## Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Zi Qiu Yun On a problem of J. Nagata

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 4, 811--815

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106806

### Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

#### On a problem of J. Nagata

#### YUN ZIQIU

#### Dedicated to the memory of Zdeněk Frolík

Abstract. In this paper, we give a negative answer to a problem about metrization which was posed by J. Nagata in [5], and we discuss several related problems. Keywords: g-function, decreasing g-function, metrizable spaces Classification: 54E35

A function  $g: \mathbb{N} \times X \to 2^X$  is called a g-function if g(n, x) is an open neighborhood of x for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ . A g-function g is said to be decreasing if  $g(n+1,x) \subseteq$ g(n,x) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $g^1(n,x) = g(n,x)$  and let  $g^{i+1}(n,x) =$  $\bigcup \{g(n,y): y \in g^i(n,x)\}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following problem was posed by J. Nagata ([5], problem after Theorem 9): Is a  $T_1$  space X metrizable if X has a g-function which satisfies the following conditions:

(1) If  $x \in g^2(n, x_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n \to x$ ;

(2) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $ClY \subseteq \bigcup \{g^2(n, y) : y \in Y\}$ ?

In the following, we answer this problem negatively and discuss some related problems.

# **Example 1.** A non-metrizable Moore space X with a g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2).

Let  $X = \mathbb{R} \times (\{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\})$ , and topologize X with the following modification of the tangent disc topology (see [6] pages 101-103): all the points of  $\mathbb{R} \times \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  are isolated. For a point of the form (r,0), let  $D_n(r,0) =$  $\{(a,b) \in X : d((a,b), (r,1/n)) < 1/n\}$  and let  $\{D_n(r,0) \cup \{(r,0)\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a neighborhood base of (r,0); here d denotes the Euclidean distance of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . It is easy to see that X is a non-normal Moore space.

We define a g-function on X as follows:

$$g(n,(a,b)) = \begin{cases} \{(a,b)\} \cup D_{2n}(a,b) & \text{if } b = 0; \\ \{(a,0)\} \cup D_n(a,0) & \text{if } b = 1/n; \\ \{(a,b)\} & \text{if } b > 1/n; \\ \{(a',b') \in X : d((a',b'),(a,b)) < 1/n \text{ and } b' > 0\} & \text{if } 0 < b < 1/n. \end{cases}$$

The author would like to thank Dr. H. Junnila for his help and useful suggestions. Actually, Example 2 of this paper is due to him. The proof that g is a g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) is not difficult and we leave it for the reader.

The following example shows that a non-metrizable space can even have a decreasing g-function satisfying conditions (1) and (2).

**Example 2.** A non-metrizable Moore space X with a decreasing g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2).

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ . We give a topology on X as follows: All the points which belong to  $X \setminus (\mathbb{R} \times \{0\})$  are isolated; for  $(r,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , set  $B_n(r,0) = \{(a,b) \in X : b = |r-a| < 1/n\} \cup \{(r,b) : -1/n < b < 0\}$  and let  $\{B_n(r,0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a neighborhood base of (r,0). It is trivial to check that X is a Moore space. Since the closed subspace  $X' = \{(a,b) \in X : b \ge 0\}$  is R. W. Heath's V-space ([3] Example 1) which is not normal, X is not a metrizable space.

We define a g-function on X as follows:

$$g(n, (a, b)) = \begin{cases} B_n(a, b) & \text{if } b = 0; \\ \{(a, b)\} & \text{if } |b| \ge 1/n; \\ \{(a, b), (a - b, -b), (a + b, -b)\} & \text{if } 0 < b < 1/n; \\ B_n(a, 0) & \text{if } -1/n < b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to check that g is a decreasing g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2).

A space with a g-function which satisfies condition (1) is a  $\sigma$ -space ([4]) and it is not difficult to show that a space with a g-function satisfying conditions (1) and (2) is a first countable space. Since a Moore space is a first countable  $\sigma$ -space, one could ask whether a regular space with a g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) is a Moore space. By virtue of the following example, the answer to this question is also negative.

**Example 3.** A stratifiable space X with a decreasing g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) such that X is not a Moore space.

