D_2 = \alpha_1^2 a_{23}^2 a_{45}^2 = 1 \Rightarrow a_{45}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha_1^2 a_{23}^2}.$$

$$\text{Let } a_{45} = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 a_{23}}, \quad a_{54} = \frac{1}{a_{23}}; \quad \text{then } a_{45}^\sigma = a_{23} = \frac{1}{a_{54}},$$

$$a_{54}^\sigma = \alpha_1^\sigma a_{45}^\sigma = \alpha_1 a_{23}, \quad \alpha_4^\sigma = \alpha_4 a_{44}^2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_4 a_{45}^2 = \frac{\alpha_4}{\alpha_1 a_{23}^2};$$

$$a_{ij}^\sigma = a_{ij}, \quad \alpha_i^\sigma = \alpha_i \text{ for the remaining } i, j.$$

The resulting matrix will be

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 a_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\alpha_1 a_{23}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a_{23}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

2.2. Let $k \notin \mathbf{C}$.

It is known that $b \in \mathbf{C} \Leftrightarrow b^\sigma \in \mathbf{C}$ ([4]). Let us apply this proposition to (4), replacing a by $b \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$(kb^\sigma)^\sigma = k^\sigma b^{\sigma^2} = b^{\sigma^2} k^\sigma = b^{\sigma^2} k = bk \Rightarrow b^{\sigma^2} = b \Rightarrow \sigma_C^2 = id.$$

So if the given semiautomorphism satisfies (4), then the respective automorphism $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ must satisfy $\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}^2 \equiv id$. If $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}$, then $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}} = id \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mathbf{R}}^2 = id$.

2.2.1. Let us suppose that σ is an automorphism of \mathbf{T} . Then (4) yields

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} k^\sigma a^{\sigma^2} = ak &\Rightarrow ka^{\sigma^2} = ak \Rightarrow \\ a^{\sigma^2} &= k^{-1}(ak) \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$\sigma_{\mathbf{C}}^2 = id$, but $\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}^2 \neq id$. σ^2 is an inner automorphism determined by the element k ([5]). If $\sigma^2 = id$, then $a = k^{-1}(ak) \Rightarrow ka = ak$ for every $a \in \mathbf{T} \Rightarrow k \in \mathbf{C}$.

Example 3. Let σ be an automorphism of the type (17), $k \notin \mathbf{C}$. Let \mathbf{T} be a Cayley algebra from Example 1, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}$. First we shall construct the automorphism σ^2 from the relation (17). We choose an element k ,

$$k = k_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 k_i e_i, \quad k^{-1} = \frac{k_0 - \sum_{i=1}^7 k_i e_i}{\sum_{j=0}^7 k_j^2}.$$

The relation (17) must hold for all $a \in \mathbf{T}$. If we successively substitute e_1, e_2, e_3 for a in (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^{\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^7 k_j^2} [(k_0^2 + k_1^2 - k_2^2 - k_3^2 - k_4^2 - k_5^2 - k_6^2 - k_7^2) e_1 + \\ &\quad + 2(k_0 k_3 + k_1 k_2) e_2 + 2(-k_0 k_2 + k_1 k_3) e_3 + \\ &\quad + 2(k_0 k_5 + k_1 k_4) e_4 + 2(-k_0 k_4 + k_1 k_5) e_5 + \\ &\quad + 2(-k_0 k_7 + k_1 k_6) e_6 + 2(k_0 k_6 + k_1 k_7) e_7], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_2^{\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^7 k_j^2} [2(-k_0 k_3 + k_1 k_2) e_1 + (k_0^2 - k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_3^2 - k_4^2 - k_5^2 - k_6^2 - k_7^2) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot e_2 + 2(k_0 k_1 + k_2 k_3) e_3 + 2(k_0 k_6 + k_2 k_4) e_4 + 2(k_0 k_7 + k_2 k_5) e_5 + \\ &\quad + 2(-k_0 k_4 + k_2 k_6) e_6 + 2(-k_0 k_5 + k_2 k_7) e_7], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_3^{\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sum_{j=0}^7 k_j^2} [2(k_0 k_2 + k_1 k_3) e_1 + 2(-k_0 k_1 + k_2 k_3) e_2 + \\ &\quad + (k_0^2 - k_1^2 - k_2^2 + k_3^2 - k_4^2 - k_5^2 - k_6^2 - k_7^2) e_3 + \\ &\quad + 2(k_0 k_7 + k_3 k_4) e_4 + 2(-k_0 k_6 + k_3 k_5) e_5 + \\ &\quad + 2(k_0 k_5 + k_3 k_6) e_6 + 2(-k_0 k_4 + k_3 k_7) e_7]. \end{aligned}$$

