

Josef Janyška

Remarks on the Nijenhuis tensor and almost complex connections

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 26 (1990), No. 4, 229--239

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107393>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1990

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

REMARKS ON THE NIJENHUIS TENSOR AND ALMOST COMPLEX CONNECTIONS

JOSEF JANYŠKA

(Received June 2, 1987)

Abstract. Let M be a differentiable manifold and S a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field on M . All natural $(1, 2)$ -tensor fields which are of the same type as the Nijenhuis tensor N , are found. It is proved that an affine connection polynomially naturally induced from S does not exist. All connections naturally induced from a given symmetric affine connection and from the tensor field S such that their torsion tensors are multiples of the Nijenhuis tensor are found. The conditions under which these connections are almost complex connections are deduced.

Key words. Affine connections, natural differential operator, differential invariant, Nijenhuis tensor, almost complex structure, almost complex connection.

MS Classification. 53 C 05, 54 C 15.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper has been motivated by the theorem of Kobayashi and Nomizu [2], see also Yano [9].

Theorem of Kobayashi and Nomizu: Every almost complex manifold M with an almost complex structure J admits an almost complex affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that the torsion of $\tilde{\nabla}$ is $1/8N_J$, where N_J is the Nijenhuis tensor (or the torsion) of the almost complex structure J .

Kobayashi and Nomizu have given an example of such connection which is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - K(X, Y),$$

where ∇ is an arbitrary symmetric affine connection on M and K is a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field defined by

$$(1.2) \quad 4K(X, Y) = (\nabla_{JY} J) X + J(\nabla_X) X + 2J(\nabla_X J) Y$$

and X, Y are some vector fields on M .

In this paper we try to explain why an auxiliary symmetric connection ∇ is needed in the proof of the above Theorem of Kobayashi and Nomizu. We try to

answer two questions: 1. Does there exist a connection on M naturally polynomially induced from a (1,1)-tensor field only (without using an auxiliary connection)? 2. Is the connection of Kobayashi and Nomizu a unique affine connection naturally induced from a given symmetric affine connection and the almost complex structure J satisfying $8\text{Tor } \tilde{\nabla} = N_J$ and $\tilde{\nabla}J = 0$?

We shall use the methods of the general theory of natural bundles and natural differential operators, Janyška [1], Nijenhuis [7], Terng [8]. For the convenience of the actual coordinate calculations we shall use the methods of Krupka and Janyška [5], Krupka and Mikolášová [6].

All our considerations are in the category C^∞ .

The author would like to thank Professor O. Kowalski for his helpful discussions and remarks.

2. NATURAL TENSORS OF THE NIJENHUIS TYPE

Let S be a (1,1)-tensor field on M , $\dim M = n$, (not necessarily an almost complex structure). The Nijenhuis tensor of S (or the torsion of S) is the (1,2)-tensor field N_S defined by

$$(2.1) \quad N_S(X, Y) = 2\{[SX, SY] + S^2[X, Y] - S[X, SY] - S[SX, Y]\},$$

where X, Y are arbitrary vector fields on M and $[,]$ denotes the Lie bracket. It is obvious that $N_S(X, Y) = -N_S(Y, X)$ and from (2.1) the coordinate expression of N_S is given by

$$(2.2) \quad N_{jk}^i(x) = 2 \left\{ \frac{\partial S_k^i(x)}{\partial x^m} S_j^m(x) - \frac{\partial S_j^i(x)}{\partial x^m} S_k^m(x) - S_m^i(x) \frac{\partial S_k^m(x)}{\partial x^j} + S_m^i(x) \frac{\partial S_j^m(x)}{\partial x^k} \right\},$$

where (x^i) , $1 \leq i \leq n$, are some local coordinates on M and $S_j^i(x)$ is the coordinate expression of the tensor field S .

