

Irena Rachůnková

On Kneser problem for differential equations of the 3rd order

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 115 (1990), No. 1, 18--27

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108726>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON KNESER PROBLEM FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THE 3RD ORDER

IRENA RACHŮNKOVÁ, Olomouc

(Received May 15, 1987)

Summary. In the paper sufficient conditions are found for the existence of a solution u of the third order nonlinear differential equation, satisfying $u(t) \geq 0$, $u'(t) \leq 0$, $u''(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ and $\varphi(u(0), u'(0), u''(0)) = 0$, where φ is a continuous function.

Keywords: Kneser problem, a priori estimate, Carathéodory conditions, Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, Nagumo functions.

AMS Classification: 34B15, 34C11

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the problem

- (1) $u''' = f(t, u, u', u''),$
- (2) $u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in R_+,$
- (3) $\varphi(u(0), u'(0), u''(0)) = 0.$

Sufficient conditions are found for the existence of solutions of this problem.

We shall use the following notation:

$$R_+ = \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \quad R_- = \langle -\infty, 0 \rangle, \quad D = R_+ \times R_- \times R_+, \quad J \subset R,$$

$C(J)$ is the set of all real continuous functions on J ,

$AC^2(J)$ is the set of all real functions which are absolutely continuous with their second order derivatives on J ,

$L(J)$ is the set of all real Lebesgue integrable on J functions,

a.e. = almost every,

$L_{\text{loc}}(J)$ is the set of all real functions which are Lebesgue integrable on each segment contained in J ,

$\text{Car}_{\text{loc}}(J \times I)$ is the set of all functions $f: J \times I \rightarrow R$ satisfying the local Carathéodory conditions on $J \times I$, i.e.

- (i) for each $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in I$, the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is Lebesgue measurable on J ,

- (ii) for a.e. $t \in J$, the mapping $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is continuous on I ,
 (iii) for each $\varrho > 0$ there exists $h_\varrho \in L_{\text{loc}}(J)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 |x_i| \leq \varrho \Rightarrow |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq h_\varrho(t) \quad \text{on } I \times J.$$

A function $u \in AC^2(R_+)$ which fulfils (1) for a.e. $t \in R_+$ and satisfies (2), (3) for each $t \in R_+$ will be called a solution of the problem (1), (2), (3).

In what follows we shall assume

- (4) $f \in \text{Car}_{\text{loc}}(R_+ \times D)$, $f(t, 0, 0, 0) = 0$, $f(t, x_1, x_2, 0) \leq 0$ on $R_+ \times D$
 (which means for a.e. $t \in R_+$ for every $x_1 \in R_+$, $x_2 \in R_-$),
 (5) $\varphi \in C(D)$, $\varphi(0, 0, 0) < 0$.

Moreover, φ will satisfy exactly one of the following conditions:

- ($\varphi 1$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_1 > r$,
 ($\varphi 2$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $|x_2| > r$,
 ($\varphi 3$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_3 > r$,
 ($\varphi 4$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_1 + |x_2| > r$,
 ($\varphi 5$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_1 + x_3 > r$,
 ($\varphi 6$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $|x_2| + x_3 > r$,
 ($\varphi 7$) $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) > 0$ for $x_1 + |x_2| + x_3 > r$,

where $r \in (0, \infty)$.

Remark. a) Clearly

- ($\varphi 4$) \Rightarrow ($\varphi 1$), ($\varphi 2$),
 ($\varphi 5$) \Rightarrow ($\varphi 1$), ($\varphi 3$),
 ($\varphi 6$) \Rightarrow ($\varphi 2$), ($\varphi 3$),
 ($\varphi 7$) \Rightarrow ($\varphi 4$), ($\varphi 5$), ($\varphi 6$).

b) In the special case $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 - r$ the condition (3) reduces to $u(0) = r$. In this case φ satisfies ($\varphi 1$). Similarly for $\varphi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = |x_2| - r$ the condition (3) reduces to $u'(0) = -r$ and φ satisfies ($\varphi 2$), and so on.

