# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Jozef Kačur On  $L_{\infty}$ -convergence of Rothe's method

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 3, 505-510

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106772

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# On $L_{\infty}$ – convergence of Rothe's method

#### JOZEF KAČUR

### Dedicated to the memory of Svatopluk Fučík

Abstract.  $L_{\infty}$  – convergence and  $L_{\infty}$  – error estimates are proved for Rothe's method (method of lines or method of semidiscretization) applied to semilinear second order parabolic initial-boundary value problems.

Keywords: Parabolic boundary value problems, Rothe's method,  $L_{\infty}$  – error estimates Classification: 65N40, 65N59

1. Introduction. In this note we present a simple proof of  $L_{\infty}$  – convergence and  $L_{\infty}$  – error estimates for Rothe's method applied to semilinear second order parabolic equations (systems)

$$\partial_t u + A u = f(t, x, u)$$
 in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ 

with linear boundary and initial conditions

$$Bu = 0$$
 on  $\partial\Omega \times (0,T)$   
 $u(0) = u_0$ .

We consider a corresponding variational formulation in the form

(1) 
$$(\partial_t u(t), v) + ((u(t), v)) = (f(t, u(t)), v), \quad \forall v \in V$$
 a.e.  $t \in I \equiv (0, T)$  with  $u(0) = u_0$ .

(see, e.g., [4], [5], [3]) where V is a subspace of the Sobolev space  $W_2^1(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\partial\Omega, (\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product in  $L_2(\Omega)$  and  $((\cdot, \cdot))$  is a continuous bilinear form on  $V \times V$  which corresponds to A and B (see [4]).

C – convergence and C – a priori error estimates for a modified Rothe's approximation have been studied in [2], see also [1]. In [2] a maximum principle have been used and stronger regularity of  $u_0$ ,  $\partial\Omega$  and A have been required than in our concept.

2. Assumptions. We assume

(2) 
$$((u,u)) + K|u|_2^2 \ge C||u||^2 \forall u \in V$$

506 J.Kačur

where K, C are positive constants and  $|\cdot|_2, ||\cdot||$  are the corresponding norms in  $L_2, V$ , respectively. Moreover, we assume

(3) 
$$((u, u^p)) \ge -C_0|u|_{n+1}^{p+1}, \quad \forall u \in V \cap L_{\infty}(\Omega), \quad \forall p = 2k+1.$$

By  $|u|_{p+1}$  we denote the norm in  $L_{p+1}(\Omega)$ . The function  $f: I \times \Omega \times R \to R$  is continuous and satisfies

(4) 
$$|f(t,x,s)-f(t',x,s')| \le L_f(|t-t'|(1+|s|+|s'|)+|s-s'|)$$
  $\forall t,t' \in I, x \in \Omega, s,s' \in R.$ 

The only restrictive assumption concerning  $u_0$  is:  $u_0 \in V \cap L_{\infty}(\Omega)$  and there exists  $z_0 \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$  such that

(5) 
$$(z_0, v) + ((u_0, v)) = (f(0, u_0), v), \quad \forall v \in V$$

which requires more regularity of uo.

Solving (1) we apply Rothe's method in the form

(6) 
$$(\delta u_i, v) + ((u_i, v)) = (f(t_i, u_{i-1}), v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

where i = 1, ..., n,  $h = n^{-1}T$ ,  $t_i = ih$  and  $\delta u_i = h^{-1}(u_i - u_{i-1})$ . The corresponding Rothe's function  $u_n(t)$  is defined by

(7) 
$$u_n(t) = u_{i-1} + \delta u_i(t - t_{i-1}), \quad \forall t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i) \equiv I_i,$$
  $i = 1, \ldots, n.$ 

Denote  $\|u\|_{\infty} := \|u\|_{L_{\infty}(\Omega)}$  and  $\|u\|_{\infty,Q} := \|u\|_{L_{\infty}(Q)}$  where  $Q = Q_T = \Omega \times I$ .

3. The proof of the main result.

Our main result is

Theorem 1. Let the assumptions (2)-(5) be satisfied. Then the estimate

$$\|u-u_n\|_{\infty,Q} \leq C(\frac{1}{n} + \sup_{|\tau| < n-1} \|\partial_t u(t+\tau) - \partial_t u(t)\|_{\infty,Q})$$

takes place where u is the solution of (1) and  $u_n$  is the corresponding approximate solution from (6), (7).

We note that the assumptions (2)-(5) imply  $u \in L_{\infty}(I, V)$ ,  $\partial_t u \in L_{\infty}(Q)$  - see Remark 10.

