## Časopis pro pěstování matematiky

Alois Švec

Determination of a surface by its mean curvature

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 103 (1978), No. 2, 175--180

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108617

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

## DETERMINATION OF A SURFACE BY ITS MEAN CURVATURE

ALOIS ŠVEC, Olomouc (Received August 17, 1976)

M. Matsumoto [2] and T. Y. Thomas [3] have shown how to reconstruct a surface of the Euclidean 3-space from its metric form and its mean curvature; see also [1]. In what follows, a simpler and more complete solution of the same problem is presented.

1. Let be given a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and a metric

(1) 
$$ds^2 = A(x, y) dx^2 + 2 B(x, y) dx dy + C(x, y) dy^2$$

on it. Let us choose the forms  $\omega^1 = \Gamma_1^1 dx + \Gamma_2^1 dy$ ,  $\omega^2 = \Gamma_1^2 dx + \Gamma_2^2 dy$  such that

(2) 
$$ds^2 = (\omega^1)^2 + (\omega^2)^2.$$

Then there is exactly one form  $\omega_1^2$  such that

(3) 
$$d\omega^1 = -\omega^2 \wedge \omega_1^2, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^2.$$

If

(4) 
$$d\omega^1 = r\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \quad d\omega^2 = s\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

we have

$$(5) \omega_1^2 = r\omega^1 + s\omega^2.$$

The Gauss curvature K of the metric (1) is defined by the formula

(6) 
$$d\omega_1^2 = -K\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2.$$

Let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Its covariant derivatives  $f_i, f_{ij} = f_{ji}$  with respect to the chosen coframe  $(\omega^1, \omega^2)$  let be defined by the equations

(7) 
$$df = f_1 \omega^1 + f_2 \omega^2;$$

(8) 
$$df_1 - f_2 \omega_1^2 = f_{11} \omega^1 + f_{12} \omega^2, \quad df_2 + f_1 \omega_1^2 = f_{12} \omega^1 + f_{22} \omega^2.$$

Let  $f, g: D \to R$  be functions. Let us introduce the following differential operators:

(9) 
$$\nabla(f,g) = f_1g_1 + f_2g_2, \quad \nabla f = \nabla(f,f),$$

(10) 
$$\Delta f = f_{11} + f_{22}, \quad \Psi f = (f_{11} - f_{22})^2 + 4f_{12}^2,$$

(11) 
$$\Phi(f,g) = (f_{11} - f_{22})(f_1g_1 - f_2g_2) + 2f_{12}(f_1g_2 + f_2g_1), \quad \Phi f = \Phi(f,f).$$
  
Let

(12) 
$$ds^2 = (\tau^1)^2 + (\tau^2)^2$$

be another expression of the form (2). Then

(13) 
$$\tau^1 = \omega^1 \cdot \cos \varphi - \omega^2 \cdot \sin \varphi$$
,  $\tau^2 = \varepsilon(\omega^1 \cdot \sin \varphi + \omega^2 \cdot \cos \varphi)$ ;  $\varepsilon = +1$ .

From

(14) 
$$d\tau^1 = -\tau^2 \wedge \varepsilon(\omega_1^2 - d\varphi), \quad d\tau^2 = \tau^1 \wedge \varepsilon(\omega_1^2 - d\varphi),$$

we see that

(15) 
$$\tau_1^2 = \varepsilon(\omega_1^2 - d\varphi).$$

Denote by  $f_{i}^{*}, f_{ij}^{*}$  the covariant derivatives of the function f with respect to the coframe  $(\tau^{1}, \tau^{2})$ . Then

(16) 
$$f_1 = \cos \varphi . f_1^* + \varepsilon \sin \varphi . f_2^*, \quad f_2 = -\sin \varphi . f_1^* + \varepsilon \cos \varphi . f_2^*;$$

