

Bing Ye Wu

On the first eigenvalue of spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 42 (2006), No. 3, 233--238

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/108001>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2006

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE FIRST EIGENVALUE OF SPACELIKE HYPERSURFACES IN LORENTZIAN SPACE

WU BING-YE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we obtain a lower bound for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of complete spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space in terms of mean curvature and the square length of the second fundamental form. This estimate is sharp for totally umbilical hyperbolic spaces in Lorentzian space. We also get a sufficient condition for spacelike hypersurface to have zero first eigenvalue.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M^n$  be a complete noncompact Riemannian manifold and  $\Omega \subset M^n$  a domain with compact closure and nonempty boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The Dirichlet eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(\Omega)$  of  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \inf \left( \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla f|^2 dM}{\int_{\Omega} f^2 dM} : f \in L^2_{1,0}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right),$$

where  $dM$  is the volume element on  $M^n$  and  $L^2_{1,0}(\Omega)$  the completion of  $C_0^\infty$  with respect to the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_{\Omega}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 dM + \int_{\Omega} |\varphi|^2 dM.$$

If  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$  are bounded domains, then  $\lambda_1(\Omega_1) \geq \lambda_1(\Omega_2) \geq 0$ . Thus one may define the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of  $M^n$  as the following limit

$$\lambda_1(M) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(B(p, r)) \geq 0,$$

where  $B(p, r)$  is the geodesic ball of  $M^n$  with radius  $r$  centered at  $p$ . It is clear that the definition of  $\lambda_1(M)$  does not depend on the center point  $p$ . According to Schoen and Yau [6] it is an important question to find conditions which will imply  $\lambda_1(M) > 0$ . The best well-known result toward the question is due to Mckean [4]. He showed that if  $M^n$  is an  $n$ -dimensional, complete noncompact,

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C42; Secondary 53B30.

*Key words and phrases*: Lorentzian space, spacelike hypersurface, the first eigenvalue, Gauss map.

Received February 23, 2005.

simply connected Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K_M \leq -a^2 < 0$ , then

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \frac{(n-1)^2 a^2}{4}.$$

Mckean's estimate is sharp in the sense that for hyperbolic space  $H^n(-a^2)$  with constant curvature  $-a^2$ , we have  $\lambda_1(H^n(-a^2)) = \frac{1}{4}(n-1)^2 a^2$ .

There is a version of Mckean's theorem for submanifolds of the hyperbolic space with bounded mean curvature due to Cheung and Leung [1]. They proved that for an  $n$ -dimensional complete noncompact immersed submanifold  $M^n$  in  $H^{n+p}(-1)$  with bounded mean curvature  $H \leq c < n-1$ , one has

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \frac{(n-1-c)^2}{4},$$

and the estimate is sharp for totally geodesic  $H^n(-1)$  in  $H^{n+p}(-1)$ .

Besides the above mentioned results, recently Pacellibessa and Montenegro[5] discussed similar problem in a little more general situations. Our motivation comes from the fact that the hyperbolic space, as the totally umbilical spacelike hypersurface in Lorentzian space, has positive first eigenvalue. This suggests that we look at spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian space. First let us recall the fact that complete spacelike hypersurfaces in Lorentzian  $(n+1)$ -space  $L^{n+1}$  are all noncompact, as one can verify that the projection  $\Pi : M^n \rightarrow R_a^n$  defined by any unit timelike vector  $a$  is a diffeomorphism(for details see §3). We shall prove the following

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete spacelike hypersurface in Lorentzian  $(n+1)$ -space  $L^{n+1}$ . Suppose that both*

$$(1.1) \quad A = \inf \left( \frac{1}{n}S + (n-2)H^2 - 2H\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}(S - nH^2)} - n|\nabla H| \right)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad B = \sup \left( H + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}(S - nH^2)} \right)$$

are positive constants, then

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_1(M) \geq \frac{A^2}{4B^2},$$

where  $H$  and  $S$  denote the mean curvature and square length of second fundamental form of  $M^n$  in  $L^{n+1}$ , respectively.

