

Ivan Netuka; Jiří Veselý

An inequality for finite sums in R^m

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 103 (1978), No. 1, 73--77

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117961>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

AN INEQUALITY FOR FINITE SUMS IN R^m

IVAN NETUKA, JIŘÍ VESELÝ, Praha

(Received June 15, 1976)

In Rudin's book [9] the following inequality is proved: If z_1, \dots, z_n are complex, then there is a subset I of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in I} z_j \right| \geq \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|.$$

Various modifications and generalizations can be found in literature (for references, see below). In this note we establish an inequality of this type for finite sets of points in R^m .

For $x, y \in R^m (m > 1)$ we denote by $x \cdot y$ the scalar product of x and y ; we write $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$, $|x| = (x \cdot x)^{1/2}$ and for a finite set $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset R^m$,

$$\sum P = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i, \quad \sum |P| = \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i|.$$

Given $\delta \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and a unit vector $u \in R^m$, we shall denote by $T(u, \delta)$ the cone $\{x \in R^m; x \cdot u \geq \delta|x|\}$. Finally, put

$$C(m, \delta) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}m) (1 - \delta^2)^{(m-1)/2}}{2 \sqrt{(\pi)} \Gamma((m+1)/2)}.$$

In this note we shall prove the following

Theorem. For any finite set $P \subset R^m$ with $\sum |P| > 0$ there is a unit vector u such that

$$(1) \quad |\sum [P \cap T(u, \delta)]| > C(m, \delta) \sum |P|.$$

The number $C(m, \delta)$ cannot be replaced by any larger one.

Remark. The Gauss-Green theorem is used to evaluate $C(m, \delta)$. An application of the Krein-Milman theorem shows that $C(m, \delta)$ is the best such constant.

The theorem represents a generalization of analogous inequalities established in [4], p. 85 and 113, [3], [8], p. 330–332 and [6]. The constant $C(m, 0)$ appears in [7] where some deeper results concerning measures are obtained.

In what follows, τ stands for the surface measure in R^m (i.e. τ is the $(m - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure), $S = \{x \in R^m; |x| = 1\}$ and $S(u, \delta) = S \cap T(u, \delta)$. We put $a = \tau(S)$ and $\sigma = a^{-1}\tau$. Note that $a = 2\pi^{m/2}/\Gamma(m/2)$.

Lemma. *If $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in S$, then*

$$\int_{S(u, \delta)} x^j d\sigma(x) = C(m, \delta) u^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. Fix $u \in S$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ and put $V = \{x \in R^m; x \cdot u > \delta, |x| < 1\}$. Then V has a piecewise smooth boundary ∂V and the set $B = \partial V - S(u, \delta)$ is isometric with an $(m - 1)$ -ball with radius $(1 - \delta^2)^{1/2}$. Consequently, $\tau(B) = \pi^{(m-1)/2} \cdot (1 - \delta^2)^{(m-1)/2} / \Gamma((m + 1)/2)$. Let $n(x)$ denote the exterior normal to V at $x \in \partial V$, if it exists; otherwise let $n(x) = 0$. Note that $n(x) = x$ for $x \in S(u, \delta)$ with $x \cdot u > \delta$, while $n(x) = -u$ for $x \in B$. Consider now a constant vector function w with $w^j = 1$, $w^k = 0$ for $k \neq j$. Applying the Gauss-Green theorem, we obtain

$$0 = \int_V \operatorname{div} w(x) dx = \int_{\partial V} n^j(x) d\tau(x)$$

so that

$$\int_{S(u, \delta)} x^j d\sigma(x) = a^{-1} u^j \tau(B) = C(m, \delta) u^j.$$

Proof of the theorem. Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset R^m$. We may suppose $p_j \neq 0$ for all j . Define $q_j = p_j/|p_j|$ and

$$g(u) = |\sum [P \cap T(u, \delta)]|$$

for all $u \in S$. There is only a finite number of $u \in S$ which are positive multiples of some vectors $\sum Q$ with a nonempty $Q \subset P$. For all other $u \in S$ we have $|\sum [P \cap T(u, \delta)]| > (\sum [P \cap T(u, \delta)]) \cdot u$. Consequently, for such points u ,

$$g(u) > \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i| (q_i \cdot u) \chi_i(u)$$

where χ_i stands for the characteristic function of the set $S(q_i, \delta)$. Integrating g over S with respect to σ , we obtain by the lemma

$$\int_S g(u) d\sigma(u) > \sum_{i=1}^n |p_i| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m q_i^j \int_{S(q_i, \delta)} u^j d\sigma(u) \right) = C(m, \delta) \sum |P|.$$

Since $\sigma(S) = 1$, it follows that there is a $u \in S$ with $g(u) > C(m, \delta) \sum |P|$. (This part of the proof is a slight modification of the reasoning used in [3].)