c) Similar problems for differential equations of n -th order and differential systems were solved in [1–10]. Here, for $n = 3$, stronger results are obtained.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

From now on we shall assume that

$$(6) \quad a \in (0, \infty), \quad \alpha \in R_+, \quad k_1, k_2 \in N, \quad h_0, h_1, h_2 \in L_{loc}(\langle 0, \infty \rangle),$$

$$\omega \in C(R_+) \text{ is a positive function and } \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} = +\infty,$$

$$\Omega(x) = \int_0^x \frac{ds}{\omega(s)},$$

$$(7) \quad \delta(t, \cdot) \text{ is nondecreasing for any } t \in \langle 0, a \rangle,$$

$$\delta(\cdot, x) \in L(\langle 0, a \rangle) \text{ is nonnegative for any } x \in R_+.$$

Theorem 1. Let (4), (5), (6), ($\varphi 1$) be fulfilled, let $h \in L(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ be a positive function and

$$(8) \quad \int_0^a \frac{t \, dt}{H(t)} = +\infty \quad \text{where} \quad H(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

Further, let

$$(9) \quad -h(t)(1 + x_3)^2 \leq f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq 0$$

$$\text{for any } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times R_- \times R_+,$$

$$(10) \quad f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq [h_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 h_i(t) |x_i|^{k_i} + \alpha x_3] \omega(x_3)$$

$$\text{for any } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle a, \infty \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times R_- \times R_+.$$

Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has at least one solution.

Remark. Other existence theorems with the assumption ($\varphi 1$) can be found in [11].

Theorem 2. Let (4), (5), (6), (7), ($\varphi 2$) be fulfilled and

$$(11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a t \delta(t, x) \, dt > r.$$

Let there exist $a_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $a_0 < a$ and a positive function $h \in L(\langle 0, a_0 \rangle)$ such that

$$(12) \quad \int_0^{a_0} \frac{dt}{H(t)} = +\infty, \quad \text{where} \quad H(t) = \int_0^t h(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

Further, let

$$(13) \quad f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq -\delta(t, x_1)$$

$$\text{for any } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+,$$

and let on the set $\langle 0, a_0 \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ the inequality (9) and on the set $(a, \infty) \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ the inequality (10) be satisfied. Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has at least one solution. (The theorem is proved in [12].)

Theorem 3. Let (4), (5), (6), (7), (φ_3) be fulfilled and

$$(14) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \delta(t, x) dt > r.$$

Let us suppose that on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times R_- \times R_+$ the inequality (13) and on the set $(a, \infty) \times R_+ \times R_- \times R_+$ the inequality (10) are satisfied.

Then the problem (1), (2), (3) has at least one solution.

Theorem 4. Let (4), (5), (6), (8), (φ_4) be fulfilled. Let us suppose that on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ the inequality (9) and on the set $\langle a, \infty \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ the inequality (10) are satisfied.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Theorem 5. Let (4), (5), (6), (φ_5) be fulfilled. Let

$$(15) \quad f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq 0$$

$$\text{for any } (t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times R_- \times R_+.$$

and let (10) be satisfied for any $(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle a, \infty \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times R_- \times R_+$. Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Theorem 6. Let (4), (5), (6), (7), (14), (φ_6) be fulfilled. Let us suppose that (13) is satisfied on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ and (10) is satisfied on the set $(a, \infty) \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Theorem 7. Let (4), (5), (6), (φ_7) be fulfilled, let (15) be satisfied on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ and (10) on $\langle a, \infty \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Remark. The assumption (13) in Theorems 2, 3, 6 is essential and cannot be omitted. For example, the problems

$$u''' = 0, \quad u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(0) = -r,$$

or

$$u''' = 0, \quad u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0, \quad u''(0) = r,$$

or

$$u''' = 0, \quad u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0, \quad u''(0) + |u'(0)| = r$$

have no solution although the function $f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$ satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 2 or 3 or 6 except (13).