In the sense of the general geometrical theory of natural differential operators, [1], [8], the Nijenhuis tensor is a natural differential operator of order one from $T^{(1,1)}M$ (the space of (1,1)-tensors on M) to $TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$. Under natural tensor field of the Nijenhuis type we shall understand a natural differential operator (of finite order) from $T^{(1,1)}M$ to $T^{(1,2)}M$ which is polynomial of degree two. By [5], [8] such an operator of finite order r is uniquely determined by an L_n^{r+1} -equivariant mapping (differential invariant of L_n^{r+1}) from $T_n^r P = J_0^r(R^n, P)$ to V , where P is the type fibre of the functor $T^{(1,1)}$, i.e. $P = R^n \otimes R^{n*}$ with the tensor action of the group L_n^1 , and V is the type fibre of the functor $T^{(1,2)}$, i.e. $V = R^n \otimes \otimes^2 R^{n*}$ with the tensor action of L_n^1 . The group L_n^r is the r -th differential group formed by all invertible r -jets from R^n into R^n with source and target in the origin of R^n .

Let (S_j^i) , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be the canonical global coordinates on P , then on $T_n^r P$ there are the induced coordinates $(S_j^i, S_{j,m}^i, \dots, S_{j,m_1 \dots m_r}^i)$, $1 \leq i, j, m, m_1, \dots, m_r \leq n$, defined by $S_{j,m_1 \dots m_r}^i(j_0^r \sigma) = D_{m_1 \dots m_r}(\sigma_j^i)(0)$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, r$, $j_0^r \sigma \in T_n^r P$. Let $(a_j^i, \dots, a_{j_1 \dots j_r}^i)$, $1 \leq i, j_1, \dots, j_r \leq n$, be the canonical coordinates on L_n^r , i.e. $a_{j_1 \dots j_r}^i(j_0^r \alpha) = D_{j_1 \dots j_r}(\alpha^i)(0)$, $s = 1, \dots, r$, $j_0^r \alpha \in L_n^r$, $\alpha: R^n \rightarrow R^n$, $\alpha(0) = 0$. Then the coordinate expression of the action L_n^1 on P can be written as

$$(2.3) \quad \bar{S}_j^i = a_p^i S_q^p b_j^q,$$

where $(b_j^i, \dots, b_{j_1 \dots j_r}^i)$ denote the coordinates of the inverse element of $j_0^r \alpha \in L_n^r$, i.e. $b_{j_1 \dots j_r}^i(j_0^r \alpha) = a_{j_1 \dots j_r}^i(j_0^r \alpha^{-1})$, $s = 1, \dots, r$. The action (2.3) of L_n^1 on P induces the action of L_n^{r+1} on $T_n^r P$ which is given in the canonical coordinates by (2.3) and by the formal differentiation of (2.3) up to the order r . For instance, if $r = 1$, the action of L_n^2 on $T_n^1 P$ is given by (2.3) and

$$(2.4) \quad S_{j,m}^i = a_{pr}^i b_m^r S_q^p b_j^q + a_p^i (S_{q,r}^p b_j^q b_m^r + S_q^p b_{jm}^q).$$

The action of L_n^3 on $T_n^2 P$ is given by (2.3), (2.4) and the formal differentiation of (2.4), etc.

Let

$$(2.5) \quad \xi = \sum_{s=1}^{r+1} \xi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{j_1 \dots j_s}^i},$$

be a member of the Lie algebra L_n^{r+1} of L_n^{r+1} . The fundamental vector field on $T_n^r P$ related to the action of L_n^{r+1} on $T_n^r P$ can be expressed by

$$(2.6) \quad \Xi(T_n^r P) = \sum_{s=1}^{r+1} \Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(T_n^r P) \xi_{j_1 \dots j_s}^i,$$

where $\Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(T_n^r P)$ are vector fields on $T_n^r P$. In the induced coordinates

$$(2.7) \quad \Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(T_n^r P) = \sum_{t=s-1}^r \left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}_{q, m_1 \dots m_t}^p}{\partial a_{j_1 \dots j_s}^i} \right) e \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{q, m_1 \dots m_t}^p},$$

where $s = 1, \dots, r+1$ and $e \in L_n^{r+1}$ is the unity, i.e. $e = j_0^{r+1} \text{id}_R^n$.

