

Hani A. Khashan; H. Al-Ezeh

Conditions under which $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ are almost Q-rings

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 43 (2007), No. 4, 231--236

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108067>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH $R(x)$ AND $R\langle x \rangle$ ARE ALMOST Q -RINGS

H. A. KHASHAN AND H. AL-EZEH

ABSTRACT. All rings considered in this paper are assumed to be commutative with identities. A ring R is a Q -ring if every ideal of R is a finite product of primary ideals. An almost Q -ring is a ring whose localization at every prime ideal is a Q -ring. In this paper, we first prove that the statements, R is an almost ZPI -ring and $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring are equivalent for any ring R . Then we prove that under the condition that every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R , the ring R is a (an almost) Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is so. Finally, we justify a condition under which $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be a ring and let $f \in R[x]$. Then $C(f)$ denotes the ideal of R generated by the coefficients of f . If $S = \{f \in R[x] : C(f) = R\}$ and $W = \{f \in R[x] : f \text{ is monic}\}$, then S and W are regular multiplicatively closed subsets of $R[x]$ and the rings $S^{-1}R[x]$ and $W^{-1}R[x]$ are denoted by $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ respectively. Some basic properties and related Theorems of $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ can be found in [2].

Recall that a ring R is called a Laskerian ring if every ideal of R is a finite intersection of primary ideals. A ring R is a Q -ring if every ideal of R is a finite product of primary ideals. This class of rings has come as a generalization of an important class of rings called the ZPI -rings that are defined as rings in which every ideal is a product of prime ideals. Equivalently, a ring R is a Q -ring if and only if R is Laskerian and every non maximal prime ideal of R is finitely generated and locally principal, see [1]. If the localization R_P of a ring R is a Q -ring for every prime ideal P of R , then R is called an almost Q -ring. The classes of Q -rings and almost Q -rings were studied in detail in [1] and [5].

One of the main results appeared in [1] is that a ring R is a ZPI -ring if and only if $R[x]$ is a Q -ring. In this paper, we first generalized this result to almost Q -rings and then we have tried to find a condition under which a ring R is a (an

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13A15.

Key words and phrases: Q -rings, almost Q -rings, the rings $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$.

Received July 10, 2006, revised August 15, 2007.

almost) Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is a (an almost) Q -ring. We have investigated that this is true if every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R . Those rings that satisfy this property are said to satisfy the property $(*)$, see [2]. We gave some examples of such rings and in order to achieve our result, we proved that the localization of a ring that satisfies the property $(*)$ at every prime ideal satisfies the property $(*)$ as well.

Finally, we proved that under the condition that a ring R is one dimensional reduced ring, $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is so.

The following Lemma will be needed in the proof of the next main Theorem. It can be proved by using [7, Theorem 3.16].

Lemma 1.1. *Let R be any ring and let Q be a prime ideal of $R[x]$, then $R[x]_Q \cong R_P[x]_{QR_P[x]}$ where $P = Q \cap R$.*

By [4, Theorem 14.1], each maximal ideal of $R(x)$ is of the form $MR(x)$ where M is a maximal ideal of R and $R(x)_{MR(x)} \cong R_M(x) \cong R[x]_{M[x]}$. Hence, $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring if and only if $R_M(x)$ is a ZPI -ring for each maximal ideal M of R .

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a ring. The following are equivalent*

- (1) R is an almost ZPI -ring.
- (2) $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring.
- (3) $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that R is an almost ZPI -ring. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R[x]$. Then $P = \widehat{P} \cap R$ is a prime ideal of R and so R_P is a ZPI -ring. By Lemma 1.1, $R[x]_{\widehat{P}} \cong R_P[x]_{\widehat{P}R_P[x]}$ and since R_P is a ZPI -ring, $R_P[x]$ is a Q -ring by [1, Theorem 14]. Hence, $R[x]_{\widehat{P}}$ is a ring of quotients of a Q -ring and so it is a Q -ring. Therefore, $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that $R[x]$ is an almost Q -ring. Let M be a maximal ideal of R and let \widehat{M} be a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ such that $M[x] \subset \widehat{M}$. Then $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ is a Q -ring and hence any non maximal prime ideal of $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ is principal by [1, Lemma 5]. Since $M[x] \subset \widehat{M}$, $M[x]$ is a principal ideal of $R[x]_{\widehat{M}}$ and so $M[x]_{M[x]}$ is principal in $R[x]_{M[x]}$. Thus, all prime ideals of $R_M(x) \cong R[x]_{M[x]}$ are principal and so $R_M(x)$ is a PIR . Hence, $R_M(x)$ is a ZPI -ring by [4, Theorem 18.8]. Since M was arbitrary, $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose $R(x)$ is an almost ZPI -ring. Let P be a prime ideal of R . Then $PR(x)$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Hence, $R_P(x) \cong R(x)_{PR(x)}$ is a ZPI -ring. Again by [4, Theorem 18.8], R_P is a ZPI -ring and so R is an almost ZPI -ring. \square

