Miroslav Bartušek On asymptotic properties and distribution of zeros of solutions of y'' + f(t, y, y') = 0

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 14 (1978), No. 1, 1--12

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106986

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ARCH. MATH. 1, SCRIPTA FAC. SCI. NAT. UJEP BRUNENSIS XIV: 1—12, 1978

ON ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES AND DISTRIBUTION OF ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF y'' + f(t, y, y') = 0

MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK, Brno

(Received May 31, 1976)

1. Consider a differential equation

(1)
$$\begin{cases} y'' + f(t, y, y') = 0, \\ \text{where the function } f \text{ is continuous in } D = \{(t, y, v) : \\ t \in [t_0, \infty), y \in R, v \in R\}, f(t, y, v) : y > 0 \text{ for } y \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is evident that Cauchy initial problem for (1) has a solution but we do not suppose its uniqueness. In the present paper we shall omit the trivial solution $y \equiv 0$ from our considerations.

A solution y of (1) is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of numbers $\{t_k\}_1^\infty$ such that $t_0 \leq t_k < t_{k+1}, y(t_k) = 0, y(t) \neq 0$ on $(t_k, t_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, 3, ...$

In this paper we shall deal only with oscillatory solutions of (1) that exist on the whole interval $[t_0, \infty)$, i.e. $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \infty$. We shall study some asymptotic properties of them and the distribution of their zeros.

If y is an oscillatory solution of (1), then the distribution of its zeros is characterized by the sequence $\{\Delta_k\}_{1}^{\infty}$, $\Delta_k = t_{k+1} - t_k$ where $\{t_k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is the sequence of all zeros of y. There exists exactly one sequence $\{\tau_k\}_{1}^{\infty}$ called the sequence of extremants of y, with the property $t_k < \tau_k < t_{k+1}$, $y'(\tau_k) = 0$ (see [2]). The symbols t_k , τ_k , Δ_k have the above mentioned meaning in the present paper.

We shall need the simple lemma the proof of which can be found in [2], [3].

Lemma 1. Let y be an arbitrary non-trivial solution of (1) and $t_1 < t_2$ its consecutive zeros $(y(t) \neq 0$ on (t_1, t_2)). Then there exists exactly one number τ , $t_1 < \tau < t_2$ such that $y'(\tau) = 0$ holds, the function y' sgn y is decreasing on (t_1, t_2) and the inequalities

 $|y'(t_1)|(\tau - t_1) > |y(\tau)|, |y'(t_2)|(t_2 - \tau) > |y(\tau)|$

are valid.

2. Theorem 1. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and let there exist a constant M > 0 such that for an arbitrary number $M_1 > 0$ the following relation holds:

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t, y, v) = 0, \quad uniformly for \quad |y| \leq M_1, |v| \leq M.$

Then at least one of the following assertions is valid

- (i) $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0$,
- (ii) y is unbounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.
- If, in addition, constants M_2 , M_3 exist such that

$$0 < M_2 \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_3, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, ...,$$

then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \Delta_k = \infty$.

Proof. Assume that y is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. We shall prove at first the relation $\lim_{t \to \infty} y'(t) = 0$. Let

 $|y(t)| \leq N = \text{const.} < \infty, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty).$

$$H_k(v) = \max |f(t, y, v)| > 0$$
 for $v \in R$,

$$|y| \leq N, \quad t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}.$$

It follows from the assumptions of the theorem that

(2)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} H_k(v) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } |v| \leq M.$$

By multiplicating the equation (1) by $-\frac{y'}{H_k(y')}$ and by integration we obtain

(3)
$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{t \, dt}{H_k(t \, \text{sgn } y'(t_k))} = -\int_{t_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{y''(t) \, y'(t)}{H_k(y'(t))} \, dt =$$
$$= \int_{t_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{|f(t, y(t), y'(t))| |y'(t)|}{H_k(y'(t))} \, dt \leq \int_{t_k}^{\tau_k} |y'(t)| \, dt = |y(\tau_k)| \leq N, \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$

Suppose that y' does not converge to zero for $t \to \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of integers $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $|y'(t_{k_i})| \ge \varepsilon > 0$, i = 1, 2, 3, ... holds in case $\varepsilon, \varepsilon \le M$ is a suitable number. According to (2)

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{0}^{|y'(tk_i)|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_{k_i}(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{k_i}))} \ge \lim_{i \to \infty} \int_{0}^{e} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_{k_i}(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{k_i}))} = \infty$$

and we get a contradiction to the inequality (3). Thus the first part of the statement is proved.

