

Abolfazl Tehranian; Siamak Yassemi  
Bounds on Bass numbers and their dual

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 43 (2007), No. 4, 259--263

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108070>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## BOUNDS ON BASS NUMBERS AND THEIR DUAL

ABOLFAZL TEHRANIAN AND SIAMAK YASSEMI

ABSTRACT. Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a commutative Noetherian local ring. We establish some bounds for the sequence of Bass numbers and their dual for a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

### INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a non-trivial commutative Noetherian local ring with unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . Several authors have obtained results on the growth of the sequence of Betti numbers  $\{\beta_n(k)\}$  (e.g., see [9] and [1]). In [10] Ramras gives some bounds for the sequence  $\{\beta_n(M)\}$  when  $M$  is a finitely generated non-free  $R$ -module. In this paper, we seek to give some bounds for the sequence of Bass numbers.

For a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , let

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^i \rightarrow \dots$$

be a minimal injective resolution of  $M$ . Then,  $\mu^i(M)$  denotes the number of indecomposable components of  $E^i$  isomorphic to the injective envelope  $E(k)$  and is called *Bass number* of  $M$ . This is a dual notion of Betti number. For a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  denotes the number of indecomposable components of  $E^i$  isomorphic to the injective envelope  $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ . It is known that  $\mu^i(M)$  is finite and is equal to the dimension of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M)$  considered as a vector space over  $R/\mathfrak{m}$  (note that  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \mu^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ). These numbers play important role in understanding the injective resolution of  $M$ , and are the subject of further work. For example, the ring  $R$  of dimension  $d$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay and the  $d$ th Bass number  $\mu^d(R)$  is 1. This was proved by Bass in [2]. Vasconcelos conjectured that one could delete the hypothesis that  $R$  be Cohen-Macaulay. This was proved by Paul Roberts in [12].

For a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$ , it turns out that the least  $i$  for which  $\mu^i(M) > 0$  is the depth of  $M$ , while the largest  $i$  with  $\mu^i(M) > 0$  is the injective

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13C11, 13H10.

*Key words and phrases*: Bass numbers, injective dimension, zero dimensional rings.

A. Tehranian was supported in part by a grant from Islamic Azad University.

Received November 22, 2006.

dimension  $\text{inj.dim}_R M$  of  $M$  (which might be infinite), cf. [2] and [8]. In [8] Foxby asked the question: Is  $\mu^i(M) > 0$  for all  $i$  with  $\text{depth}_R M \leq i \leq \text{inj.dim}_R M$ ? In [7], Fossum, Foxby, Griffith, and Reiten answered this question in the affirmative (see also [11]).

A homomorphism  $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$  with a flat  $R$ -module  $F$  is called a flat precover of the  $R$ -module  $M$  provided  $\text{Hom}_R(G, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, M) \rightarrow 0$  is exact for all flat  $R$ -modules  $G$ . If in addition any homomorphism  $f: F \rightarrow F$  such that  $f\varphi = \varphi$  is an automorphism of  $F$ , then  $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$  is called a flat cover of  $M$ . A minimal flat resolution of  $M$  is an exact sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  such that  $F_i$  is a flat cover of  $\text{Im}(F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1})$  for all  $i > 0$ . A module  $C$  is called cotorsion if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, C) = 0$  for any flat  $R$ -module  $F$ . A flat cover of a cotorsion module is cotorsion and flat, and the kernel of a flat cover is cotorsion. In [4], Enochs showed that a flat cotorsion module  $F$  is uniquely a product  $\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , where  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the completion of a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ . Therefore, for  $i > 0$  he defined  $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  to be the cardinality of a basis of a free  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module whose completion is  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in the product  $F_i = \prod T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . For  $i = 0$  define  $\pi_0(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  similarly by using the pure injective envelope of  $F_0$ . In some sense these invariants are dual to the Bass numbers. In [6], Enochs and Xu proved that for a cotorsion  $R$ -module  $M$  which possesses a minimal flat resolution,  $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Tor}_i^R(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))$ . Here  $k(\mathfrak{p})$  denotes the quotient field of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that in [3] the authors show that every module has a flat cover, see also [13] and [5].

