

Jerzy Popena; Ewa Schmeidel

On the asymptotically periodic solution of some linear difference equations

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 35 (1999), No. 1, 13--19

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107681>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON THE ASYMPTOTICALLY PERIODIC SOLUTION OF SOME
LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

JERZY POPENDA AND EWA SCHMEIDEL

ABSTRACT. For the linear difference equation

$$x_{n+1} - a_n x_n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_n^{(i)} x_{n+i}, \quad n \in N$$

sufficient conditions for the existence of an asymptotically periodic solutions are given.

In the paper by N , R , R_0 we denote the set of positive integers, real numbers, and nonnegative real numbers respectively.

For any function $y : N \rightarrow R$ the forward difference operator Δ is defined as follows:

$$\Delta y_n = y_{n+1} - y_n, \quad n \in N.$$

Using the method we have applied in [3] to get existence of constant approaching solutions of difference equations, we consider existence of asymptotically periodic solutions of the equation

$$(E) \quad x_{n+1} - a_n x_n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_n^{(i)} x_{n+i}, \quad n \in N.$$

Definition. The sequence $v : N \rightarrow R$ is periodic (σ -periodic), if $v_{n+\sigma} = v_n$ for all $n \in N$. The sequence $v : N \rightarrow R$ is asymptotically periodic (asymptotically σ -periodic) if there exist two sequences $u, w : N \rightarrow R$ such that u is periodic (σ -periodic), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = 0$, and $v_n = u_n + w_n$ for all $n \in N$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is called generalized solution of (E) if it satisfies (E) for all n sufficiently large.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39A10.

Key words and phrases: difference equation, asymptotic behaviour.

Received June 12, 1997.

Theorem 1. Let $a^{(i)} : N \rightarrow R$, $a : N \rightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$ be σ -periodic and such that $\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} a_j = 1$, let furthermore

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j^{(i)}| < \infty, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

Then for arbitrary $C \in R$, $C \neq 0$ there exists asymptotically σ -periodic generalized solution x of (E) such that

$$(2) \quad x_n = C \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j + o(1).$$

If moreover

$$(3) \quad a_n^0 \neq -a_n$$

for each $n \in N$ then these solutions can be extended to the left up to $n = 1$.

Proof. Let us observe that if u is a solution of (E) such that $u_n = C \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j + o(1)$ with $C > 0$, then the sequence $\{-u_n\}$ is also the solution of (E) and have the same asymptotic properties with $C < 0$. Therefore we restrict our considerations to the case $C > 0$.

Since $C > 0$ there exists a positive constant ε such that $C - \varepsilon > 0$. Let us denote

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1 &= C + \varepsilon, \\ \alpha_n &= C_1 \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}|, \quad n \in N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(5) \quad b_n^{(0)} = \frac{1}{a_n} a_n^{(0)}, \quad b_n^{(1)} = a_n^{(1)}, \quad b_n^{(i)} = \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{n+i-1} a_k \right) a_n^{(i)}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, r$, $n \in N$.

Notice that by periodicity of $\{a_n\}$ and condition (1) the series

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

are absolutely convergent (also for $i = 0$ because of $a_n \neq 0$).

Therefore there exists $n_1 \in N$ such that $\alpha_n \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. So we can define

$$I = [C - \varepsilon, C + \varepsilon], \quad I_n = [C - \alpha_n, C + \alpha_n]$$

for $n \geq n_1$. It is evident that $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ and

$$(6) \quad \text{diam } I_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let l_∞ denotes the Banach space of bounded sequences $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$.

Now $\mathbf{T} \subset l_\infty$ be such a set that $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{T}$ if

$$\begin{cases} x_n = C & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 - 1 \\ x_n \in I_n & \text{for } n \geq n_1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that \mathbf{T} is a closed, convex and compact subset of l_∞ . By (6), for arbitrary $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ we can set up a finite ε_1 -net for the set \mathbf{T} . Hence by Hausdorff's theorem \mathbf{T} is really compact.