Let M_2, M_3 be constants such that $0 < M_2 \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_3$, k = 1, 2, 3, ...Thus according to the proved part of the theorem $\lim_{t \to \infty} y'(t) = 0$ holds and the rest of the statement follows from Lemma 1:

1.12.12

$$\tau_{k} - t_{k} > \left| \frac{y(\tau_{k})}{y'(t_{k})} \right| \ge \frac{M_{2}}{|y'(t_{k})|} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \infty,$$

$$t_{k+1} - \tau_{k} > \frac{|y(\tau_{k})|}{|y'(t_{k+1})|} \ge \frac{M_{2}}{|y'(t_{k+1})|} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \infty$$

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and let a constant M, 0 < M exist such that for arbitrary numbers M_1 , M_2 , $0 < M_2 \leq M$, $0 < M_1$ the following relation holds

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |f(t, y, v)| = \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } M_2 \leq |y| \leq M, |v| \leq M_1.$$

Then at least one of the following assertions is valid (i) $\lim y(t) = 0$,

 $(1) \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = 0$

(ii) y' is unbounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.

Proof. Suppose that (i) is not valid. Then there exists a sequence of integers $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that $|y(\tau_{k_i})| \ge \varepsilon > 0$, i = 1, 2, 3, ... where ε , $\varepsilon \le M$ is a suitable number. Put

$$H_i(v) = \min |f(t, y, v)| > 0, \quad \text{for}$$

$$\varepsilon/2 \le |y| \le \varepsilon, \quad t_{k_i} \le t \le t_{k_i+1}, \quad v \in R; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

With respect to the assumptions of the theorem we have

(4)
$$\lim_{i\to\infty} H_i(v) = \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } |v| \leq \text{const.}$$

By multiplicating (1) by $-\frac{y'}{H_i(y')}$ and by integrating we obtain

(5)
$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_{k_{i}})|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_{i}(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{k_{i}}))} = \int_{t_{k_{i}}}^{t_{k_{i}}} \frac{|f(t, \, y(t), \, y'(t))| |y'(t)|}{H_{i}(y'(t))} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{t_{i}^{1}}^{t_{i}^{2}} \frac{|f(t, \, y(t), \, y'(t))| |y'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_{i}(y'(t))} \ge \int_{t_{i}^{1}}^{t_{i}^{2}} |y'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t = |y(t_{i}^{2})| - |y(t_{i}^{1})| = \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

where t_i^1, t_i^2 are such numbers that $t_{k_i} < t_i^1 < t_i^2 \leq \tau_{k_i}, |y(t_i^1)| = \varepsilon/2, |y(t_i^2)| = \varepsilon, i = 1, 2, 3, ...$

Let y' be bounded on $[t_0, \infty]$. Thus there exists a constant N > 0 such that $|y'(t)| \leq N, t \in [t_0, \infty)$. Then according to (4) we can conclude

$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_{ki})|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_{i}(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{ki}))} \leq N \int_{0}^{N} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{H_{i}(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{ki}))} \xrightarrow{i \to \infty} 0.$$

But this fact is in contradiction with the inequality (5) and the function y' is unbounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. The theorem is proved.

Remark 1. It is evident from (3) and (5) that under the assumptions of Theorem 1

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty}\left\{\left|y(\tau_k)\right|\right\}<\infty\Rightarrow\liminf_{k\to\infty}\left\{\left|y'(t_k)\right|\right\}=0$$

holds, too. Similarly, if the assumptions of Theorem 2 are valid, then

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \left\{ \left| y(\tau_k) \right| \right\} > 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{k\to\infty} \left\{ \left| y'(t_k) \right| \right\} = \infty$$

holds.

