Časopis pro pěstování matematiky

František Púchovský On a class of generalized Jacobi's orthonormal polynomials

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 97 (1972), No. 4, 361--378

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/117772

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON A CLASS OF GENERALIZED JACOBI'S ORTHONORMAL POLYNOMIALS

František Púchovský, Žilina (Received November 2, 1970)

1. INTRODUCTION

1,1. Denote by I the closed interval [-1, 1]. Let $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$ and let u(x) be a real function integrable and bounded on I. (The integrals in this paper are those of Lebesgue.)

Put

$$(1,1a) J(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha} (1+x)^{\beta}$$

and

(1,1b)
$$Q(x) = J(x) e^{u(x)}.$$

Let for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

(1,1c)
$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k^{(n)} x^{n-k}$$

with

(1,1d)
$$a_0^{(n)} > 0$$

be the orthonormal polynomial associated with the function Q(x) on the interval I, i.e.

(1,1e)
$$\int_{I} Q_{m}(x) Q_{n}(x) Q(x) dx = \delta_{m,n}.$$

Here

$$m + n \Rightarrow \delta_{m,n} = 0$$
, $\delta_{m,m} = 1$.

The function Q(x) is called the weight of the polynomials $Q_n(x)$.

By a well-known theorem there exists for every n one and only one polynomial $Q_n(x)$ satisfying (1,1e) and (1,1d). (See $\lceil 5 \rceil$ p. 66.)

1,2. Let

(1,2a)
$$J_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k^{(n)} x^{n-k}$$

where $b_0^{(n)} > 0$ be the orthonormal polynomial associated with the function J(x) defined by (1,1a) on the interval I.

 $J_n(x)$ is a special case of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$ for $u(x) \equiv 0$. $J_n(x)$ is the normalized Jacobi's polynomial. (See [1] p. 42.)

Therefore the polynomials $Q_n(x)$ represent a generalization of the Jacobi's polynomials.

1,3. This paper is the first part of a treatise dealing with the above defined generalized Jacobi's polynomials $Q_n(x)$.

The main object of this paper is to establish the differential equation (4,2b) for a certain class of the polynomials $Q_n(x)$. This equation is a very useful tool for solving many problems connected with the polynomials in question.

J. Korous has derived a differential equation for a more general class of polynomials. His equation, however, is non-homogeneous. (See [2], [3], [4].)

For a class of the polynomials $Q_n(x)$ we derive the inequality (2,9b) which is an extension of the well-known inequality for Jacobi's polynomials.

We shall also establish a relation between $Q_n(x)$ and $Q'_n(x)$. (See Section 3,4.)

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE POLYNOMIALS $Q_n(x)$

- **2.1.** Throughout this paper the following notation is used:
- 1. $n \ge 0$ is an integer.
- 2. $\{P\}$ is the degree of the polynomial P(x).

$${P} = -\infty$$
, if $P(x) \equiv 0$,

$$P(x) = \pi_n, \text{ if } \{P\} \leq n.$$

- 3. I is the closed interval [-1, 1].
- 4. c_i (i = 1, 2, ...) are positive constants independent of $x \in I$ and of n.
- $c_i(x)$ (i = 1, 2, ...) is a function of $x \in I$ and n such that $|c_i(x)| < c_i$.

The numbering of c_i a $c_i(x)$ is independent for every section.

2,2. If $P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} a_k x^k$, $a_r \neq 0$, then by a well-known theorem

(2,2a)
$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} p_k Q_k(x)$$
,

where

(2,2b)
$$p_{k} = \int_{I} P(x) Q_{k}(x) Q(x) dx.$$

(See [5] p. 73.)

Hence

(2,2c)
$$n > r \Rightarrow \int_{I} P(x) Q_{n}(x) Q(x) dx = 0$$

and

(2,2d)
$$\int_{r} P(x) Q_{r}(x) Q(x) dx = \frac{a_{r}}{a_{0}^{(r)}},$$

where $a_0^{(r)} > 0$ is defined by (1,1c).

2,3. Let

(2,3a)
$$q_0 = 0$$
, $n > 0 \Rightarrow q_n = \frac{a_0^{(n-1)}}{a_0^{(n)}}$.

