

Thomas W. Müller; Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta  
Asymptotic stability for sets of polynomials

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 41 (2005), No. 2, 151--155

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107945>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY FOR SETS OF POLYNOMIALS

THOMAS W. MÜLLER AND JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of asymptotic stability for a set of complex functions analytic around the origin, implicitly contained in an earlier paper of the first mentioned author (“Finite group actions and asymptotic expansion of  $e^{P(z)}$ ”, *Combinatorica* 17 (1997), 523 – 554). As a consequence of our main result we find that the collection of entire functions  $\exp(\mathfrak{P})$  with  $\mathfrak{P}$  the set of all real polynomials  $P(z)$  satisfying Hayman’s condition  $[z^n]\exp(P(z)) > 0$  ( $n \geq n_0$ ) is asymptotically stable. This answers a question raised in loc. cit.

### 1. ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a set of complex functions analytic in the origin, and for  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  let  $f(z) = \sum_n \alpha_n^f z^n$  be the expansion of  $f$  around 0.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is termed *asymptotically stable*, if

- (i)  $\forall f \in \mathfrak{F} \exists n_f \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \geq n_f : \alpha_n^f \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall f, g \in \mathfrak{F} : \alpha_n^f \sim \alpha_n^g \rightarrow f = g$  in a neighbourhood of 0.

Here, for arithmetic functions  $f$  and  $g$ , the notation  $f(n) \sim g(n)$  is short for

$$g(n) = f(n)(1 + o(1)), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A set of polynomials  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z]$  is called asymptotically stable, if the set of entire functions

$$\mathfrak{F} = \exp(\mathfrak{P}) := \{e^{P(z)} : P(z) \in \mathfrak{P}\}$$

is asymptotically stable. Define the degree of the zero polynomial to be  $-1$ . For a polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{\delta=0}^d c_\delta z^\delta$  of exact degree  $d \geq 1$  with real coefficients  $c_\delta$  consider the following two conditions:

- ( $\mathcal{G}$ )  $c_\delta = 0$  for  $d/2 < \delta < d$ ,
- ( $\mathcal{H}$ )  $[z^n]e^{P(z)} > 0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30B10.

*Key words and phrases*: power series, coefficients, asymptotic expansion.

Received May 21, 2003, revised August 2004.

Here,  $[z^n]f(z)$  denotes the coefficient of  $z^n$  in the expansion of  $f(z)$  around the origin. Asymptotically stable sets of functions first appeared in [3], where it was shown among other things that the set of polynomials

$$\mathfrak{P}_0 = \{P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z] : P(z) \text{ satisfies } (\mathcal{G}) \text{ and } (\mathcal{H})\}$$

is asymptotically stable. Since for a finite group  $G$  we have<sup>1</sup>

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathrm{Hom}(G, S_n)| \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp\left(\sum_{\nu} |\{U : (G : U) = \nu\}| \frac{z^\nu}{\nu}\right),$$

asymptotic stability of  $\mathfrak{P}_0$  implies in particular the following curious phenomenon (“asymptotic stability” of finite groups):

*If for two finite groups  $G$  and  $H$  we have  $|\mathrm{Hom}(G, S_n)| \sim |\mathrm{Hom}(H, S_n)|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then these arithmetic functions must in fact coincide.*

Condition  $(\mathcal{H})$  arises in the work of Hayman [2], where it is shown that for a real polynomial  $P(z)$  of degree at least 1 the function  $e^{P(z)}$  is admissible in the complex plane in the sense of [2, pp. 68 - 69] if and only if  $(\mathcal{H})$  holds; cf. [2, Theorem X]. The gap condition  $(\mathcal{G})$  has turned out to be an efficient way of exploiting the fact that polynomials  $P(z)$  arising from enumerative problems very often have the property that

$$\mathrm{supp}(P(z)) \subseteq \{\delta : \delta \mid \deg(P(z))\}.$$

In [3] the question was raised whether condition  $(\mathcal{G})$  could be dropped while still maintaining asymptotic stability, i.e., whether the larger set of polynomials

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{P} = \{P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z] : P(z) \text{ satisfies } (\mathcal{H})\}$$

is asymptotically stable. The purpose of this note is to establish the following result, which in particular provides an affirmative answer to the latter question.