Remark 2. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be valid and let y be bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. Then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y'(t)=0,\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}y''(t)=0.$$

The last result follows from the previous one and from the relation

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y''(t) = -\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t, y(t), y'(t)) = 0,$$

Similarly, let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and let for an arbitrary constant $M_2 > 0$ the following relation hold

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |f(t, y, v)| = \infty \quad \text{uniformly for } |v| < \infty, M_2 \leq |y| < \infty.$$

Let $\limsup \{ |y(\tau_k)| \} > 0$. Then the functions y', y'' are unbounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.

If, in addition, $\liminf \{ | y(\tau_k) | \} > 0$, then

 $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |y'(t_k)| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma_k = \infty \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_k = \max_{t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]} |y''(t)|.$$

Remark 3. The results of Theorems 1 and 2 were studied in [2], [3] for the differential equation

$$(p(t) y')' + f(t, y, y') = 0.$$

The statements of Theorems 1 and 2 generalize some conclusions of the above mentioned papers for (1).

Corollary 1. Let y be an oscillatory solution of a differential equation

(6)
$$\begin{cases} y'' + a(t)f(y, y') = 0, \\ \text{where } a(t), f(y, v) \text{ are continuous functions} \\ \text{for } t \in [t_0, \infty), y \in R, v \in R, a > 0, \\ f(y, v) y > 0 \text{ for } y \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

(i) Let $\lim a(t) = 0$. If y is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y'(t)=0,\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}y''(t)=0.$$

If, in addition, $\liminf \{ | y(\tau_k) | \} > 0$, then $\lim \Delta_k = \infty$ holds.

(ii) Let $\lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) = \infty$. If y' is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}y(t)=0$$

(iii) Let $\lim_{t \to \infty} a(t) = \infty$. If there exist constants M, M_1 such that $0 < M \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq M_1 < \infty$ holds, then $\lim_{t \to \infty} |y'(t_k)| = \infty$.

If, in addition, for an arbitrary constant N > 0 there exists a number $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(y, v)| \ge M_2 > 0, \qquad |y| \ge N, |v| < \infty$$

holds, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sigma_k = \infty$, $\sigma_k = \max_{t\in[t_k, t_{k+1}]} |y''(t)|$.

3. In this paragraph some well-known results for the linear differential equation (see [15], [1], [9])

$$y'' + q(t) y = 0, \qquad t \in [t_0, \infty)$$

q continuous, $0 < M = \text{const.} \le q(t) \le M_1 = \text{const.} < \infty, t \in [t_0, \infty)$ are extended to the equation (1).

Theorem 3. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1). Let constants $M, M_1, M_3, 0 < M$, $0 < M_1, 0 < M_3$ exist such that for an arbitrary number $M_2, 0 < M_2 \leq M$ there hold

$$\begin{vmatrix} f(t, y, v) \end{vmatrix} \leq M_3, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad |y| \leq M, \quad |v| \leq M_1, \\ 0 < M_4 \leq |f(t, y, v)|, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad M_2 \leq |y| \leq M, \quad |v| \leq M_1,$$

where M_4 is a constant (depending on M_2).

Then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0 \quad \text{if, and only if} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$. Then there exists a number $\tilde{t} \in [t_0, \infty)$ and an integer \tilde{k} such that $|y(t)| \leq M$, $t \in [\tilde{t}, \infty)$, $\tau_{\tilde{k}} \geq t$. We can define the function $H_k(v)$ in the same way as in Theorem 1 (N = M), the estimation (3) holds, thus especially

(7)
$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_k(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_k))} \leq |y(\tau_k)|, \qquad k = \bar{k}, \, \bar{k} + 1, \, \bar{k} + 2, \, \dots$$

If $\lim_{k \to \infty} y(\tau_k) = 0$, then according to the estimation

$$H_k(v) \leq M_3 = \text{const.} < \infty, \quad |v| \leq M_1, \quad k = \bar{k}, \bar{k} + 1, \bar{k} + 2, \dots$$

(the validity of which follows from the assumptions of the theorem) we can conclude $\lim_{k \to \infty} y'(t_k) = 0$.