By (2,2d) for n > 0

(2,3b)
$$q_n = \int_I x \ Q_n(x) \ Q_{n-1}(x) \ Q(x) \ dx$$

and

(2,3c)
$$nq_n^{-1} = \int_I Q'_n(x) Q_{n-1}(x) Q(x) dx.$$

Hence for n = 1, 2, ...

$$(2,3d) 0 < q_n < 1.$$

Proof. From (2,3b) we see at once

$$q_n < \int_I |Q_n(x)| Q_{n-1}(x) |Q(x)| dx \Rightarrow q_n^2 < \int_I Q_n^2(x) |Q(x)| dx \int_I Q_{n-1}^2(x) |Q(x)| dx = 1.$$

2,4. The equation

$$(2,4a) q_{n+1} Q_{n+1}(x) + (j_n - x) Q_n(x) + q_n Q_{n-1}(x) = 0,$$

where

$$(2.4b) j_n = \int_I x \ Q_n^2(x) \ Q(x) \ \mathrm{d}x \Rightarrow |j_n| < 1$$

is the well-known recurrence formula for orthonormal polynomials. (See [5] p. 77.)

2,5. For $x \neq t$

(2,5a)
$$Q_n(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^n Q_k(x) \ Q_k(t) =$$
$$= (x-t)^{-1} \ q_{n+1} [Q_{n+1}(x) \ Q_n(t) - Q_n(x) \ Q_{n+1}(t)].$$

Similarly for the polynomials $J_n(x)$ defined by (1,2a)

(2.5b)
$$J_n(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^n J_k(x) J_k(t) = (x - t)^{-1} q_{n+1}^* [J_{n+1}(x) J_n(t) - J_n(x) J_{n+1}(t)],$$

holds where $x \neq t$ and

(2,5c)
$$q_{n+1}^* = \frac{b_0^{(n)}}{b_0^{(n+1)}}.$$

(2,5a) is the Christoffel's formula. (See [5] p. 79.)

Applying (2,2b) we can write the formula (2,2a) in the form

(2,5d)
$$P(x) = \int_{I} P(t) Q_{r}(x, t) Q(t) dt$$

or

(2.5e)
$$P(x) = \int_{I} P(t) J_{r}(x, t) J(t) dt.$$

2,6. We introduce the following sets of functions:

Let $f_x(t)$ be a real function of t which depends on the parameter $x \in I$ and is defined for all $t \in [-1, 1]$ with the possible exception t = x. The functions $f_x(t)$ exist for every value of $x \in I$. Put

$$(2,6a) \gamma = \min(\alpha, \beta).$$

 \mathfrak{F}_{γ} denotes the set of the functions $f_x(t)$ such that for $\gamma \geq -\frac{1}{2}$

(2,6b)
$$f_x(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma} \Leftrightarrow \int_I (1-t^2)^{-1/2} |f_x(t)| dt = c_1(x).$$

Here

(2,6c)
$$\int_{I} (1-t^{2})^{-1/2} |f_{x}(t)| dt = \lim_{y \to x-} \int_{-1}^{y} (1-t^{2})^{-1/2} |f_{x}(t)| dt + \lim_{y \to x+} \int_{y}^{1} (1-t^{2})^{-1/2} |f_{x}(t)| dt.$$

The integrals in (2,6c) are those of Lebesgue.

If $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$, then $f_x(t) \in \mathcal{F}_{\gamma}$ if and only if there exists a constant c > 0 independent of $x \in I$ and $t \in I$ such that

$$|f_x(t)| < c.$$

The inequality (2,6d) implies

$$(2.6c) \qquad \qquad \overline{\lim}_{t \to x} |f_x(t)| \le c$$

for every $x \in I$.

Remark. It is easily seen that $\varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \ge -\frac{1}{2}$, if $\int_{I} (1 - t^2)^{-1/2} |\varphi(t)| dt < +\infty$ for we may write $f_x(t) = \varphi(t)$ for every $x \in I$.

Similarly $\varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$, if $\varphi(t)$ is bounded on I.

Clearly, if $\gamma_1 < -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma_2 \ge -\frac{1}{2}$, then $\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma_1} \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma_2}$.

2,7. Let $\varphi(t)$ be a real function defined on *I*. Then we shall use the following notation

(2,7a)
$$\Delta_x \varphi(t) = (x-t)^{-1} [\varphi(x) - \varphi(t)].$$

It is easily seen that $\Delta_x \varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma} \Rightarrow \varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$.

2,8. In the notation of Sections 1,2 and 2,1,

$$(2.8a) \gamma = \min(\alpha, \beta) \ge -\frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \sqrt[4]{((1-x^2)J^2(x))} J_n(x) = c_1(x).$$

Proof. See e.g. [2] p. 9. In this paper (2,8a) is proved for $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$ but a slight modification of the proof establishes (2,8a) also for $\gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$.

2,9. Let in the notation of Sections 2,7 and 2,6

(2,9a)
$$\gamma \geq -\frac{1}{2} \quad and \quad \Delta_x u(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$$
.

