

Pei Guang Wang; Min Wang

Oscillation of a class of higher order neutral differential equations

*Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 40 (2004), No. 2, 201--208

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107901>

## Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## OSCILLATION OF A CLASS OF HIGHER ORDER NEUTRAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

PEIGUANG WANG AND MIN WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate a class of higher order neutral functional differential equations, and obtain some new oscillatory criteria of solutions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem determining oscillatory criteria for higher order differential equations has attracted a great deal of attention in the last several years, We mention here the literatures of Bainov and Mishev [2], Erbe, Kong and Zhang [3], Ladas and Sficas [4], Grace [5, 6], Agarwal, Grace and O'Regan [1] and references cited therein. In this paper, we consider a class of higher order nonlinear neutral functional differential equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad [x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)]^{(n)} + q(t)f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0$$

in which  $n \geq 2$  is an even number,  $\tau > 0$  is a constant.  $p(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$ ,  $0 \leq p(t) \leq 1$ ;  $q(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R_+)$  is not identically zero on any ray  $[t_1, \infty)$ ,  $t_1 > t_0$ ;  $g_i(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), R)$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_i(t) = \infty$ ;  $f(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in C(R^m, R)$  is nondecreasing on  $u_i$ ,  $i \in I_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

We restrict our attention to proper solutions of equation (1.1), i.e. to nonconstant solutions existing on  $[T, \infty)$  for some  $T \geq t_0$  and satisfying  $\sup_{t \geq T} |x(t)| > 0$ . A proper solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if it does not have the largest zero, otherwise, it is called nonoscillatory. The existence of oscillatory solutions for functional differential equations of neutral type can be found in [7].

We note that a special case of equations (1.1) is the following equation

$$(1.2) \quad [x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau)]^{(n)} + q(t)x[\sigma(t)] = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 > 0.$$

Erbe, Kong and Zhang [3] discussed the problem of oscillation of solution, and gave the following theorem

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34K11, 34K40.

*Key words and phrases*: higher order, nonlinear, neutral equation, oscillation.

Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province of China (A2004000089).

Received June 5, 2002.

**Theorem A.** Assume that the following conditions hold

A<sub>1</sub>)  $0 \leq p(t) \leq 1$ ,  $q(t) \geq 0$ , and  $q(t)$  is not identical zero on any ray  $[t_1, \infty)$ ,  $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$ ;

A<sub>2</sub>)  $0 < \sigma(t) \leq t$ ,  $0 < \sigma'(t) \leq 1$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ .

If there exists a function  $\rho(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^{m-3}(\rho'(s)(t-s) - (m-1)\rho(s))^2}{\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)\rho(s)} ds < \infty,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} \int_{t_0}^t (t-s)^{m-1} \rho(s)q(s)(1-p(\sigma(s))) ds = \infty,$$

in which  $m \geq 3$  is a integer, then every solution of equation (1.2) is oscillatory.

The aim of this paper is obtain some new oscillatory criteria for equation (1.1) by introducing parameter functions  $H(t, s)$  and  $h(t, s)$ . The results generalize and improve Theorem A at the same time.

The following Lemmas will be found in [8] which are useful for the proof of main results.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $u(t)$  be a positive and  $n$  times differentiable function on  $R_+$ . If  $u^{(n)}(t)$  is of constant sign and not identically zero on any ray  $[t_1, +\infty)$  for  $(t_1 > 0)$ , then there exists a  $t_u \geq t_1$  and an integer  $l$  ( $0 \leq l \leq n$ ), with  $n + l$  even for  $u(t)u^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$  or  $n + l$  odd for  $u(t)u^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ ; and for  $t \geq t_u$ ,

$$u^{(k)}(t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq k < l; \quad (-1)^{k-l}u^{(k)}(t) > 0, \quad l \leq k < n.$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 1 is satisfied, and

$$u^{(n-1)}(t)u^{(n)}(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_u,$$

then for any  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and sufficiently large  $t$ , sufficiently large  $t$ , there exists a constant  $M_\theta$  satisfying

$$(1.5) \quad |u'(\theta t)| \geq M_\theta t^{n-2} |u^{(n-1)}(t)|.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We say that a function  $H = H(t, s)$  belong to a function class  $X$ , denoted by  $H \in X$ , if  $H \in C'(D, R_+)$ , where  $D = \{(t, s) | t \geq s \geq t_0\}$  which satisfies

$$H(t, t) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad H(t, s) > 0, \quad t > s \geq t_0;$$

moreover, there exists a function  $h(t, s) \in C(D, R)$  such that

$$H_s'(t, s) \leq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad -H_s'(t, s) = h(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}, \quad (t, s) \in D.$$

