

Ján Futák

On the existence of solutions of the n -th order non-linear differential equation with delay

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 103 (1978), No. 2, 149--156

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108615>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF THE n -TH ORDER
NON-LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION WITH DELAY

JÁN FUTÁK, Žilina

(Received May 25, 1976)

In paper [2], the existence theorem for a non-linear differential equation of the fourth order with delay is proved by means of Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem.

In this paper several assertions from [3] are generalized to the differential equation (1). The method from [2] is used to prove Theorem 1.

Consider a differential equation of the n -th order with delay of the form

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k(t) y^{(k)}(t) = f(t, y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t), y[h(t)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(t)]),$$

where $n \geq 2$ is a natural number. Let the following conditions be fulfilled:

- (a) $r_k \in C(J \equiv [t_0, \infty), R)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$,
- (b) $h \in C(J, R)$, $h(t) \leq t$,
- (c) $f(t, v_1, \dots, v_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) \in C(D \equiv J \times R^{2n})$.

Let $\Phi(t) = \{\Phi_0(t), \Phi_1(t), \dots, \Phi_{n-1}(t)\}$ be a vector-function defined and continuous on the initial set

$$E_{t_0} = (\inf_{t \in J} h(t), t_0].$$

If $\inf h(t) = \min h(t)$, $t \in J$, then $E_{t_0} = [\inf_{t \in J} h(t), t_0]$.

Initial Problem. Find a solution $y(t)$ of the differential equation (1) on the interval J which fulfils the initial conditions

$$(2) \quad y^{(k)}(t_0+) = \Phi_k(t_0) = y_0^{(k)}, \quad y^{(k)}[h(t)] \equiv \Phi_k[h(t)], \quad h(t) < t_0,$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Let $x_j(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ be the solutions on J of the differential equation

$$(3) \quad x^{(n)}(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k(t) x^{(k)}(t) = 0$$

which fulfil the initial conditions

$$(4) \quad x_j^{(k)}(t_0) = \delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k, \\ 1, & j = k, \end{cases} \quad j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then every solution $x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j x_j(t)$ of (3) where C_j are real numbers satisfies

$$x^{(k)}(t_0) = C_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Remark 1. The Wronskian $W(t)$ of solutions $x_j(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ satisfies

$$W(t) = \exp \left\{ - \int_{t_0}^t r_{n-1}(s) ds \right\}.$$

For the sake of brevity we shall further write $W(t)$ only.

Denote

$$(5) \quad W_k(t, s) = \begin{vmatrix} x_0(s), & x_1(s), & \dots, & x_{n-1}(s) \\ x_0'(s), & x_1'(s), & \dots, & x_{n-1}'(s) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_0^{(n-2)}(s), & x_1^{(n-2)}(s), & \dots, & x_{n-1}^{(n-2)}(s) \\ x_0^{(k)}(t), & x_1^{(k)}(t), & \dots, & x_{n-1}^{(k)}(t) \end{vmatrix}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Evidently $W_k(t, s) = \partial^k W_0(t, s) / \partial t^k$ for every $t, s \in J, s \leq t, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$
We define

$$(6) \quad D(s) = \max \{ |W_{k0}(s)|, |W_{k1}(s)|, \dots, |W_{kn-1}(s)| \}, \quad s \in J,$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, where $W_{ki}(s)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are determinants obtained from $W_k(t, s)$ by omitting the i -th column and the n -th row.

We define further

$$C = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |C_j|$$

and

$$(7) \quad \alpha_k(t) = \max \{ |x_0^{(k)}(t)|, |x_1^{(k)}(t)|, \dots, |x_{n-1}^{(k)}(t)| \}, \quad t \in J,$$

where $x_j(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are the solutions of (3) fulfilling the conditions (4).

From (6) and (7) it is evident that the functions $\alpha_k(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and $D(t)$ are continuous on J .

Because $\alpha_k(t_0) = 1$, we put $\alpha_k(t) \equiv 1$ for $t \in E_{t_0}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Denote

$$(8) \quad \beta_k(t) = \begin{cases} \max \{ \alpha_k(t), \alpha_k[h(t)] \}, & t \in J, \\ \alpha_k(t) \equiv 1, & t \in E_{t_0}, \end{cases} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Remark 2. If the functions $\alpha_k(t)$ are nondecreasing, then $\beta_k(t) = \alpha_k(t)$.