First we prove a priori estimates  $\|\delta u_i\|_{\infty} \leq C$ ,  $\|u_i\| \leq C$  uniformly for n, i = 1, ..., n and then we prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. The estimates  $\|\delta u_i\|_{\infty} \leq C$ ,  $\|u_i\| \leq C$  take place uniformly for  $n, i = 1, \ldots, n$ .

PROOF: First we prove the uniform a priori estimates  $\|u_i\|_{\infty} \leq C$ ,  $\forall n, i = 1, ..., n$  under the assumption  $u_i \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ . The existence of  $u_i \in V$  satisfying (6) is a consequence of the Lax – Milgram Lemma. Testing (6) with  $v = u_i^p(p = 2k + 1)$  we estimate

$$\begin{split} |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\leq (u_{i-1}, u_i^p) + C_0 h |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + h\{L_f(|u_{i-1}|, |u_i|^p) + \\ &+ (|f_i|, |u_i|^p)\} \leq (u_{i-1}, u_i^p) + C_0 h |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + h \frac{1}{p+1} |f_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \\ &+ h L_f(\frac{1}{p+1} |u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + 2 \frac{p}{p+1} |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1}) \end{split}$$

where the Young's inequality  $ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}(p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1)$  has been used and  $f_i := f(t_i, 0)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\leq (1+(L+\varepsilon_n)h)(u_{i-1},u_i^p) + \\ &+ (1+(L+\varepsilon_n)h) \left\{ \frac{h}{p+1} |f_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + L_f \frac{h}{p+1} |u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L := 2L_f + C_0 + 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$  for  $n \to \infty$ .

Now we apply Young's inequality to the first term on the right hand side. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\leq (1+(L+\varepsilon_n)h)^{p+1} \frac{1}{p+1} |u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \frac{p}{p+1} |u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \\ &+ (1+(L+\varepsilon_n)h) \left\{ \frac{h}{p+1} |f_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \frac{h}{p+1} L_f |u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq 2(1+(L+\varepsilon_n)h)^{p+1} \left\{ |u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + h|f_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} \right\}.$$

From this recurrent inequality we obtain successively

$$|u_i|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq 2^i (1 + (L + \varepsilon_n)h)^{(p+1)i} \left\{ |u_0|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \sum_{j=1}^i |f_i|_{p+1}^{p+1}h \right\}.$$

Taking (p+1)-th root and letting  $p \to \infty$  we deduce

(8) 
$$||u_i||_{\infty} \leq e^{(L+\varepsilon_n)T} (||u_0||_{\infty} + ||f(t,0)||_{\infty,Q})$$

uniformly for n, i = 1, ..., n where  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{L^2T}{n}$  and  $n \ge n_0(L_f, C_0)$ .

508 J.Kačur

We guarantee the boundedness of  $u_i$  by the following arguments. Let us solve (6) by the Galerkin method where  $u_{i,\lambda} \in V_{\lambda}$  and  $V_{\lambda} = \operatorname{span}(e_1, \dots, e_{\lambda})$  stand in the place of  $u_i, V$ , respectively. Here,  $\{e_i\}_1^{\infty}$  are linearly independent,  $e_i \in V \cap L_{\infty}(\Omega)$  and the subspace spanned by these functions is dense in V. Then we obtain the estimate (8) with  $u_{i,\lambda}$  ( $\lambda$  is fixed) in the place of  $u_i$ . By standard arguments we obtain a priori estimates  $|u_{i,\lambda}|_2 \leq C$ ,  $||u_{i,\lambda}||_1 \leq C(h)$  where h is fixed, uniformly with respect to  $\lambda, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $u_{i,\lambda} \to u_i$  in  $L_2(\Omega)$  for  $\lambda \to \infty, i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we conclude  $u_i \in L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ . To prove the a priori estimate  $||\delta u_i||_{\infty} \leq C$  we subtract (6) for i = j and i = j - 1 and put  $v = (\delta u_i)^p$  where p = 2k + 1. We obtain

$$\begin{split} (\delta u_i - \delta u_{i_1}, (\delta u_i)^p) + h((\delta u_i, (\delta u_i)^p)) &= \\ &= (f(t_i, u_{i-1}) - f(t_{i-1}, u_{i-2}), (\delta u_i)^p) \le hL_f(|u_{i-1}| + |u_{i-2}|, |\delta u_i|^p) + \\ &+ hL_f(|\delta u_{i-1}|, |\delta u_i|^p). \end{split}$$