Let (v_{jk}^i) , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, be the canonical global coordinates on V . The action of L_n^1 on V is given in the coordinates by

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{v}_{jk}^i = a_p^i v_{qr}^p b_j^q b_k^r.$$

Then the corresponding fundamental vector field on V induced by $\xi \in L_n^1$ is expressed by

$$(2.9) \quad \Xi(V) = \Xi_j^i(V) \xi_j^i,$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Xi_i^j(V) &= \left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{qr}^p}{\partial a_j^i} \right) e \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{qr}^p} = \\ &= (\delta_i^p v_{qr}^j - v_{ir}^p \delta_q^j - v_{qi}^p \delta_r^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{qr}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

To calculate differential invariants we shall use the following

Lemma 1. *Let U and W be two L_n^r -manifolds, $f : U \rightarrow W$ a mapping. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *f is a differential invariant.*
- (ii) *For each element $\xi \in L_n^r$*

$$(2.11) \quad \partial_\xi f = 0,$$

where ∂_ξ denotes the Lie derivative with respect to ξ , and there exists an element $A_0 \in L_n^{r(-)}$ such that $f(A_0 u) = A_0 f(u)$ for all $u \in U$.

For the proof see [3], [5].

As a corollary of Lemma 1 we obtain that $f: T_n^r P \rightarrow V$ is a differential invariant of the group L_n^{r+1} if and only if the vector fields $\Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(T_n^r P)$ and $\Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(V)$, $s = 1, \dots, r+1$, are f -related and there exists an element $A_0 \in L_n^{r+1(-)}$, $\det(a_j^i(A_0)) < 0$, such that $f(A_0 p) = A_0 f(p)$, $p \in T_n^r P$. Let us remark that $\Xi_i^{j_1 \dots j_s}(V)$ is the zero vector field if $s \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. *Polynomial differential invariants from $T_n^r P$ to V depend on variables from $T_n^1 P$ only.*

Proof. If $f: T_n^r P \rightarrow V$ is a differential invariant, then the vector fields $\Xi_i^j(T_n^r P)$ and $\Xi_i^j(V)$ are f -related. From (2.7)

$$(2.12) \quad \Xi_i^j(T_n^r P) = \sum_{s=0}^r \left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^r}{\partial a_j^i} \right) e \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p}.$$

If $v_{bc}^a = f_{bc}^a(S_q^p, S_{q, m_1}^p, \dots, S_{q, m_1 \dots m_r}^p)$ is the coordinate expression of f , then by Lemma 1 f_{bc}^a have to satisfy the following system of partial differential equations

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^r \left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p}{\partial a_j^i} \right) e \frac{\partial f_{bc}^a}{\partial S_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p} = \\ = \delta_i^a f_{bc}^j - f_{ic}^a \delta_b^j - f_{bi}^a \delta_c^j. \end{aligned}$$

From the coordinate expression of the action of L_n^{r+1} on $T_n^r P$ we obtain for $i = j$ (no summation over i) the system

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{s=0}^r s \cdot \frac{\partial f_{bc}^a}{\partial S_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p} S_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p = f_{bc}^a.$$

By [5] it follows that polynomial solutions of (2.14) have to be polynomials of degrees a_s in variables $S_{q, m_1 \dots m_s}^p, s = 0, \dots, r$, such that

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{s=0}^r s \cdot a_s = 1.$$

(2.15) is satisfied for arbitrary $a_0, a_1 = 1$ and $a_i = 0, 1 < i \leq r$. Thus polynomial differential invariants from $T_n^r P$ to V depend on S_q^p (in any degree) and on $S_{q, m}^p$ (linearly) only which proves our Lemma 2.

Corollary 1. *Every non-zero polynomial natural differential operator of finite order from $T^{(1,1)}M$ to $T^{(1,2)}M$ is of order one.*

Now we are able to prove the following

Proposition 1. *If $n \geq 2$, there exists a 9-parameter family of natural tensor fields of the Nijenhuis type. This family is a vector space over R generated by the tensor fields $N_S, S \otimes d(\text{tr } S), d(\text{tr } S) \otimes S, I \otimes C_2^1(S \otimes d(\text{tr } S)), C_2^1(S \otimes d(\text{tr } S)) \otimes I, (I \otimes d(\text{tr } S)) \text{tr } S, (d(\text{tr } S) \otimes I) \text{tr } S, I \otimes d(\text{tr } S^2), d(\text{tr } S^2) \otimes I$, where tr denotes the trace of S, C_j^i denotes the contraction with respect to the i -th superscript and j -th subscript, d is the exterior derivative and $I = (\delta_j^i)$ is the absolute invariant tensor field.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 every tensor field of the Nijenhuis type is given by a quadratic mapping from $T_n^1 P$ to V which can be expressed in the coordinates by

$$(2.16) \quad v_{jk}^i = A_{jkpr}^{iqs_1s_2} S_q^p S_{s_1s_2}^r,$$

where $A_{jkpr}^{iqs_1s_2}$ are real coefficients. From L_n^2 -equivariancy of (2.16) we obtain that $A_{jkpr}^{iqs_1s_2}$ is an absolute invariant tensor, [5], i.e.