Then

(2,9b)
$$\sqrt[4]{(1-x^2)} Q^2(x) Q_n(x) = c_1(x).$$

Proof. We shall use a method of J. Korous. (See [2] p. 9.) Applying (2,5e) we deduce that

$$Q_n(x) = a_n J_n(x) + R_n(x).$$

Here

$$a_n = \int_I Q_n(t) J_n(t) J(t) dt.$$

Hence

(2)
$$a_n^2 \leq \int_I e^{-u(t)} Q_n^2(t) Q(t) dt \int_I J_n^2(t) J(t) dt < c_1.$$

Since

$$J_{n-1}(x,t)=\pi_{n-1}$$

with respect to t,

(3)

$$R_n(x) = \int_I Q_n(t) J_{n-1}(x, t) J(t) dt = \int_I Q_n(t) J_{n-1}(x, t) \left[J(t) - e^{-u(x)} Q(t) \right] dt.$$

Applying (2,5b) we obtain for the integrated function $L_n(t, x)$ in the second integral in (3)

(4)
$$|L_n(t,x)| < q_n^* |Q_n(t)| |x-t|^{-1} |1 - \exp[u(t) - u(x)]|.$$

$$(|J_n(x) J_{n-1}(t)| + |J_{n-1}(x) J_n(t)|) |J(t).$$

It is easily seen that

(5)
$$|1 - \exp[u(t) - u(x)]| < c_2|x - t| \Delta_x u(t).$$

Let

(6)
$$s = \sup_{x \in I} \sqrt[4]{(1 - x^2) J^2(x)} |Q_n(x)|$$

and $x_0 \in I$ a point in which the above function assumes the value s.

Further let $\delta > 0$ and

(7)
$$I_0 = (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta) \cap I$$
.

Since u(x) is bounded in I (see Section 1,1) $\Delta_{x_0}u(t)$ is bounded on the interval $I - I_0$. Making use of (4), (5), (2,8a) and (2,3d) we deduce that

(8)
$$\sqrt[4]{((1-x_0^2) J^2(x_0))} \int_{I-I_0} |L_n(t,x_0)| \, \mathrm{d}t < c_3 \int_{I} |Q_n(t)| \left\{ |J_{n-1}(t)| + |J_n(t)| \right\} J(t) \, \mathrm{d}t < c_4 \left[\int_{I} Q_n^2(t) \, \mathrm{e}^{-u(t)} Q(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right]^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{I} \left[J_{n-1}^2(t) + J_n^2(t) \right] J(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}^{1/2} < c_5.$$

Further, (3), (4), (5), (2,8a), (2,9a) and (2,6b) yield

$$(9) \qquad \sqrt[4]{((1-x_0^2)J^2(x_0))} \int_{I_0} |L_n(t,x_0)| \, \mathrm{d}t < c_6 s \int_{I_0} (1-t^2)^{-1/2} \, |\Delta_x u(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t < \frac{s}{2}$$

if we choose δ in (7) sufficiently small.

It follows from (8) and (9) that

(10)
$$\sqrt[4]{((1-x_0^2) J^2(x_0))} |R_n(x_0)| < c_7 + \frac{s}{2}.$$

$$s = \sqrt[4]{((1 - x_0^2) J^2(x_0)) |Q_n(x_0)|} \le \{|a_n| |J_n(x_0)| + |R_n(x_0)|\} \sqrt[4]{((1 - x_0^2) J^2(x_0))} < c_8 + \frac{s}{2}.$$

Hence

$$(11) s < c_9.$$

As for $x \in I$

$$Q(x) = e^{u(x)} J(x) \le c_{10} J(x)$$
,

(2,9b) follows from (11).

3. LEMMAS

3,1. We shall use the following notation:

If $\varphi(t)$ is integrable on I, then for m = 0, 1, ..., n = 0, 1, ...

(3,1a)
$$I_{m,n}[\varphi(t)] = \int_{I} \varphi(t) Q_{m}(t) Q_{n}(t) Q(t) dt.$$

Remark. t on the left-hand side of (3,1a) indicates that the integration variable is t.

3,2. Let in the notation of Section 2,6

$$(3,2a) f_x(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_y.$$

Then for $m \leq n$

(3,2b)
$$I_{m,n}[f_x(t)] = c_1(x).$$

Remark 1. The integral (3,2b) is meant in the sense of (2,6e).

Remark 2. If $\varphi(t)$ does not depend on x we put

$$f_x(t) = \varphi(t),$$

so that

(3,2c)
$$|I_{m,n}[\varphi(t)]| < c_1$$

provided that $\varphi(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$.

Proof. 1. If $\gamma \ge -\frac{1}{2}$, we may apply (2,9b). It is for $t \in (-1, 1)$

$$|Q_m(t) Q_n(t) Q(t)| < c_2(1-t^2)^{-1/2}$$

so that

$$|I_{m,n}[f_x(t)]| < c_3 \int_I (1-t^2)^{-1/2} |f_x(t)| dt < c_4$$

in virtue of (2,6b).