On the contrary, let $\lim y'(t) = 0$. We shall use the indirect proof for proving the relation $\lim y(t) = 0$. If this relation is not valid, then there exists a sequence $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and a number ε , $0 < \varepsilon$ such that $|y(\tau_{k_i})| \ge \varepsilon$, i = 1, 2, ... and (5) hold. Thus

$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_{k_i})|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_i(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_{k_i}))} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 0.$$

From this and according to $\lim y'(t) = 0$ we obtain a contradiction because from the assumptions of the theorem there follows

$$0 < N_2 = \text{const.} \le H_i(v), \quad i = 1, 2, ...$$

uniformly in some neighbourhood of v = 0. The theorem is proved.

Remark 4. It is evident from the proof of Theorem 3 that the following assertion is valid, too

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} |y(\tau_k)| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \liminf_{k\to\infty} |y'(t_k)| = 0.$$

Corollary 2. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (6). Let constants M, M_1 exist such that

$$0 < M \leq a(t) \leq M_1, \qquad t \in [t_0, \infty)$$

holds. Then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0.$$

Theorem 4. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1). Let a continuous function g(v), $v \in R, g > 0, \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{g(\pm t)} dt = \infty$ exist such that for arbitrary constants $M, M_1, M_2,$ $0 < M_1 \leq M < \infty$ there hold $|f(t, y, v)| \leq M_3 g(v), t \in [t_0, \infty), |y| \leq M, v \in R$ and

(8)
$$0 < M_4 \leq |f(t, y, v)|, t \in [t_0, \infty), M_1 \leq |y|, |v| \leq M_2.$$

Here M_3 (M_4) is a suitable number that depends on M (on M_1 , M_2). Then

- (i) y is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$ if and only if y' is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$

(ii) $\lim_{k \to \infty} |y(\tau_k)| = \infty$ if and only if $\lim_{k \to \infty} |y'(t_k)| = \infty$ (iii) If $0 < M_5 = \text{const.} \le |y(\tau_k)| \le M_6 = \text{const.}, \ k = 1, 2, 3, ..., \ then \ there$ exist numbers C, C_1 such that

(9)
$$0 < C \leq \Delta_k \leq C_1, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

holds.

Proof. (i) Let y be bounded $|y(t)| \leq N$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$. Then we can define the function $H_k(v)$ in the same way as in Theorem 1 and (3) holds. Thus, especially

$$\int_{0}^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{H_k(t \, \mathrm{sgn} \, y'(t_k))} \leq |y(\tau_k)| \leq N, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

According to the assumptions of the theorem a constant $N_1 < \infty$ exists such that $H_k(v) \leq N_1 g(v), k = 1, 2, \dots$ From this

$$\frac{1}{N_1} \int_0^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{t \,\mathrm{d}t}{g(t \operatorname{sgn} y'(t_k))} \leq \int_0^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{t \,\mathrm{d}t}{H_k(t \operatorname{sgn} y'(t_k))} \leq N.$$

Thus with respect to the assumptions of the function g we obtain that y' must be bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$.

On the contrary let y' be bounded $|y'(t)| \leq N_2$, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$. The statement will be proved by the indirect proof. Thus suppose that there exists a sequence of integers $\{k_i\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{i \to \infty} |y(\tau_{k_i})| = \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, σ_i , i = 1, 2, ... be such numbers that

$$|y(\tau_{k_i})| > \varepsilon, \quad |y(\sigma_i)| = \varepsilon, \quad t_{k_i} < \sigma_i < \tau_{k_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, ...$$

hold. Put

$$A_i(v) = \min \left| f(t, y, v) > 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \leq y \leq \left| y(\tau_{k_i}) \right|, \quad t_k \leq t \leq t_{k+1}.$$