$$(2.17) \quad A_{jkpr}^{iqs_1s_2} = \sum_{\sigma} c_{\sigma} \delta_{\sigma(j)}^i \delta_{\sigma(k)}^q \delta_{\sigma(p)}^{s_1} \delta_{\sigma(r)}^{s_2},$$

where σ runs all permutations of four indices (j, k, p, r) and $c_{\sigma} \in R$. Further $\Xi_j^{i_1j_2}(T_n^1 P)$ and $\Xi_i^{j_1j_2}(V)$ have to be related with respect to (2.16), i.e.

$$(2.18) \quad \left(\frac{\partial S_{q, m}^p}{\partial a_{j_1j_2}^i} \right) e \frac{\partial A_{bckr}^{aiuv} S_l^t S_{u, v}}{\partial S_{q, m}^p} = 0.$$

Using (2.4) and (2.17) we obtain for 24 coefficients c_{σ} a system of linear homogeneous equations which has 9 independent variables and (2.16) has the expression

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} v_{jk}^i &= A_1(S_j^i S_{k, m}^i - S_k^m S_{j, m}^i - S_m^i S_{k, j}^m + S_m^i S_{j, k}^m) + \\ &+ A_2 S_j^i S_{m, k}^m + A_3 S_k^i S_{m, j}^m + A_4 \delta_j^i S_k^p S_{m, p}^m + A_5 \delta_k^i S_j^p S_{m, p}^m + \\ &+ A_6 \delta_j^i S_{p, k}^p S_m^m + A_7 \delta_k^i S_{p, j}^p S_m^m + A_8 \delta_j^i S_m^p S_{p, k}^m + \\ &+ A_9 \delta_k^i S_m^p S_{p, j}^m, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, 9$.

It is easy to see that (2.19) is the differential invariant which corresponds to the family of natural tensor fields of the Nijenhuis type given by Proposition 1.

The Nijenhuis tensor N_S is the unique operator from the list of natural operators of Proposition 1 the values of which are in $TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$. Using the antisymmetrisation with respect to subscripts we immediately obtain

Corollary 2. *If $n \geq 2$, there exists a 5-parameter family of natural differential operators of the Nijenhuis type from $T^{(1,1)}M$ to $TM \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M$. This family is a linear combination (with real coefficients) of operators $N_S, S \wedge d(\text{tr } S), I \wedge \wedge C_2^1(S \otimes d(\text{tr } S)), (I \wedge d(\text{tr } S)) \text{tr } S, I \wedge d(\text{tr } S^2)$, where \wedge denotes the tensor product combined with the antisymmetrisation with respect to subscripts.*

Corollary 3. *The Nijenhuis tensor N_J is the unique non-trivial operator of the Nijenhuis type which transforms a given almost complex structure J to a (1,2)-tensor field.*

Proof. If J is an almost complex structure, then $\text{tr } J = 0$ and $\text{tr } J^2 = -n$. Our Corollary 3 now immediately follows from Proposition 1 putting $S = J$.

3. CONNECTIONS NATURALLY INDUCED FROM A (1,1)-TENSOR FIELD

Let $CM \rightarrow M$ be the fibred space of elements of affine connections on M , i.e. C is a lifting functor of order 2 with the type fibre $Q = R^n \otimes \otimes^2 R^{n*}$, where the action of the group L_n^2 on Q is given, in the canonical coordinates (Γ_{jk}^i) , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, by

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = a_p^i(\Gamma_{qr}^p b_j^q b_k^r + b_{jk}^p).$$

