

Ján Jakubík

On disjoint subsets of a complete lattice ordered group

Časopis pro pěstování matematiky, Vol. 115 (1990), No. 2, 165--170

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108360>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON DISJOINT SUBSETS OF A COMPLETE LATTICE ORDERED GROUP

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received May 23, 1988)

Summary. In this paper we investigate a cardinal function ν which is defined on the class of all lattice ordered groups. It is proved that each complete lattice ordered group is isomorphic to a completely subdirect product of ν -homogeneous lattice ordered groups.

Keywords: lattice ordered group, completely subdirect product, disjoint subset.

AMS Subject Classification: 06F15

For a lattice ordered group G we denote by νG the least cardinal α such that, whenever A is a bounded disjoint subset of G , then $\text{card } A \leq \alpha$. The lattice ordered group G is said to be ν -homogeneous if $\nu H = \nu G$ for each nonzero convex l -subgroup H of G . (Cf. [8].)

Next, for $0 < x \in G$ let $\nu(x)$ be the least cardinal β such that $\text{card } B \leq \beta$ for each disjoint subset B of the interval $[0, x]$ of G .

The notion of a completely subdirect product of lattice ordered groups was introduced in [7].

In the present paper the following results will be established.

(A) For each infinite cardinal α there exists a complete lattice ordered group G with $G \neq \{0\}$ such that $\nu(x) \geq \alpha$ for each strictly positive element x of G .

(B) Each complete lattice ordered group is isomorphic to a completely subdirect product of ν -homogeneous lattice ordered groups.

From (A) it follows that two results of [8] and [9] concerning completely subdirect product decompositions and ideal subdirect product decompositions of lattice ordered groups (cf. (C) and (D) below) are not correct.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We apply the standard notation for lattice ordered groups. (Cf., e.g., [1], [4].)

We recall some notation from [9].

Let G be a lattice ordered group. Let νG be defined as above. If $\nu G = \aleph_0$, then G is said to be of countable type. G is called continuous if for each $0 < x \in G$ there are nonzero elements x_1 and x_2 in G such that $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $|x_1| \wedge |x_2| = 0$. Next, let R (the real group) and Z (the integer group) be the additive group of all reals or all integers, respectively, with the natural linear order.

The lattice ordered group G is said to be an ideal subdirect product of lattice ordered groups G_i ($i \in I$) if G is a subdirect product of the system $\{G_i: i \in I\}$ (i.e., if G is an l -subgroup of $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$ such that for each $i \in I$ and each $x^i \in G_i$ there is $x \in G$ with $x(i) = x^i$) and if, moreover, G is an l -ideal of $\prod_{i \in I} G_i$.

It is clear that if G is an ideal subdirect product of a system $\{G_i: i \in I\}$, then G is a completely subdirect product of this system.

Let us remark that in [8] and [9] the terms subdirect sum (ideal subdirect sum, completely subdirect sum) are used instead of subdirect product (ideal subdirect product, completely subdirect product).

The following two assertions are contained in [8] and [9] (we modify the terminology according to the above remark).

(C) (Cf. [8], or a quotation in [9], p. 292.) *Each complete lattice ordered group is isomorphic to an ideal subdirect product of real groups, integer groups and continuous complete lattice ordered groups of countable type.*

(D) (Cf. [9], Theorem 3.2.) *Each σ -complete lattice ordered group is isomorphic to a completely subdirect sum of real groups, integer groups and continuous complete lattice ordered groups of countable type.*

The mapping $v: G \rightarrow vG$ is a cardinal function defined on the class of all lattice ordered groups. An analogously defined cardinal function concerning Boolean algebras was investigated by several authors (cf., e.g., [5], [6]).

2. AN EXAMPLE

Let β be a non-limit cardinal, $\beta > \aleph_0$, $\beta = \alpha^+$. Let I be a set with $\text{card } I = \beta$. We put $G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$, where $G_i = R$ for each $i \in I$.

For $g \in G$ we denote

$$\text{Sup } g = \{i \in I: g(i) \neq 0\}.$$

Next, let H be the set of all $g \in G$ with $\text{Sup } g \leq \alpha$. Thus H is an l -ideal of G . Put $K = G/H$. The set of all positive integers will be denoted by N .