There exists a constant N_3 such that

(10)
$$A_i(v) \ge N_3, \quad |v| \le N_2, \quad i = 1, 2, ...$$

and thus (according to (1))

$$\infty > \int_{0}^{N_2} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{N_3} \le \int_{0}^{|y'(t_{k_i})|} \frac{t \, \mathrm{d}t}{A_i(t \operatorname{sgn} y'(t_{k_i}))} = \int_{t_{k_i}}^{t_{k_i}} \frac{|f(t, y(t), y'(t))| |y'(t)|}{A_i(y'(t))} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{\sigma_i}^{\tau_{k_i}} |y'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t = |y(\tau_{k_i})| - \varepsilon \xrightarrow[i \to \infty]{} \infty.$$

But this is a contradiction.

(ii) The result follows from the proved part of the theorem and from the following conclusion which can be proved in the same way as (i):

Let $\{k_i\}_1^\infty$ be a sequence of integers. The sequence $\{|y(\tau_{k_i})|\}_1^\infty$ is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$ iff $\{|y'(t_{k_i})|\}_1^\infty$ is bounded on this interval.

(iii) It follows from the proved part of the theorem that

(11)
$$|y'(t_k)| \leq N_5 = \text{const.}, \quad k = 1, 2, ...$$

holds. Denote by σ_k , $\overline{\sigma}_k$ such numbers that

$$t_k < \sigma_k < \overline{\sigma}_k \leq \tau_k, \qquad |y(\sigma_k)| = \frac{M_5}{2}, \qquad |y(\overline{\sigma}_k)| = M_5.$$

As the function $y'(t) \operatorname{sgn} y(t)$ is decreasing in the interval (t_k, τ_k) (see Lemma 1), the following inequalities are valid

$$0 < \sigma_k - t_k < \overline{\sigma}_k - \sigma_k \leq \tau_k - \sigma_k.$$

 $A_{k}(v) = \min |f(t, v, v)| > 0$

Put

 $M_5/2 \le |y| \le M_6, t_k \le t \le \tau_k, v \in R; k = 1, 2, ...$

Then $A_k(v) \ge N_6 = \text{const.} > 0$ for $|v| \le N_5$. By multiplicating (1) by $-A_k^{-1}(y'(t))$ and by integration we can conclude

$$\infty > \frac{N_5}{N_6} \ge \int_0^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{A_k(t \operatorname{sgn} y'(t_k))} = \int_{t_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{|f(t, y(t), y'(t))|}{A_k(y'(t))} \, \mathrm{d}t \le \sum_{\sigma_k}^{\tau_k} \frac{|f(t, y(t), y'(t))|}{A_k(y'(t))} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{\sigma_k}^{\tau_k} \mathrm{d}t = \tau_k - \sigma_k.$$

Thus $\tau_k - t_k = (\tau_k - \sigma_k) + (\sigma_k - t_k)$ is bounded above for k = 1, 2, 3, ... It can be proved similarly that $\{t_{k+1} - \tau_k\}_1^\infty$ is bounded.

The boundedness of $\{\Delta_k\}_1^{\infty}$ from below by a positive constant is a consequence of Lemma 1 and (11). The theorem is proved.

Remark 5. It is evident from the proof that under the assumptions of Theorem 4 the following assertions are valid

- (i) $\liminf_{k\to\infty} |y(\tau_k)| < \infty \Leftrightarrow \liminf_{k\to\infty} |y'(t_k)| < \infty$
- (ii) $\limsup_{t\to\infty} |y(t)| = \infty \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{t\to\infty} |y'(t)| = \infty.$

Remark 6. It can be easily seen from the proof of Theorem 4 that the conslusion (iii) holds even if we suppose

$$0 < M \leq |f(t, y, v)|, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad M_1 \leq |y| \leq M, \quad |v| \leq M_2$$

instead of (8).