2. If $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$, we have by (2,6d) and (2,6e)

$$|I_{m,n}[f_x(t)]| < c_5 \int_I |Q_m(t)| Q_n(t) |Q(t)| dt <$$

$$< c_6 \left[\int_I Q_m^2(t) Q(t) dt \int_I Q_m^2(t) Q(t) dt \right]^{1/2} = c_6.$$

3,3. Let $\psi(t)$ be integrable in I. We put

(3,3a)
$$A(x, \psi) = q_n^{-1} \int_t \psi(t) Q_n(t) Q_{n-1}(x, t) Q(t) dt,$$

where $Q_{n-1}(x, t)$ is defined by (2,5a).

Further put

(3,3b)
$$\lambda_1(t) = 1, \quad \lambda_2(t) = t, \quad \lambda_3(t) = 1 - t^2,$$

$$\psi_i(t) = \lambda_i(t) \varphi(t) \quad (i = 1, 2, 3).$$

Let

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}\varphi(t)\in\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

Then for i = 1, 2, 3

(3,3d)
$$A(x, \psi_i) = \{ \alpha_i(x) + I_{n,n} [\lambda_i(t) \Delta_x \varphi(t)] \} Q_{n-1}(x) + \{ \beta_i(x) - I_{n,n-1} [\lambda_i(t) \Delta_x \varphi(t)] \} Q_n(x) .$$

Here

(3,3e)
$$\alpha_1(x) = \beta_1(x) = \beta_2(x) = 0,$$

$$\alpha_2(x) = \varphi(x), \quad \alpha_3(x) = -(x + j_n) \varphi(x), \quad \beta_3(x) = q_n \varphi(x),$$

where j_n is defined by (2,4b).

Further

(3,3f)
$$A(x, \psi_i) = c_1(x) Q_{n-1}(x) + c_2(x) Q_n(x).$$

Proof. 1. The existence of the integrals on the right-hand side of (3,3d) is made evident by (3,2b). Further, (3,3d) and (3,2b) verify (3,3f) provided that (3,3e) is true.

2. Since

 $Q_{n-1}(x, t) = \pi_{n-1}$ with respect to the variable t we have for

$$\psi(t) = 1$$
, $\psi^*(t) = \varphi(t) - \varphi(x)$, $\psi^{**}(t) = x - t$

the equations

(1)
$$A(x, \psi) = 0$$
, $A(x, \varphi) = A(x, \psi^*)$

and in virtue of (2,5a),

(2)
$$A(x, \psi^{**}) = -Q_{n-1}(x).$$

3. As a consequence of (2,5a), (1) yields

(3)
$$A(\psi_1) = A(x, \varphi) = I_{n,n} [\Delta_x \varphi(t)] Q_{n-1}(x) - I_{n,n-1} [\Delta_x \varphi(t)] Q_n(x).$$

4. Since

$$\psi_2(t) = \psi_2(x) + (t - x)\varphi(x) + t[\varphi(t) - \varphi(x)]$$

we deduce from (1) and (2) that (3,3e) holds also for i = 2.

5. It can be easily seen that

(4)
$$\psi_3(t) = \psi_3(x) + (1 - t^2) \left[\varphi(t) - \varphi(x) \right] + (x^2 - t^2) \varphi(x).$$

Hence, if we put $\varphi_1(t) = t$, $\varphi_2(t) = x + t$ then owing to (1),

$$A(x, \psi_3) = I_{n,n} [\lambda_3(t) \, \Delta_x \varphi(t)] \, Q_{n-1}(x) -$$

$$- I_{n,n-1} [\lambda_3(t) \, \Delta_x \varphi(t)] \, Q_n(x) + [I_{n,n-1} [\varphi_1(t)] \, Q_n(x) - I_{n,n} [\varphi_2(t)] \, Q_{n-1}(x)] \, \varphi(x).$$

From this equation (3,3e) follows for i = 3 by applying (2,3b) and (2,4b).

3,4. Let

(3,4a)
$$\Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\Delta_x u'(t)] \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

For v = 0, 1, ..., n

$$\gamma_{\nu} = -I_{n,\nu} [\lambda_3(t) u'(t)],$$

where $\lambda_3(t)$ is defined by (3,3b).

(3,4c)
$$[1 + e_n(x)]^{-1} = 1 + (2n)^{-1} \{ \alpha + \beta + 1 + (j_n + x) u'(x) - I_{n,n} [\lambda_3(t) \Delta_x u'(t)] \},$$

where j_n is defined by (2,4b).