Define now an operator \mathcal{A} . Let $y = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathcal{A}y = \eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ if

$$\eta_n = \begin{cases} C & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 - 1 \\ C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} y_{j+i} & \text{for } n \geq n_1. \end{cases}$$

By absolute convergence of series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)}$ and boundedness of the sequence y the operator \mathcal{A} is well defined on the set \mathbf{T} . Furthermore

$$|\eta_n - C| \leq \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}| |y_{j+i}| \leq C_1 \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}|$$

because $|y_n| \leq C + \alpha_n \leq C_1$ for all $n \in N$, so $|\eta_n - C| \leq \alpha_n$ that is $\eta_n \in I_n$.

Therefore \mathcal{A} maps the set \mathbf{T} into \mathbf{T} . We now prove that \mathcal{A} is continuous on \mathbf{T} .

Take any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Let $x = \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $y = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be any two elements of the set \mathbf{T} such that $\|x - y\| < \delta_1$ where $\delta_1 = \frac{C_1 \varepsilon_1}{\alpha_{n_1}}$. Then the absolute convergence of series

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} x_{j+i}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} y_{j+i}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}x - \mathcal{A}y\| &= \sup_{n \in N} |(\mathcal{A}x)_n - (\mathcal{A}y)_n| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \geq n_1} \left| \left[C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} x_{j+i} \right] - \left[C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} y_{j+i} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{n \geq n_1} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}| |x_{j+i} - y_{j+i}| \leq \|x - y\| \sup_{n \geq n_1} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}| \\ &\leq \delta_1 \frac{\alpha_{n_1}}{C_1} < \frac{C_1 \varepsilon_1}{\alpha_{n_1}} \frac{\alpha_{n_1}}{C_1} = \varepsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

from there we can deduce that operator \mathcal{A} is continuous on the set \mathbf{T} . Hence by Schauder fixed point theorem there exists a solution of the operator equation $x = \mathcal{A}x$ in \mathbf{T} . Let $z = \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be this fixed point of \mathcal{A} . Then

$$z = \{C, \dots, C, z_{n_1}, \dots, z_n, \dots\}$$

while from the other hand

$$\mathcal{A}z = \left\{ C, \dots, C, C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} z_{j+i}, \dots, C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} z_{j+i}, \dots \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$(7) \quad z_n = C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} z_{j+i}, \quad \text{for } n \geq n_1.$$

Notice that by (6) and $z \in \mathbf{T}$, we have $z_n \rightarrow C$ because $z_n \in I_n$. In other words

$$(8) \quad z = C + o(1).$$

Let us take

$$(9) \quad v_n = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \right) z_n, \quad \text{i.e. } z_n = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_k} \right) v_n.$$

Substituting (9) into (7) we get

$$v_n \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_k} = C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} v_{j+i} \prod_{k=1}^{j+i-1} \frac{1}{a_k}.$$

From there

$$\Delta \left(v_n \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_k} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^r b_n^{(i)} v_{n+i} \prod_{k=1}^{n+i-1} \frac{1}{a_k}.$$

Hence, by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+1} - a_n v_n &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \sum_{i=0}^r b_n^{(i)} v_{n+i} \prod_{k=1}^{n+i-1} \frac{1}{a_k} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^n a_k \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{a_n} a_n^{(0)} v_n \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_k} + a_n^{(1)} v_{n+1} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a_k} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{n+r-1} a_k \right) a_n^{(r)} v_{n+r} \prod_{k=1}^{n+r-1} \frac{1}{a_k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r a_n^{(i)} v_{n+i}. \end{aligned}$$

That is the sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=n_1}^{\infty}$ fulfils (E) for $n \geq n_1$ (is generalized solution of (E)). By (8) and (9) we have

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{a_k} \right) v_n = C + o(1),$$

from there

$$(10) \quad v_n = C \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k + \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \right) o(1).$$

However σ -periodicity of the sequence $\{a_n\}$, and condition $\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} a_j = 1$ yields σ -periodicity of the sequence $\left\{ \prod_{j=1}^n a_j \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. This in turns yields boundedness of $\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \right)$, and consequently

$$\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k \right) o(1) = o(1).$$

Therefore from (10) we get (2).

If furthermore the condition (3) is fulfilled then we can transform (E) to the form

$$(11) \quad x_n = -\frac{1}{a_n + a_n^{(0)}} \left\{ -x_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^r a_n^{(i)} x_{n+i} \right\}.$$

Substituting in (11) $n = n_1 - 1$, $x_n = v_n$ for $n \geq n_1$ we obtain x_{n_1-1} . Proceeding this way, we find step by step x_{n_1-2}, \dots, x_1 . Consequently, we obtain sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which fulfills (E) for all $n \in N$, and because $x_n = v_n$ for $n \geq n_1$ this (ordinary) solution of (E) has the property (2).