2.1. Lemma. *The lattice ordered group K is archimedean.*

Proof. For $x \in G$ we denote $x + H = x^*$. By way of contradiction, assume that K fails to be archimedean. Then there are $x, y \in G$ such that

$$(1) \quad 0^* < nx^* < y^*$$

for each $n \in N$. Without loss generality we can suppose that $0 < x < y$ is valid.

Put $I_0 = \{i \in I: x(i) > 0\}$. Since $x^* > 0^*$, we must have $\text{card } I_0 = \beta$. For $i \in I_0$ let $m(i)$ be the least positive integer with $m(i)x(i) > y(i)$.

Let $n \in N$. We denote

$$I(n) = \{i \in I_0: m(i) = n\}.$$

If $\text{card } I(n) = \beta$, then $nx^* \not\leq y$, which contradicts (1). Thus we have

$$(2) \quad \text{card } I(n) \leq \alpha \quad \text{for each } n \in N.$$

If n_1 and n_2 are distinct positive integers, then $I(n_1) \cap I(n_2) = \emptyset$. Each $i \in I_0$ belongs to some $I(n)$, whence $I_0 = \cup_{n \in N} I(n)$. Thus in view of (2), $\text{card } I_0 \leq \alpha$, which is a contradiction.

Let us remark that there exists an infinite number of l -ideals H_j in G such that G/H_j is not archimedean.

2.2. Lemma. *Let x^* be a strictly positive element of K . Then $v(x^*) \geq \beta$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x > 0$. Let I_0 be as in the proof of 2.1. Hence $\text{card } I_0 = \beta$. Thus there are subsets I_j ($j \in J$) of I_0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card } J &= \beta, \\ \text{card } I_j &= \beta \quad \text{for each } j \in J, \end{aligned}$$

and $I_{j(1)} \cap I_{j(2)} = 0$ whenever $j(1), j(2)$ are distinct elements of J .

For each $j \in J$ we define x^j in G as follows: $x^j(t) = x(t)$ if $t \in I_j$, and $x^j(t) = 0$ otherwise. Then $0^* < (x^j)^* < x^*$ for each $j \in J$, and

$$x_{j(1)}^* \wedge x_{j(2)}^* = 0^*$$

whenever $j(1)$ and $j(2)$ are distinct elements of J . Therefore $v(x^*) \geq \beta$.

In view of 2.1 we can construct the Dedekind completion of the lattice ordered group K ; let us denote it by G_β .

2.3. Proposition. *G_β is a complete lattice ordered group and $G_\beta \neq \{0\}$. Let y be a strictly positive element of G_β . Then $v(y) \geq \beta$.*

Proof. The first and the second assertions are obvious. Consider the canonical embedding of K into G_β . There exists $0 < x^* \in K$ such that $x^* \leq y$. Thus in view of 2.2 we have $v(y) \geq \beta$.

As a corollary we obtain that (A) is valid.

2.4. Lemma. *Let T be a nonempty set, and for each $t \in T$ let H_t be a lattice ordered group such that some of the following conditions is fulfilled: (i) $H_t = \mathbb{R}$; (ii) $H_t = \mathbb{Z}$; (iii) H_t is of countable type and $H_t \neq \{0\}$. Assume that G is a completely subdirect product of the system $\{H_t; t \in T\}$. Then for each $0 < g \in G$ there exists $0 < x \in G$ with $x \leq y$ such that $v(x) \leq \aleph_0$.*

Proof. Let $0 < g \in G$. There exists $t \in T$ such that $g(t) > 0$. Next, there exists $y \in G$ such that $y(t) = g(t)$ and $y(t') = 0$ for each $t' \in T \setminus \{t\}$. Then we have $v(y) \leq \aleph_0$.

From 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain that neither (C) nor (D) hold.