(3,4d)
$$d_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} [1 + e_n(x)] \{ x - j_n - (2n)^{-1} [(\alpha + \beta + 2) j_n + \alpha - \beta + \gamma_n - 2q_n^2 u'(x) + 2q_n I_{n,n-1} [\lambda_3(t) \Delta_x u'(t)] \}.$$

Then

(3,4e)
$$q_n Q_{n-1}(x) = (2n)^{-1} [1 + e_n(x)] (1 - x^2) Q'_n(x) + d_n(x) Q_n(x),$$

(3,4f)
$$e_n(x) = n^{-1} c_1(x),$$

$$d_n(x) = c_2(x),$$

(3,4h)
$$e'_n(x) = n^{-1} c_3(x)$$
.

Proof. 1. The existence of integrals on the right-hand sides of (3,4b), (3,4c) and (3,4d) as well as the existence of

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} I_{n,n} [\lambda_3(t) \, \Delta_x u'(t)]$$

is a consequence of (3,4a) and (3,2b). Since by (3,4a) $u''(x) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$, $e'_{n}(x)$ exists in the interval I.

(3,4f), (3,4g) and (3,4h) follow then from (3,2b).

2. It is easily seen that

$$U_n(x) = (1 - x^2) Q'_n(x) + nx Q_n(x) = \pi_n$$

Hence by (2,2a)

(1)
$$U_n(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu} Q_{\nu}(x),$$

where by (2,2b)

(2)
$$\alpha_{\nu} = \int_{I} [(1-t^{2}) Q'_{n}(t) + nt Q_{n}(t)] Q_{\nu}(t) Q(t) dt.$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

(3)
$$\alpha_{\nu} = -\int_{I} (1-t^{2}) Q_{n}(t) Q'_{\nu}(t) Q(t) dt + I_{n\nu}[\psi_{4}(t)],$$

where

$$\psi_4(t) = (\alpha - \beta) + (\alpha + \beta + 2 + n) t - (1 - t^2) u'(t).$$

3. (3) enables us to establish the following results:

$$(4) v < n-1 \Rightarrow \alpha_v = \gamma_v,$$

where γ_* is defined by (3,4b).

Adding (2) to (3) for v = n we obtain

(5)
$$\alpha_n = \frac{1}{2} [(\alpha + \beta + 2n + 2) j_n + \alpha - \beta + \gamma_n].$$

Since

$$x^2 Q'_{n-1}(x) = (n-1) x Q_{n-1}(x) + \pi_{n-1}$$

(3) yields

(6)
$$\alpha_{n-1} = (2n + \alpha + \beta + 1) q_n + \gamma_{n-1}.$$

4. By means of (2,5d) we obtain

(7)
$$(1-x^2) Q_n'(x) = \alpha_{n-1} Q_{n-1}(x) + (\alpha_n - nx) Q_n(x) - q_n A[x, \lambda_3 u'].$$

(3,4e) is a consequence of (7), (5), (6) and (3,3d).

3,5. Provided that (3,4a) holds,

(3,5a)
$$\left| \int_{I} (1-x^2)^2 Q_n^{\prime 2}(x) Q(x) dx \right| < c_1 n^2.$$

Proof. (3,5a) is a consequence of (3,4e).

3,6. The following equation holds:

(3,6a)
$$K_n = q_n \int_{\mathbf{I}} (x+t) Q'_n(t) Q_{n-1}(t) Q(t) dt = nx + s_1^{(n)}.$$

Here $s_1^{(n)}$ is the sum of the zeros of the polynomial $Q_n(x)$. Since all these zeros are contained in the interval (-1, 1), it is

$$|s_1^{(n)}| < n.$$

Proof. 1.

(1)
$$x^{2} Q_{n}'(x) = nx \left[a_{0}^{(n)} x^{n} + a_{1}^{(n)} x^{n-1} \right] -$$

$$- a_{1}^{(n)} x^{n} + \pi_{n-1} = nx \left[Q_{n}(x) + \pi_{n-2} \right] +$$

$$+ s_{1}^{(n)} \left[a_{0}^{(n)} x^{n} + \pi_{n-1} \right] = nx Q_{n}(x) + \pi_{n-1} +$$

$$+ s_{1}^{(n)} \left[Q_{n}(x) + \pi_{n-1} \right] = \left[nx + s_{1}^{(n)} \right] Q_{n}(x) + \pi_{n-1} .$$

2. Making use of (2,4a) and (1) we deduce that

$$K_n = \int_I (x+t) Q'_n(t) \left[(t-j_n) Q_n(t) - q_{n+1} Q_{n+1}(t) \right] Q(t) dt =$$

$$= nx + \int_I \left\{ \left[nt + s_1^{(n)} \right] Q_n(t) - j_n t Q'_n(t) \right\} Q_n(t) Q(t) dt =$$

$$= n(x+j_n) + s_1^{(n)} - nj_n = nx + s_1^{(n)}.$$

3,7. Let

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}u'(t)\in\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Then

