Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica

Jitka Laitochová

To the definition of the global transformation in 2-dimensional linear spaces of continuous functions

Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica, Vol. 26 (1987), No. 1, 33--45

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/116968

Terms of use:

© Palacký University Olomouc, Faculty of Science, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

TO THE DEFINITION OF THE GLOBAL TRANSFORMATION IN 2 — DIMENSIONAL LINEAR SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

Jitka LAITOCHOVÁ

(Received December 15th, 1985)

Dedicated to my father on his 65th birthday

In this article we shall be concerned with the question relating to the equivalence of definitions of the global transformation in two 2-dimensional linear spaces of continuous functions. We will apply the definitions of transformation introduced by O.Borůvka [1], F.Neuman [2] and K.Stach [3], in studying the spaces of solutions of the second-order linear differential equations in the Jacobian form, the spaces of solutions of the n-th order homogeneous linear differential equations, the 2-dimensional linear spaces of continuous functions, respectively.

1. The letter R means the field of real numbers. The set of continuous functions defined on an open interval j will be written as $C^{(0)}(j)$.

We recall at this point the concept of dependence and that of independence of continuous functions on the interval j and express a definition of the 2-dimensional space of continuous functions.

Definition 1.1. ([3]) Suppose $y_1, y_2 \in C^{(0)}(j)$. We say that the functions y_1, y_2 are dependent on j if there exist such numbers $k_1, k_2 \in R$, $k_1^2 + k_2^2 > 0$ that the identity

$$k_1 y_1(t) + k_2 y_2(t) = 0$$

is_valid_on j .

If for any two numbers $k_1, k_2 \in R$, $k_1^2 + k_2^2 > 0$ and for every open interval $j_1 \in J$

$$k_1 y_1(t) + k_2 y_2(t) \neq 0$$

holds on j₁ C j , we say that the functions y_1, y_2 are independent on the interval j .

Definition 1.2. ([3]) Suppose $y_1, y_2 \in C^{(0)}(j)$ are independent functions on an open interval j, and $k_1, k_2 \in R$ be arbitrary numbers.

The set S of all functions $k_1y_1 + k_2y_2$ is called a 2-dimensional space of continuous functions. The interval j is called the definition interval of the space S and the ordered pair (y_1,y_2) is termed the basis of the space S. For brevity we speak hereafter of S as the space generated by the functions y_1,y_2 with the definition interval j.

Lemma 1.1. ([3]) Suppose S is a space generated by functions $y_1, y_2 \in C^{(O)}$ (j) with a definition interval j and u,v \in S be independent functions on j. Then every function z \in S may be expressed on the interval j as

$$z = c_1 u + c_2 v$$
, (1.1)

where $c_1, c_2 \in R$ are convenient_numbers.

Corollary. The space S generated by functions $y_1, y_2 \in C^{(O)}(j)$ with a definition interval j is a linear space of dimension 2 over the field R.

2. We now express three definitions of the global transformation of two 2-dimensional spaces of continuous functions and will show their equivalence.

Consider two spaces S_1 and S_2 of continuous functions. Let S_1 have a definition interval j and a basis (y_1,y_2) , and let S_2 have a definition interval J and a basis (Y_1,Y_2) .

<u>Definition 2.1</u>. The space S_2 is said to be globally transformed onto the space S_1 exactly if there exists to the vectors $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2)^T$, $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2)^T$

- a) a bijection h: $j \rightarrow J$, h $\in C^{(0)}(j)$,
- b) a function $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$,
- c) a matrix $A = \|a_{ik}\|$, i,k = 1,2, $a_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$, det $A \neq 0$, such that the equality

$$\underline{Y}(t) = Af(t) \underline{Y} [h(t)]$$
 (2.1)

holds for every t ϵ j , where $(.,.)^T$ denotes a transposed vector to the vector (.,.).

<u>Convention</u>. The mapping of the column vector \underline{Y} , $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2)^T$ on the column vector \underline{Y} , $\underline{Y} = (y_1, y_2)^T$ defined by equation (2.1) will be denoted by \widehat{Y} and written as

$$\Upsilon Y = Y$$
, $\Upsilon = \langle Af, h \rangle$.

The mapping $\, \mathcal{T} \,$ will be called the global transformation of the space $\,$ S $_2$ onto the space $\,$ S $_1$.

Definition 2.2. Let f,h be such functions that

- a) <u>is_a_bijection</u>: $j \rightarrow J$, $h \in C^{(0)}(j)$
- b) $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$.