2. RINGS THAT SATISFY THE PROPERTY $(*)$

The definition of rings that satisfy the property $(*)$ was appeared in [2] as follows: A ring R is said to satisfy the property $(*)$ if for each prime ideal P of $R[x]$ with $P \subseteq MR[x]$ for some maximal ideal M of R , we have $P = QR[x]$ for some prime ideal Q of R .

In the following proposition, we can see one characterization of rings that satisfy the property $(*)$.

Proposition 2.1. *A ring R satisfies the property $(*)$ if and only if every prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R .*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R satisfies the property $(*)$. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R(x) = S^{-1}R[x]$. Then $\widehat{P} = S^{-1}P$ where P is a prime ideal of $R[x]$ with $P \cap S = \emptyset$. Let $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of R . Then $S = R[x] \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ by [4, Theorem 14.1]. Hence, $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ and then $P \subseteq M_\alpha[x]$ for some $\alpha \in \Lambda$.

By assumption, there exists a prime ideal Q of R such that $P = Q[x]$. Hence, $\widehat{P} = S^{-1}P = S^{-1}Q[x] = QR(x)$.

\Leftarrow): Conversely, suppose that any prime ideal of $R(x)$ is an extension of a prime ideal of R . Let P be a prime ideal of $R[x]$ with $P \subseteq M[x]$ for some maximal ideal M of R . Then $P \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]$ and so $P \cap (R[x] \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha[x]) = \emptyset$. Hence,

$P \cap S = \emptyset$ and then $S^{-1}P$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Thus, by assumption there exists a prime ideal Q of R such that $S^{-1}P = QR(x) = Q(S^{-1}R[x]) = S^{-1}Q[x]$. Hence, $P = S^{-1}P \cap R[x] = S^{-1}Q[x] \cap R[x] = Q[x]$ as required. \square

Two examples of rings satisfying the property $(*)$ can be seen in the following proposition

Proposition 2.2. *A zero dimensional ring and a one dimensional Noetherian domain are satisfying the property $(*)$.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a zero dimensional ring. Let \widehat{P} be a non zero prime ideal of $R(x)$. Since R is zero dimensional, $R(x)$ is also zero dimensional by [4, Theorem 17.3] and [7, Theorem 7.13]. Hence, \widehat{P} is a maximal ideal of $R(x)$ and so by [4, Theorem 14.1], $\widehat{P} = MR(x)$ for some maximal ideal M of R . Therefore, R satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.1. For one dimensional Noetherian domain, one can use [4, Corollary 17.5] to get a similar proof. \square

Recall that a ring R is called an arithmetical ring if each finitely generated ideal of R is locally principal. Equivalently, a ring R is arithmetical if and only if every ideal of $R(x)$ is of the form $IR(x)$ for some ideal I of R . It follows that any arithmetical ring satisfies the property $(*)$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property $(*)$. Then R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ for each prime ideal P of R .*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R and let \widehat{M} be any prime ideal of $R_P(x) \simeq R(x)_{PR(x)}$. Then $\widehat{M} = M_{PR(x)}$ for some prime ideal M of $R(x)$ such that $M \subseteq PR(x)$. Since R satisfies the property $(*)$, $M = QR(x)$ for some prime ideal Q of R . Hence, $\widehat{M} = QR(x)_{PR(x)} = Q_P R_P(x)$ and Q_P is a prime ideal of R_P since $Q \subseteq P$. So, R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.1.