Under an affine connection of order r naturally induced from a (1,1)-tensor field we understand a natural differential operator of order r from $T^{(1,1)}M$ to CM . Every such operator is uniquely given by an L_n^{r+1} -equivariant mapping from $T_n^r P$ to Q . By Lemma 1 if $f: T_n^r P \rightarrow Q$ is a differential invariant, then the corresponding fundamental vector fields on $T_n^r P$ and Q are f -related. The fundamental vector fields on $T_n^r P$ are given by (2.7) and from (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad \Xi_j^i(Q) = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{qr}^p}{\partial a_j^i} \right) e \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{qr}^p} = (\delta_i^p \Gamma_{qr}^j - \Gamma_{ir}^p \delta_q^j - \Gamma_{qr}^p \delta_r^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{qr}^p},$$

$$(3.3) \quad \Xi_1^{j_1 j_2}(Q) = \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{qr}^p}{\partial a_{j_1 j_2}^i} \right) e \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{qr}^p} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_i^p (\delta_q^{j_1} \delta_r^{j_2} + \delta_r^{j_1} \delta_q^{j_2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \Gamma_{qr}^p}.$$

Using the same methods as in Lemma 2 we deduce that all polynomial L_n^{r+1} -equivariant mappings from $T_n^r P$ to Q depend on variables from $T_n^1 P$ only and so all

polynomial natural affine connections naturally depending on a (1,1)-tensor field and its finite order derivatives are of order one.

Lemma 3. *There is no non-zero polynomial L_n^2 -equivariant mapping from T_n^1P to Q .*

Proof. From Lemma 1, (2.7), (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain that every differential invariant from T_n^1P to Q has to satisfy the following system of partial differential equations

$$(3.4) \quad (\delta_i^p S_q^j - S_i^p \delta_q^j) \frac{\partial f_{bc}^a}{\partial S_q^p} + (\delta_i^p S_{q,r}^j - S_{i,r}^p \delta_q^j - S_{q,i}^p \delta_r^j) \frac{\partial f_{bc}^a}{\partial S_{q,r}^p} = \\ = \delta_i^a f_{bc}^j - f_{ic}^a \delta_b^j - f_{bi}^a \delta_c^j,$$

$$(3.5) \quad (\delta_i^p S_q^{j_1 j_2} + \delta_i^p S_q^{j_2 j_1} - S_i^p \delta_q^{j_1} \delta_r^{j_2} - S_i^p \delta_r^{j_1} \delta_q^{j_2}) \frac{\partial f_{bc}^a}{\partial S_{q,r}^p} = \\ = \delta_i^a (\delta_b^{j_1} \delta_c^{j_2} + \delta_c^{j_1} \delta_b^{j_2}),$$

where $\Gamma_{bc}^a = f_{bc}^a(S_q^p, S_{q,r}^p)$ is the coordinate expression of $f: T_n^1P \rightarrow Q$.

By [5] a polynomial solution of (3.4) has to be a sum of polynomials which are linear in $S_{q,r}^p$ and of arbitrary degrees in S_q^p , i.e.

$$(3.6) \quad f_{bc}^a = \sum_m A_{bc p k_1 \dots k_m}^{a q r l_1 \dots l_m} S_{q,r}^p S_{l_1}^{k_1} \dots S_{l_m}^{k_m},$$

where $m = 0, 1, \dots$ and $A_{bc p k_1 \dots k_m}^{a q r l_1 \dots l_m}$ are absolute invariant tensors. (3.6) has to satisfy the system (3.5). Substituting (3.6) into (3.5) we obtain for every m a contradiction which proves our Lemma 3.

From Lemma 3 it immediately follows

Proposition 2. *There is no non-zero polynomial affine connection of finite order naturally induced from a (1,1)-tensor field.*

Remark 1. From Proposition 2 it follows that a connection, depending naturally on an almost complex structure only such that its torsion tensor is a multiple of the Nijenhuis tensor, does not exist. This is the reason why Kobayashi and Nomizu had to use an auxiliary symmetric connection.

4. ON NON-UNIQUENESS OF THE ALMOST COMPLEX CONNECTION

Now let an affine connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ be naturally induced from a given symmetric affine connection ∇ and a (1,1)-tensor field S , i.e. $\tilde{\nabla}(\nabla, S)$ is a natural differential operator from $C_S M \oplus T^{(1,1)}M$ to CM , where $C_S M$ is the subbundle in CM formed

by elements of all symmetric affine connections on M and \oplus denotes the Whitney's sum. It is obvious that such a connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ can be expressed by

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - L(X, Y),$$

where X, Y are arbitrary vector fields on M and L is a (1,2)-tensor field naturally induced from ∇ and S , i.e. L is a natural differential operator from $C_S M \otimes T^{(1,1)} M$ to $T^{(1,2)} M$.