Now, estimating  $\|\delta u_i\|_{\infty}$  we proceed analogously as in the case  $\|u_i\|_{\infty}$ . Using (8) we successively obtain

$$\begin{split} |\delta u_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\leq 2(1+(L+\varepsilon_{n})h)^{p+1}(|\delta u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1}+hL_{f}(|u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1}+\\ &+|u_{i-2}|_{p+1}^{p+1}+1) \leq 2(1+(L+\varepsilon_{n})h)^{(p+1)}(|\delta u_{i-1}|_{p+1}^{p+1}+\\ &+Ch(\|u_{0}\|_{p}^{p+1}+\|f(t,0)\|_{\infty,Q}+1)) \end{split}$$

where  $L := 4L_f + C_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{L^2T}{n}$ ,  $n \ge n_0(L_f, C_0)$ . From this recurrent inequality, analogously as in (8), we conclude (using also (5))

(9) 
$$\|\delta u_i\|_{\infty} \leq e^{(L+\varepsilon_n)T} (\|z_0\|_{\infty} + \|u_0\|_{\infty} + \|f(t,0)\|_{\infty,Q} + 1)$$

for all n, i = 1, ..., n. The estimate  $||u_i|| \le C$  is a consequence of (8), (9) and (6). Thus the proof of Lemma 1 is complete.

10 Remark. As a consequence of (8), (9) and (6) we have  $||u_i||_{W^2_{2,\text{loc}}} \leq C$  for all n, i = 1, ..., n because of the interior regularity results for elliptic equations. Thus, the unique solution u of (1) satisfies:  $u \in L_{\infty}(I, V) \cap L_{\infty}(I, W^2_{2,\text{loc}}(\Omega)), \partial_t u \in L_{\infty}(Q_T)$ .

Now let us denote  $\widetilde{u}_i = h^{-1} \int_{I_i} u_i \overline{u}_i = u(t_i), e_i = \widetilde{u}_i - u_i$ , for i = 1, ..., n where  $I_i = (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ .

**PROOF** of Theorem 1: Let us integrate (1) over  $I_i (1 \le i \le n)$ . We obtain

(11) 
$$(\delta \overline{u}_i, v) + ((\widetilde{u}_i, v)) = (\widetilde{f}_i, v) \quad \forall v \in V$$

where  $\tilde{f}_i := h^{-1} \int_{I_i} f(t, u)$ . Subtracting (11) and (6) for  $v = e_i^p$  we obtain

(12) 
$$(e_i - e_{i-1}, e_i^p) + h((e_i, e_i^p)) =$$

$$= h(z_i, e_i^p) - h(f(t_i, u_{i-1}), e_i^p) + h(\widetilde{f_i}, e_i^p)$$

for i = 1, ..., n where p = 2k + 1,  $e_0 \equiv 0, u := u_0$  for  $t \in (-h, 0)$  and

$$z_i := \delta \widetilde{u}_i - \delta \overline{u}_i = h^{-2} \int_{I_i} (u(s) - u(s - h)) ds - h^{-1} \int_{I_i} \partial_t u =$$

$$= h^{-1} \int_{I_i} (h^{-1} \int_{s - h}^s \partial_t u(\tau) d\tau - \partial_t u(s)) ds.$$

Now we estimate

(13) 
$$|z_{i}| \leq h^{-2} \int_{I_{i}} \int_{s-h}^{s} |\partial_{t}u(s) - \partial_{t}u(\tau)| d\tau ds \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{|\tau| \leq h} h^{-1} \int_{I_{i}} |\partial_{t}u(s+\tau) - \partial_{t}u(s)| ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{f}_{i} - f(t_{i}, u_{i-1})| &\leq |\widetilde{f}_{i} - f(t, \widetilde{u}_{i})| + |f(t, \widetilde{u}_{i}) - f(t, u_{i})| + \\ &+ |f(t, u_{i}) - f(t_{i}, u_{i-1})| \leq L_{f}(h^{-2} \int_{I_{i}} \int_{I_{i}} |u(s) - u(\tau)| d\tau ds + \\ &+ |e_{i}| + h(|\delta u_{i}| + C)) \leq L_{f}(\int_{I_{i}} |\partial_{t}u| + |e_{i}| + h(|\delta u_{i}| + C)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C := \max_{n,i} ||u_i||_{\infty}$  - see (8). We proceed in (12) analogously as in the proof of Lemma 1. Using the estimates (13), (14) in (12) we have

$$\begin{split} |e_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} &\leq (e_{i-1}, e_{i}^{p}) + h(C_{0} + L_{f})|e_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + 3hL_{f}\frac{p}{p+1}|e_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{p+1}h\int_{\Omega} \sup_{|\tau| \leq h} h^{-1} \int_{I_{i}} |\partial_{t}u(s+\tau) - \partial_{t}u(s)| \, ds)^{p+1} \, dx + \\ &+ \frac{L_{f}}{p+1}(h^{p+1}|\delta u_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + h(\int_{I_{i}} \partial_{t}u)^{p+1}) \, dx + C^{p+1}h^{p+1}. \end{split}$$