(17) 
$$f_{11} = \cos^2 \varphi \cdot f_{11}^* + 2\varepsilon \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \cdot f_{12}^* + \sin^2 \varphi \cdot f_{22}^*,$$

$$f_{12} = -\sin \varphi \cos \varphi \cdot f_{11}^* + \varepsilon (\cos^2 \varphi - \sin^2 \varphi) f_{12}^* + \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \cdot f_{22}^*,$$

$$f_{22} = \sin^2 \varphi \cdot f_{11}^* - 2\varepsilon \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \cdot f_{12}^* + \cos^2 \varphi \cdot f_{22}^*.$$

This implies

(18) 
$$\nabla^*(f,g) = \nabla(f,g)$$
,  $\Delta^*f = \Delta f$ ,  $\Psi^*f = \Psi f$ ,  $\Phi^*(f,g) = \Phi(f,g)$ .

**2.** Let  $M: D \to E^3$  be a surface. The frame  $(w_1, w_2)$  on D being dual to  $(\omega^1, \omega^2)$ , let the orthonormal frame  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  associated with M be  $v_1 = (dM) w_1$ ,  $v_2 = (dM)w_2$  and  $v_3$  the unit normal vector. Then the fundamental equations of M are

(19) 
$$dM = \omega^{1}v_{1} + \omega^{2}v_{2}, \quad dv_{1} = \omega_{1}^{2}v_{2} + \omega_{1}^{3}v_{3},$$
$$dv_{2} = -\omega_{1}^{2}v_{1} + \omega_{2}^{3}v_{3}, \quad dv_{3} = -\omega_{1}^{3}v_{1} - \omega_{2}^{3}v_{2}$$

with the integrability conditions (3),

$$(20) \qquad \omega^1 \wedge \omega_1^3 + \omega^2 \wedge \omega_2^3 = 0$$

and

(21) 
$$d\omega_1^2 = -\omega_1^3 \wedge \omega_2^3, \quad d\omega_1^3 = \omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_2^3, \quad d\omega_2^3 = -\omega_1^2 \wedge \omega_1^3.$$

From (20), we get the existence of functions  $x, y: D \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

(22) 
$$\omega_1^3 = (H + x)\omega^1 + y\omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = y\omega^1 + (H - x)\omega^2,$$

H being the mean curvature of M. From  $(21_1)$  and (6),

(23) 
$$K = (H + x)(H - x) - y^{2}.$$

Let us introduce the functions

(24) 
$$l = \sqrt{(H^2 - K)}, L = l^2 = H^2 - K.$$

Then

$$(25) x^2 + y^2 = l^2,$$

and we are in the position to write

(26) 
$$\omega_1^3 = (H + l\cos\alpha)\omega^1 + l\sin\alpha.\omega^2,$$
$$\omega_2^3 = l\sin\alpha.\omega^1 + (H - l\cos\alpha)\omega^2.$$

Our task is to produce, the forms  $\omega^1$ ,  $\omega^2$  and the function H being given, a function  $\alpha$  such that the forms (26) satisfy (21<sub>2.3</sub>).

By direct calculation, we get

(27) 
$$l\alpha_1 = -H_1 \sin \alpha + H_2 \cos \alpha + l_2 - 2rl,$$
$$l\alpha_2 = H_1 \cos \alpha + H_2 \sin \alpha - l_1 - 2sl,$$

the indices denoting the above introduced covariant derivatives. Let us write

(28) 
$$d\alpha = \alpha_1 \omega^1 + \alpha_2 \omega^2,$$

(29) 
$$d\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \omega_1^2 = \alpha_{11} \omega^1 + \alpha_{12} \omega^2$$
,  $d\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \omega_1^2 = \alpha_{21} \omega^1 + \alpha_{22} \omega^2$ ; the equation

$$\alpha_{12}=\alpha_{21}$$

is then the integrability condition of (28). The differentiation of (27) yields

(31) 
$$l\alpha_{11} = -(l_1 + H_1 \cos \alpha + H_2 \sin \alpha) \alpha_1 - r l\alpha_2 - (H_{11} + r H_2) \sin \alpha + (H_{12} - r H_1) \cos \alpha + l_{12} - 3r l_1 - 2r_1 l,$$