In this paper, we study the sequence of Bass numbers  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  and its dual  $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ . Among the other things we establish the following bounds:

- (1)  $\mu^2(M)/\mu^1(M) \leq \ell(R)$  and  $\mu^{n+1}(M)/\mu^n(M) < \ell(R)$  for any  $n \geq 2$ ,
- (2)  $\mu^n(M)/\mu^{n+1}(M) < \ell(R)/\ell(\text{Soc}(R))$  for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

where  $\ell(*)$  refers to the length of  $*$ .

### 1. MAIN RESULTS

The following lemma is the key to our main result.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and let  $L$  be an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of finite length. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *For any module  $M$  and any non-negative integer  $n$ ,*

$$\ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(L, M)) - \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(L, M)) \geq \mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(L)\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$
- (b) *For any cotorsion  $R$ -module  $M$  and any non-negative integer  $n$ ,*

$$\ell(\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, M)) - \ell(\text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, M)) \geq \pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(L)\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

**Proof.** (a) We proceed by induction on  $s = \ell(L)$ . If  $s = 1$ , then  $L \cong k(\mathfrak{p})$ , and

$$\ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M)) - \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(k(\mathfrak{p}), M)) = \mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Now assume that  $s > 1$ . Then there is a submodule  $K$  of  $L$  with  $\ell(K) = s - 1$  such that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  is exact. The corresponding long

exact sequence for  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(-, M)$  gives the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(K, M) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(L, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(k(\mathfrak{p}), M) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(K, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(L, M). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(L, M)) - \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(L, M)) &\geq \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{n+1}(K, M)) \\ &\quad - \ell(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(K, M)) - \mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) \\ &\geq \mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(K)\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) \\ &= \mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(L)\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M), \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the property of length and the equality  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^n(k(\mathfrak{p}), M) = \mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ , also the second inequality follows by the induction hypothesis.

(b) We proceed by induction on  $s = \ell(L)$ . If  $s = 1$ , then  $L \cong k(\mathfrak{p})$ , and we have

$$\ell(\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M)) - \ell(\text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M)) = \pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(L)\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Now assume that  $s > 1$ . Then there is an  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule  $K$  of  $L$  with  $\ell(K) = s - 1$  such that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow k(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow L \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Set  $N = \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$ . The corresponding long exact sequence for  $\text{Tor}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(-, N)$  leads to the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, N) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), N) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K, N). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, N)) - \ell(\text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(L, N)) &\geq \ell(\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K, N)) \\ &\quad - \ell(\text{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(K, N)) - \pi_n(M) \\ &\geq \pi_{n+1}(M) - \ell(K)\pi_n(M) - \pi_n(M) \\ &= \pi_{n+1}(M) - \ell(L)\pi_n(M), \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by the induction hypothesis. □

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a zero dimensional ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  and any integer  $n \geq 1$  the following hold:*

(a) 
$$\mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

(b) *If  $M$  is a cotorsion  $R$ -module, then*  

$$\pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

**Proof.** (a) Replace the module  $L$  in Lemma 1.1(a) with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and note that  $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, -) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ .

(b) Replace the module  $L$  in Lemma 1.1(b) with  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and note that  $\text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, -) = 0$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . □

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $R$  be a zero dimensional ring. Then the following hold:*

(a) *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. For any integer  $n \geq 1$  and prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,*

$$\mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

(b) *Let  $M$  be a cotorsion  $R$ -module. For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  and any  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$\pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) + \ell(\text{Soc}(R))\pi_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

**Proof.** (a) It is clear from Lemma 1.1(a).

(b) Assume that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  and set  $I = \text{Soc}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ,  $N = \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I, N) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(I, N) \cong \oplus \text{Tor}_n^R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N),$$

where the numbers of copies in the direct sum is  $\ell(I)$ . Hence

$$\ell(\text{Tor}_{n+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I, N)) = \ell(I)\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.1(b), for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\ell(I)(\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \pi_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}, M)) \geq \pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) - \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I)\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Therefore,  $\ell(I)\pi_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) + \pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ . □

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be a zero dimensional local ring. For any finitely generated non-injective  $R$ -module  $M$  the following hold:*

- (1)  $\mu^{n+1}(M)/\mu^n(M) < \ell(R)$  for any  $n \geq 2$ ,
- (2)  $\mu^n(M)/\mu^{n+1}(M) < \ell(R)/\ell(\text{Soc}(R))$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I = \text{Soc}(R)$ . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(I, M) \cong \oplus \text{Ext}_R^n(R/\mathfrak{m}, M),$$

where the numbers of copies in the direct sum is  $\ell(I)$ . Hence

$$\ell(\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, M)) = \ell(I)\mu^n(M) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.1, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\ell(I)(\mu^n(M) - \mu^{n-1}(M)) \geq \mu^{n+1}(M) - \ell(R/I)\mu^n(M).$$

Therefore,  $\ell(I)\mu^{n-1}(M) + \mu^{n+1}(M) \leq \ell(R)\mu^n(M)$ . By [7, Theorem 1.1],  $\mu^i(M) > 0$  for  $\text{depth}_R M \leq i \leq \text{inj.dim}_R M$ . Since  $R$  is Artinian,  $\text{depth}_R M = 0$ . Thus for any  $n, n \geq 2$ ,  $\mu^n(M)$  and  $\mu^{n-1}(M)$  are positive integer and hence  $\mu^{n+1}(M)/\mu^n(M) < \ell(R)$ . Moreover, if  $2 \leq n$ , then  $\mu^n(M)$  and  $\mu^{n+1}(M)$  are positive integers and thus  $\mu^{n-1}(M)/\mu^n(M) < \ell(R)/\ell(\text{Soc}(R))$ . □

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $R$  be a zero dimensional ring. Let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  non-injective  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, the following hold:*

- (1)  $\mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M)/\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) < \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for any  $n \geq 2$ ,  
 (2)  $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)/\mu^{n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) < \ell(R_{\mathfrak{p}})/\ell(\text{Soc}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .

**Remark 1.6.** To the best of the knowledge of the authors, there is no condition (yet!) which implies that  $\pi_n(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$ . This is the reason that we could not give a similar result as Theorem 1.4 for the dual notion of Bass numbers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Avramov, L. L., *Sur la croissance des nombres de Betti d'un anneau local*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **289** (1979), 369–372.  
 [2] Bass, H., *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), 8–28.  
 [3] Bican, L., El Bashir, R., Enochs, E. E., *All modules have flat covers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 385–390.  
 [4] Enochs, E. E., *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 179–184.  
 [5] Enochs, E. E., Jenda, O. M. G., *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.  
 [6] Enochs, E. E., Xu, J. Z., *On invariants dual to the Bass numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 951–960.  
 [7] Fossum, R., Foxby, H.-B., Griffith, P., Reiten, I., *Minimal injective resolutions with applications to dualizing modules and Gorenstein modules*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **45** (1975), 193–215.  
 [8] Foxby, H.-B., *On the  $\mu^i$  in a minimal injective resolution*, Math. Scand. **29** (1971), 175–186.  
 [9] Gulliksen, T., *A proof of the existence of minimal  $R$ -algebra resolutions*, Acta Math. **120** (1968), 53–58.  
 [10] Ramras, M., *Bounds on Betti numbers*, Canad. J. Math. **34** (1982), 589–592.  
 [11] Roberts, P., *Two applications of dualizing complexes over local rings*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **9** (1), (1976), 103–106.  
 [12] Roberts, P., *Rings of type 1 are Gorenstein*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **15** (1983), 48–50.  
 [13] Xu, J. Z., *Minimal injective and flat resolutions of modules over Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), 451–477.

A. TEHRANIAN, SCIENCE AND RESEARCH BRANCH  
 ISLAMIC AZAD UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN  
*E-mail*: tehranian1340@yahoo.com

S. YASSEMI, CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN BIOMATHEMATICS  
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS, AND COMPUTER SCIENCE  
 UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN  
*E-mail*: yassemi@ipm.ir