Let R be a ring and let $X = \text{spec}(R)$ denotes the set of all prime ideals of R . For each subset $L \subseteq R$, we let $V(L) = \{P \in \text{spec}(R) : L \subseteq P\}$. Then the collection $\tau = \{V(L) : L \subseteq R\}$ satisfies the axioms for closed sets in some topology on X which is called the prime spectral topology on X . Now, if $X = \text{spec}(R)$ with the above topology is Noetherian (the closed subsets of X satisfy the *DCC*), we say that

R has a Noetherian spectrum. Equivalently, a ring R has a Noetherian spectrum if and only if it satisfies the ACC for the radical ideals. If R has a Noetherian spectrum, then there are only finitely many prime ideals that are minimal over any ideal of R , see [8]. In [1], we can see that any Q -ring has a Noetherian spectrum. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R has a Noetherian spectrum if and only if $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R has a Noetherian spectrum. Then by [8, Theorem 2.5], $R[x]$ has a Noetherian spectrum and so the ring of quotients $R(x)$ of $R[x]$ has a Noetherian spectrum.

\Leftarrow): Conversely, suppose that $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum. Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of radical ideals of R . The $I_1R(x) \subseteq I_2R(x) \subseteq I_3R(x) \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of radical ideals of $R(x)$. Indeed, let I be an ideal of R such that $I = \text{rad}I$ and let $P_1R(x), P_2R(x), \dots, P_nR(x)$ be the set of all minimal prime ideals of $R(x)$ over $IR(x)$. Then clearly, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n are the set of all minimal prime ideals of R over I . Hence, by [4, Theorem 14.1], we have $\text{rad}(IR(x)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_iR(x) = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i)R(x) = (\text{rad}I)R(x) = IR(x)$. Since $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_mR(x) = I_{m+1}R(x) = \dots$. Hence, $I_m = I_{m+1} = \dots$ and so R has a Noetherian spectrum. \square

By using the above proposition, we can prove the following main theorem

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R is a Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is a Q -ring.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R is a Q -ring. Let \widehat{P} be any non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$. Since R satisfies the property (*), then $\widehat{P} = PR(x)$ where P is a non maximal prime ideal of R by Proposition 2.1. Since R is a Q -ring, then P is finitely generated and locally principal and hence $PR(x)$ is finitely generated and locally principal by [2, Theorem 2.2]. Since R has a Noetherian spectrum, then $R[x]$ and its ring of quotients $R(x)$ have a Noetherian spectrum. Since also any non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$ is finitely generated, then $R(x)$ is Laskerian by [3, Corollary 2.3]. Therefore, $R(x)$ is a Q -ring.

\Leftarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is a Q -ring. Then $R(x)$ has a Noetherian spectrum and so by Proposition 2.4, R has a Noetherian spectrum. If P is a non maximal prime ideal of R , then $PR(x)$ is a non maximal prime ideal of $R(x)$. So, $PR(x)$ is finitely generated and locally principal and then P is finitely generated and locally principal again by [2, Theorem 2.2]. Thus, R is Laskerian again by [3, Corollary 2.3] and each non maximal prime ideal of R is finitely generated and locally principal. Therefore, R is a Q -ring. \square

By using Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5, we have

Theorem 2.6. *Let R be a ring that satisfies the property (*). Then R is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R(x)$ is so.*

Proof. \Rightarrow): Suppose that R is an almost Q -ring. Let $PR(x)$ be a prime ideal of $R(x)$. Then $R(x)_{PR(x)} \simeq R_P(x)$. Since R_P satisfies the property $(*)$ by Proposition 2.3 and R_P is a Q -ring, Then by Theorem 2.5, $R_P(x)$ is a Q -ring. Hence, $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring.

\Leftarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring. Let P be a prime ideal of R . Then $PR(x)$ is a prime ideal of $R(x)$ and so $R(x)_{PR(x)}$ is a Q -ring. Therefore, $R_P(x)$ is a Q -ring. Again, since R_P satisfies the the property $(*)$ and by using Theorem (2.5), we see that R_P is a Q -ring and so R is an almost Q -ring. \square

Remark 2.7. If a ring R is a zero dimensional ring, then $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$ are coincide, see (i.e. [4, Theorem 17.11]). Hence, in this case, the following are equivalent

- (1) R is a (an almost) Q -ring.
- (2) $R(x)$ is a (an almost) Q -ring.
- (3) $R\langle x \rangle$ is a (an almost) Q -ring.