$$l\alpha_{12} = -l_2 \alpha_1 - (sl + H_1 \cos \alpha + H_2 \sin \alpha) \alpha_2 - (H_{12} + s H_2) \sin \alpha + (H_{12} - r H_2) \sin \alpha$$

$$+ (H_{22} - sH_1) \cos \alpha + l_{22} - sl_1 - 2rl_2 - 2r_2l,$$

$$l\alpha_{21} = (rl - H_1 \sin \alpha + H_2 \cos \alpha) \alpha_1 - l_1\alpha_2 + (H_{12} - rH_1) \sin \alpha + (H_{11} + rH_2) \cos \alpha - l_{11} - 2sl_1 - rl_2 - 2s_1l,$$

$$l\alpha_{22} = sl\alpha_1 - (l_2 + H_1 \sin \alpha - H_2 \cos \alpha) \alpha_2 + (H_{22} - sH_1) \sin \alpha + (H_{12} + sH_2) \cos \alpha - l_{12} - 3sl_2 + 2s_2l.$$

Let us recall that (5) and (6) imply

(32) 
$$K = r_2 - s_1 - r^2 - s^2.$$

From  $(31_{2,3})$  and (27), we get

(33) 
$$L(\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21}) = -2(H_{12}l - H_2l_1 - H_1l_2)\sin\alpha + + (H_{22}l - H_{11}l + 2H_1l_1 - 2H_2l_2)\cos\alpha - -\nabla H + l\Lambda l - \nabla l - 2KL.$$

Further,

(34) 
$$L_1 = 2ll_1, \quad L_2 = 2ll_2,$$
 
$$L_{11} = 2l_1^2 + 2ll_{11}, \quad L_{12} = 2l_1l_2 + 2ll_{12}, \quad L_{22} = 2l_2^2 + 2ll_{22}$$
 and

(35) 
$$\nabla L = 2L\nabla l, \quad \Delta L = 2 \nabla l + 2l \Delta l.$$

The equation (33) may be rewritten as

(36) 
$$2L^{2}(\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21}) = -4L(H_{12}l - H_{2}l_{1} - H_{1}l_{2})\sin\alpha + \\ + 2L(H_{22}l - H_{11}l_{1} + 2H_{1}l_{1} - 2H_{2}l_{2})\cos\alpha - 2L\nabla H + L\Delta L - \nabla L - 4KL^{2}.$$

Further,

(37) 
$$L_{1} = 2HH_{1} - K_{1}, \quad L_{2} = 2HH_{2} - K_{2},$$

$$L_{11} = 2H_{1}^{2} + 2HH_{11} - K_{11}, \quad L_{12} = 2H_{1}H_{2} + 2HH_{12} - K_{12},$$

$$L_{22} = 2H_{2}^{2} + 2HH_{22} - K_{22},$$

which implies

(38) 
$$\nabla L = 4H^2 \nabla H - 4H \nabla (H, K) + \nabla K$$
,  $\Delta L = 2 \nabla H + 2H \Delta H - \Delta K$ .

Because of this, the integrability condition (28) may be written as

$$(39) -4LP_1 \sin \alpha + 2LP_2 \cos \alpha + P = 0$$

with

(40) 
$$P_1 = H_{12}l - H_2l_1 - H_1l_2$$
,  $P_2 = (H_{22} - H_{11})l + 2H_1l_1 - 2H_2l_2$ ,

(41) 
$$P = -4KH^{4} + 2 \Delta H \cdot H^{3} + (8K^{2} - \Delta K - 4 \nabla H) H^{2} + 2\{2 \nabla(H, K) - K \Delta H\} H + K \Delta K - \nabla K - 4K^{3}.$$

Further, it is easy to see that

(42) 
$$(4P_1^2 + P_2^2) L = (H^2 - K)^2 \Psi H + 4H^2 (\nabla H)^2 +$$

$$+ \nabla H \cdot \{ \nabla K - 4H \nabla (H, K) \} + 2(H^2 - K) \{ \Phi (H, K) - 2H \Phi H \} .$$

3. Let us recall that the second fundamental form of M is given by

(43) 
$$II = \omega^{1} \omega_{1}^{3} + \omega^{2} \omega_{2}^{3} =$$

$$= (H + l \cos \alpha)(\omega^{1})^{2} + 2l \sin \alpha \omega^{1} \omega^{2} + (H - l \cos \alpha)(\omega^{2})^{2};$$

the vectors  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  are principal at  $p \in D$  if  $\sin \alpha(p) = 0$ .