If the function f is nonpositive, i.e. satisfies

$$(4n) \quad f \in \text{Car}_{\text{loc}}(R_+ \times D), \quad f(t, 0, 0, 0) = 0, \quad f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq 0 \text{ on } R_+ \times D$$

instead of (4), we obtain the following corolaries.

Corollary 1. Let (4n), (5), (φ_1) be fulfilled. Let there exist $a \in (0, \infty)$ and a positive function $h \in L(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ satisfying (8) such that (9) is fulfilled on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times R_- \times R_+$.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 2. Let (4n), (5), (7), (11), (12), (φ_2) be fulfilled. Let (13) be satisfied on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ and (9) on $\langle 0, a_0 \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$, where $a_0 \in (0, a)$.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 3. Let (4n), (5), (7), (14), (φ_3) be fulfilled and let on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times R_- \times \langle 0, r \rangle$ the inequality (13) be satisfied.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 4. Let (4n), (5), (8), (φ_4) be fulfilled and on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times R_+$ let the inequality (9) be satisfied.

Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 5. Let (4n), (5), (φ_5) be fulfilled. Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 6. Let (4n), (5), (7), (14), (φ_6) be fulfilled and let (13) be satisfied on the set $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+ \times \langle -r, 0 \rangle \times \langle 0, r \rangle$. Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

Corollary 7. Let (4n), (5), (φ_7) be fulfilled. Then (1), (2), (3) is solvable.

3. PROOFS

To prove the above theorems we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let (4), (5) and (φ_i) be fulfilled, where $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. Suppose that

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq f^*(t)$$

holds on the set $R_+ \times D$, where $f^* \in L_{loc}(R_+)$.

Then for any $c \in (0, \infty)$ the boundary value problem

$$u''' = f(t, u, u', u''),$$

$$\varphi(u(0), u'(0), u''(0)) = 0, \quad u(c) = u'(c) = 0$$

has at least one solution $u \in AC^2(\langle 0, c \rangle)$ satisfying

$$u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \langle 0, c \rangle.$$

Proof. Lemma 1 can be proved analogously to Lemma 3 in [12].

Lemma 2. Let $c > 0$ and let $v \in C^2(\langle 0, c \rangle)$ be such that

$$v(t) \geq 0, \quad v'(t) \leq 0, \quad v''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq c.$$

Then the inequality

$$|v'(t)| \leq v(0)/c + \sqrt{2v(t)w(t)} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq c$$

where $w(t) = \max \{|v''(s)| : t \leq s \leq c\}$ holds.

Proof. See Lemma 3 in [11].

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that h_j ($j = 0, 1, 2$) are nonnegative functions.

First, suppose that there exists $f^* \in L_{loc}(R_+)$ such that

$$(16) \quad |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq f^*(t) \quad \text{on } R_+ \times D.$$

Then for any $p \in N$ the boundary value problem (1), (3)

$$(17) \quad u(a + p) = u'(a + p) = 0$$

has at least one solution $u \in AC^2(\langle 0, a + p \rangle)$ satisfying

$$(18) \quad u(t) \geq 0, \quad u'(t) \leq 0, \quad u''(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

(See Lemma 1.)

From (3), ($\varphi 1$) and (18) it follows that

$$(19) \quad 0 \leq u(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p$$

and

$$u(0) = u(a) + a|u'(a)| + \int_0^a t u''(t) dt,$$

which implies

$$(20) \quad \int_0^a t u''(t) dt \leq r.$$

By (9) we have

$$(21) \quad (1 + u''(t))' \geq -h(t)(1 + u''(t))^2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

