Archivum Mathematicum

Satendra Kumar Vaish Generalized logarithmic means of an entire Dirichlet series. I

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 16 (1980), No. 4, 231--238

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/107079

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCH. MATH. 4, SCRIPTA FAC. SCI. NAT. UJEP BRUNENSIS XVI: 231—238, 1980

GENERALIZED LOGARITHMIC MEANS OF AN ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES (1)

SATENDRA KUMAR VAISH

(Received June 28, 1979)

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a Dirichlet series $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n)$, $(s = \sigma + it, \lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n, \lambda_1 \ge 0, \lambda_n \to \infty$ with n), which we assume to be absolutely convergent for all finite s, and hence it defines an entire function. The logarithmic mean of f(s) is defined [1, p. 13] as:

(1.1)
$$L(\sigma) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \log |f(\sigma + it)| dt \right\}.$$

For any $\delta > 0$, we define the generalized logarithmic means of f(s) as:

(1.2)
$$L_{\delta}(\sigma) = e^{-\delta \sigma} \int_{0}^{\sigma} e^{\delta x} L(x) dx,$$

and

(1.3)
$$L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-\delta-1} \int_{0}^{\sigma} x^{\delta} L(x) dx.$$

In this paper we have investigated a few properties of $L(\sigma)$, $L_{\delta}(\sigma)$ and $L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$.

2. LEMMAS

In this section we state and prove certain lemmas which are of fundamental importance in the proofs of the results of this paper.

Lemma 1. $\sigma^{\delta+1}L(\sigma)$ is an increasing convex function of $\sigma^{\delta+1}L_{\delta}(\sigma)$.

Proof. Since $\log L(\sigma)$ is an increasing convex function of σ [1, p. 13], we may write

(2.1)
$$\log L(\sigma) = \log L(\sigma_0) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \eta(x) \, dx, \qquad \sigma \ge \sigma_0,$$

where $\eta(x)$ is a non-decreasing function of x and tends to infinity with x (see [3], equation (4), p. 73). Also

$$\frac{d[\sigma^{\delta+1}L(\sigma)]}{d[\sigma^{\delta+1}L^*_{\delta}(\sigma)]} = \frac{\frac{d}{d\sigma}[\sigma^{\delta+1}L(\sigma)]}{\frac{d}{d\sigma}[\sigma^{\delta+1}L^*_{\delta}(\sigma)]} =$$

$$= \frac{(\delta+1)\sigma^{\delta}L(\sigma) + \sigma^{\delta+1}\frac{d}{d\sigma}[L(\sigma)]}{\sigma^{\delta}L(\sigma)} =$$

$$= (\delta+1) + \sigma \left\{\frac{\frac{d}{d\sigma}(L(\sigma))}{L(\sigma)}\right\} = \delta+1 + \sigma\eta(\sigma).$$

Therefore

$$\sigma^{\delta+1}L(\sigma)=0 \\ (1)+\int\limits_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma}\eta^*(x)\,\mathrm{d}\big(x^{\delta+1}L_{\delta}^*(x)\big),$$

where $\eta^*(x) = \delta + 1 + x\eta(x)$.

Hence the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. $\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ is an increasing convex function of $\log \sigma$.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{d[\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)]}{d[\log \sigma]} = \frac{\frac{d}{d\sigma} [\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)]}{\frac{d}{d\sigma} [\log \sigma]} =$$

$$= \frac{L(\sigma) - (\delta + 1) \sigma^{-\delta - 1} \int_{0}^{\sigma} x^{\delta} L(x) dx}{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)} = \frac{L(\sigma)}{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)} - (\delta + 1),$$

which increases with σ , by virtue of lemma 1. Hence, we have

$$\frac{d^2[\log L_{\delta}^*(\sigma)]}{d[\log \sigma]^2} > 0, \qquad \sigma \ge \sigma_0,$$

and lemma 2 follows.

Since $\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ is an increasing convex function of $\log \sigma$, we have

(2.2)
$$\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) = \log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma_{0}) + \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\sigma} \frac{U(x)}{x} dx, \quad \sigma \geq \sigma_{0},$$

where U(x) is a positive real valued indefinitely increasing function of x.