Theorem 5. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (1) and let a continuous function g exist, g(t) > 0, $t \in [t_0, \infty)$, $\int_0^\infty \frac{t \, dt}{g(\pm t)} < \infty$ such that for an arbitrary constant M_1 , $0 < M_1$ it holds

$$0 < M_2 g(v) \leq |f(t, y, v)|, \quad t \in [t_0, \infty), \quad M_1 \leq |y|, \quad v \in R,$$

where $M_2 > 0$ is a suitable number (depending on M_1). Then y is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. If, in addition, $0 < M_3 = \text{const.} \leq |y(\tau_k)|, k = 1, 2, 3, ..., then <math>\{\Delta_k\}_1^\infty$ is bounded.

Proof. The first part of the theorem can be proved similarly to the second part of the statement (i) in Theorem 4 (i.e. y' is bounded \Rightarrow y is bounded). We must use the estimation

$$A_i(v) \ge N_3 g(v), \quad v \in R, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

instead of (10). The boundedness of Δ_k can be proved similar to the same result in Theorem 4.

4. Consider a differential equation

(12)
$$y'' + f(t, y) g(y') = 0,$$

where f(t, y), g(v) are continuous functions in $D = \{(t, y) : t \in [t_0, \infty), y \in R\}, v \in R$, g > 0, f(t, y) > 0 for $y \neq 0$.

In our further considerations we must suppose very often the validity of the conditions

(13)
$$f(t, y) = -f(t, -y), \quad g(v) = g(-v).$$

This paragraph contains especially some consequences of the previous paragraphs and of some results of [5]. At first we mention here necessary results of [5].

Theorem. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (12). Let the function |f(t, y)| is non-increasing (non-decreasing) with respect to t in D.

(i) If g(v) = g(-v) for $v \in (-\infty, \infty)$, then the sequence $\{|y'(t_k)|\}_1^\infty$ is non-increasing (non-decreasing) and $\tau_k - t_k \leq t_{k+1} - \tau_k$ ($\tau_k - t_k \geq t_{k+1} - \tau_k$) holds.

(ii) If f(t, y) = -f(t, -y) in D, then the sequence $\{|y(\tau_k)|\}_1^\infty$ is non-decreasing (non-increasing).

Theorem 6. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (12) and let (13) hold. Let

(i) (i) |f(t, y)| be non-decreasing with respect to t in D

(ii) a constant M > 0 exist such that f(t, y) is non-decreasing with respect to y in $D_1 = \{(t, y) : t \in [t_0, \infty), |y| \leq M\}.$

If $\lim y(t) = 0$, then $\lim \Delta_k = 0$.

 $t \to \infty$ $k \to \infty$

Proof. It follows from Theorem that $\{|y(\tau_k)|\}_1^\infty$ is non-increasing and $\{|y'(t_k)|\}_1^\infty$ is non-decreasing. Thus

$$|y'(t_k)| \ge |y'(t_1)| = N > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary number, $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\lim_{|t| \leq N} g(t)}{\max g(t)} \frac{N}{2}$. Denote by $\sigma_k, k = 1, 2, 3, ...$ numbers such that $t_k < \sigma_k < \tau_k, |y'(\sigma_k)| = \varepsilon$. First we prove that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma_k - t_k = 0$ holds. According to the Rolle theorem there exists a number $\xi_k \in (t_k, \sigma_k)$ such that $y'(\xi_k) = \frac{y(\sigma_k) - y(t_k)}{\sigma_k - t_k}$. From this $\sigma_k - t_k = \frac{|y(\sigma_k)|}{\sigma_k - t_k} < \frac{|y(\sigma_k)|}{\sigma_k - t_k} < 0$

$$\sigma_k - t_k = \frac{|y(\sigma_k)|}{|y'(\xi_k)|} \leq \frac{|y(\sigma_k)|}{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 0$$

and thus $\lim_{k\to\infty}\sigma_k-t_k=0.$

According to $\lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) = 0$ there exists an integer *n* such that $|y(\tau_k)| \leq M, k \geq n$. Consider the function

$$F(t) = \int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} \frac{y'' \, \mathrm{d}t}{g(y')} = -\int_{0}^{y'(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{g(t)} = -\int_{t}^{\tau_{k}} f(t, y(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t, \qquad t \in [t_{k}, \tau_{k}].$$