The space S_2 is said to be globally transformed onto the space S_1 if the functions

$$y(t) = f(t) Y [h(t)]$$
 (2.2)

are exactly all elements of the space S₁ for Y & S₂.

Definition 2.3. The space S₂ is said to be globally transformed onto the space S₄ exactly if there exist

- a) a bijection h: $j \rightarrow J$, h $\epsilon C^{(0)}(j)$,
- b) a function $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$ such that
- 1) there exists such a function y ϵ S₁ to every function Y ϵ S₂ that

$$y(t) = f(t) Y [h(t)]$$
 (2.3)

holds for every t € j .

2) there exists a function $Y \in S_2$ to every function $y \in S_1$ such that (2.3) is valid for every $t \in j$.

Remark. It should be noted here that definition 2.1. corresponds to the definition used by F.Neuman in [2] in case of the spaces of solutions of the homogeneous n-th order linear differential equations. Definition 2.2 is in the main used by O. Borůvka in [1] to define the global transformation of the linear second-order differential equations in the Jacobian form. Definition 2.3. is used by K.Stach in [3] in case of 2-dimensional spaces of continuous functions.

<u>Lemma 2.1.</u> Suppose the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 by way of formula (2.1) following Definition 2.1. Then equality (2.1) is equivalent to equality

$$\underline{y}(t) = f(t) \widetilde{\underline{Y}} [h(t)]$$
 (2.4)

and $(\mathring{Y}_1, \mathring{Y}_2)$ is a basis of the space S_2 , whereby

$$\widetilde{Y}_{1} = a_{11} Y_{1} + a_{12} Y_{2},$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_{2} = a_{21} Y_{1} + a_{22} Y_{2}.$$
(2.5)

Proof. Denoting $\frac{\Upsilon}{Y} = A\underline{Y}$ we observe that equalities

(2.1) and (2.4) are clearly equivalent and it follows from the assumption det A \neq O that $(\widetilde{Y}_1,\widetilde{Y}_2)$ is a basis of the space S_2 .

Lemma 2.2. Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 of the global transformation of the space S₂ onto the space S₁ are equivalent.

P r o o f. Suppose the space $\rm S_2$ is globally transformed onto the space $\rm S_1$ by Definition 2.1. Then there exist

- a) a bijection h: $j \in J$, h ϵ $C^{(0)}(j)$,
- b) a function $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$,
- c) a matrix $A = \|a_{ik}\|$, i,k = 1,2, $a_{ik} \in R$, det $A \neq 0$ such that the equality

$$\underline{y}(t) = A f(t) \underline{Y} [h(t)]$$

holds for every t ϵ j, where $\underline{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2)^T$, $\underline{Y} = (y_1, y_2)^T$. Multiplying the vector equality of (2.1) from the left by the vector $\underline{K} = (k_1, k_2)$, $k_1 k_2 \epsilon$ R, yields

$$\underline{K} \underline{Y} (t) = \underline{K} A f(t) \underline{Y} [h(t)]$$
 (2.6)

Setting $\frac{Y}{Y} = AY$, then (2.6) may be written as

$$\underline{K} \underline{y} (t) = \underline{K} f(t) \underline{\widetilde{Y}} [h(t)].$$
 (2.7)

Hence we see that the element $Z = \underline{K} \overset{\checkmark}{Y} \in S_2$ is mapped by (2.7) onto the element $z = \underline{K} \overset{\checkmark}{Y} \in S_1$.

It becomes readily apparent now that every element $z \in S_1$ has its pattern in S_2 because there exists exactly one vector \underline{K} to the basis $\underline{Y} = (y_1, y_2)$ and to the element $z \in S_1$ such that $z = \underline{K} \underline{Y}$. Clearly, its pattern is the element $Z = \underline{K} \underline{\widetilde{Y}} \in S_2$.

Thus, the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 by Definition 2.2.