Lemma 3. For $\Delta > \sigma$, we find

(2.3)
$$L_{\delta}(\sigma) \leq \frac{L(\sigma)}{\delta} \leq \frac{e^{\delta \Delta}}{e^{\delta \Delta} - e^{\delta \sigma}} L_{\delta}(\Delta)$$

and

$$(2.4) L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) \leq \frac{L(\sigma)}{\delta + 1} \leq \frac{A^{\delta+1}}{A^{\delta+1} - \sigma^{\delta+1}} L_{\delta}^{*}(A).$$

Proof. Since $L(\sigma)$ is a steadily increasing function of σ [1, p. 13], therefore

$$(2.5) L_{\delta}(\sigma) = e^{-\delta \sigma} \int_{0}^{\sigma} e^{\delta x} L(x) dx \le L(\sigma) \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{-\delta \sigma}}{\delta} \right\} \le \frac{L(\sigma)}{\delta}.$$

Also, we have

$$L_{\delta}(\Delta) = e^{-\delta \Delta} \int_{0}^{4} e^{\delta x} L(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge e^{-\delta \Delta} \int_{\sigma}^{4} e^{\delta x} L(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge$$
$$\ge e^{-\delta \Delta} L(\sigma) \left\{ \frac{e^{\delta \Delta} - e^{\delta \sigma}}{\delta} \right\}.$$

Therefore

(2.6)
$$\frac{L(\sigma)}{\delta} \leq \frac{e^{\delta \Delta}}{e^{\delta \Delta} - e^{\delta \sigma}} L_{\delta}(\Delta).$$

(2.5) and (2.6) complete the proof of (2.3). (2.4) follows exactly on the lines of the proof of (2.3).

Lemma 4. $e^{\delta\sigma}L(\sigma)$ is an increasing convex function of $e^{\delta\sigma}L_{\delta}(\sigma)$. The proof of this lemma is given by Gupta and Bala [2, p. 809].

3. THEOREMS

Theorem 1. If $L_s(\sigma)$ is the generalized logarithmic mean of f(s) of Ritt order p. lower order λ ; type T and lower type t then

(3.1)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{i \to \infty} \frac{\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{\sigma} \leq \frac{\varrho}{\lambda}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq \varrho \leq \infty.$$

But if f(s) is of nonzero finite Ritt order ϱ , then

(3.2)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{i \text{ inf }} \frac{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{e^{\delta \sigma}} \leq \frac{T/(\delta+1)}{t/(\delta+1)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \leq \infty.$$

Proof. From (1.1) and (1.3), we have

$$L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{\sigma^{-\delta - 1}}{2T} \int_{0}^{\sigma} \int_{-T}^{T} x^{\delta} \log |f(x + it)| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \right\} \leq \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\delta + 1},$$

where $M(\sigma) = \sup \{ |f(\sigma + it)| : -\infty < t < \infty \}.$

Therefore

(3.3)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{i \to \sigma} \frac{\log L_{\delta}^{\bullet}(\sigma)}{\sigma}}{\inf_{\sigma \to \infty} \leq \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma}$$

and

(3.4)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{\inf} \frac{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta + 1} \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{\inf} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\sigma}}.$$

The result in (3.1) and (3.2) now follow from (3.3) and (3.4), respectively, since [4, p. 77]:

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup}{\inf} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{\varrho}{\lambda},$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{i \text{ inf }} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\epsilon \sigma}} = \frac{T}{t}.$$

Theorem 2. If $L(\sigma)$ and $L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ are, respectively, the logarithmic and the generalized logarithmic means of f(s), then, for $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$,

$$L(\sigma_1) \leq (\delta + 1) \frac{\sigma_2^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_2) - \sigma_1^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_1)}{\sigma_2^{\delta+1} - \sigma_1^{\delta+1}} \leq L(\sigma_2).$$

Proof. From (1.3), we have

$$L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-\delta-1} \int_{0}^{\sigma} x^{\delta} L(x) dx.$$