From the multiplication table we have $e_1 e_3 = e_2 \Rightarrow e_1^{\sigma^2} e_3^{\sigma^2} = e_2^{\sigma^2}$. We shall try to choose four the coordinates k_0, \dots, k_7 being zero. The choices $k_0 = k_2 = k_4 = k_6 = 0, k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 0, k_1 = k_3 = k_5 = k_7 = 0$ are not possible. The choice $k_4 = k_5 = k_6 = k_7 = 0$ is suitable. Let us suppose further that $k_0 = k_1 = k_2 = k_3$. Then

$$e_1^{\sigma^2} = e_2, \quad e_2^{\sigma^2} = e_3, \quad e_3^{\sigma^2} = e_1,$$

$$e_4^{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_4 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7),$$

$$e_5^{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_4 - e_5 - e_6 + e_7),$$

$$e_6^{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_4 + e_5 - e_6 - e_7),$$

$$e_7^{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7).$$

If $e_i e_j = e_m$, then $e_i^{\sigma^2} e_j^{\sigma^2} = e_m^{\sigma^2}$ for all admissible triples (i, j, m) , $i \neq j \neq m \neq i$. We denote by $\|\tilde{a}_{ij}\|$ the matrix of the automorphism σ^2 . Then

$$\|\tilde{a}_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$k^{\sigma^2} = k = k_0(1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3).$$

Now we shall find the matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$ of the automorphism σ . For $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ we have

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

further, for $i, j \geq 4$ the following implication must hold:

$$e_m^{\sigma^2} = (e_m^\sigma)^\sigma = \sum_{i=4}^7 a_{mi} e_i^\sigma = \sum_{i,j=4}^7 a_{mi} a_{ij} e_j = \sum_{j=4}^7 \tilde{a}_{mj} e_j \Rightarrow \sum_{i=4}^7 a_{mi} a_{ij} = \tilde{a}_{mj};$$

moreover, $\sum_{j=4}^7 a_{ij}^2 = 1$ and $\sum_{m=4}^7 a_{im}a_{jm} = 0, i \neq j$. From this we can derive the matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$:

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices $\|a_{ij}\|$ and $\|\tilde{a}_{ij}\|$ have determinants equal to 1. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j a_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ji} &= -1, & \sum_i a_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ji} &= -1, \\ \sum_j a_{ij} \tilde{a}_{jm} &= 0, & \sum_j a_{ji} \tilde{a}_{mj} &= 0 \text{ for } i \neq m. \end{aligned}$$

According to [5] every automorphism of a Cayley division algebra is always inner, thus there must exist an element $b \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $a^\sigma = b^{-1}(ab)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{T}$. Then

$$a^{\sigma^2} = (b^{-1})^2 (ab^2) = k^{-1}(ak).$$

Thus the automorphism σ is determined by the element b for which $b^2 = k$. Let $b = b_0 + b_1e_1 + \dots + b_7e_7$. If we write the relation $b^2 = k$ in coordinates we get

$$b = \pm \left(\frac{\sqrt{6k_0}}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{k_0}{6}}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \right).$$

2.2.2. Let σ be an antiautomorphism of \mathcal{T} . Then (4) yields

$$(ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak \Rightarrow a^{\sigma^2}k^\sigma = ak \Rightarrow \sigma^2 = id.$$

Besides (1), (2), (3), the relation (7) must hold as well.

Example 4. Let σ be an antiautomorphism, \mathcal{T} a Cayley division algebra from Example 1 with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{R}$ ($\sigma_{\mathcal{C}} = id$). The matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$ must represent an antiautomorphism, so that $e_i e_j = e_m$ for some triple (i, j, m) implies $e_j^\sigma e_i^\sigma = e_m^\sigma$. First we put $e_1^\sigma = e_2, e_2^\sigma = e_1, e_3^\sigma = e_3$. For the remaining e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7 the identities $e_7 e_4 = e_3,$

$e_4^\sigma e_7^\sigma = e_3$, $e_5 e_6 = e_3$, $e_6^\sigma e_5^\sigma = e_3$ must hold and so on. Finally, we can choose $e_4^\sigma = e_7$, $e_7^\sigma = e_4$, $e_5^\sigma = e_5$, $e_6^\sigma = -e_6$ and we get the matrix

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \det \|a_{ij}\| = -1.$$

This antiautomorphism σ admits the corresponding k in the form $k = k_0 + k_1 e_1 + k_1 e_2 + k_3 e_3 + k_4 e_4 + k_5 e_5 + k_4 e_7$, with arbitrary k_0, k_1, k_3, k_4, k_5 . Then $k^\sigma = k$, $a^{\sigma^2} = a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{T}$.