Suppose conversely that the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 by Definition 2.2. If follows from Definition 2.2. that there exist functions f,h such that

- a) h is a bijection : $j \rightarrow J$, $h \in C^{(0)}(j)$,
- b) the function $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$ and the element $z \in S_2$ is mapped onto the element $z \in S_1$ given by equation (2.2). Hence we have

$$f[Y_1(h)] = u_1, f[Y_2(h)] = u_2$$

for the elements of the basis Y₁, Y₂ \in S₂, whereby u₁, u₂ \in S₁ are independent functions. Thus, there exist such numbers $c_{ik} \in R$, i,k = 1,2 that

$$u_1 = c_{11} \ y_1 + c_{12} \ y_2$$
,
 $u_2 = c_{21} \ y_1 + c_{22} \ y_2$,

whereby $\|c_{ik}\| \neq 0$. So, we have

$$c_{11} y_1 + c_{12} y_2 = f(t) Y_1 [h(t)],$$

 $c_{21} y_1 + c_{22} y_2 = f(t) Y_2 [h(t)],$

whence

$$\underline{Y}(t) = A f(t) \underline{Y} [h(t)],$$

where A = C⁻¹, C = $\|c_{ik}\|$, i,k = 1,2. Thus, s_2 is globally transformed into s_1 by Definition 2.1.

<u>Lemma 2.3.</u> Definitions 2.1 and 2.3 of the global transformation of the space S_2 into the space S_1 are equivalent.

P r o o f. Let the space S_2 be globally transformed on the space S_1 by Definition 2.1. We know from the above that equalit (2.1) is equivalent to equality (2.4), whereby (2.5) holds.

1) Y \in S₂ be an arbitrary element. We will show that there exists a function y \in S₁ such that (2.3) is true. Indeed, there exist numbers λ_1 , $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ to the basis $(\tilde{Y}_1,\tilde{Y}_2)$ (discussed in Lemma 2.1) such that

$$Y = \lambda_1 \tilde{Y}_1 + \lambda_2 \tilde{Y}_2$$
.

On multiplying (2.4) by the vector (λ_1 , λ_2), we obtain

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \ \underline{Y}(t) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \ f(t) \ \underline{\Upsilon} [h(t)]$$

i.e.

$$\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 = f(t) \left[\lambda_1 \widetilde{Y}_1(h) + \lambda_2 \widetilde{Y}_2(h) \right] = f(t) Y(h).$$

If we put $\lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 = y$, we obtain (2.3).

2) Let $y \in S_1$ be an arbitrary element. We will show that there exist an $Y \in S_2$ so that (2.3) holds. Indeed, there exist numbers \mathcal{M}_1 , $\mathcal{M}_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ to the basis (y_1,y_2) so that

$$y = M_1 y_1 + M_2 y_2$$
.

On multiplying (2.4) be the vector (ملم, ملم) we obtain

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2) \ \underline{Y}(t) = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \ f(t) \ \underline{\widetilde{Y}} \left[h(t)\right]$$

i.e.

$$y = M_1 y_1 + M_2 y_2 = f(t) [M_1 \tilde{Y}_1 [h(t)] + M_2 \tilde{Y}_2 [h(t)]]$$
.

If we put $Y = M_1 \widetilde{Y}_1 + M_2 \widetilde{Y}_2$, we obtain (2.3).

Suppose conversely that the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 by Definition 2.3. $(\widetilde{Y}_1,\,\widetilde{Y}_2)$ be a basis of the space S_2 . From Definition 2.3 follows then the existence of

- a) a bijection h: $j \rightarrow J$, h $\in C^{(0)}(j)$,
- b) a function $f \in C^{(0)}(j)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j$ such that there exist functions $y_1 \in S_1$ and $y_2 \in S_1$ to functions $\widetilde{Y}_1 \in S_2$ and $\widetilde{Y}_2 \in S_2$ that in view of (2.3) the equalities

$$y_1(t) = f(t) \widetilde{Y}_1[h(t)], y_2(t) = f(t) \widetilde{Y}_2[h(t)]$$

hold, respectively. By setting $\underline{\mathbf{y}} = (y_1, y_2)^{\mathsf{T}}, \ \underline{\widetilde{\mathbf{Y}}} = (\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_1, \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_2)^{\mathsf{T}},$

we obtain

$$\underline{y}(t) = f(t) \tilde{Y} [h(t)].$$

By Lemma 2.1 the last equality is equivalent to equality (2.1). Consequently, the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 by Definition 2.1.

Theorem 2.1. Definitions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 of the global transformation of the space S₂ onto the space S₁ are equivalent. Proof. The assertion follows from Lemmas 2.2. and 2.3.