(3,7b)
$$L_n = q_n \int_I (1-t^2) \, \Delta_x u'(t) \, Q'_n(t) \, Q_{n-1}(t) \, Q(t) \, dt = n \, c_1(x) \, .$$

Proof. 1. Let $\gamma \ge -\frac{1}{2}$. Then in virtue of (3,4e), (3,4f) and (3,4g),

$$|(1-x^2) Q_n'(x)| < c_1 n [|Q_{n-1}(x)| + |Q_n(x)|].$$

Hence by (2,9b)

(1)
$$(1-x^2) |Q'_n(x)| \sqrt{[Q(x)]} < c_2 n(1-x^2)^{-1/4}.$$

From (3,2b) and (1) it follows that

$$|L_n| < c_3 n \int_I (1-t^2)^{-1/2} |\Delta_x u'(t)| dt < c_4 n.$$

2. Let $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$. Then in virtue of (3,5a) and of (2,6d),

$$|L_n| < c_5 \left[\int_I (1-t^2)^2 \, Q_n'^2(t) \, Q(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \int_I Q_{n-1}^2(t) \, Q(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right]^{1/2} < c_6 n.$$

3,8. Let

(3,8a)
$$\Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma} \quad and \quad (1-t^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

For the sake of brevity, put

(3,8b)
$$\varphi_1(t) = Q^{-1}(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[(1 - t^2) \Delta_x u'(t) Q(t) \right] =$$

$$= (1 - t^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_x u'(t) - \left[(\alpha + \beta + 2) t + \alpha - \beta + (1 - t^2) u'(t) \right] \Delta_x u'(t) .$$

Then in the notation (3,7b)

$$(3,8c) B(x) = \int_{I} (1 - t^{2}) u'(t) Q'_{n}(t) Q_{n-1}(x, t) Q(t) dt = (1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q'_{n}(x) - \{n u'(x) + \frac{1}{2} I_{n,n} [\varphi_{1}(t)]\} q_{n} Q_{n-1}(x) + \{[nx + s_{1}^{(n)}] u'(x) - L_{n}\} Q_{n}(x).$$

Here $s_1^{(n)}$ is defined in Section 3,6.

Proof. 1. As a consequence of (3,8a) there exists u''(x) in the interval I. Therefore u'(x) is continuous on I and consequently

(1)
$$u'(t) \Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

By (1) combined with (3,2b) the existence of $I_{n,n}[\varphi_1(t)]$ is made evident.

2. Clearly

(2)
$$(1-t^2) u'(t) = (1-x^2) u'(x) + (x^2-t^2) u'(x) + (1-t^2) [u'(t)-u'(x)].$$

Making use of (2,5a), (3,6a) and (3,7b) we may write

$$B(x) = (1 - x^2) u'(x) Q'_n(x) + [K_n u'(x) - L_n] Q_n(x) -$$

$$- q_n Q_{n-1}(x) \int_I [t u'(x) - (1 - t^2) \Delta_x u'(t)] Q_n(t) Q'_n(t) Q(t) dt.$$

Integrating by parts, we deduce that the last integral is equal to

(3)
$$nx \, u'(x) + \frac{1}{2} I_{n,n} [\varphi_1(t)] .$$

(3) and (3,6a) complete the proof.

4. THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE POLYNOMIAL $Q_n(x)$

4,1. 1. If $\Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_y$ and u''(x) exists in the interval [-1, 1], then

$$\Delta_{x}u'^{2}(t)\in\mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

2. If $(\partial/\partial x) [\Delta_x u'(t)] \in \mathfrak{F}_y$ and u'''(x) exists in the interval [-1, 1], then

$$(4.1b) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\Delta_x u'^2(t) \right] \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

Proof. 1. Clearly

$$\Delta_x u'^2(t) = \left[u'(t) + u'(x)\right] \Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}$$

as u'(t) is continuous on I in virtue of the existence of u''(x).

2. It is easily seen that

(1)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\Delta_x u'^2(t) \right] = u''(x) \Delta_x u'(t) + \left[u'(t) + u'(x) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\Delta_x u'(t) \right].$$

There exists ξ between x and t such that

$$\Delta_x u'(t) = u''(\xi).$$

In virtue of the existence of u'''(x), the function u''(x) is continuous on I and consequently

$$|\Delta_x u'(t)| < c_1 \Rightarrow \Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

From (1) and (2) it follows that (4,1b) is true.

4,2. Let

(4,2a)
$$(1-t^2) \Delta_x u''(t), (1-t^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_x u'(t) \quad and \quad \Delta_x u'(t)$$

be elements of Fy.