3. DISJOINTNESS IN COMPLETE LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

In this section we assume that G is a complete lattice ordered group. For each $X \subseteq G$ we put

$$X^d = \{g \in G: |g| \wedge |x| = 0 \text{ for each } x \in X\}.$$

It is well-known that in view of the completeness of G we have a direct product decomposition

$$(1) \quad G = X^d \times X^{dd}.$$

For $y \in G$ we denote by $[y]$ the convex l -subgroup of G generated by the element y . A strictly positive element x of G is said to be basic if the interval $[0, x]$ of G is a chain. Then (cf. [2]) $[x]$ is a linearly ordered group and the relation

$$(2) \quad G = [x] \times [x]^d$$

is valid. If $g \in G$, then $g([x])$ will denote the component of g in the direct factor $[x]$ (a similar notation will be applied also for other direct factors).

Let B be the set of all basic elements of G . Next, let A_i ($i \in I(1)$) be the set of all nonzero convex l -subgroups of G which are linearly ordered. For each $i \in I(1)$ there is $x \in B$ with $A_i = [x]$; hence in view of (2), A_i is a direct factor of G .

Put $H_1 = B^{dd}$ and $H_2 = B^d$. Assume that $H_1 \neq \{0\}$. Then $I(1) \neq \emptyset$ and $[x] \subseteq H_1$ for each $x \in B$. Let $h_1 \in H_1$; we denote by $\varphi(h_1)$ the element of $\prod_{i \in I(1)} A_i$ such that $\varphi(h_1)(i) = h_1(A_i)$ for each $i \in I(1)$. The mapping φ is a homomorphism of H_1 into $\prod_{i \in I(1)} A_i$. If $\varphi(h_1) = 0$, then $|h_1| \wedge x = 0$ for each $x \in B$, thus $h_1 \in B^d$, and therefore $h_1 = 0$. Hence φ is an isomorphism of H_1 into $\prod_{i \in I(1)} A_i$. Next, for each $i \in I(1)$ and each $z \in A_i$ we have $z \in H_1$, $\varphi(z)(A_i) = z$ and $\varphi(z)(A_j) = 0$ whenever $j \in I(1) \setminus \{i\}$. Thus we obtain

3.1. Lemma. *Let $H_1 \neq \{0\}$. Then H_1 is isomorphic to a completely subdirect product of linearly ordered groups A_i ($i \in I(1)$).*

Now let us deal with H_2 (if $H_1 = \{0\}$, then $H_2 = G$). In view of (1),

$$(3) \quad G = H_1 \times H_2.$$

The case $H_2 = \{0\}$ would be trivial for the above consideration; thus let us assume that $H_2 \neq \{0\}$. Next, since H_2 has no basic element, for each strictly positive element x of G the relation

$$(4) \quad v(x) \geq \aleph_0$$

is valid.

Let $[h, h']$ be an interval of H_2 and let $C \subseteq [h, h']$. If $c_1 \wedge c_2 = h$ whenever c_1 and c_2 are distinct elements of C , then C will be said to be disjoint in $[h, h']$. Let us denote by $v[h, h']$ the least cardinal γ such that $\text{card } C \leq \gamma$ whenever C is a disjoint subset of $[h, h']$. If $0 < x \in G$, then clearly $v(x) = v[0, x]$.

3.2. Lemma. *Let x and y be strongly positive elements of H_2 such that $v[0, x] = v[0, y]$. Then $v[0, x + y] = v[0, x]$.*

Proof. Let $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a disjoint subset of $[0, x + y]$. Let $i \in I$. There are $x_i \in [0, x]$ and $y_i \in [0, y]$ with $z_i = x_i + y_i$. Put

$$I_1 = \{i \in I: x_i \neq 0\}, \quad I_2 = I \setminus I_1.$$

If i and j are distinct elements of I_1 , then $x_i \wedge x_j = 0$, hence $\text{card } I_1 \leq v[0, x]$. Next, if i and j are distinct elements of I_2 , then $y_i > 0, y_j > 0$ and $y_i \wedge y_j = 0$, thus $\text{card } I_2 \leq v[0, y]$. Therefore in view of (4),

$$\text{card } I = \text{card } I_1 + \text{card } I_2 \leq v[0, x].$$

Hence $v[0, x + y] \leq v[0, x]$. On the other hand, we obviously have $v[0, x] \leq v[0, x + y]$.