Here, we use the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} (\sup_{|\tau| \le h} h^{-1} \int_{I_i} |\partial_t u(s+\tau) - \partial_t u(s)| \, ds)^{p+1} \le \\ \le h^{-1} \sup_{|\tau| \le h} \int_{I_i} |\partial_t u(s+\tau) - \partial_t u(s)|^{p+1} \, ds, \\ (\int_{I_i} \partial_t u)^{p+1} \le h^p \int_{I_i} |\partial_t u|^{p+1} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, analogously as in the proof of Lemma 1 we obtain

(15) 
$$|e_{i}|_{p+1}^{p+1} \leq 2^{i} (1 + (L + \varepsilon_{n})h)^{(p+1)i} \{|e_{0}|_{p+1}^{p+1} + h^{p+1} (\int_{0}^{t_{i}} \int_{\Omega} (|\partial_{t}u_{n}|^{p+1} + |\partial_{t}u|^{p+1}) + C^{p+1}) + \sup_{|\tau| \leq h} \int_{0}^{t_{i}} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{t}u(s+\tau) - \partial_{t}u(s)|^{p+1} dx ds$$

510 J.Kačur

where  $e_0 \equiv 0$ ,  $L = 4L_f + C_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{L^2T}{n}$ ,  $n \ge n_0(L_f, C_0)$ . Then (15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_i\|_{\infty} &\leq e^{(L+\varepsilon_n)T}(h(\|\partial_t u_n\|_{\infty,Q_T} + \|\partial_t u\|_{\infty,Q_T} + C) + \\ &+ \sup_{\|\tau\| \leq h} \|\partial_t u(s+\tau) - \partial_t u(s)\|_{\infty,Q_T}) \end{aligned}$$

for i = 1, ..., n. For  $t \in I_i$  we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u-u_n\|_{\infty} &\leq \|u-\overline{u_i}\|_{\infty} + \|\widetilde{u}_i-\overline{u}_i\|_{\infty} + \|\widetilde{u}_i-u_i\|_{\infty} + \\ &+ 2h\|\delta u_i\|_{\infty} &\leq C(2h(\|\partial_t u\|_{\infty,Q_T} + 2\|\delta_t u_n\|_{\infty,Q_T}) + \\ &+ \sup_{|\tau| \leq k} \|\partial_t u(s+\tau) - \partial_t u(s)\|_{\infty,Q_T}) \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$\|u-u_n\|_{\infty,Q_T} \leq C(\frac{1}{n} + \sup_{|\tau| < k} \|\partial_t u(s+\tau) - \partial_t u(s)\|_{\infty,Q_T})$$

which is the required estimate.

As a consequence we have

Theorem 2. Suppose (2)-(5). Let u be the solution of (1) and let  $u_n$  be the Rothe's function defined by (7).

- i) If  $\partial_t u \in C(I, L_{\infty}(\Omega))$  then  $u_n \to u$  in  $L_{\infty}(Q_T)$ ;
- ii) If  $\partial_t^2 u \in L_{\infty}(Q_T)$  then  $||u_n u||_{\infty,Q_T} = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Grossmann Ch., Krätzschmar M., Roos H.-G., Gleichmässig einschliessende Diskretisierungsverfahren für schwach nichtlineure Randwertaufgaben, Numerische Mathematik 49 (1986), 95-110.
- [2] Koeppe G., Roos H.-G., Tobiska L., An enclosure generating modification of the method of discretization in time, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 28 (1987), 441-447.
- [3] Kačur J., "Method of Rothe in evolution equations," Teubner-Texte sur Mathematik 80, Leipsig, 1985.
- [4] Nelas J., "Les mèthodes directes en theórie des équations elliptiques," Academia, Prague, 1967.
- [5] Rektorys K., "The method of discretisation in time and partial differential equations," Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1982.

ÚAMaVT UK Mlynská dolina, 842 15 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

(Received May 11,1989)