Then

(4,2b)
$$Q^{-1}(x) \frac{d}{dx} \left[(1-x^2) Q'_n(x) Q(x) \right] + (1-x^2) b_n(x) Q'_n(x) + \left[\lambda_n^2 + a_n(x) \right] Q_n(x) = 0.$$

Here

(4,2c)
$$\lambda_n = \sqrt{[n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)]},$$

$$a_n(x) = n c_1(x),$$

$$b_n(x) = n^{-1} c_2(x).$$

If (4,2a) is true and, moreover, the functions

(4,2f)
$$(1-t^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\Delta_x u''(t)], (1-t^2)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t}[\Delta_x u'(t)], \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Delta_x u'(t)$$

are elements of \mathfrak{F}_{γ} , then $b'_{\eta}(x)$ exists in the interval [-1, 1] and

$$(4,2g) b'_n(x) = n^{-1} c_3(x).$$

Proof. 1. It is easily seen that

$$D_n(x) = Q^{-1}(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \left[(1 - x^2) Q'_n(x) Q(x) \right] - (1 - x^2) u'(x) Q'_n(x) + \lambda_n^2 Q_n(x) = \pi_{n-1}.$$
By (2,2a)

(1)
$$D_{n}(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \beta_{\nu} Q_{\nu}(x).$$

Making use of (2,2b) and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\beta_{\nu} + \int_{I} (1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q'_{n}(x) Q_{\nu}(x) Q(x) dx =$$

$$= - \int_{I} (1 - x^{2}) Q'_{n}(x) Q'_{\nu}(x) Q(x) dx = \int_{I} Q_{n}(x) d[(1 - x^{2}) Q'_{\nu}(x) Q(x)] =$$

$$= \int_{I} (1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q_{n}(x) Q'_{\nu}(x) Q(x) dx.$$

Hence

(2)
$$\beta_{\nu} = \int_{I} (1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q_{n}^{2}(x) Q(x) d \left[\frac{Q_{\nu}(x)}{Q_{n}(x)} \right] =$$

$$= -2 \int_{I} (1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q_{n}'(x) Q_{\nu}(x) Q(x) dx - \int_{I} Q_{n}(x) Q_{\nu}(x) d \left[(1 - x^{2}) u'(x) Q(x) \right].$$

For the sake of brevity, put

$$\psi_0(t) = Q^{-1}(t) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[(1 - t^2) u'(t) Q(t) \right] =$$

$$= (1 - t^2) \left[u''(t) + u'^2(t) \right] - \left[(\alpha + \beta + 2) t + \alpha - \beta \right] u'(t).$$

From (1), (2), (2,5d), (3,3a) and (3,8c) it follows that

(3)
$$D_n(x) = -q_n A(x, \psi_0) - 2 B(x).$$

2. For the sake of brevity, put

(4)
$$\varphi_2(t) = (1 - t^2) \Delta_x [u''(t) + u'^2(t)] - [(\alpha + \beta + 2) t + (\alpha - \beta)] \Delta_x u'(t)$$
 and let $\varphi_1(t)$ be defined by (3,8b).

Making use of (3,3d) and (3,8c) we obtain from (3)

(5)
$$D_n(x) = -2(1 - x^2) u'(x) Q'_n(x) + 2n u'(x) q_n Q_{n-1}(x) + q_n \varrho_1(x) Q_{n-1}(x) + \varrho_2(x) Q_n(x).$$

Here

(6)
$$\varrho_1(x) = (x + j_n) [u''(x) + u'^2(x)] + (\alpha + \beta + 2) u'(x) + I_{n,n} [\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_2(t)]$$

and

(7)
$$\varrho_2(x) \approx -q_n^2 \left[u''(x) + u'^2(x) \right] - 2 \left[nx + s_1^{(n)} \right] u'(x) + q_n I_{n,n-1} \left[\varphi_2(t) \right] + 2L_n$$
, where $s_1^{(n)}$ is defined in Section 3,6 and L_n by (3,7a).

The existence of the integrals in (6) and (7) is guaranteed by (4,2a) combined with (4,1a).

3. Replacing $q_n Q_{n-1}(x)$ by the right-hand side of (3,4e) we may write (5) in the form

(8)
$$D_n(x) = -(1-x^2) \left[u'(x) + b_n(x) \right] Q'_n(x) - a_n(x) Q_n(x).$$

Here

(9)
$$b_n(x) = -\frac{1}{2n} [1 + e_n(x)] \varrho_1(x) - e_n(x) u'(x)$$

and

(10)
$$a_n(x) = -\varrho_2(x) - [2n \ u'(x) + \varrho_1(x)] \ d_n(x) .$$

4. (4,2d) and (4,2e) may be derived from (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10) by employing (3,4f) and (3,4g).