Suppose now that (1) holds with a number c instead of $C(m, \delta)$ whenever $P \subset R^m$ is a finite set with $\sum |P| > 0$. We are going to prove that $c \leq C(m, \delta)$.

Denote by \mathcal{M} the convex set of all probability measures on S and by D the set of (finite) convex combinations of Dirac measures concentrated on S . Observe that $\sigma \in \mathcal{M}$ and note that μ is an extreme point of \mathcal{M} if and only if μ coincides with the Dirac measure ε_x for an $x \in S$ (see [2], p. 21). Suppose now that $\mu \in D$, $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varepsilon_{x_i}$ where $c_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$ and $x_i \in S$. Putting $p_i = c_i x_i$ and $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$, we have $\sum |P| = 1$ and

$$\int_{S(u, \delta)} x \, d\mu(x) = \sum [P \cap T(u, \delta)], \quad u \in S.$$

Thus we can find to any $\mu \in D$ a vector $u \in S$ such that

$$\left| \int_{S(u, \delta)} x \, d\mu(x) \right| > c.$$

By the Krein-Milman theorem (cf. [2], p. 22; see also [5], Vol. II, p. 112) there are measures $\mu_k \in D$ converging vaguely to σ . (This means that

$$\int_S f \, d\mu_k \rightarrow \int_S f \, d\sigma$$

for every function f continuous on S .) We know that there exist vectors $u_k \in S$ such that

$$\left| \int_{S(u_k, \delta)} x \, d\mu_k(x) \right| > c.$$

We may suppose $u_k \rightarrow u_0$ by passing, if necessary, to a suitably chosen subsequence. If we find that

$$(2) \quad \int_{S(u_k, \delta)} x \, d\mu_k(x) \rightarrow \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} x \, d\sigma(x), \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

the proof will be completed, because we have then by the lemma

$$C(m, \delta) = \left| \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} x \, d\sigma(x) \right| \geq c.$$

But (2) follows from the following lemma for $f(x) = x^j$, $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Lemma. Let $\delta \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, $u_k \in S$ and $\lim u_k = u_0$. Let μ_k be positive Borel measures on S converging vaguely to σ . Then

$$\int_{S(u_k, \delta)} f \, d\mu_k \rightarrow \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} f \, d\sigma$$

whenever f is a continuous function on S .

Proof. Recall that

$$\int_S h \, d\mu_k \rightarrow \int_S h \, d\sigma$$

provided h is a function continuous σ -a.e. on S (see e.g. [1], p. 196). Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{S(u_k, \delta)} f \, d\mu_k - \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} f \, d\sigma \right| \leq \\ & \cong \left| \int_{S(u_k, \delta)} f \, d\mu_k - \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} f \, d\mu_k \right| + \left| \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} f \, d\mu_k - \int_{S(u_0, \delta)} f \, d\sigma \right| \end{aligned}$$

and the product of the function f and the characteristic function of $S(u_0, \delta)$ is a function continuous σ -a.e. on S .

Consequently, the second term tends to 0 for $k \rightarrow \infty$ and it is sufficient to prove

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k(T_k) = 0$$

where T_k denotes the symmetric difference of the sets $S(u_k, \delta)$ and $S(u_0, \delta)$. For $\eta > 0$ put

$$Q_\eta = \{x \in S; \delta - \eta < x \cdot u_0 < \delta + \eta\}$$

and observe that $T_k \subset Q_\eta$ for all k large enough. Moreover, $\sigma(Q_\eta) \rightarrow 0$ for $\eta \rightarrow 0+$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $\eta > 0$ such that $\sigma(Q_\eta) < \varepsilon$. The characteristic function of Q_η is continuous σ -a.e. on S and so we have $\mu_k(Q_\eta) < \varepsilon$ for all k sufficiently large. We see that there is a positive integer k_0 such that both conditions $T_k \subset Q_\eta$ and $\mu_k(Q_\eta) < \varepsilon$ are satisfied provided $k \geq k_0$. For those k we have

$$\mu_k(T_k) \leq \mu_k(Q_\eta) < \varepsilon$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete.

References

- [1] *R. B. Ash*: Measure, Integration and Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [2] *H. Bauer*: Konvexität in topologischen Vektorräumen, Universität Hamburg, 1964.
- [3] *W. W. Bledsoe*: An Inequality about Complex Numbers, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), 180—182.
- [4] *N. Bourbaki*: Topologie générale, 2nd ed., Paris, 1955.
- [5] *G. Choquet*: Lectures on Analysis, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1969.
- [6] *D. E. Daykin* and *A. Wilansky*: Sets of Complex Numbers, Math. Mag. 47 (1974), 228—229.
- [7] *R. P. Kaufman* and *N. W. Rickert*: An Inequality concerning Measures, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 672—676.
- [8] *D. S. Mitrinović*: Analytic Inequalities, Springer Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [9] *W. Rudin*: Real and Complex Analysis, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1974.

Authors' address: 186 00 Praha 8 - Karlín, Sckolovská 83 (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).