We shall need the following

Lemma 4. *Let W be a left L_n^r -manifold and U a left L_n^1 -manifold. Let K_n^r be the kernel of the canonical group homomorphism $\pi^{r,1} : L_n^r \rightarrow L_n^1$, $\pi^{r,1}(j_0^r \alpha) = j_0^1 \alpha$, and $\pi : W \rightarrow W/K_n^r$ the canonical projection onto the orbit space. Each L_n^r -equivariant mapping $f : W \rightarrow U$ is of the form*

$$(4.2) \quad f = F \circ \pi,$$

where $F : W/K_n^r \rightarrow U$ is a uniquely determined L_n^1 -equivariant mapping.

For the proof see [4], [5].

Proposition 3. *All affine connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ on M naturally induced from a symmetric affine connection ∇ and a (1,1)-tensor field S such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \text{Tor } \tilde{\nabla} = \lambda N_S,$$

$\lambda \in R$, are of the form

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \{ \alpha S(\nabla_Y S) X + (\alpha + 2\lambda) S(\nabla_X S) Y + \\ + \beta(\nabla_{SX} S) Y + (\beta + 2\lambda) (\nabla_{SY} S) X \} - P(X, Y),$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in R$ and P is a natural differential operator from $C_S M \oplus T^{(1,1)} M$ to $TM \otimes \otimes T^* M$.

Proof. Every desired connection is of the form (4.1) and the assumption (4.3) implies

$$(4.5) \quad \lambda N_S(X, Y) = L(Y, X) - L(X, Y).$$

Hence we have to find natural differential operators L from $C_S M \oplus T^{(1,1)} M$ to $T^{(1,2)} M$ satisfying (4.5). Let L be an r -th order operator, then the corresponding differential invariant is an L_n^{r+2} -equivariant mapping from $T_n^r Q_S \times T_n^{r+1} P$ to V , $Q_S = R^n \otimes \otimes^2 R^{n*} \subset Q$. The canonical coordinates (Γ_{jk}^i) , $j \leq k$, on Q_S and (S_j^i) on P induce the coordinates $(\Gamma_{jk}^i, \Gamma_{jk, m_1}^i, \dots, \Gamma_{jk, m_1 \dots m_r}^i, S_j^i, S_{j, m_1}^i, \dots, S_{j, m_1 \dots m_{r+1}}^i)$, $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots \leq n$, on $T_n^r Q_S \times T_n^{r+1} P$. Let us consider on $T_n^r Q_S \times T_n^{r+1} P$, $r \geq 1$, the system of functions

$$(4.6) \quad \Gamma_{jkm_1 \dots m_r}^i = \Gamma_{(jk, m_1 \dots m_r)}^i, \quad s = 0, \dots, r,$$

$$(4.7) \quad R^i_{jkl; m_1; \dots; m_s}, \quad s = 0, \dots, r - 1,$$

$$(4.8) \quad S^i_{j; m_1; \dots; m_s}, \quad s = 0, \dots, r + 1,$$

where $(jk, m_1 \dots m_s)$ denotes the symmetrisation of the indices, R^i_{jkl} is the formal curvature tensor of Γ^i_{jk} , i.e.

$$(4.9) \quad R^i_{jkl} = \Gamma^i_{jk, l} - \Gamma^i_{jl, k} + \Gamma^i_{pl} \Gamma^p_{jk} - \Gamma^i_{pk} \Gamma^p_{jl},$$

and “;” denotes the formal covariant derivative with respect to Γ^i_{jk} , i.e. for instance

$$(4.10) \quad S^i_{j; k} = S^i_{j, k} + \Gamma^i_{pk} S^p_j - \Gamma^i_{jk} S^p_p.$$

In general, the s -th formal covariant derivative is deduced formally in the same way as the s -th covariant derivative of a tensor field with respect to a symmetric affine connection. The systems of functions (4.6) – (4.8) contain a subsystem defining a global chart on $T^r_n Q_S \times T^{r+1}_n P$, [5]. Each such global chart on $T^r_n Q_S \times T^{r+1}_n P$ will be called an adapted chart and the functions belonging to an adapted chart will be called adapted coordinates.