If (4,2f) is true, then (4,1b) holds and $b'_n(x)$ exists. (4,2g) is then deduced similarly as (4,2e) if we take (3,4h) into consideration.

- 4,3. Sufficient conditions for (4,2a) and (4,2f).
- I. Let $\gamma \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.
- 1. (4,2a) holds, if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

(4,3a)
$$x \in I, \quad t \in I \Rightarrow |u''(x) - u''(t)| < c_1|x - t|^{\varepsilon}.$$

2. (4,2f) holds, if

(4,3b)
$$x \in I, \quad t \in I \Rightarrow |u'''(x) - u'''(t)| < c_2|x - t|^{\varepsilon}.$$

II. If $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$, the assertion is true if we put in (4,3a) and (4,3b) $\varepsilon = 1$.

Proof. I. 1. Let (4,3a) be satisfied. Then u''(x) is continuous on the interval I and consequently

(1)
$$|\Delta_x u'(t)| \leq \sup_{x \in I} |u''(x)| \Rightarrow \Delta_x u'(t) \in \mathfrak{F}_{\gamma}.$$

Further

(2)
$$|\Delta_x u''(t)| < c_3 |x - t|^{-1-\epsilon} \Rightarrow \int_{-1}^1 (1 - t^2)^{3/2} \} |\Delta_x u''(t)| dt <$$

$$< \frac{1}{\epsilon} c_4 [(x + 1)^{\epsilon} + (1 - x)^{\epsilon}] < c_5.$$

There exists τ between the numbers x and t such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_x u'(t) = \frac{(t-x) u''(t) - [u'(t) - u'(x)]}{(t-x)^2} = (t-x)^{-1} [u''(t) - u''(t)].$$

By (4,3a)

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Delta_x u'(t)\right| < c_6 |t-x|^{-1} |t-\tau|^{\varepsilon} < c_7 |t-x|^{-1+\varepsilon}$$

Hence

(3)
$$\int_{I} (1-t^2)^{3/2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta_x u'(t) \right| dt < c_8.$$

(1), (2) and (3) show that $\Delta_x u'(t)$, $(1-t^2) \Delta_x u''(t)$ and $(1-t^2) (\partial/\partial t) \Delta_x u'(t)$ are elements of \mathfrak{F}_y

2. Let (4,3b) be satisfied. Then there exists ξ_i (i = 1, 2, ...) between x and t such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\Delta_x u'(t) \right] = \frac{\left(x - t \right) u''(x) - \left[u'(x) - u'(t) \right]}{\left(x - t \right)^2} = \frac{1}{2} u'''(\xi_1).$$

Hence

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_x u'(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{x \in I} |u'''(x)|.$$

Further

(5)
$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\Delta_x u''(t) \right] \right| = \left| \frac{u'''(x) - u'''(\xi_2)}{x - t} \right| < c_9 |x - t|^{-1} |x - \xi_2|^{\varepsilon} < c_{10} |x - t|^{-1 + \varepsilon}$$

and

(6)
$$\left| \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x \, \partial t} \left[\Delta_{x} u'(t) \right] \right| = \left| \frac{u'''(\xi_{4}) - u'''(\xi_{3})}{x - t} \right| < c_{11} |x - t|^{-1} |\xi_{4} - \xi_{3}|^{\varepsilon} < c_{12} |x - t|^{-1 + \varepsilon}.$$

From (4), (5) and (6) we may derive that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_x u'(t)$$
, $(1-t^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Delta_x u''(t)$ and $(1-t^2) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial t} \Delta_x u'(t)$

are elements of Fy.

II. The proof for $\gamma < -\frac{1}{2}$ may be carried out by a similar argument.

Remark. It is obvious that the above condition may be replaced by a more general one. For instance $|x - t|^{\epsilon}$ in (4,3a) and (4,3b) may be replaced by $|\lg^{-1-\epsilon}|x - t||$.

References

- [1] Szegö: Ортогональные многочлены, Moscow 1962.
- [2] J. Korous: O rozvoji funkcí jedné reálné proměnné v řadu jistých ortogonálních polynomů. Rozpravy II. tř. Čes. akademie věd v Praze, 1938, num. 1, 1-12.
- [3] J. Korous: O rozvoji funkce jedné reálné proměnné v řadu jistých ortogonálních polynomů. Strojnický sborník Vys. školy železniční v Praze, band 17, 1957, 45—52.
- [4] J. Korous: O asymptotických vzorcích pro ortogonální polynomy v konečném intervalu. Sborník Vys. školy železniční, stavební fakulta, Praha 1957.
- [5] J. Korous: Ortogonální funkce, SNTL Praha 1959.

Author's address: Žilina, Moyzesova 20 (Vysoká škola dopravní).