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ . We give a topology on X as follows: All the points of  $X \setminus \{\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}\}$  are isolated. For  $(r,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ , let  $B_n(r,0) = \{(a,b) \in X : |a-r| < 1/n, |b| < 1/n\} \setminus \{(r,b) : 0 < b < 1/n\}$  and let  $\{B_n(r,0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a neighborhood base of (r,0).

It is easy to show that X is a stratifiable space. The subspace  $X' = \{(a, b) \in X : b \ge 0\}$  of X is not metrizable ([1] Example 9.1) and hence not developable, so X is not developable. We define a g-function on X as follows:

$$g(n, (a, b)) = \begin{cases} B_n(a, b) & \text{if } b = 0; \\ \{(a, b)\} & \text{if } |b| \ge 1/n; \\ \{(a, b), (a, -b)\} & \text{if } 0 < b < 1/n; \\ B_n(a, 0) \smallsetminus \{(a, 0)\} & \text{if } -1/n < b < 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to show that g is a decreasing g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2).

**Remark 1.** Assume that X has a g-function which satisfies the following condition:

(3) For each  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $ClY \subseteq \bigcup \{g(n, y) : y \in Y\}$ .

We can always find a decreasing g-function g' on X such that g' also satisfies condition (3) and  $g'(n,x) \subseteq g(n,x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ . For example, we can take  $g'(n,x) = \bigcap \{g(i,x) : 1 \le i \le n\}$ . Hence, in many results which involve a g-function satisfying condition (3) and certain other conditions, whether this gfunction is decreasing is not important. Examples 4 and 5 show that for a g-function which satisfies condition (2), the situation is quite different.

**Example 4.** A Moore space X with a g-function which satisfies conditions (1) and (2) such that X has no decreasing g-function which satisfies the same conditions.

We prove that the space X of Example 1 has no decreasing g-function satisfying (1) and (2).

Assume that X has such a g-function. We first prove that for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $(r, 0) \in \bigcup \{g(n, (a, b)) : (a, b) \in D_m(r, 0)\}$ . Otherwise, there exist  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r, 0) \notin \bigcup \{g(n, (a, b)) : (a, b) \in D_m(r, 0)\}$ . By condition (1), there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(r, 0) \notin \bigcup \{g(k, (a, b)) : (a, b) \notin D_m(r, 0) \cup \{(r, 0)\}\}$ . Let  $j = \max\{n, k\}$ . Then  $(r, 0) \notin \bigcup \{g(j, (a, b)) : (a, b) \in X \setminus \{(r, 0)\}\}$ , and hence  $(r, 0) \notin \bigcup \{g^2(j, (a, b)) : (a, b) \in X \setminus \{(r, 0)\}\}$ . By condition (2),  $\operatorname{Cl}(X \setminus \{(r, 0)\}) \subseteq \bigcup \{g^2(j, (a, b)) : (a, b) \in X \setminus \{(r, 0)\}\}$ . As a consequence,  $(r, 0) \notin \operatorname{Cl}(X \setminus \{(r, 0)\})$ , which is a contradiction.

For all  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_{n,k} = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : D_k(r,0) \subseteq g(n,(r,0))\}$ . Note that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} R_{n,k} = \mathbb{R}$ . Since every interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  is of the second category, we can find inductively, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a closed interval  $[c_n, d_n]$  and a  $k(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $R_{n,k(n)}$  is dense in  $[c_n, d_n]$  and  $[c_{n+1}, d_{n+1}] \subseteq [c_n, d_n]$ . Pick a  $r_0 \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [c_n, d_n]$ . Note that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r_0 \in Cl(R_{n,k(n)} \setminus \{r_0\})$ . It follows that

$$D_{k(n)}(r_0, 0) \subseteq \subseteq \bigcup \{ D_{k(n)}(r, 0) : r \in R_{n,k(n)}, \ r \neq r_0 \} \subseteq \bigcup \{ g(n, (r, 0)) : r \in R_{n,k(n)}, \ r \neq r_0 \}.$$

Choose  $(a_n, b_n) \in D_{k(n)}(r_0, 0)$  such that  $(r_0, 0) \in g(n, (a_n, b_n))$  and choose  $r_n \in R_{n,k(n)}$ ,  $r_n \neq r_0$ , such that  $(a_n, b_n) \in g(n, (r_n, 0))$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by condition (1) we have that  $(r_n, 0) \to (r_0, 0)$ , a contradiction.