3.3. Lemma. *Let $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots \in G, 0 < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots, vt_n = t, v[0, t_n] = v[0, t_1]$ for each $n \in N$. Then $v[0, t] = v[0, t_1]$.*

Proof. Let $\{z_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a disjoint subset of $[0, t]$. Let $i \in I$. There exists the first positive integer n such that $z_i \wedge t_n > 0$; we denote this n by $n(i)$. Next, for each $n \in N$ we put

$$I(n) = \{i \in I: n(i) = n\}.$$

Then we have

$$(5) \quad I = \cup_{n \in N} I(n).$$

Let $n \in N$ be fixed. If $i(1)$ and $i(2)$ are distinct elements of $I(n)$, then $0 < z_{i(1)} \wedge t_n, 0 < z_{i(2)} \wedge t_n$ and $(z_{i(1)} \wedge t_n) \wedge (z_{i(2)} \wedge t_n) = 0$. Thus $\text{card } I(n) \leq v[0, t_n] = v[0, t_1]$. Therefore in view of (4) and (5) we obtain $\text{card } I \leq v[0, t_1]$ and hence $v[0, t] \leq v[0, t_1]$. On the other hand, the relation $t_1 \leq t$ yields $v[0, t_1] \leq v[0, t]$.

3.4. Lemma. *Let H' be a convex l -subgroup of $H, H' \neq \{0\}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) H' is v -homogeneous.
- (ii) If x and y are strictly positive elements of H' , then $v[0, x] = v[0, y]$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of $v[p, q]$ for $p, q \in H_2, p < q$.

From 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and from [3] (Theorem 1.21) we obtain

3.5. Lemma. *The lattice ordered group H_2 is a completely subdirect product of v -homogeneous lattice ordered groups.*

Since each linearly ordered group is v -homogeneous, Lemmas 3.1, 3.5 and the relation (3) yield that Theorem (B) above is valid.

References

- [1] *P. Conrad*: Lattice ordered groups. Tulane University, 1970.
- [2] *J. Jakubík*: Konvexe Ketten in l-Gruppen. Časopis pěst. mat. 84 (1959), 53–63.
- [3] *J. Jakubík*: Cardinal properties of lattice ordered groups. Fundam. Math. 74 (1972), 85–98.
- [4] *V. M. Kopytov*: Lattice Ordered Groups. (In Russian.) Moskva, 1984.
- [5] *J. D. Monk*: Cardinal functions on Boolean algebras. Annals of discrete mathematics 23 (Orders: Description and roles), Amsterdam 1984, 9–38.
- [6] *R. S. Pierce*: Some questions about complete Boolean algebras. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. II, Lattice Theory, Amer. Math. Soc., 1961.
- [7] *F. Šik*: Über subdirekte Summen geordneter Gruppen. Czechoslovak Math. J. 10 (1960), 400–424.
- [8] *Ton Dao-rong*: The construction theorem of a complete l-group. J. of Shandong Normal University, No 2 (1985), 6–13. (In Chinese.)
- [9] *Tong Daorong*: The construction theorem of an archimedean l-group. Acta Math. Sinica, New Series, Vol. 2 (1986), 292–298.

Súhrn

O DISJUNKTNÝCH PODMNOŽINÁCH ÚPLNEJ ZVÄZOVO USPORIADANEJ GRUPY

JÁN JAKUBÍK

V článku sa vyšetruje kardinálna funkcia ν definovaná na triede všetkých zväzovo usporiadaných grúp. Dokazuje sa, že každá úplná zväzovo usporiadaná grupa je úplne polopriamym súčinom ν -homogénnych zväzovo usporiadaných grúp.

Резюме

O НЕПРЕСЕКАЮЩИХСЯ ПОДМНОЖЕСТВАХ ПОЛНОЙ РЕШЕТОЧНО УПОРЯДОЧЕННОЙ ГРУППЫ

JÁN JAKUBÍK

В статье рассматривается кардинальная функция ν , определенная на классе всех решеточно упорядоченных групп. Доказано, что каждая полная решеточно упорядоченная группа изоморфна вполне полупрямому произведению ν -однородных решеточно упорядоченных групп.

Author's address: Matematický ústav SAV, dislokované pracovisko, Grešákova 6, 040 01 Košice.