

# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

---

Anatoli P. Kombarov

On rectangular covers of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 1,  
81--83

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106706>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## On rectangular covers of $X^2 \setminus \Delta$

A. P. KOMBAROV

*Abstract.* A paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal iff there exists a locally finite (in  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ) rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

*Keywords:* paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space,  $G_\delta$ -diagonal

*Classification:* 54F65

It is proved in [1] that every regular  $\Sigma$ -space  $X$  with  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  paracompact has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. The proof of this theorem in [1] uses an existence of a locally finite cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  by open sets whose closures miss  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *A paracompact  $\Sigma$ -space  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal iff there exists a locally finite (in  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ) rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .*

A family  $\vartheta$  of subsets of  $X^2$  is called rectangular if  $\vartheta = \{U_\alpha \times W_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ . All spaces are assumed to be regular and  $T_1$ .

PROOF: A paracompact space  $X$  with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal is submetrizable (see [2, Corollary 2.9]). So, if  $\tau$  is a topology on  $X^2$ , then there exists a topology  $\tau'$  such that  $\tau' \subseteq \tau$  and  $(X^2, \tau')$  is metrizable. There exists a locally finite (in  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ) rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  in  $\tau'$  ([3, Proposition 1]) and therefore in  $\tau$ .

We prove the converse assertion. We need the following Lemma 1 which is similar to Lemma 2 of [1].

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $\vartheta$  is a locally finite (in  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ) rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  and  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in \overline{M}$  for some countable  $M \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ , then  $x$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point.*

PROOF: For each  $m \in M$ , let  $U_m \times W_m \subset X^2 \setminus \Delta$  be a basic open neighbourhood of  $(x, m)$  such that the number  $n(U_m \times W_m) = |\{V \in \vartheta : V \cap (U_m \times W_m) \neq \emptyset\}|$  is minimal. We prove that  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{U_m : m \in M\}$ . If  $y \in \bigcap \{U_m : m \in M\}$ ,  $y \neq x$ , then  $(y, x) \in P \times Q \in \vartheta$ . Note that  $x \notin \overline{P}$ . Since  $x \in \overline{M}$ , there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $(y, m) \in P \times Q$ . Then  $H = (U_m \setminus \overline{P}) \times W_m$  is a basic open neighbourhood of  $(x, m)$ ,  $H \subseteq U_m \times W_m$ ,  $H \cap (P \times Q) = \emptyset$  and  $P \times Q \in \vartheta$ , but  $n(U_m \times W_m)$  is minimal. This is a contradiction. ■

**Proposition 1.** *Suppose  $\vartheta$  is a locally finite rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  and  $X$  is a strong  $\Sigma$ -space. Then each point of  $X$  is  $G_\delta$ .*

PROOF: Let  $x \in X$ . If  $x$  is not a  $G_\delta$ -point and  $X$  is a strong  $\Sigma$ -space, then there exists a compact space  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $x \in B$  and  $x$  is not isolated in  $B$ , [4]. Let  $\lambda = \{P : P \times Q \in \vartheta, P \cap B \neq \emptyset, x \in Q\}$ . If we choose  $z(P) \in P \cap B$  for each  $P \in \lambda$ , then  $Z = \{z(P) : P \in \lambda\}$  is discrete because  $\lambda$  is locally finite in  $X \setminus \{x\}$ . From compactness of  $B$  it follows that  $x \in \overline{M}$  for every infinite  $M \subseteq Z$ . Now Lemma 1 completes the proof of Proposition 1. ■

**Lemma 2.** Let  $U, W \subseteq X$  and  $x \notin \overline{U} \cap \overline{W}$ . Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $G$  of  $x$  such that  $G^2 \cap (U \times W) = \emptyset$ .

We omit the easy proof of Lemma 2.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $X$  be a strong  $\Sigma$ -space and  $\vartheta$  be a locally finite rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ . Then  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

We confine ourselves to showing how Proposition 2 can be proved by following the proof of Theorem 4 in [1]. See [1] for the beginning of the proof up to the condition (iv). For our proof  $\vartheta$  should be taken to be a locally finite rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

(iv) If  $i < j < n$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$ ,  $U \times W = V \in \vartheta$ ,  $x \notin \overline{U} \cap \overline{W}$ , and  $(\{x_i\} \times G(s \uparrow j + 1)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , then  $G(s \frown \langle x \rangle)^2 \cap V = \emptyset$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $G(s \frown \langle x \rangle)$  exists. Now we can formally follow the proof given in [1] up to a cluster point  $p \in C$ .

Now suppose  $\cap \{G(s \uparrow n) : n \in \omega\}$  contains a point  $q \neq p$ . Let  $(p, q) \in U \times W = V \in \vartheta$ . Let  $x_i, x_j, x_n \in U$ ,  $i < j < n$ ,  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Then  $x_n \notin \overline{W}$  and hence  $x_n \notin \overline{U} \cap \overline{W}$ . We see that  $(x_i, q) \in (\{x_i\} \times G(s \uparrow j + 1)) \cap V$ . By (iv), we have  $G(s \uparrow n)^2 \cap V = \emptyset$ , contradicting  $(p, q) \in G(s \uparrow n)^2 \cap V$ .

So a strong  $\Sigma$ -space  $X$  has a  $W_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal (see [2, Theorem 4.14, Theorem 6.6]).

Proposition 2 completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

**Corollary.** A paracompact  $p$ -space  $X$  is metrizable iff there exists a locally finite rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

Let  $\alpha Z$  denote the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space  $Z$ . It is easy to see that there exists a point-finite rectangular open cover of  $(\alpha Z)^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

**Theorem 2.** A Lindelöf  $\beta$ -space  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal iff there exists a countable rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

We note that every  $\Sigma$ -space is a  $\beta$ -space (see [2, Definition 7.7]). Theorem 2 can be deduced from Corollary 2.9 and Theorem 7.9 of [2].

**Remark.** It is easy to see that if there exists a countable rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$  and  $X$  is hereditarily Lindelöf then  $X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. The author does not know an example of a Lindelöf space  $X$  without a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal such that there exists a countable rectangular open cover of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ .

The author is indebted to the referee for his suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gruenhage G., Pelant J., *Analytic spaces and paracompactness of  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$* , Top. and its Appl. 28(1988), 11-15.
- [2] Gruenhage G., *Generalized metric spaces in: Kunen K. and Vaughan J.E., Eds., Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology*, (Noth-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984)..
- [3] Hušek M., Pelant J., *Extensions and restrictions in products of metric spaces*, Top. and its Appl., 25(1987), 245-252..

[4] Arhangel'skii A.V., Kombarov A.P., *On  $\nabla$ -normal spaces*, Top. and its Appl..

Mech.-Math.faculty, Moscow State University, Moscow 119899, USSR

(Received February 2,1988, revised August 22,1988 )