3. The point mapping of the space S_2 onto the space S_1 defined by equation (2.7) and intermediated by the vector $\underline{K} = (k_1, k_2)$, $k_1, k_2 \in R$ will be denoted by T^* and written as

$$\mathcal{T}^*(\underline{K} \widetilde{\underline{Y}}) = K y$$

or also as

$$T^*(k_1 \tilde{Y}_1 + k_2 \tilde{Y}_2) = k_1 y_1 + k_2 y_2$$
 (3.1)

We say that the mapping $\mathcal T$ which maps the basis (Y_1,Y_2) of the space S_2 on the basis (y_1,y_2) of the space S_1 , induces the mapping $\mathcal T^*\colon S_2 {\longrightarrow} S_1$ which with the aid of equation (2.7) maps the element $Z = \underline K \ \underline Y$ of the space S_2 onto the element $z = \underline K \ \underline Y$ of the space S_1 .

We shall now show that the mapping \mathcal{T}^* of the space S_2 onto the space S_1 is a linear mapping and the global transformation $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathsf{Af}, \mathsf{h} \rangle$ is a relation of equivalence on the set of the 2-dimensional spaces of the continuous functions. This will be discussed in the theorems below.

Theorem 3.1. The mapping $T^*: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ is a linear mapping.

Proof. Let $U_1,U_2\in S_2$ be arbitrary elements and $k_1,k_2\in R$ be arbitrary numbers. Since $U_1,U_2\in S_2$, there exist numbers $\pmb{\mathcal{X}}_{ik}$, i,k=1,2 such that

for Υ_1 , Υ_2 is a basis of the space S_2 with respect to the fact that the determinant $|a_{ik}| \neq 0$. On account of (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{*} \boldsymbol{\cup}_{1} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{*} (\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{11} \, \, \stackrel{\sim}{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{1} \, + \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{12} \, \, \stackrel{\sim}{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{2}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{11} \, \, \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1} \, + \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{12} \, \, \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{y}}_{2} \, \, , \\ & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{*} \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\cup}}_{2} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}^{*} (\, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{21} \, \, \stackrel{\sim}{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{1} \, + \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{22} \, \, \stackrel{\sim}{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{2}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{21} \, \, \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{y}}_{1} \, + \, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{22} \, \, \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{y}}}_{2} \, \, , \end{split}$$

whence it follows

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}^*(k_1 \cup_{1} + k_2 \cup_{2}) &= \mathcal{T}^*(k_1 \, \mathcal{X}_{11} + k_2 \, \mathcal{X}_{21}) \widetilde{Y}_1 + (k_1 \, \mathcal{X}_{12} + k_2 \, \mathcal{X}_{22}) \widetilde{Y}_2 &= \\ &= (k_1 \, \mathcal{X}_{11} + k_2 \, \mathcal{X}_{21}) y_1 + (k_1 \, \mathcal{X}_{12} + k_2 \, \mathcal{X}_{22}) y_2 &= \\ &= k_1 (\, \mathcal{X}_{12} y_1 + \, \mathcal{X}_{12} y_2) + k_2 (\, \mathcal{X}_{21} y_1 + \, \mathcal{X}_{22} y_2) &= \\ &= k_1 (\, \mathcal{T}^* \cup_{1}) \, + \, k_2 (\, \mathcal{T}^* \cup_{2}) \, \, . \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{T}^*(k_1U_1+k_2U_2) = k_1(\mathcal{T}^*U_1)+k_2(\mathcal{T}^*U_2)$$
.

The mapping \varUpsilon^{igstar} is linear.

Theorem 3.2. The global_transformation \mathcal{C} < Af,h > is_a_relation_of the equivalence on the set_of the 2-dimensional spaces_of continuous_functions.

P r o o f. We have to show that the global transformation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

- 1) The space S is globally transformed by the global transformation $\mathcal{T}=\langle \mathsf{E},\mathsf{t}\,\rangle$, where $\mathsf{E}=\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$, hence the relation of the global transformation is reflexive.
- 2) Let $\,{\rm S_1}\,$ be the space of continuous functions with a definition interval $\,{\rm j_1}\,$ and $\,{\rm S_2}\,$ be the space of continuous

functions with a definition interval $~\rm j_2.~If~the~space~S_2$ is globally transformed on the space $~\rm S_1$, then the space $~\rm S_1$ is globally transformed on the space $~\rm S_2$.

Indeed, let $\mathscr{T}=\langle Af,h \rangle$, $\underline{\vee}=\mathscr{T}\underline{\vee}$, be a global transformation of S $_2$ on S $_1$. Then

- a) $h: j_1 \longrightarrow j_2$ is a bijection, $h \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$,
- b) $f \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $h \in j_1$,
- c) det $A \neq 0$, where $A = \|a_{ik}\|$, i, k = 1,2.