Theorem 1. Let the conditions (a)–(c) be fulfilled and let there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad |\Phi_k(t)| \leq \lambda, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in E_{t_0}.$$

Further suppose that there exists a function $\omega(t, r_1, \dots, r_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ defined and continuous for $t \in J$ and $0 \leq r_1, \dots, r_n, z_1, \dots, z_n < \infty$, which fulfils the following conditions:

(i) for every $t \in J$ $\omega(t, r_1, \dots, r_n, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ is non-negative and non-decreasing in all the other arguments;

(ii) $|f(t, v_1, \dots, v_n, u_1, \dots, u_n)| \leq \omega(t, |v_1|, \dots, |v_n|, |u_1|, \dots, |u_n|)$ on D ;

$$(10) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \alpha_k(t)}{W(t)} \omega(t, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda) dt < \frac{\lambda - C}{n!}.$$

Then every solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) which fulfils the conditions

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |y_0^{(k)}| = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |C_k| = C < \lambda$$

exists on J and satisfies

$$(12) \quad |y^{(k)}(t) - x^{(k)}(t)| < \beta_k(t)(\lambda - C), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j x_j(t)$ is the solution of (3) with $C_j = y_0^{(j)}$ (cf. (2) and (11)).

Proof. Let Y_{n-1} be the space of functions $y(t)$ which have $n-1$ continuous derivatives on $E_{t_0} \cup J$. Let $\{I_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of compact intervals such that $\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} I_l = J$, where $I_l = [t_0, t_l]$ and $I_l \subset I_{l+1} \subset J$ for every l .

Define in the space Y_{n-1} a system of seminorms

$$R_l(y) = \max_{k=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left\{ \sup_{t \in E_{t_0} \cup I_l} |y^{(k)}(t)| \right\}.$$

This system of seminorms induces a local by convex topology on Y_{n-1} and therefore the space Y_{n-1} is local by convex.

Consider a subset $F \subset Y_{n-1}$ defined as follows:

$$F = \{y \in Y_{n-1}, |y^{(k)}(t)| \leq \lambda \beta_k(t), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in E_{t_0} \cup J\},$$

where $\beta_k(t)$ are defined in (8).

Define for $y \in F$ an operator T :

$$(13) \quad (Ty)^{(k)}(t) = \Phi_k(t), \quad t \in E_{t_0}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$(Ty)^{(k)}(t) = x^{(k)}(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{W_k(t, s)}{W(s)} f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), y[h(s)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)]) ds, \\ k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in J,$$

where $x(t)$ is a solution of (3).

a) It is obvious that F is a convex closed set.

b) We show that $TF \subset F$.

For $t \in E_{t_0}$ we obtain with regard to (9)

$$|(Ty)^{(k)}(t)| = |\Phi_k(t)| \leq \lambda = \lambda \beta_k(t), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Since (5) implies the estimate

$$|W_k(t, s)| \leq n! \alpha_k(t) \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_i(s),$$

we obtain for $t \in J$ from (13)

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ty)^{(k)}(t)| &\leq |x^{(k)}(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{|W_k(t, s)|}{W(s)} |f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), \\ &\quad y[h(s)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)])| ds \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_k(t) \left[C + n! \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_i(t)}{W(t)} \omega(t, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda) dt \right] \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_k(t) \left[C + n! \frac{(\lambda - C)}{n!} \right] \leq \alpha_k(t) \lambda \leq \beta_k(t) \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

c) We show that T is continuous.

Let $\{y_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $y_j \in F$ be a sequence which converges to $y^{(k)}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, $y \in F$ uniformly on every compact subinterval of J .

Let $I_t = [t_0, t_t]$ be an arbitrary compact interval from J and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We show that $(Ty_j)^{(k)}(t) \rightrightarrows (Ty)^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ provided $t \in I_t$.

Denote

$$A_k = \max_{t \in [t_0, t_t]} \alpha_k(t), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

As the function f is continuous and $y_j^{(k)} \rightrightarrows y^{(k)}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ holds on every compact interval I_t , there exists such $M > 0$ that for $j \geq M$

$$(14) \quad \frac{\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \alpha_k(t)}{W(t)} |f(t, y_j(t), \dots, y_j^{(n-1)}(t), y_j[h(t)], \dots, y_j^{(n-1)}[h(t)]) -$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -f(t, y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t), y[h(t)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(t)]) < \\
& < \frac{\varepsilon}{A_k(t_l - t_0) n!}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in I_l.
\end{aligned}$$