If $\sigma_k \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \tau_k$, then $|y(t_1)| \leq |y(t_2)|$ and

$$F'(t_2) - F'(t_1) = f(t_2, y(t_2)) - f(t_1, y(t_1)) =$$

= $[f(t_2, y(t_2)) - f(t_2, y(t_1))] + [f(t_2, y(t_1)) - f(t_1, y(t_1))] \ge$
 $\ge 0 \quad \text{for } y(t_1) > 0$
 $\le 0 \quad \text{for } y(t_1) < 0.$

As F'(t) > 0 (< 0) if y > 0 (y < 0), the function |F'| is non-decreasing on (t_k, τ_k) . Denote by $\overline{\sigma}_k$ such number that $t_k \leq \overline{\sigma}_k < \sigma_k$, $|F(\overline{\sigma}_k)| = 2 |F(\sigma_k)|$. $\overline{\sigma}_k$ really exists because |F| is non-increasing and

$$|F(\sigma_k)| = \int_0^s \frac{dt}{g(t)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\min_{\substack{|t| \leq N}} g(t)} \leq \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{\max_{\substack{|t| \leq N}} g(t)},$$
$$|F(t_k)| = \int_0^{|y'(t_k)|} \frac{dt}{g(t)} \leq \frac{N}{\max_{\substack{|t| \leq N}} g(t)}.$$

According to the mean value theorem we have

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} F(\sigma_k) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} F(\tau_k) - F(\tau_k) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} F'(\xi_1) \end{array} \right| (\tau_k - \sigma_k), \qquad \xi_1 \in (\sigma_k, \tau_k), \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} F(\sigma_k) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} F(\sigma_k) - F(\overline{\sigma}_k) \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} F'(\xi_2) \end{array} \right| (\sigma_k - \overline{\sigma}_k), \qquad \xi_2 \in (\overline{\sigma}_k, \sigma_k). \end{array}$$

From this and with respect to |F'| being non-decreasing, we can conclude

 $\tau_k - \sigma_k \leq \sigma_k - \overline{\sigma}_k \leq \sigma_k - t_k \xrightarrow[k \to \infty]{} 0.$

Thus finally $\lim_{k\to\infty} \tau_k - t_k = 0.$

By Theorem there holds $t_{k+1} - \tau_k \leq \tau_k - t_k$ and the theorem is proved.

Remark 7. Katranov [11], [12] deals with the problem of Theorem 6. He proved the statement of this theorem but under the more restrictive assumptions. He must, in addition, suppose that

1° there exists $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, y)$ and it is continuous 2° for an arbitrary $M \neq 0$ there holds $\lim_{t \to \infty} |f(t, M)| = \infty$ 3° there exists a constant $g_1 > 0$ such that $g(v) > g_1, v \in R$ 4° the uniqueness of the Cauchy initial problem holds. **Theorem 7.** Let y be an oscillatory solution of (12), (13) and let |f(t, y)| be nonincreasing with respect to t in D. Further, let for an arbitrary constant 0 < M there holds $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(t, y) = 0$ uniformly for $|y| \leq M$. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Delta_k=\infty.$$

Proof. It is evident that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. Thus either $\lim_{t\to\infty} y'(t) = 0$ or y is unbounded. From this and according to Lemma 1 and Theorem we have

$$\Delta_k > \frac{|y(\tau_k)|}{|y'(t_k)|} \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \infty.$$

The theorem is proved.

The following Corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4 and Theorem.

Corollary 3. Let y be an oscillatory solution of (12), (13). Let |f(t, y)| be nonincreasing (non-decreasing) with respect to t in D and let $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{g(t)} dt = \infty$. Further suppose that for an arbitrary constant M, 0 < M there exists a number M_1 such that

$$0 < M_1 \le \lim |f(t, y)|, \quad M \le |y|,$$

$$(\lim_{t \to \infty} |f(t, y)| \le M_1 \quad \text{for } |y| \le M)$$

holds. Then the sequences $\{|y(\tau_k)|\}_1^{\infty}, \{|y'(t_k)|\}_1^{\infty}, \{\Delta_k\}_1^{\infty}$ are bounded above and are bounded away from zero.