Now, it is easy to see the validity of the following

**Theorem.** In a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , let a metric  $ds^2$  be given. Let K be its Gauss curvature, and let  $H: D \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying  $H^2 > K$ . Let  $p \in D$  be a fixed point, and let the vectors  $w_1(p)$ ,  $w_2(p)$  be orthonormal with respect to  $ds^2$ .

1° Let  $\nabla H = 0$ . If there is a surface  $M: D \to E^3$  with its first form equal to  $ds^2$  and the mean curvature H, H is a solution of the equation

(44) 
$$4KH^4 + (\Delta K - 8K^2)H^2 + \nabla K - K\Delta K + 4K^3 = 0.$$

Let  $ds^2$  be such that there exists a constant solution H of (44) satisfying  $H^2 > K$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U \subset D$  of p and a unique surface  $M: U \to E^3$  having  $ds^2$  for its first form and H for its mean curvature, the vectors  $dM_p w_1(p)$ ,  $dM_p w_2(p)$  being principal.

2° Let

(45) 
$$(H^2 - K)^2 \Psi H + 4H^2 (\nabla H)^2 + \nabla H \cdot {\nabla K - 4H \nabla (H, K)} + 2(H^2 - K) {\Phi (H, K) - 2H \Phi H} = 0.$$

If there is a surface  $M: D \to E^3$  with its first form equal to  $ds^2$  and the mean curvature H, we have

(46) 
$$4KH^{4} - 2 \Delta H \cdot H^{3} + (\Delta K + 4 \nabla H - 8K^{2}) H^{2} + 2\{K \Delta H - 2 \nabla (H, K)\} H + \nabla K - K \Delta K + 4K^{3} = 0.$$

Let  $ds^2$  be such that, in a suitable neighborhood  $U_1 \subset D$  of p, there exists a solution H of (45) and (46) satisfying  $H^2 > K$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U \subset U_1$  of p and a unique surface  $M: U \to E^3$  having  $ds^2$  for its first form and H for its mean curvature, the vectors  $dM_p w_1(p)$ ,  $dM_p w_2(p)$  being principal.

It remains to discuss the case in which the function H does not satisfy (45) at any point of  $p \in D$ . In this case  $4P_1^2 + P_2^2 \neq 0$ , and (39) may be written as

(47) 
$$\cos \beta \sin \alpha + \sin \beta \cos \alpha = -\frac{P}{2L_1/(4P_1^2 + P_2^2)},$$

the angle  $\beta$  being determined by

(48) 
$$\cos \beta = \frac{-2P_1}{\sqrt{(4P_1^2 + P_2^2)}}, \quad \sin \beta = \frac{P_2}{\sqrt{(4P_1^2 + P_2^2)}}.$$

Thus

$$(49) P^2 \le 4L^2(4P_1^2 + P_2^2).$$

Let H satisfy (49). Then we produce  $\beta$  from (48) and  $\alpha$  from (47); if this  $\alpha$  satisfies (27), the local existence of our surface is ensured. Its second form is given by (43).

## Bibliography

- [1] Glässner E., Simon U.: Zur Geometrie der zweiten Grundform. Überblicke Mathematik, Bd. 6, 1973, 197-242. Bibliographisches Inst. AG, Mannheim-Wien-Zürich.
- [2] Matsumoto M.: Determination of the second fundamental form of a hypersurface by its mean curvature. Mem. Coll. Sci., Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A., 32 (1959), 259—279.
- [3] Thomas T. Y.: Algebraic determination of the second fundamental form of a surface by its mean curvature. Bull. A.M.S., 51 (1945), 390—399.

Author's address: 771 46 Olomouc, Leninova 26 (Přírodovědecká fakulta UP).