Integrating the differential equation

$$(22) \quad z'(t) = -h(t)z^2(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq a,$$

we get $z(t) = (1/z(0) + H(t))^{-1}$ and by virtue of (8) there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $a_0 \in (0, a)$ such that $\int_{a_0}^a t(z(t) - 1) dt > r$, where $z(0) = 1/\varepsilon$. Let us suppose that $1 + u''(t) \geq z(t)$ for $a_0 \leq t \leq a$. Then $\int_{a_0}^a t u''(t) dt > r$ which contradicts (20). Thus it is necessary that there exist $t_0 \in (a_0, a)$ such that

$$(23) \quad 1 + u''(t_0) < z(t_0).$$

Now, from (21), (22), (23) by Chaplygin Lemma on differential inequalities (see [5]) we get $1 + u''(t) \leq 1/\varepsilon$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, and by (9)

$$(24) \quad u''(t) \leq r_1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a, \quad \text{where } r_1 = 1/\varepsilon - 1.$$

Using Lemma 2 and taking into account (18), (19), (24) we obtain

$$(25) \quad |u'(t)| \leq r_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p, \quad \text{where } r_2 = r/a + \sqrt{(2rr_1)}.$$

By (10) we have

$$(u''(t))' \leq [h_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^2 h_i(t) |u^{(i-1)}(t)|^{k_i} + \alpha u''(t)] \omega(u''(t))$$

and integrating from a to t and using (19), (24), (25) we get

$$(26) \quad u''(t) \leq \varrho(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq a + p,$$

where $\varrho(t) = \Omega^{-1}(\Omega(r_1) + \alpha r_2 + (r^{k_1} + r_2^{k_2} + 1) \int_a^t \sum_{i=0}^2 h_i(\tau) d\tau)$. Now, if f does not satisfy (16), we put

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} r + r_2 + r_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \\ r + r_2 + \varrho(t) & \text{for } a < t \leq a + p, \end{cases}$$

$$\chi(t, s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \sigma(t) \\ 2 - s/\sigma(t) & \text{for } \sigma(t) \leq s \leq 2\sigma(t) \\ 0 & \text{for } 2\sigma(t) \leq s, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \chi(t, \sum_{i=1}^3 |x_i|) f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3).$$

Since \tilde{f} satisfies (16) and all assumptions of Theorem 1, the boundary value problem

$$u''' = \tilde{f}(t, u, u', u''), \quad (3), (17)$$

has at least one solution u_p satisfying (18), (19), (24), (25), (26) and so

$$(27) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 |u_p^{(i-1)}(t)| \leq \sigma(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

Thus u_p is also a solution of the problem (1), (3), (17) on $\langle 0, a + p \rangle$. Now, denote

$$f_p(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{cases} f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p \\ 0 & \text{for } t > a + p. \end{cases}$$

Then $|f_p(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)|$ for any $p \in N$ and $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f_p(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ on $R_+ \times D$. Since

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 |u_p^{(i-1)}(t)| : p \in N \right\} \leq \sigma(t) \quad \text{for } t \in R_+,$$

we can prove by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem that the sequence $\{u_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ contains a subsequence $\{u_{p_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ which is locally uniformly converging together with $\{u'_{p_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and $\{u''_{p_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ on R_+ , and $u(t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_{p_j}(t)$ is a solution of (1), (2), (3) on R_+ .

Proof of Theorem 3. The first part of this proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 and u denotes again a solution of (1), (3), (17) satisfying (18).

Now, let us choose $c_0 \in (r, \infty)$ and a function δ_0 satisfying (7) and (14) such that $\delta(t, x) \geq \delta_0(t, x)$ on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times R_+$ and $\delta_0(t, x) = \delta_0(t, c_0)$ on $\langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle c_0, \infty \rangle$. From ($\varphi 3$), (13) and (18) it follows that

$$(28) \quad u''' \leq -\delta(t, u) \leq -\delta_0(t, u),$$

$$(29) \quad 0 \leq u''(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

According to (14) there exist $r_0 \in \langle r, \infty \rangle$ and $a_0 \in (0, a)$ such that $\int_0^{a_0} \delta_0(t, r_0) dt > r$. Integrating (28) we obtain by (29) $\int_0^{a_0} \delta_0(t, u(a_0)) dt \leq r$. Therefore $u(a_0) < r_0$ and by (18) we get

$$(30) \quad 0 \leq u(t) \leq r_0 \quad \text{for } a_0 \leq t \leq a + p, \quad p \in N.$$