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the following conditions hold

(H<sub>1</sub>) there exists a function  $\sigma(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that  $\sigma(t) \leq g_i(t) \leq t$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ ,  $i \in I_m$ ;

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $f(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  have same sign with  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  when  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  have same sign,  $i \in I_m = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and there exist constants  $N > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \liminf_{|u_i| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(u_1, \dots, u_m)}{u_1} \right| \geq \lambda, \quad |u_i| \geq N, \quad (i \neq 1).$$

If there exists a function  $\rho(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ , such that for any  $H \in X$

$$(2.2) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds = \infty,$$

in which  $M_\theta$  is a constant, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), and  $x(t)$  be an eventually positive solution. From the assumption of  $g_i(t)$  and  $f(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ , there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$ , such that

$$x(t - \tau) > 0, \quad x[g_i(t)] > 0, \quad f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) > 0, \quad i \in I_m.$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad y(t) = x(t) + p(t)x(t - \tau),$$

then  $y(t) > 0$ ,  $t \geq t_1$ , and from (1.1),  $y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ , and  $y^{(n)}(t)$  is not identical zero on any  $[t_1, \infty)$ . Thus, from Lemma 1, there are exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  and a odd  $l(0 < l < n)$  such that

$$y^{(k)}(t) > 0, \quad 0 \leq k < l, \quad (-1)^{k-l} y^{(k)}(t) > 0, \quad l \leq k < n, \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Choosing  $k = 1$  and  $k = n - 1$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad y'(t) > 0, \quad y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0, \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Furthermore, from Lemma 2, and noting that  $y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ , there exists  $M_\theta > 0$  and  $t_3 \geq t_2$ , such that

$$(2.5) \quad y' \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right] \geq M_\theta \sigma^{n-2}(t) y^{(n-1)}[\sigma(t)] \geq M_\theta \sigma^{n-2}(t) y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \geq t_3.$$

Let

$$(2.6) \quad z(t) = \frac{y^{(n-1)}(t)}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]},$$

then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} z'(t) &= -q(t) \frac{f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)])}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(t) z(t) \frac{y' \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \quad t \geq t_3, \end{aligned}$$

for  $I_1$ , from (2.3),  $(H_1) - (H_2)$ ,  $y(t) \geq x(t)$  and  $y'(t) > 0$ , we have

$$I_1 \leq -\lambda q(t) \frac{x[g_1(t)]}{y[\frac{\sigma(t)}{2}]} \leq -\lambda q(t) \frac{\{1 - p[g_1(t)]\}y[g_1(t)]}{y[\frac{\sigma(t)}{2}]} \leq -\lambda q(t)\{1 - p[g_1(t)]\},$$

for  $I_2$ , from (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\sigma'(t)z(t) \frac{y'[\frac{\sigma(t)}{2}]}{y[\frac{\sigma(t)}{2}]} \geq \frac{M_\theta}{2}\sigma'(t)\sigma^{n-2}(t)z^2(t),$$

then

$$(2.8) \quad z'(t) \leq -\lambda q(t)\{1 - p[g_1(t)]\} - \frac{M_\theta}{2}\sigma'(t)\sigma^{n-2}(t)z^2(t), \quad t \geq t_3.$$