Notice that in fact Theorem 1 gives some sufficient conditions for the linear equation

$$(E1) \quad c_n^{(r)} x_{n+r} + \dots + c_n^{(1)} x_{n+1} + c_n^{(0)} x_n = 0$$

to possess asymptotically periodic solutions, because (E1) can be transformed into the form (E). Therefore if $c_n^{(0)}$ differs from some σ -periodic sequence $\{a_n\}$ (possessing properties defined in the Theorem 1) up to absolute summable sequence $\{a_n^{(0)}\}$, $c_n^{(1)}$ differs from 1 up to absolute summable sequence $\{a_n^{(1)}\}$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j^{(i)}| < \infty \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, r$$

then (E1) possesses asymptotically σ -periodic solutions.

In [4] we have given condition (with b almost σ -periodic) for the equation

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_n^i x_{n+i} + b_n$$

possesses such type of solutions. For general viewpoint on this problem for first order linear equations see e.g. [1].

Following the referee suggestion we can generalize Theorem 1. Using similar method we can get suitable result for the equation:

$$(E2) \quad x_{n+1} - a_n x_n = \sum_{i=0}^r a_n^{(i)} f_i(x_{n+i}), \quad n \in N.$$

Theorem 2. Let $a^{(i)} : N \rightarrow R$, $a : N \rightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$ be σ -periodic and such that $\prod_{j=1}^{\sigma} a_j = 1$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j^{(i)}| < \infty, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

Let furthermore $f_i : R \rightarrow R$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$ be odd and satisfy Lipschitz conditions i.e.

$$|f_i(u) - f_i(v)| \leq L_i |u - v|$$

for $u, v \in R$ and some positive constant L_i . Then for arbitrary $C \in R$, $C \neq 0$ there exists asymptotically σ -periodic generalized solution x of (E2) such that

$$x_n = C \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j + o(1).$$

Proof. Proof of Theorem 2 follows similar way as the proof of Theorem 1. The main difference is in definition of the operator \mathcal{A} .

Let $y = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{T}$ then we define $\eta = \{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathcal{A}y$ if

$$\eta_n = \begin{cases} C & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, n_1 - 1 \\ C - \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} b_j^{(i)} f_i \left(\left(\prod_{k=1}^{j+i-1} a_k \right) y_{j+i} \right) & \text{for } n \geq n_1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore we should take

$$\alpha_n = C_1 \bar{L} \bar{a} \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} |b_j^{(i)}|, \quad n \in N,$$

where

$$b_n^{(i)} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a_k} \right) a_n^{(i)}, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, r, \quad n \in N,$$

$$\bar{a} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq \sigma} \left\{ \max_{1 \leq j \leq \sigma} \left| \prod_{i=1}^j a_{k+i} \right| \right\}, \quad \bar{L} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq r} L_i.$$

New definition of \mathcal{A} is the consequence of $\{v_n\}_{n=n_1}^{\infty}$, defined by (9), have to be the solution (generalized) of (E2). Now new α_n allows us to get \mathcal{A} maps \mathbf{T} into \mathbf{T} , while the Lipschitz conditions yield continuity of \mathcal{A} .

REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., Popenda, J., *Periodic Solutions of First Order Linear Difference Equations*, Math. Comput. Modelling 22, 1, 1995, 11-19.
- [2] Musielak, R., Popenda, J., *The Periodic Solutions of the Second Order Nonlinear Difference Equation*, Publ. Mat. 32, 1988, 49-56.
- [3] Popenda, J., Schmeidel, E., *On the Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Linear Difference Equations*, Publ. Mat. 38, 1994, 3-9.
- [4] Popenda, J., Schmeidel, E., *On the Asymptotic Behaviour of Solution of Some Difference Equations of Infinite Order*, (submitted).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POZNAŃ UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

PIOTROWO 3A, 60-965 POZNAŃ, POLAND

E-mail: JPOPENDA@MATH.PUT.POZNAN.PL

ESCHMEID@MATH.PUT.POZNAN.PL