2.2.3. Let σ be neither an automorphism nor an antiautomorphism, but only a semiautomorphism with a fixed element $k = k^\sigma$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}}^2 = id$. Then the fundamental relation $(ka^\sigma)^\sigma = ak$ must hold for all $a \in \mathcal{T}$.

Example 5. Let σ be a semiautomorphism, \mathcal{T} a Cayley division algebra from Example 1 and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{R}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{C}} = id$. We choose $k = 1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ and $a_{1i} = a_{2i} = a_{3i} = 0$, $i \geq 4$ for the elements of the matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^\sigma &= a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + a_{13}e_3, \\ e_2^\sigma &= a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + a_{23}e_3, \\ e_3^\sigma &= a_{31}e_1 + a_{32}e_2 + a_{33}e_3. \end{aligned}$$

We know that k and a_{ij} must satisfy (4), (5) and (8). From (4) we get

$$(ke_i^\sigma)^\sigma = e_i k \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

After the detailed analysis we see that the only solution different from identity is

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq 3.$$

Similarly we choose $e_4^\sigma = e_5$, $e_5^\sigma = e_4$ and from (4) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (ke_4^\sigma)^\sigma &= e_4 k \Rightarrow ((1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3) e_5)^\sigma = e_4 (1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (e_5 + e_4 - e_7 + e_6)^\sigma = e_4 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow e_4 + e_5 - e_7^\sigma + e_6^\sigma = e_4 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7 \Rightarrow e_6^\sigma = e_6 \quad \text{and} \quad e_7^\sigma = -e_7. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation shows that $(ke_j^\sigma)^\sigma = e_j k$ for $j \geq 5$. The matrix $\|a_{ij}\|$ has the form

$$\|a_{ij}\| = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let us examine whether σ is only a semiautomorphism.

- a) If σ were an automorphism, then $(e_1 e_2)^\sigma = (-e_3)^\sigma$ would imply $e_1^\sigma e_2^\sigma = -e_3^\sigma$, but $e_2 e_1 \neq -e_3$ and we get that σ is not an automorphism.
- b) If σ were an antiautomorphism, then $(e_3 e_4)^\sigma = (-e_7)^\sigma$ would imply $e_4^\sigma e_3^\sigma = -e_7^\sigma$, but $e_3 e_4 \neq -e_7$ and we get that σ is not an antiautomorphism.
- σ is a semiautomorphism satisfying (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5).

From Schütte's definition of orthogonality it follows that the line $y = x$ is orthogonal to the line $y = k^{-1}x$. In this example we have chosen $k = 1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3$,

$$k^{-1} = \frac{1}{1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - e_1 - e_2 - e_3),$$

in such a way that the orthogonality is defined by

$$y = ax \perp y = (ka^\sigma)^{-1} x \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{T}.$$

2.3. As we have seen from the case 2.2.3, the conditions for a_{ij} which guarantee that σ is a Schütte semiautomorphism, depend on the multiplication table chosen for the Cayley division algebra \mathcal{T} (relation (4)). The existence of Schütte semiautomorphisms is proved by Example 5. The determination of all Schütte semiautomorphisms for a given Cayley division algebra is still an open problem.

References

- [1] *G. Pickert*: Projective Ebenen, Berlin—Göttingen—Heidelberg 1955.
- [2] *K. Schütte*: Schließungssätze für orthogonale Abbildungen euklidischer Ebenen. Math. Ann. 132 (1956), 106—120.
- [3] *V. Havel*: К теории полуавтоморфизмов алтернативных тел. Czech. Math. J. 12 (87) 1962, 110—117.
- [4] *M. Smiley*: Von Staudt projectivities of Moufang planes, Algebr. and topological foundations of geometry. Oxford—London—N. York—Paris 1962, 165—166.
- [5] *N. Jacobson*: Composition algebras and their automorphisms. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 7 (serie 2) 1958, 55—80.

Author's address: 121 35 Praha 2, Karlovo nám. 13 (Strojní fakulta ČVUT).