**Remark.** (1.3) is sharp in the sense that for the hyperbolic space in  $L^{n+1}$ , it becomes an equality.

On the other hand, it is also interesting to ask that for what geometries a noncompact manifold  $M^n$  has zero first eigenvalue. Cheng and Yau [2] showed that  $\lambda_1(M) = 0$  if  $M^n$  has polynomial volume growth. In this paper we shall prove the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M^n$  be a complete spacelike hypersurface in  $L^{n+1}$  whose Gauss map is bounded. Then  $\lambda_1(M) = 0$ .*

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L^{n+1}$  be the Lorentzian  $(n + 1)$ -space and  $M^n$  be a complete spacelike hypersurface in  $L^{n+1}$ . We choose a local Lorentzian frames  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}$  in  $L^{n+1}$  such that, restricted to  $M^n$ ,  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $M^n$ , and  $e_{n+1}$  is future-directed. We use the following convention on the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq A, B, \dots \leq n + 1, \quad 1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq n$$

Let  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n+1}$  be the dual frames of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+1}$  so that the Lorentzian metric on  $L^{n+1}$  is given by  $dS^2 = \omega_1^2 + \dots + \omega_n^2 - \omega_{n+1}^2 = \sum_A \varepsilon_A \omega_A^2$ , where  $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_n = 1$  and  $\varepsilon_{n+1} = -1$ . The structure equations of  $L^{n+1}$  are given by

$$(2.1) \quad de_A = - \sum_B \varepsilon_A \omega_{AB} e_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad d\omega_A = - \sum_B \varepsilon_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B,$$

$$(2.3) \quad d\omega_{AB} = - \sum_C \varepsilon_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB}.$$

When restricted on  $M^n$ , we have  $\omega_{n+1} = 0$ , and the induced Riemannian metric of  $M^n$  is written as  $ds^2 = \sum_i (\omega_i)^2$ . Since  $0 = d\omega_{n+1} = - \sum_i \omega_{n+1,i} \wedge \omega_i$ , by Cartan's lemma we may write

$$(2.4) \quad \omega_{n+1,i} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji}.$$

We call  $h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$  the second fundamental form of  $M^n$ . The mean curvature and the square length of the second fundamental form of  $M^n$  is defined by  $H = (1/n) \sum_i h_{ii}$  and  $S = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$ , respectively. The covariant differentiation of  $h$  is defined by  $\nabla h = \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ijk} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes \omega_k$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} - \sum_k (h_{kj} \omega_{ki} + h_{ik} \omega_{kj}),$$

and it satisfies the following Codazzi equation:

$$(2.6) \quad h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need a lemma due to Pacellibessa and Montenegro [5]. Let  $\Omega \subset M^n$  be a domain with compact closure in  $M^n$ , and  $\chi(\Omega)$  be the set of all smooth vector fields  $X$  on  $\Omega$  with  $\| X \|_\infty = \sup_\Omega |X| < \infty$  and  $\inf \operatorname{div} X > 0$ . Define  $c(\Omega)$  by

$$c(\Omega) = \sup \left( \frac{\inf \operatorname{div} X}{\| X \|_\infty} : X \in \chi(\Omega) \right).$$

**Remark.** To show that  $\chi(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ , consider the boundary value problem  $\Delta f = 1$  in  $\Omega$ , and  $f = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and set  $X = \nabla f$ , then  $\operatorname{div} X = 1$  and  $\| X \|_\infty < \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.** <sup>[5]</sup> *Let  $\Omega \subset M^n$  be a domain with compact closure ( $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ) in  $M^n$ . Then*

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \frac{c(\Omega)^2}{4} > 0.$$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREMS

In this section we shall complete the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. For complete spacelike hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $L^{n+1}$ , the Gauss map is defined by  $e_{n+1} : M^n \rightarrow H^n(-1) \subset L^{n+1}$ . Let us fix a future-directed unit timelike vector  $a \in H^n(-1)$  and define the projection  $\Pi : M^n \rightarrow R_a^n$  by

$$(3.1) \quad \Pi(x) = x + \langle x, a \rangle a,$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  is the standard Lorentzian inner product on  $L^{n+1}$  and  $R_a^n$  the totally geodesic Euclidean  $n$ -space determined by  $a$  which is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad R_a^n = \{x \in L^{n+1} : \langle x, a \rangle = 0\}.$$

It is clear from (3.1) that

$$(3.3) \quad d\Pi(X) = X + \langle X, a \rangle a$$

for any tangent vector field on  $M^n$ , and consequently,

$$(3.4) \quad |d\Pi(X)|^2 = |X|^2 + \langle X, a \rangle^2.$$

(3.4) means that the map  $\Pi : M^n \rightarrow R_a^n$  increases the distance. If a map, from a complete Riemannian manifold  $M_1$  into another Riemannian manifold  $M_2$  of the same dimension, increases the distance, then it is a covering map and  $M_2$  is complete [3, VIII, Lemma 8.1]. Hence  $\Pi$  is a covering map, but  $R_a^n$  being simply connected this means that  $\Pi$  is in fact a diffeomorphism between  $M^n$  and  $R_a^n$ , and thus  $M^n$  is noncompact.

Let us first prove Theorem 2. Assume that the Gauss map  $e_{n+1} : M^n \rightarrow H^n(-1)$  is bounded, then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad 1 \leq -\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle \leq \rho.$$

Write

$$(3.6) \quad a = a^T - \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle e_{n+1},$$

where  $a^T$  denotes the component of  $a$  which is tangent to  $M^n$ . Since  $a \in H^n(-1)$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad -1 = |a^T|^2 - \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle^2.$$

It follows from (3.4)–(3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad |X|^2 \leq |d\Pi(X)|^2 \leq \rho^2 |X|^2$$

for any tangent vector field on  $M^n$ . Let  $B(p, r)$  is the geodesic ball of  $M^n$  with radius  $r$  centered at  $p \in M^n$ . We claim that  $\Pi(B(p, r)) \subset \tilde{B}(\tilde{p}, \rho r)$ , where  $\tilde{B}(\tilde{p}, \rho r)$  denotes the geodesic ball of  $R_a^n$  with radius  $\rho r$  centered at  $\tilde{p} = \Pi(p)$ . In fact, for

any  $\tilde{q} \in \Pi(B(p, r))$  let  $q \in B(p, r)$  be the unique point such that  $\Pi(q) = \tilde{q}$ , and  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M^n$  is the minimal geodesic joining  $p$  and  $q$ , then from (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}(\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}) &\leq L(\Pi \circ \gamma) = \int_a^b |d\Pi(\gamma'(t))| dt \\ &\leq \rho \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt = \rho L(\gamma) = \rho d(p, q) < \rho r, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{d}$  and  $d$  denote the distance in  $R_a^n$  and  $M^n$ , respectively. This prove our claim.

Let  $dV$  denotes the  $n$ -dimensional volume element on  $R_a^n$ . Using (3.3) and (3.6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^*(dV)(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \det(d\Pi(X_1), \dots, d\Pi(X_n), a) = \det(X_1, \dots, X_n, a) \\ &= -\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle \det(X_1, \dots, X_n, e_{n+1}) \\ &= -\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle dM(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{aligned}$$

for any tangent vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of  $M^n$ . In other words,

$$(3.9) \quad \Pi^*(dV) = -\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle dM.$$

Since  $\Pi(B(p, r)) \subset \tilde{B}(\tilde{p}, \rho r)$  and  $\Pi : M^n \rightarrow R_a^n$  is a diffeomorphism, it follows from (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^n r^n \omega_n &= \text{vol}(\tilde{B}(\tilde{p}, \rho r)) \geq \text{vol}(\Pi(B(p, r))) = \int_{\Pi(B(p, r))} dV \\ (3.10) \quad &= \int_{B(p, r)} \Pi^*(dV) = \int_{B(p, r)} -\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle dM \geq \int_{B(p, r)} dM = \text{vol}(B(p, r)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_n$  denotes the volume of unit ball in Euclidean  $n$ -space. (3.10) means that the order of the volume growth of  $M^n$  is not larger than  $n$ , thus by [2] we see that  $\lambda_1(M) = 0$ , and Theorem 2 is proved.