If $r = 0$, then $(\Gamma^i_{jk}, S^i_j, S^i_{j; k})$ form a new global chart on $Q_S \times T^1_n P$ which will be also called an adapted chart.

Using Lemma 4 we obtain that every L_n^{r+2} -equivariant mapping $f: T^r_n Q_S \times T^{r+1}_n P \rightarrow V$ determines a unique L_n^1 -equivariant mapping $F: (T^r_n Q_S \times T^{r+1}_n P)/K_n^{r+2} \rightarrow V$ such that $f = F \circ \pi$.

Calculating the L_n^1 -equivariant mapping F in some adapted coordinates we deduce that F is a function of $R^i_{jkl; m_1; \dots; m_s}$, $s = 0, \dots, r - 1$, and $S^i_{j; m_1; \dots; m_s}$, $s = 0, \dots, r + 1$, only, i.e. F is the sum of

$$(4.11) \quad v^i_{jk} = \bar{F}^i_{jk}(R^i_{jkl}, \dots, R^i_{jkl; m_1; \dots; m_{r-1}}, S^i_j, \dots, S^i_{j; m_1; \dots; m_{r+1}})$$

$r \geq 1$, and

$$(4.12) \quad v^i_{jk} = F^i_{jk}(S^i_j, S^i_{j; k}).$$

From the assumption (4.5) it follows that we are interested in this part of the L_n^1 -equivariant mapping (4.12) which is linear in S^i_j and $S^i_{j; k}$. By Lemma 1 such a polynomial mapping has to satisfy the following system of partial differential equations

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^p_q}{\partial a^i_j} \right) e \frac{\partial F^a_{bc}}{\partial S^p_q} + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{S}^p_{q; r}}{\partial a^i_j} \right) e \frac{\partial F^a_{bc}}{\partial S^p_{q; r}} = \\ = \delta^a_i F^j_{bc} - F^a_{ic} \delta^j_b - F^a_{bi} \delta^j_c. \end{aligned}$$

By [5] the desired polynomial solution of (4.13) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.14) \quad F_{jk}^i &= a_1 \delta_j^i S_k^p S_{q;p}^q + a_2 \delta_k^i S_j^p S_{q;p}^q + a_3 \delta_j^i S_p^p S_{k;q}^q + \\
 &+ a_4 \delta_k^i S_p^p S_{j;q}^q + a_5 \delta_j^i S_p^p S_{q;k}^q + a_6 \delta_k^i S_p^p S_{q;j}^q + a_7 \delta_j^i S_q^p S_{p;k}^q + \\
 &+ a_8 \delta_k^i S_q^p S_{p;j}^q + a_9 \delta_j^i S_k^p S_{p;q}^q + a_{10} \delta_k^i S_j^p S_{p;q}^q + \\
 &+ a_{11} \delta_j^i S_p^p S_{k;q}^q + a_{12} \delta_k^i S_q^p S_{j;p}^q + a_{13} S_j^i S_{p;p}^p + a_{14} S_k^i S_{j;p}^p + \\
 &+ a_{15} S_j^i S_{p;k}^p + a_{16} S_k^i S_{p;j}^p + a_{17} S_j^i S_{p;k}^p + a_{18} S_k^i S_{p;j}^p + \\
 &+ a_{19} S_p^i S_{j;k}^p + a_{20} S_p^i S_{k;j}^p + a_{21} S_p^i S_{p;k}^p + a_{22} S_p^i S_{k;j}^p + \\
 &+ a_{23} S_j^p S_{k;p}^i + a_{24} S_k^p S_{j;p}^i,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i \in R$, $i = 1, \dots, 24$. From (4.5) we have $F_{kj}^i - F_{jk}^i = \lambda N_{jk}^i$ and by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.15) \quad a_{22} - a_{21} &= 2\lambda, & a_{24} - a_{23} &= 2\lambda, \\
 a_{h+1} &= a_h, & h &= 2g + 1, & g &= 0, \dots, 9.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us put $a_{21} = \alpha$, $a_{23} = \beta$, then the differential invariant corresponding to L is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.16) \quad L_{jk}^i &= \alpha S_p^i S_{j;k}^p + (\alpha + 2\lambda) S_p^i S_{k;j}^p + \beta S_j^p S_{k;p}^i + \\
 &+ (\beta + 2\lambda) S_k^p S_{j;p}^i + P_{jk}^i,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{jk}^i = P_{kj}^i$ includes the symmetric solutions of (4.13) and symmetric L_n^1 -equivariant mappings given by (4.11). It is easy to see that the differential invariant (4.16) corresponds to the operator which can be expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.17) \quad L(X, Y) &= \alpha S(\nabla_Y S) X + (\alpha + 2\lambda) S(\nabla_X S) Y + \\
 &+ \beta (\nabla_{S_X} S) Y + (\beta + 2\lambda) (\nabla_{S_Y} S) X + P(X, Y),
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves our Proposition 3.