The equality $u(a_0) = u(a) + |u'(a)|(a - a_0) + \int_{a_0}^a (t - a_0) u''(t) dt$ yields

$$(31) \quad |u'(a)| \leq r_0 / (a - a_0).$$

From the equality $u(0) = u(a) + |u'(a)|a + \int_0^a t u''(t) dt$ we get by (29), (30) and (31) $u(0) \leq r_1$, where $r_1 = r_0 + ar_0 / (a - a_0) + a^2 r$, thus

$$(32) \quad 0 \leq u(t) \leq r_1 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p.$$

Now, using Lemma 2, we obtain by (18)

$$(33) \quad |u'(t)| \leq r_2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p, \quad \text{where } r_2 = r_1/a + \sqrt{(2r_1r)}.$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain from (10)

$$(34) \quad u''(t) \leq \varrho(t) \quad \text{for } a \leq t \leq a + p,$$

where

$$\varrho(t) = \Omega^{-1}(\Omega(r) + \alpha r_2 + (r_1^{k_1} + r_2^{k_2} + 1) \int_a^t \sum_{i=0}^2 h_i(\tau) d\tau).$$

Now, if f does not satisfy (16), we put

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t) &= \begin{cases} r_1 + r_2 + r & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \\ r_1 + r_2 + \varrho(t) & \text{for } a < t \leq a + p, \end{cases} \quad c_1 = \max\{c_0, r_1\}, \\ \sigma_1(s) &= \begin{cases} s & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq c_1, \\ c_1 & \text{for } s > c_1, \end{cases} \quad \sigma_2(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{for } -r_2 \leq s \leq 0 \\ -r_2 & \text{for } s < -r_2, \end{cases} \\ \sigma_3(t, s) &= \begin{cases} s & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \varrho(t) \\ \varrho(t) & \text{for } \varrho(t) < s, \end{cases} \\ \chi(t, s) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \sigma(t) \\ 2 - s/\sigma(t) & \text{for } \sigma(t) < s \leq 2\sigma(t), \\ 0 & \text{for } 2\sigma(t) < s \end{cases} \\ \tilde{f}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \begin{cases} f(t, \sigma_1(x_1), \sigma_2(x_2), \sigma_3(t, x_3)) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a \\ \chi(t, \sum_{i=1}^3 |x_i|) f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) & \text{for } a < t \leq a + p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly \tilde{f} satisfies (4), (10) and (16). Further,

$$(35) \quad \tilde{f}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \quad \text{for } t > a, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 |x_i| \leq \sigma(t),$$

and for

$$(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \langle 0, a \rangle \times \langle 0, c_1 \rangle \times \langle -r_2, 0 \rangle \times \langle 0, \varrho(t) \rangle$$

we have

$$(36) \quad \tilde{f}(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq -\delta(t, \sigma_1(x_1)) \leq -\delta_0(t, x_1) \quad \text{on } \langle 0, a \rangle \times D.$$

Therefore the boundary value problem

$$u''' = \tilde{f}(t, u, u', u''), \quad (3), (17)$$

has at least one solution $u_p \in AC^2(\langle 0, a + p \rangle)$ satisfying (18), (28)–(34) and so u_p is also a solution of (1), (3), (17) on $\langle 0, a + p \rangle$. The last part of this proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 4. The difference between the assumptions of Theorems 1 and 4 is only in the boundedness of x_2 . So we can prove Theorem 4 in the same way as Theorem 1 because the boundedness of u' , where u is a solution of (1), (3), (17), follows from ($\varphi 4$).