For any  $H \in X$ , from (2.8), for any  $t > T \geq t_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^t \lambda H(t, s)\rho(s)q(s)\{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} ds \leq - \int_T^t H(t, s)\rho(s)z'(s) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{M_\theta}{2} \int_T^t H(t, s)\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)z^2(s) ds \\ & = H(t, T)\rho(T)z(T) + \int_T^t \left( \rho'(s)H(t, s) - h(t, s)\sqrt{H(t, s)}\rho(s) \right) z(s) ds \\ & \quad - \frac{M_\theta}{2} \int_T^t H(t, s)\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)z^2(s) ds \\ & = H(t, T)\rho(T)z(T) \\ & \quad - \frac{M_\theta}{2} \int_T^t \left[ \sqrt{H(t, s)\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)}z(s) - \frac{\rho'(s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s)\rho(s)}{M_\theta\sqrt{\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)}} \right]^2 ds \\ & \quad + \int_T^t \frac{\left[ \rho'(s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s)\rho(s) \right]^2}{2M_\theta\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)} ds \\ & \leq H(t, T)\rho(T)z(T) + \int_T^t \frac{\left[ \rho'(s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s)\rho(s) \right]^2}{2M_\theta\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s)\rho(s)q(s)\{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{\left[ \rho'(s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s)\rho(s) \right]^2}{2M_\theta\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \\ & \leq H(t, T)\rho(T)z(T). \end{aligned}$$

From  $H'_s(t, s) \leq 0$ , for  $t_3 \geq t_0$ , we have  $H(t, t_3) \leq H(t, t_0)$ , thus

$$\int_{t_3}^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \leq H(t, t_3) \rho(t_3) z(t_3) \leq H(t, t_0) \rho(t_3) z(t_3).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \left( \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{t_3}^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \right) \\ & \leq \rho(t_3) z(t_3) + \lambda \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \frac{H(t, s)}{H(t, t_0)} \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} ds \\ & \leq z(t_3) + \lambda \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Let  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_3}^t \left\{ \lambda H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds \\ & \leq \rho(t_3) z(t_3) + \lambda \int_{t_0}^{t_3} \rho(s) q(s) \{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} ds, \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

which contradicts (2.2).

If  $x(t)$  is an eventually negative solution of equation (1.1), let  $x^*(t) = -x(t)$ , then equation (1.1) transfer to

$$(1.1^*) \quad [x^*(t) + p(t)x^*(t - \tau)]^{(n)} + q(t)f^*(x^*[g_1(t)], \dots, x^*[g_m(t)]) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

in which  $f^*(x^*[g_1(t)], \dots, x^*[g_m(t)]) = -f(-x^*[g_1(t)], \dots, -x^*[g_m(t)])$ , and  $x^*(t)$  is an eventually positive solution of equation (1.1<sup>\*</sup>),  $f^*$  satisfies  $(H_1) - (H_2)$ , then similar to the case of  $x(t) > 0$ , we can also obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

From Theorem 1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Suppose that the conditions  $(H_1) - (H_2)$  hold, and there exists a function  $\rho(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ , such that for any  $H \in X$*

$$(2.11) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t H(t, s)\rho(s)q(s)\{1 - p[g_1(s)]\} ds = \infty,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{[\rho'(s)\sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s)\rho(s)]^2}{\rho(s)\sigma'(s)\sigma^{n-2}(s)} ds < \infty,$$

then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Remark 1.** When  $f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) \equiv x[\sigma(t)]$ , choosing  $H(t, s) = (t - s)^{m-1}$ , then it is clear that Corollary 1 reduce to Theorem A.

**Example 1.** Consider the high-order equation

$$(*) \quad [x(t) + (1 - \frac{1}{t})x(t - \tau)]^{(4)} + \frac{1}{t}x(t)(1 + x^2(t - \frac{1}{2}))(1 + x^2(t - 1)) = 0, \quad t \geq 1,$$

where  $p(t) = 1 - \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $q(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ ,  $g_1(t) = x(t)$ ,  $g_2(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $g_3(t) = t - 1$ ,  $m = 3$ ,  $f(x[g_1(t)], x[g_2(t)], x[g_3(t)]) = f(x, y, z) = x(1 + y^2)(1 + z^2)$ .

Taking  $H(t, s) = (t - s)^{k-1}$ ,  $h(t, s) = (k - 1)(t - s)^{\frac{k-3}{2}}$ ,  $\rho(s) = s$ , in which  $(t, s) \in D$  and  $k > 2$  is a constant. It is easy known that the condition of Corollary 1 are satisfied, then all solutions of equation (\*) are oscillatory.