Finally, we show that if a ring R satisfies a certain condition, then $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is so. Recall that a ring R is said to be reduced if its nilradical is 0, the zero ideal of R .

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a reduced one dimensional ring. Then $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring if and only if $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring.*

Proof. \Leftarrow): Suppose that $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring. Since $R(x)$ is a ring of quotients of $R\langle x \rangle$ and clearly the ring of quotients of an almost Q -ring is again an almost Q -ring, then the result follows.

\Rightarrow): Suppose that $R(x)$ is an almost Q -ring. Let \widehat{P} be a prime ideal of $R\langle x \rangle$. Then $\widehat{P} = W^{-1}Q$ where Q is a prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q \cap W = \phi$. Now, $R\langle x \rangle_{\widehat{P}} = (W^{-1}R[x])_{W^{-1}Q} \simeq R[x]_Q$. Hence, it is enough to show that $R[x]_Q$ is a Q -ring for each prime ideal Q of $R[x]$ with $Q \cap W = \emptyset$. Take an arbitrary chain $P_0 \subsetneq P_1$ of prime ideals of R . Then P_0 is minimal and P_1 is a maximal ideal of R since $\dim R = 1$. We look for the prime ideals in $R[x]$ that contract to P_0 or P_1 . First, we have the prime ideals $P_0[x]$ and $P_1[x]$ for which we see that $R[x]_{P_i[x]} \simeq R_{P_i}(x)$ is a Q -ring for $i = 1, 2$.

If Q_1 is any other prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q_1 \cap R = P_1$, then Q_1 is a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ since P_1 is a maximal ideal of R , $P_1[x] \subsetneq Q_1$ and there is no chain of three distinct prime ideals of $R[x]$ with the same contraction in R , see [7, Corollary 7.12]. By Theorem 28 in [6], Q_1 contains a monic polynomial and so need not be considered. It remains to consider the prime ideals of $R[x]$ that contract to P_0 . Let Q_0 be a prime ideal of $R[x]$ such that $Q_0 \cap R = P_0$. Then $Q_0 \cap (R \setminus P_0) = \phi$ in $R[x]$ and so $(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0$ is a prime ideal in $(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}R[x] = R_{P_0}[x]$. Hence, we have, $R[x]_{Q_0} \simeq ((R \setminus P_0)^{-1}R[x])_{(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0} \simeq (R_{P_0}[x])_{(R \setminus P_0)^{-1}Q_0}$. Since P_0 is minimal and R is reduced, then R_{P_0} is a field, see [6]. Hence, $R_{P_0}[x]$ is a PID and so it is a Q -ring. Thus, $R[x]_{Q_0}$ is a ring of quotients of a Q -ring and then it is a Q -ring. Hence, for each prime ideal Q of $R[x]$ such that $Q \cap W = \phi$, $R[x]_Q$ is a Q -ring and it follows that $R\langle x \rangle$ is an almost Q -ring. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, D. D., Mahaney, L. A., *Commutative rings in which every ideal is a product of primary ideals*, J. Algebra **106** (1987), 528–535.
- [2] Anderson, D. D., Anderson, D. F., Markanda, R., *The rings $R(x)$ and $R\langle x \rangle$* , J. Algebra **95** (1985), 96–115.
- [3] Heinzer, W., David, L., *The Laskerian property in commutative rings*, J. Algebra **72** (1981), 101–114.
- [4] Huckaba, J. A., *Commutative rings with zero divisors*, Marcel Dekker, INC. New York and Basel, 1988.
- [5] Jayaram, C., *Almost Q -rings*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **40** (2004), 249–257.
- [6] Kaplansky, I., *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston 1970.
- [7] Larsen, M., McCarthy, P., *Multiplicative theory of ideals*, Academic Press, New York and London 1971.
- [8] Ohm, J., Pendleton, R. L., *Rings with Noetherian spectrum*, Duke Math. J. **35** (1968), 631–640.

H. A. KHASHAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AL AL-BAYT UNIVERSITY
AL-MAFRAQ 130095, JORDAN
E-mail: hakhashan@yahoo.com

H. AL-EZEH
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN
AMMAN 11942, JORDAN