From (13) with regard to (14) we obtain for $t \in I_l$ and $j \geq M$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Ty_j)^{(k)}(t) - (Ty)^{(k)}(t)| \leq \alpha_k(t) n! \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\prod_{l=0}^{n-2} \alpha_l(s)}{W(s)} |f(s, y_j(s), \dots \\
& \dots, y_j^{(n-1)}(s), y_j[h(s)], \dots, y_j^{(n-1)}[h(s)]) - f(s, y(s), \dots \\
& \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), y[h(s)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)])| ds < \frac{A_k n! \varepsilon}{A_k(t_l - t_0) n!} \int_{t_0}^t ds \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon(t - t_0)}{(t_l - t_0)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon(t_l - t_0)}{(t_l - t_0)} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

d) We show that \overline{TF} is a compact set. The assertion a) implies

$$|(Ty)^{(k)}(t)| \leq \beta_k(t) \lambda, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in E_{t_0} \cup J.$$

If we choose $k = n-1$ in (13) and differentiate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Ty)^{(n)}(t) = x^{(n)}(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{W_n(t, s)}{W(s)} f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), y[h(s)], \dots \\
& \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)]) ds + f(t, y(t), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(t), y[h(t)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(t)]),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$W_n(t, s) = \begin{vmatrix} x_0(s), & x_1(s), & \dots, & x_{n-1}(s) \\ x'_0(s), & x'_1(s), & \dots, & x'_{n-1}(s) \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ x_0^{(n-2)}(s), & x_1^{(n-2)}(s), & \dots, & x_{n-1}^{(n-2)}(s) \\ x_0^{(n)}(t), & x_1^{(n)}(t), & \dots, & x_{n-1}^{(n)}(t) \end{vmatrix}.$$

The last equality yields for $t \in J$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Ty)^{(n)}(t)| \leq |x^{(n)}(t)| + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{|W_n(t, s)|}{W(s)} \omega(s, \beta_0(s) \lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(s) \lambda, \beta_0(s) \lambda, \dots \\
& \dots, \beta_{n-1}(s) \lambda) ds + \omega(t, \beta_0(t) \lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t) \lambda, \beta_0(t) \lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t) \lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(Ty)^{(n)}(t)$ is bounded on I_1 . Thus have obtained the uniform boundedness of $(Ty)^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ on $E_{t_0} \cup I_1$, hence the equicontinuity of $(Ty)^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ on $E_{t_0} \cup I_1$. Therefore \overline{TF} is a compact set.

With regard to the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, the operator T has at least one fixed point in F satisfying

$$(15) \quad (Ty)^{(k)}(t) = y^{(k)}(t), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

The assertion (12) follows now from (13) by virtue of (15) and (10). The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Theorem 2. *Let the assumptions from Theorem 1 hold with the condition (10) replaced by*

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{D(t)}{W(t)} \omega(t, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda, \beta_0(t)\lambda, \dots, \beta_{n-1}(t)\lambda) dt < \frac{\lambda - C}{n}.$$

Then every solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) which fulfils (11) exists on J and satisfies (12) with $x(t)$ from Theorem 1.

Proof proceeds as that of Theorem 1, only we use (6) to estimate $W_k(t, s)$.

Lemma 1. *Let (a)–(c) hold. Let $[t_0, T)$ be the maximal interval of a solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) and let the functions $y^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ be bounded on $[t_0, T)$. Let moreover $\Phi(t)$ be bounded on E_{t_0} . Then $T = \infty$.*

The proof can be found in [3].

Lemma 2. *Let $\gamma(t)$, $a(t)$, $F(t)$, $q(t)$ be functions belonging to the class $C([t_0, b), [0, \infty))$ and let a function $\omega(z) \in C([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ be non-decreasing.*

Denote

$$(16) \quad \Omega(z) = \int_{z_0}^z \frac{1}{\omega(s)} ds, \quad z_0 > 0, \quad z \geq 0.$$

Let $z(t) \in C([t_0, b), [0, \infty))$ satisfy the relation

$$(17) \quad z(t) \leq \gamma(t) + a(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s) q(s) \omega[z(s)] ds, \quad t_0 \leq t < b.$$

Then we have for every $t \in [t_0, b)$

$$(18) \quad z(t) \leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega[\Gamma(t)] + A(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s) q(s) ds \right\},$$

where Ω^{-1} is the inverse function to (16), $\Gamma(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \gamma(s)$ and $A(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} a(s)$, $t \in [t_0, b)$.