When  $f(x[g_1(t)], \dots, x[g_m(t)]) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x[g_i(t)])$ , in which  $f_i(x) \in C(R, R)$ ,  $xf_i(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $i \in I_m$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that the following conditions hold*

$(H_3)$  *there exists a function  $\sigma(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  such that  $\sigma(t) = \inf_{s \geq t} \{s, \min_{i \in I_m} \{g_i(s)\}\}$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty$ ,  $i \in I_m$ ;*

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\frac{f_i(x)}{x} \geq \lambda_i > 0, x \neq 0$ , where  $\lambda_i > 0$  are some constants,  $i \in I_m$ . If there exists a function  $\rho(t) \in C'([t_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ , such that for any  $H \in X$

$$(2.13) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ H(t, s) \rho(s) q(s) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \{1 - p[g_i(s)]\} - \frac{[\rho'(s) \sqrt{H(t, s)} - h(t, s) \rho(s)]^2}{2M_\theta \rho(s) \sigma'(s) \sigma^{n-2}(s)} \right\} ds = \infty,$$

in which  $M_\theta$  is a constant, then every solution of equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Suppose that equation (1.1) has a nonoscillatory solution  $x(t) > 0$ . By using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that  $y(t) > 0, y'(t) > 0, y^{(n-1)}(t) > 0$  and  $y^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ , and there exists  $M_\theta > 0$  and  $t_2 \geq t_1$ , such that

$$(2.5) \quad y' \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right] \geq M_\theta \sigma^{n-2}(t) y^{(n-1)}[\sigma(t)] \geq M_\theta \sigma^{n-2}(t) y^{(n-1)}(t), \quad t \geq t_2.$$

Let

$$(2.6) \quad z(t) = \frac{y^{(n-1)}(t)}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]},$$

then

$$(2.14) \quad z'(t) = -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f_i(x[g_i(t)])}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma'(t) z(t) \frac{y' \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]}, \quad t \geq t_2.$$

From (2.3), (H<sub>3</sub>) – (H<sub>4</sub>),  $y(t) \geq x(t)$  and  $y'(t) > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{f_i(x[g_i(t)])}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} &\leq -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{x[g_i(t)]}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} \\ &= -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{y[g_i(t)] - p[g_i(t)]x[g_i(t) - \tau]}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} \\ &\leq -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \frac{\{1 - p[g_i(t)]\}y[g_i(t)]}{y \left[ \frac{\sigma(t)}{2} \right]} \\ &\leq -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \{1 - p[g_i(t)]\}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(2.15) \quad z'(t) \leq -q(t) \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \{1 - p[g_1(t)]\} - \frac{M_\theta}{2} \sigma'(t) \sigma^{n-2}(t) z^2(t), \quad t \geq t_2.$$

The remainder proof is as same as proof of Theorem 1, we omit it. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □



**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Grace, S. R., O'Regan, D., *Oscillation criteria for certain  $n$ th order differential equations with deviating arguments*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **262** (2001), 601–622.
- [2] Bainov, D. D, Mishev, D. P., *Oscillation Theory for Neutral Differential Equations with Delay*, Adam Hilger, Bristol 1991.
- [3] Erbe, L. H., Kong, Q. K., Zhang, B. Q., *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1995.
- [4] Ladas, G., Sficas, Y. D., *Oscillations of higher-order equations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. B **27** (1986), 502–511.
- [5] Grace, S. R., *Oscillation of even order nonlinear functional differential equation with deviating arguments*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **32** (1989), 265–272.
- [6] Grace, S. R., *Oscillation theorems of comparison type for neutral nonlinear functional differential equation*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **45**, 4 (1995), 609–626.
- [7] Jaroš, J., Kusano, T., *Existence of oscillatory solutions for functional differential equations of neutral type*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.) **60**, No. 2 (1991), 185–194.
- [8] Philos, Ch. G., *A new criterion for the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of delay differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci. Mat. **29** (1981), 367–370.

COLLEGE OF ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION ENGINEERING  
HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING, 071002  
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
*E-mail:* pgwang@mail.hbu.edu.cn