Remark 8. The problems concerning the boundedness of y and y' are studied in [6], [7], [14], [18], [19] (these papers deal with the differential equation (1), f(t, y, v) = a(t)r(y)h(y')) and in [8], [10], [13], [16], [17] (for f(t, y, v) = a(t)r(y)), but mostly without the assumptions (13) and $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t}{g(t)} = \infty$. The other assumptions of Corollary 3 are supposed, too.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bartušek M.: On Asymptotic Properties and Distribution of Zeros of Solutions of y'' = q(t)y. Acta F.R.N. Univ. Comenianae, Math., XXXII, 1975, 69–86.
- [2] Бартушек М.: О нулях колеблющихся решений уравнения [p(t)x']' + f(t, x, x') = 0. Дудар. урав. XII, 1976, 621—625.
- [3] Bartušek M.: On Zeros of Solutions of the Differential Equation [p(t)y']' + f(t, y, y') = 0. Arch. Math. XI, No 4, 1975, 187–192.
- [4] Bartušek M.: On Distribution of Zeros of Solutions of Differential Equations y'' + f(t, y, y') = 0Arch. Math. XIII, No 2, 1977, 69–74.

- [5] Bartušek M.: Monotonicity Theorems Concerning Differential Equations y'' + f(t, y, y') = 0. Arch. Math. XII, No 4, 1976, 169–178.
- [6] Bihari I.: Oscillation and Monotonity Theorems Concerning Non-linear Differential Equations of the Second Order. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., IX, No 1-2, 1958, 83-104.
- [7] Burton T., Grimmer R.: On the Asymptotic Behaviour of Solutions of x'' + a(t) f(x) = 0. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 70, 1971, 77–88.
- [8] Dlotko T.: Sur làllure asymptotique des solutions de l'equation différentielle ordinaire du second ordre. Ann. polon. math., 11, 1961, No 3, 261-273.
- [9] Ендовицкий И. И.: Некоторые условия неустойчивости решений уравнения u'' + -p(x) u = 0. Изв. вузов, мат., 140, Но 1, 1974, 47—51.
- [10] Изюмова Д. В., Кичурадзе И. Т.: Некоторые эамечания о решениях уравнения u'' + a(t)f(u) = 0. Дифф. урав., IV, Но 4, 1968, 589—605.
- [11] Катранов А. Г.: О нулях колеблющихся решений уравнения x'' + a(t)f(x) = 0. Дифф. урав., VII, Ho 5, 1971, 930—933.
- [12] Катранов А. Г.: Об асимптотическом поведении колеблющихся решений уравнения $\ddot{x} + f(t, x) g(\dot{x}) = 0$. Дифф. урав., VIII, Но 6, 1972, 1111—1115.
- [13] Kroopnik A.: Properties of Solutions of Differential Equations of the Form y'' + a(t)b(y) = 0. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 34, No 1, 1972, 319–320.
- [14] Lalli B. S.: On Boundedness of Solutions of Certain Second Order Differential Equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 25, 1969, 182–188.
- [15] Сансоне Дж.: Обыкновенные дифференциальные уравнения II. Moscow 1954.
- [16] Utz: Properties of Solutions of $u'' + q(t)u^{2n-1} = 0$. II. Monatsh. Math., 69, No 4, 1965, 353-361.
- [17] Waltman P.: Some Properties of Solutions of u'' + a(t) f(u) = 0. Monatsh. Math., 67, 1963, No 1, 50-54.
- [18] Wong J. S. W.: Boundedness Theorems for Solutions of u''(t) + a(t) f(u) g(u') = 0. IV. L'enseignement Math., VII, 1961, 157–165.
- [19] Wong J. S. W., Burton T.: Some Properties of Solutions of u''(t) + a(t) f(u) g(u') = 0. II. Monatsh. Math., 69, No 4, 1965, 368-374.

M. Bartušek

662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a Czechoslovakia