Therefore

(3.5)
$$L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma_{1}) = \sigma_{1}^{-\delta-1} \int_{\Omega}^{\sigma_{1}} x^{\delta} L(x) dx,$$

and

(3.6)
$$L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma_{2}) = \sigma_{2}^{-\delta-1} \int_{0}^{\sigma_{2}} x^{\delta} L(x) dx.$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we find

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_2^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_2) - \sigma_1^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_1) = \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} x^{\delta} L(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{\delta+1} L(\sigma_2) (\sigma_2^{\delta+1} - \sigma_1^{\delta+1}),$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma_2^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_2) - \sigma_1^{\delta+1} L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_1) = \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} x^{\delta} L(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \frac{1}{\delta+1} L(\sigma_1) (\sigma_2^{\delta+1} - \sigma_1^{\delta+1}).$$

(3.7) and (3.8) complete the proof of theorem 2.

Theorem 3. If

(3.9)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{i \text{ inf}} \frac{\log \log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{p}{q}, \quad 0 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty,$$

then

(3.10)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{\sigma \log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{U(\sigma)} \leq \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{\sigma \log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{U(\sigma)},$$

where $U(\sigma)$ is given by (2.2).

Proof. We let

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{\text{inf}} \frac{U(\sigma)}{\sigma \log L_{\lambda}^{*}(\sigma)} = \frac{c}{d}, \quad 0 \le d \le c \le \infty.$$

We first suppose that $0 < d \le c < \infty$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large σ , we have

$$(3.11) (d-\varepsilon) \sigma \log L_{\delta}^{\bullet}(\sigma) < U(\sigma) < (c+\varepsilon) \sigma \log L_{\delta}^{\bullet}(\sigma).$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.2), we get, for almost sufficiently large σ ,

(3.12)
$$\frac{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)} = \frac{U(\sigma)}{\sigma},$$

where $L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ is the derivative of $L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ with respect to σ . From (3.11) and (3.12), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large σ , we find that

$$d-\varepsilon<\frac{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}{L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)}< c+\varepsilon.$$

Integrating the above inequalities between suitable limits, we get

$$(d-\varepsilon)\,\sigma-0(1)<\log\log L_{\delta}^{\bullet}(\sigma)-0(1)<(c+\varepsilon)\,\sigma-0(1).$$

Dividing throughout by σ , proceeding to limits, and making use of (3.9), we get

$$(3.13) d \leq q \leq p \leq c,$$

which also holds when d = 0 or $c = \infty$. If $d = \infty$, then so is c and $\lim_{\epsilon \to \infty} \frac{U(\epsilon)}{\sigma \log L_{\delta}^{\epsilon}(\sigma)} = \infty$. So taking an arbitrarily large number M in place of $d - \epsilon$ and proceeding as above, we obtain $p = q = \infty$. Similarly, if c = 0, it can easily be shown that p = q = 0. Hence, in each case, (3.13) implies (3.10).

Theorem 4. If $L(\sigma)$ and $L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma)$ are, respectively, the logarithmic and the generalized logarithmic means of f(s) of order ρ and lower order λ , then

(3.14)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{L(\sigma)}{\sigma L_{\delta}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} \leq e\varrho,$$

and

(3.15)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{L(\sigma)}{\sigma L_{\Lambda}^{\theta}(\sigma)} \leq e\lambda.$$

Proof. It is readily seen that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left\{(\delta+1)\log x + \log L_{\theta}^{\bullet}(x)\right\} = \frac{1}{x}\left\{\frac{L(x)}{L_{\theta}^{\bullet}(x)}\right\},\,$$

so that

$$(\delta+1)\log\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0}=\log L_{\delta}^*(\sigma)-\log L_{\delta}^*(\sigma_0)=\int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma}\frac{L(x)}{L_{\delta}^*(x)}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x},$$

that is

(3.16)
$$\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma) = \log L_{\delta}^{*}(\sigma_{0}) + \int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\sigma} \frac{m(x)}{x} dx,$$

where

(3.17)
$$m(x) = \left\{ \frac{L(x)}{L_{\lambda}^{*}(x)} - (\delta + 1) \right\}$$

increases with x, by virtue of lemma 1. Thus, for $\sigma \ge \sigma_0$ and $\mu > 1$, (3.16) gives