Next we want to prove Theorem 1. Using (2.1)–(2.6), a standard computation shows that

$$(3.11) \quad \Delta \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle = \langle a, n \nabla H \rangle + S \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle,$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $M^n$ , and  $\nabla H$  the gradient of mean curvature. Let  $f = \log(-\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle)$ , then from (3.11) we have

$$(3.12) \quad \Delta f = S + \frac{\langle a, n \nabla H \rangle}{\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle} - \frac{|\nabla \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2}{\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle^2}.$$

It is clear from (3.7) that

$$(3.13) \quad |\langle a, n \nabla H \rangle| \leq n |\nabla H| \cdot |a^T| \leq n |\nabla H| \cdot |\langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle|.$$

In order to estimate the quantity  $|\nabla \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2$ , we need the following lemma which can be easily verified by the method of Lagrange multipliers.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  satisfy  $\sum_i \lambda_i = nH$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i^2 = S$ , then*

$$\max_i \{\lambda_i\} \leq H + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}(S - nH^2)}.$$

We can assume that the local frames  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  diagonalize the second fundamental form, i.e., we have  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ , thus by (3.7) and Lemma 4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle|^2 &= \sum_i (e_i \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle)^2 = \sum_i (\lambda_i \langle a^T, e_i \rangle)^2 \leq \max_i \{\lambda_i^2\} |a^T|^2 \\ (3.14) \quad &\leq \left( \frac{n-1}{n} S - (n-2)H^2 + 2H \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}(S - nH^2)} \right) \langle a, e_{n+1} \rangle^2. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12)–(3.14) we get

$$(3.15) \quad \Delta f \geq \frac{1}{n} S + (n-2)H^2 - 2H \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}(S - nH^2)} - n|\nabla H|.$$

Now suppose that the numbers  $A, B$  defined by (1.1) and (1.2) are both positive constants. For any domain  $\Omega \subset M^n$  with compact closure and nonempty boundary, let  $X = \nabla f$ , then (3.14) and (3.15) implies that  $\operatorname{div} X = \Delta f \geq A$ ,  $\|X\|_\infty \leq B$  and consequently,  $X \in \chi(\Omega)$ , and  $c(\Omega) \geq A/B$ . By Lemma 3, we have

$$(3.16) \quad \lambda_1(\Omega) \geq \frac{c(\Omega)^2}{4} \geq \frac{A^2}{4B^2}.$$

Since  $\Omega \subset M^n$  is arbitrary, (3.16) implies (1.3), and so we are done.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Cheung, L. F. and Leung, P. F., *Eigenvalue estimates for submanifolds with bounded mean curvature in the hyperbolic space*, Math. Z. **236** (2001), 525–530.
- [2] Cheng, S. Y. and Yau, S. T., *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 333–354.
- [3] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol II, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [4] Mckean, H. P., *An upper bound for the spectrum of  $\Delta$  on a manifold of negative curvature*, J. Differential Geometry **4** (1970), 359–366.
- [5] Pacellibessa, G. and Montenegro, J. F., *Eigenvalue estimates for submanifolds with locally bounded mean curvature*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **24** (2003), 279–290.
- [6] Schoen, R. and Yau, S. T., *Lectures on differential geometry*, Lecture Notes in Geom. Topo. **1** (1994).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MINJIANG UNIVERSITY  
FUZHOU, FUJIAN, 350108, P. R. CHINA  
E-mail: bingyewu@yahoo.com.cn; wubingye@zjnu.cn

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FUDAN UNIVERSITY  
SHANGHAI 200433, P. R. CHINA