Proof of Theorem 5. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain a solution u of (1), (3), (17) satisfying (18). From ($\varphi 5$), (15) and (18) it follows that

$$(37) \quad 0 \leq u(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p, \quad 0 \leq u''(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

Using Lemma 2 and taking into account (18), (37) $|u'(t)| \leq r_1$ for $0 \leq t \leq a + p$, where $r_1 = r/a + 2r$. Now we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 6. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we can obtain a solution u of (1), (3), (17) satisfying (18). From ($\varphi 6$), (13) and (18) it follows that

$$0 \geq u'(t) \geq -r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p, \quad 0 \leq u''(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 3 we choose $c_0 \in (r, \infty)$ and a function δ_0 and get the estimate (32). Now we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 7. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain a solution u of (1), (3), (17) satisfying (18). From ($\varphi 7$), (15) and (18) it follows that

$$0 \leq u(t) \leq r, \quad -r \leq u'(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a + p,$$

$$0 \leq u''(t) \leq r \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq a.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we obtain from (10) the estimate $0 \leq u''(t) \leq \varrho(t)$ for $a \leq t \leq a + p$, where

$$\varrho(t) = \Omega^{-1}(\Omega(r) + \alpha r + (r^{k_1} + r^{k_2} + 1) \int_a^t \sum_{i=0}^2 h_i(\tau) d\tau).$$

The rest of the proof is analogous to that of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] *T. A. Чантурия*: О задаче типа Кнезера для системы обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений. Матем. заметки, 15 (1974), 897—906.
- [2] *T. A. Чантурия*: О монотонных решениях системы нелинейных дифференциальных уравнений. Аннал. Полон. Мат., 37 (1980), 59—70.
- [3] *P. Hartman, A. Wintner*: On monotone solutions of systems of nonlinear differential equations. Amer. Journ. of Math., 76 (1954), 860—866.
- [4] *I. T. Kiguradze*: On monotone solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations of order n . Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. mat., 33 (1969), 1293—1317.
- [5] *И. Т. Кузурадзе*: Некоторые сингулярные краевые задачи для обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений. ИТУ Тбилиси, 1975.
- [6] *И. Т. Кузурадзе, И. Рахункова*: О разрешимости нелинейной задачи типа Кнезера, Дифф. ур. 15 (1979), 1754—1765.
- [7] *I. T. Kiguradze, I. Rachůnková*: On a certain non-linear problem for two-dimensional differential systems. Arch. Math. (Brno), 16 (1980), 15—38.
- [8] *И. Рахункова*: О задаче Кнезера для систем обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнений. Сообщ. Акад. Наук ГССР 94 (1979), 545—548.
- [9] *I. Rachůnková*: On Kneser problem for systems of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, Czechoslovak Math. J., 31 (106) (1981), 114—126.
- [10] *И. Рахункова*: Об одной нелинейной задаче для дифференциальных систем n -го порядка, Czechoslovak Math. J., 34 (109) (1984), 285—297.
- [11] *I. Rachůnková*: On a nonlinear problem for third order differential equations (to appear).
- [12] *I. Rachůnková*: Nonnegative nonincreasing solutions of differential equations of the 3rd order (to appear).

Souhrn

KNESEROVA ÚLOHA PRO DIFERENCIÁLNÍ ROVNICE 3. ŘÁDU

IRENA RACHŮNKOVÁ

V práci jsou nalezeny postačující podmínky pro existenci řešení u nelineární diferenciální rovnice 3. řádu, splňujícího podmínky $u(t) \geq 0$, $u'(t) \leq 0$, $u''(t) \geq 0$ pro $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ a $\varphi(u(0), u'(0), u''(0)) = 0$, kde φ je spojitá funkce.

Резюме

ЗАДАЧА КНЕЗЕРА ДЛЯ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНОГО УРАВНЕНИЯ 3-ГО ПОРЯДКА

IRENA RACHŮNKOVÁ

В работе приведены достаточные условия для существования решения u нелинейного дифференциального уравнения третьего порядка, удовлетворяющего условиям $u(t) \geq 0$, $u'(t) \leq 0$, $u''(t) \geq 0$ для $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$ и $\varphi(u(0), u'(0), u''(0)) = 0$, где φ — непрерывная функция.

Author's address: Přírodovědecká fakulta UP, Gottwaldova 15, 771 46 Olomouc.