**Theorem.** *Let  $P_1(z), P_2(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  satisfy Hayman’s condition  $(\mathcal{H})$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  let  $\{\alpha_n^{(i)}\}_{n \geq 0}$  be the coefficients of  $e^{P_i(z)}$ , and put  $\Delta(z) := P_1(z) - P_2(z)$  as well as  $m := \max(\deg(P_1(z)), \deg(P_2(z)))$ .*

(i) *Suppose that either  $0 \leq \mu < m$ , or  $\mu = m$  and  $\deg(P_1(z)) = \deg(P_2(z))$ .*

*Then we have  $\deg(\Delta(z)) = \mu$  if and only if  $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n^{\mu/m}$ .*

(ii) *If  $\deg(P_1(z)) \neq \deg(P_2(z))$ , then  $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n \log n$ .*

Here,  $f(n) \asymp g(n)$  means that  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  are of the same order of magnitude; that is, there exist positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $c_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq c_2 f(n)$  for all  $n$ .

**Corollary.** *The set of polynomials  $\mathfrak{P}$  defined in (1) is asymptotically stable.*

**Proof.** If  $P_1(z), P_2(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$  are polynomials satisfying condition  $(\mathcal{H})$  as well as  $\alpha_n^{(1)} \sim \alpha_n^{(2)}$ , then  $\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = o(1)$ . By our theorem,  $\deg(\Delta(z)) \notin [0, m]$ , and hence  $P_1(z) = P_2(z)$ .  $\square$

<sup>1</sup>Cf. for instance [1, Prop. 1] or [4, Exercise 5.13].

## 2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

For  $i = 1, 2$  put  $P_i(z) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{d_i} c_{\delta}^{(i)} z^{\delta}$  with  $c_{d_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$ . Our assumptions that  $P_1(z)$  and  $P_2(z)$  have real coefficients and satisfy  $(\mathcal{H})$  ensure via [2, Theorem X] that the functions  $\exp(P_i(z))$  are admissible in the complex plane; in particular, in view of [2, formula (1.2)], we have  $c_{d_i}^{(i)} > 0$ . By [2, Theorem I] we find that, for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\alpha_n^{(i)} \sim \frac{\exp(P_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)}))}{(\vartheta_n^{(i)})^n \sqrt{2\pi b_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)})}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where  $\vartheta_n^{(i)}$  is the positive real root of the equation  $\vartheta P_i'(\vartheta) = n$ , and  $b_i(\vartheta) = \vartheta P_i'(\vartheta) + \vartheta^2 P_i''(\vartheta)$ . Since  $c_{d_i}^{(i)} > 0$ , the root  $\vartheta_n^{(i)}$  is well defined and increasing for sufficiently large  $n$ , and unbounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This gives  $\vartheta_n^{(i)} \sim \left(\frac{n}{d_i c_{d_i}^{(i)}}\right)^{1/d_i}$  and  $b_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)}) \sim d_i n$ , and hence

$$(2) \quad \alpha_n^{(i)} \sim \frac{\exp(P_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)}))}{(\vartheta_n^{(i)})^n \sqrt{2\pi d_i n}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Formula (2) implies that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} &= P_1(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) - n(\log \vartheta_n^{(1)} - \log \vartheta_n^{(2)}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\log d_1 - \log d_2) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

First consider case (ii), that is, the case when  $d_1 \neq d_2$ . Then, by (3),

$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = \left(\frac{1}{d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1}\right)n \log n + \mathcal{O}(n),$$

that is,

$$|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n \log n$$

as claimed.<sup>2</sup> Next suppose that  $d_1 = d_2$ . Then the right-hand side of (3) becomes

$$d_1^{-1} \log(c_{d_1}^{(1)}/c_{d_2}^{(2)})n + o(n);$$

in particular, we have  $\deg(\Delta(z)) = m$  if and only if  $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n$ , which proves the last part of (i). Thirdly, for  $m = 1$ ,

$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = c_0^{(1)} - c_0^{(2)} + n \log(c_1^{(1)}/c_1^{(2)}) + o(1),$$

in particular,  $\deg(\Delta(z)) = 0$  if and only if  $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp 1$ . Hence, we may assume for the remainder of the argument that  $m \geq 2$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Here, as well as in certain other places below, a more precise estimate than the one stated is obtained, but not needed in the argument.