**Remark 2.** Theorem 7 of [5] states that a  $T_1$ -space X is metrizable if and only if X has a g-function which satisfies condition (2) and the following condition:

(4) For each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood U of x, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x \notin Cl([]{g(n,y): y \in X \setminus U}).$$

In the proof of this theorem, it was assumed that the g-function appearing in the theorem is decreasing. The following example shows that the assumption of "decreasing" should be included in the statement of theorem.

**Example 5.** A non-metrizable stratifiable space with a g-function satisfying conditions (2) and (4).

The space is X' of Example 3. We define a g-function on X' as follows:

$$g(n, (a, b)) = \begin{cases} B'_n(a, b) & \text{if } b = 0; \\ \{(a, b)\} & \text{if } b \ge 1/n; \\ \{(a, b)\} \cup B'_n(a - \frac{2}{n}, 0) \cup B'_n(a + \frac{2}{n}, 0) & \text{if } 0 < b < 1/n, \end{cases}$$

where  $B'_n(a, b)$  denotes the intersection of X' and  $B_n(a, b)$  of Example 3.

It is easy to see that g satisfies condition (2). Note that if  $B'_{4n}(r,0) \cap g(4n,(a,b)) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $(a,b) \in B'_n(r,0)$ ; it follows from this that g satisfies condition (4).

**Remark 3.** In [5], the proof of Theorem 8 is based on Theorem 7. But Theorem 8 holds without the assumption that the g-function involved is decreasing. This is because if a g-function g satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 2 of [5], then the g-function  $g^2$  satisfies the same condition and Theorem 8 of [5] follows from Proposition 1 of [8] or Theorem 3 of [2].

Even though Nagata's problem has negative solution, the following result holds:

**Proposition.** A  $T_1$  space X is metrizable if and only if X has a decreasing gfunction which satisfies, for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following conditions:

- (5) If  $x \in g^{k+1}(n, x_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $x_n \to x_i$
- (6) For each  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $ClY \subseteq \bigcup \{g^k(n, y) : y \in Y\}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**PROOF**: The "only if" part is obvious. We prove the "if" part.

Assume that X has a decreasing g-function which satisfies (5) and (6). We first prove that g satisfies the following condition:

(\*) If  $x_n \to x$  and  $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $y_n \to x$ .

Assume that  $x_n \to x$  and  $x_n \in g(n, y_n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{g^k(m, x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since g is decreasing, we can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{n_m} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $x \in g^k(m, x_{n_m})$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and hence  $x \in g^{k+1}(m, y_{n_m})$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . By condition (5),  $y_{n_m} \to x$ . Note that conditions (5) and (6) imply that X is first countable; by [8] Lemma 4, g satisfies condition (\*).

Let  $g'(n, x) = g^k(n, k)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in X$ . Since g satisfies condition (\*), g' also satisfies (\*). By condition (6), we obtain that  $\operatorname{Cl} Y \subseteq \bigcup \{g'(n, y) : y \in Y\}$  for all  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By virtue of Proposition 1 of [8] or Theorem 3 of [2], X is metrizable.

For k = 1, the result above coincides with Theorem 9 of [5].

#### References

- [1] Ceder J., Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J.Math. 11 (1961), 105-126.
- [2] Gao Z., Characterisations of metrizable spaces in terms of g-function, Math. Japonica 33 (1988), 851-858.

- [3] Heath R.W., Screenability, pointwise paracompactness, and metrization of Moore spaces, Canad J.Math. 16 (1964), 763-770.
- [4] Heath R.W., Hodel R.E., Characterizations of σ-spaces, Fund.Math. 77 (1973), 271-275.
- [5] Nagata J., Metrizability, generalized metric spaces and g-functions, Comment.Math.Univ. Carolinae 29 (1988), 715-722.
- [6] Steen L.A., Seebach J.A., Jr., Counterexamples in Topology, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [7] Yun Z., On a question about metrizable spaces, Questions and Answers in General Topology 6 (1988), 181-183.
- [8] Yun Z., Characterizations of metrizable, N- and Lašnev spaces in terms of g-functions, Math. Japonica (to appear).

Department of Mathematics, University of Helsinki, Hallituskatu 15, 00100 Helsinki 10, Finland Mathematics Department, Suzhou University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China

(Received June 5,1989)