(3.18)
$$\log L_{\delta}^{*}(\mu\sigma) \geq \int_{\sigma}^{\mu\sigma} \frac{m(x)}{x} dx \geq m(\sigma) \log \mu.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{m(\sigma) \log \mu}{\sigma} \leq \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{\mu \log L_{\theta}^{*}(\mu \sigma)}{\mu \sigma}$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{m(\sigma) \log \mu}{\sigma} \leq \lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{\mu \log L_{\delta}^*(\mu \sigma)}{\mu \sigma},$$

which give the desired results in view of (3.1) and (3.17), on taking $\mu = e$.

Theorem 5. We find

(3.19)
$$\lim_{\delta \to \infty} \frac{\sup}{\inf} \frac{\log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad 0 \le \beta \le \alpha \le \infty,$$

where the quantities α and β are given by

(3.20)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log L(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}.$$

Proof. Putting $\Delta = \sigma + 1$ in (2.3), the theorem follows in view of (3.20). The details are omitted.

Lastly, we prove:

Theorem 6. For a class of entire functions for which

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\sigma}=\infty,$$

we find

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\sup \log \log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\inf \log \sigma} = \frac{L+1}{l-1},$$

where

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup_{i \text{ inf }} \left\{ \frac{L(\sigma)}{L_{\delta}(\sigma)} \right\}^{1/\log \sigma} = \frac{e^L}{e^l}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\log\left(e^{\delta\sigma}L_{\delta}(\sigma)\right) = \int_{0}^{\sigma} \frac{e^{\delta x}L(x)}{e^{\delta x}L_{s}(x)} dx,$$

since numerator on the right — hand side is the differential coefficient of the denominator. This gives

$$\log\left(e^{\delta\sigma}L_{\delta}(\sigma)\right)<0(1)+\int\limits_{0}^{\sigma}x^{L+s}\,\mathrm{d}x,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \ge \sigma_0$. Therefore,

$$\log\left(e^{\delta\sigma}L_{\delta}(\sigma)\right)<0(1)+\frac{\sigma^{L+\delta+1}}{L+\epsilon+1}(1-0(1)).$$

Proceeding to limits, we get

(3.21)
$$\limsup_{\sigma \to \infty} \frac{\log \log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} \leq L + 1,$$

since

$$\lim_{\sigma\to\infty}\inf\frac{\log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\sigma}=\infty.$$

Further, using lemma 4, we have

$$\log\left(e^{1\delta\sigma}L_{\delta}(2\sigma)\right) \ge \int_{\sigma}^{2\sigma} \frac{L(x)}{L_{\delta}(x)} dx \ge \frac{L(\sigma)}{L_{\delta}(\sigma)} \sigma > \sigma^{L-s+1},$$

for a sequence of values of σ tending to infinity. Consequently,

(3.22)
$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} \ge L + 1.$$

From (3.21) and (3.22), we find

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} = L + 1.$$

Similarly, it can easily be seen that

$$\lim_{\sigma \to \infty} \inf \frac{\log \log L_{\delta}(\sigma)}{\log \sigma} = l + 1.$$

This completes the proof of theorem 6.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gupta, J. S. and Manjit Singh, Logarithmic mean function of entire functions defined by Dirichlet series, Pub. Math. Debrecen, 20 (1973), 13-19.
- [2] Gupta, J. S. and Shakti Bala, Generalized logarithmic mean function of entire functions defined by Dirichlet series, Rendi. di Mat., 6 (1973), 803-810.
- [3] Kamthan, P. K., Convex functions and their applications, Rev. de la Fac. des Sci. de l'Univ. d'Istanbul, Sér A, 28 (1963), 71—78.
- [4] Ritt, J. F., On certain points in the theory of Dirichlet series, Amer. J. Math., 50 (1928), 73-86.

S. K. Vaish

Department of Mathematics, **Sahu** Jain College, **Najibabad** — 246763 (U.P.), **INDIA**