Now suppose that  $0 \leq \mu := \deg(\Delta(z)) < m$ . We want to show that in this case  $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n^{\mu/m}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad n - \vartheta_n^{(1)} P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) &= \vartheta_n^{(1)} [P_1'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)})] \\
 &= \vartheta_n^{(1)} \Delta'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) \\
 &= a\mu(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^\mu + o(n^{\mu/m}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  is the leading coefficient of  $\Delta(z)$ , which we may suppose without loss of generality to be positive. Expanding  $\vartheta P_2'(\vartheta)$  as Taylor series around  $\vartheta_n^{(1)}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad \vartheta P_2'(\vartheta) - \vartheta_n^{(1)} P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) &= \left( c_m^{(2)} m^2 (\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-1} + \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{m-2}{m}}) \right) (\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)}) \\
 &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left( n^{\frac{m-2}{m}} (\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)})^2 + (\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)})^m \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\vartheta$  runs through the interval

$$I = \left[ \vartheta_n^{(1)} - \frac{2a\mu}{m^2 c_m^{(1)}}, \vartheta_n^{(1)} + \frac{2a\mu}{m^2 c_m^{(1)}} \right],$$

the right-hand side of (5) covers a range containing the interval

$$\left[ -(2 - \varepsilon)a\mu(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-1}, (2 - \varepsilon)a\mu(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-1} \right]$$

for every given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $n$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ . Combining this observation with (4), we find that  $n - \vartheta P_2'(\vartheta)$  changes sign in  $I$ , that is,  $\vartheta_n^{(2)} \in I$  for large  $n$ ; in particular we have  $\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ . Since  $m \geq 2$ , setting  $\vartheta = \vartheta_n^{(2)}$  in (5) and rewriting the left-hand side via (4) now gives

$$(6) \quad a\mu(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^\mu = \left( c_m^{(1)} m^2 (\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-1} + \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{m-2}{m}}) \right) (\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}) + o(n^{\mu/m}).$$

For  $x, y$  real,  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $x - y = \mathcal{O}(1)$ ,

$$P_2(x) - P_2(y) = (x - y) P_2'(x) + \mathcal{O}((x - y) x^{m-2}).$$

Hence, applying (6), we have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) &= \Delta(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + P_2(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) \\
 &= \Delta(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + (\vartheta_n^{(1)} - \vartheta_n^{(2)}) P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + \mathcal{O}((\vartheta_n^{(1)} - \vartheta_n^{(2)})(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-2}) \\
 &= a \left( 1 - \frac{\mu}{m} \right) \left( \frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}} \right)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (6) again,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \vartheta_n^{(2)} - \log \vartheta_n^{(1)} &= \log \left( 1 + \frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}} + o\left(\frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}}\right) \\ &= \frac{a\mu}{m} n^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}}\right)^{\mu/m} + o\left(n^{\frac{\mu-m}{m}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these estimates in (3) now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} &= a \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{m}\right) \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}}\right)^{\mu/m} + \frac{a\mu}{m} \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}}\right)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m}) \\ &= a \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}}\right)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m}) \asymp n^{\mu/m}, \end{aligned}$$

and our theorem is proven.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dress, A. and Müller, T., *Decomposable functors and the exponential principle*, Adv. in Math. **129** (1997), 188–221.
- [2] Hayman, W., *A generalisation of Stirling's formula*, J. Reine u. Angew. Math. **196** (1956), 67–95.
- [3] Müller, T., *Finite group actions and asymptotic expansion of  $e^{P(z)}$* , Combinatorica **17** (1997), 523–554.
- [4] Stanley, R. P., *Enumerative Combinatorics* vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

THOMAS W. MÜLLER

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY AND WESTFIELD COLLEGE  
MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, ENGLAND

*E-mail:* T.W.Muller@qmul.ac.uk

JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG  
ECKERSTRASSE 1, 79104 FREIBURG, GERMANY

*E-mail:* jcp@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de