From now let S be an almost complex structure on M , i.e. $S = J$, $J^2 = -I$. The connection (4.4) coincides with the example of Kobayashi and Nomizu if and only if $\lambda = 1/8$, $\alpha = 1/4$, $\beta = 0$ and P is the zero tensor field.

Proposition 4. *Let M be an almost complex manifold with an almost complex structure J . Then a natural connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ given by (4.4) is an almost complex connection if and only if*

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - K(X, Y) - P(X, Y),$$

where $K(X, Y)$ is given by (1.2) and $P(X, Y)$ satisfies

$$(4.19) \quad P(X, Y) = -JP(X, JY).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - L(X, Y)$, where $L(X, Y)$ is given by (4.17). From the assumption $\tilde{\nabla} J = 0$ we obtain $J(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X(JY)$ which implies

$$(4.20) \quad (\nabla_X J) Y = L(X, JY) - JL(X, Y).$$

Substituting (4.17) into (4.20) and using $0 = \nabla_X J^2 = (\nabla_X J) J + J(\nabla_X J)$ we obtain

$$(4.21) \quad 0 = (-1 + 2\alpha + 4\lambda) (\nabla_X J) Y + 2\beta(\nabla_{JX} J) JY + \\ + (\beta + 2\lambda - \alpha) ((\nabla_{JY} J) JX - (\nabla_Y J) X) + P(X, JY) - JP(X, Y),$$

which implies our Proposition 4.

Remark 2. The example of Kobayashi and Nomizu is not unique natural almost complex connection satisfying $\text{Tor } \tilde{\nabla} = \lambda N_J$. But other natural almost complex connections satisfying this condition differ from the example of Kobayashi and Nomizu only by a natural symmetric (with respect to subscripts) (1,2)-tensor field which satisfies (4.19). Such non-trivial tensor fields exist. For instance

$$(4.22) \quad P = I \circ C_{23}^{12}(J \otimes \nabla J) + J \circ C_2^1 \nabla J,$$

where \circ denotes the tensor product combined with the symmetrisation with respect to subscripts, is the example of natural operator which satisfies (4.19).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Janyška, *Geometrical properties of prolongation functors*, Čas. pěst. mat., 110 (1985), 77–86.
- [2] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, II, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [3] D. Krupka, *Elementary theory of differential invariants*, Arch. Math. (Brno) 4 (1978), 207–214.
- [4] D. Krupka, *Local invariants of a linear connection*, Coll. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 31. Differential Geometry, Budapest (Hungary), 1979, North Holland 1982, 349–369.
- [5] D. Krupka, J. Janyška, *Lectures on Differential Invariants*, Folia Fac. Sci. Nat. Univ. Purkyni an ae. Brunensis, Matematica 1, Brno, 1990
- [6] D. Krupka, V. Mikolášová, *On the uniqueness of some differential invariants: d , $[\cdot]$, ∇* , Czechoslovak Math. J. 34 (109) (1984), 588–597.
- [7] A. Nijenhuis, *Natural bundles and their general properties*, Differential Geometry, in honour of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 317–334.
- [8] Chuu Lian Terng, *Natural vector bundles and natural differential operators*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 775–828.
- [9] K. Yano, *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1964.

Josef Janyška
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science, Masaryk University
 Janáčkovo nám. 2a,
 662 95 Brno
 Czechoslovakia