Since

- a) $h^{-1}: j_2 \rightarrow j_1$ is a bijection, $h^{-1} \in C^{(0)}(j_2)$
- b) $F := \frac{1}{f(h^{-1})} \in C^{(0)}(j_2), F(t) \neq 0 \text{ for } t \in j_2$
- c) det $A^{-1} \neq 0$,

 $\underline{Y} = T^{-1}$ \underline{Y} , where $T^{-1} = \langle A^{-1}F, h^{-1} \rangle$ is a global transformation of the space S_1 onto the space S_2 . Hence the relation of the global transformation is symmetric.

3) Let S_1 be the spaces of continuous functions with the definition intervals j_1 , i=1,2,3. If the space S_3 is globally transformed onto the space S_2 and the space S_2 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 , then the space S_3 is globally transformed onto the space S_1 .

Indeed, if

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \langle Af, h \rangle$$
, $\underline{V} = \mathcal{T}_1 \underline{Z}$

is a global transformation of S_3 onto S_2 , then

- a) h: $j_2 \rightarrow j_3$ is a bijection, h $\in C^{(0)}(j_2)$
- b) $f \in C^{(0)}(j_2)$, $f(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j_2$
- c) det $A \neq 0$, where $A = \|a_{ik}\|$, i, k = 1,2,

and if

$$\hat{t}_2 = \langle Bg, 1 \rangle$$
 , $\underline{U} = \hat{t}_2 \underline{V}$

is a global transformation of S_2 onto S_4 , then

a) 1:
$$j_1 \rightarrow j_2$$
 is a bijection, $1 \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$

b)
$$g \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$$
, $g(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in j_1$

c) det $B \neq 0$, where $B = \|b_{ik}\|$, i,k = 1,2,

and it holds:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 \ \underline{Z} = \underline{V} = Af \ \underline{Z}(h)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \ \underline{V} = \underline{U} = Bg \ \underline{V}(1) = Bg \ Af(1) \ Z[h(1)]$$

i.e.

$$\underline{U} = BA gf(1) \underline{Z} [h(1)]$$
 (3.3)

Since

- a) $h(1): j_1 \rightarrow j_3$ is a bijection, $h(1) \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$
- b) $gf(1) \in C^{(0)}(j_1)$, $gf(1) \neq 0$ for $t \in j_1$
- c) det BA \neq 0,

it appears that equation (3.3) defines the global transformation of the space S_3 onto the space S_4 . Hence, the relation of the global transformation is transitive.

REFERENCES

- B o r ů v k a, O.: Linear Differential Transformations of the Second Order, The English University Press, London 1971.
- [2] Неуман, Ф.: Теория глобальных свойств обыкновенных линейных дифференцияльных уравнений н-го порядка, Дифференциальные уравнения 19 (1983), 789-808.

[3] S t a ch, K.: Die vollständigen Kummerschen Transformationen zweidimensionaler Räume von stetigen Funktionen. Arch.Math. Brno, T3 (1967), 117-138.

SOUHRN

K definici globální transformace lineárních prostorů spojitých funkcí dimenze 2

Jitka Laitochová

V článku je ukázána ekvivalence tří definic globální transformace lineárních prostorů spojitých funkcí dimenze 2, které používají 0.Borůvka [1] v případě lineárních diferenciálních rovnic druhého řádu Jacobiho tvaru, F.Neuman [2] v případě prostorů řešení lineárních diferenciálních rovnic n-tého řádu bez pravé strany a K.Stach [3] v případě lineárních prostorů spojitých funkcí dimenze 2.

Je dokázáno, že globální transformace je relace ekvivalence na množině prostorů spojitých funkcí dimenze 2.

PESIOME

Заметка о глобальной трансформации двухразмерных линейных пространств непрерывных функций

Йитка Лаитохова

В работе доказана эквивалентность трех определений глобальной трансформации двухразмерных линейных пространств непрерывных функций, которыми пользуются О. Борувка [1] в случае линейных дифференциальных уравнений вида Якоби, Ф. Неуман [2] в случае пространств решений линейных дифференциальных уравнений н-го порядка без правой части и К. Стах [3]

в случае двухразмерных линейных пространств непрерывных функций.

Доказано, что глобальная трансформация представляет собой отношение эквивалентности на множестве двухразмерных линейных пространств непрерывных функций.

Author's address: RNDr. Jitka Laitochová, CSc. katedra matematiky Ped.F.UP Žerotínovo nám. 2 771 40 Olomouc