Proof. Define a function $Z(t)$ on the interval $[t_0, b)$ by the relation $Z(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} z(s)$. It is evident that $Z(t)$ is a continuous, non-negative and non-decreasing function. With respect to the properties of $\omega(z)$, we obtain from (17) that

$$z(t) \leq \Gamma(t) + A(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s) q(s) \omega[Z(s)] ds.$$

Let $\bar{t} \in [t_0, t]$ be a point at which $z(t)$ assumes its maximum on $[t_0, t]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) = z(\bar{t}) &\leq \Gamma(\bar{t}) + A(\bar{t}) \int_{t_0}^{\bar{t}} F(s) q(s) \omega[Z(s)] ds \leq \\ &\leq \Gamma(t) + A(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s) q(s) \omega[Z(s)] ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply the Bihari lemma (see [1]) to the last inequality, we conclude

$$Z(t) \leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega[\Gamma(t)] + A(t) \int_{t_0}^t F(s) q(s) ds \right\}.$$

Since $z(t) \leq Z(t)$, (18) holds.

Theorem 3. Let the assumptions (a)–(c) be fulfilled. Moreover, let

- (i) $\psi(t) \in C(J, [0, \infty))$;
- (ii) the function $\omega(z) \in C([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ be non-decreasing and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\omega(s)} = \infty;$$

$$(iii) \quad |f(t, v_1, \dots, v_n, u_1, \dots, u_n)| \leq \psi(t) \omega(|v_1|),$$

for every point $(t, v_1, \dots, v_n, u_1, \dots, u_n) \in D$.

Then every solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) exists on J and fulfils the inequality

$$(19) \quad |y(t)| \leq \Omega^{-1} \left\{ \Omega[\Gamma(t)] + A(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{D(s)}{W(s)} \psi(s) ds \right\},$$

where Ω, Ω^{-1} have the meaning from Lemma 2, $\Gamma(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|$, $A(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \alpha_0(s)$,

$x(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_j x_j(t)$ is the solution of (3) with $C_j = y_0^{(j)}$ (cf. (2) and (11)), $\alpha_0(s)$ is defined in (7) and $D(s)$ in (6).

Proof. The method of variation of constants yields for the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2):

$$(20) \quad y(t) = x(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{W_0(t, s)}{W(s)} f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), y[h(s)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)]) ds,$$

where $x(t)$ is the solution of (3) defined above and $W_0(t, s)$ is defined in (5).

Denote $\Gamma(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} |x(s)|$ and $A(t) = \max_{t_0 \leq s \leq t} \alpha_0(s)$. Then we obtain from (20) with respect to the assumptions of Theorem 3 the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t)| &\leq \Gamma(t) + n \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\alpha_0(s) D(s)}{W(s)} \psi(s) \omega(|y(s)|) ds \leq \\ &\leq \Gamma(t) + n A(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{D(s)}{W(s)} \psi(s) \omega(|y(s)|) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[t_0, T)$ be an interval of existence of a solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2). If we apply Lemma 2 to the last inequality for $t \in [t_0, T)$, we have (19).

According to (20), the derivatives $y^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ of the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) satisfy

$$(21) \quad y^{(k)}(t) = x^{(k)}(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{W_k(t, s)}{W(s)} f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{(n-1)}(s), y[h(s)], \dots, y^{(n-1)}[h(s)]) ds.$$

Since (21) implies the inequality

$$|y^{(k)}(t)| \leq |x^{(k)}(t)| + n \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\alpha_k(s) D(s)}{W(s)} \psi(s) \omega(|y(s)|) ds,$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the functions $y^{(k)}(t)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are bounded on $[t_0, T)$ if $T < \infty$. With regard to Lemma 1 we conclude that the solution $y(t)$ of the initial problem (1), (2) exists for $t \in J$ and (19) holds. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] *Bihari I.*: A generalization of a lemma of Bellman and its application to uniqueness problems of differential equation. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 7 (1956), pp. 83–94.
- [2] *Futák J.*: Über die Begrenzung und die Existenz der Lösungen der Differentialgleichung 4. Ordnung mit nachteilendem Argument. *Acta Univ. Palac. Olomucensis, F.R.N.*, (in print).
- [3] *Futák J.*: Existence and boundedness of solutions of the n -th order non-linear differential equation with delay. *Práce a štúdie VŠD, č. 3*, (in print).

Author's address: 010 88 Žilina, Marxa-Engelsa 25, (Vysoká škola dopravná).