Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Marian Dawidowski

On the existence of bounded solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 26 (1985), No. 3, 611--617

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106397

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

COMMENTATIONES MATHEMATICAE UNIVERSITATIS CAROLINAE 26.3 (1885)

ON THE EXISTENCE OF BOUNDED SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES Marian DAWIDOWSKI

Abstract: In this note we shall give sufficient conditions for the existence of bounded solutions of the differential equation y' = f(t,y), $y(0) = x_0$, on the half-line $t \ge 0$. Here f is a function with values in a Banach-space satisfying some conditions expressed in terms of an axiomatic measure of noncompactness μ . The proof of our theorem is suggested by the paper of Stokes [7] concerning finite dimensional vector differential equations.

Key words: Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, fixed point, measure of noncompactness.

Classification: 34G20, 47H09

Introduction: Let (E, N·N) be a Banach space. The closure of a subset A of E, its convex hull and its closed convex hull will be denoted, respectively, by \overline{A} , conv A and $\overline{\text{conv}}$ A. If A and B are subsets of E and t, s are real numbers, the t·A + + s·B is the set of all t·x + s·y, where x \in A and y \in B. Further let \mathcal{M}_{E} denote the family of all nonempty and bounded subsets of E and \mathcal{M}_{E} - the family of all relatively compact and nonempty subsets of E.

A function $\mu: \mathcal{M}_E \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a measure of noncompactness if it satisfies the following conditions:

1° the family $\mathcal{P} = \{A \in \mathcal{M}_E: \ \mu(A) = 0\}$ is nonempty and $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{M}_E$,

2° $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in E$,

3° ACB ⇒ μ(A) ← μ(B),

 4° $\mu(\overline{A}) = \mu(A)$,

 5° $\mu(\text{conv A}) = \mu(\text{A})$,

6° µ((t·A) = |t| · µ(A) for every t ∈ R,

 7° $\mu(A + B) \neq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$,

8° μ(AυB) 4 max (μ(A), μ(B)).

We put

 $||A|| = \sup \{||x|| : x \in A\}, K(0,1) = \{x \in E: ||x|| \neq 1\}.$

The following property of the function & is true:

Lemma 1. If $A \in \mathcal{M}_E$ then $\mu(A) \leq ||A|| \cdot \mu(K(0,1))$.

Now let $J = [0, +\infty)$ and denote by C(J) the set of all continuous functions from J to E. The set C(J) will be considered as a vector space endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of J.

Let us put $X(t) = \{x(t): x \in X\}, X_t = \bigcup \{X(s): 0 \le s \le t\}$ for $t \in J$ and $X \subset C(J)$. We have

Lemma 2. If $X \subset C(J)$ is bounded and almost equicontinuous then $\mu(X_+) = \sup \{\mu(X(s)): 0 \le s \le t\}$ for $t \in J$.

For properties of usee [1],[2],[3],[4].

The Ascoli theorem we state as follows: $X \subset C(J)$ is conditionally compact if and only if X is almost equicontinuous and X(t) is compact for each $t \in J$.

We shall use the following fixed-point theorem of Sadovskii type (see [31,[5],[6]):

Let $\mathfrak E$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of C(J). Let $\Phi: 2^{\mathfrak E} \longrightarrow [0,+\infty)$ be a function with the following properties:

- (1) $\Phi(X) = 0 \Longrightarrow \widehat{X}$ is compact,
- (2) $\Phi(\overline{\text{conv}} X) = \Phi(X)$,

(3)
$$\Phi(X \cup \{x\}) = \Phi(X)$$

for every subset X of \mathfrak{X} and for each $x \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Suppose that T is a continuous mapping of $\mathfrak X$ into itself and Φ (T[X]) $< \Phi$ (X) for Φ (X)>0. Then T has a fixed point in $\mathcal X$.

Main result.

Theorem. Assume that $f: J \times E \longrightarrow E$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

- 10 for each fixed $x \in E$ the mapping $t \mapsto f(t,x)$ is measurable;
- 2° for each fixed $t \in J$ the mapping $x \mapsto f(f,x)$ is continuous;
- 3° $\| f(t,x) \| \leq G(t,\|x\|)$ for $(t,x) \in J \times E$, where the function

G is nondecreasing in the second variable such that t
$$\longmapsto$$

$$\mapsto$$
 G(t,u) is locally bounded for any fixed u \in J and t \mapsto

$$\mapsto$$
 G(t,y(t)) is measurable for every continuous bounded

function y: $J \longrightarrow J$; 4° the scalar inequality

$$g(t) \ge \|x_0\| + \int_0^t G(s, g(s)) ds$$

has a bounded solution g existing on J;

(let us put $r_0 = \sup \{g(t): t \in J\}$ and $Z_0 = \{x \in E: \|x\| \neq r_0\}$)

 5° there exist functions m, p of J into itself such that

(i) m is measurable and integrable on compact subsets of J with

$$M = \sup \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} m(s) ds; t \in J \right\} < \infty,$$

(ii) p is nondecreasing such that $M \cdot p(t) < t$ for t > 0.

(iii) for any t>0, t>0, t>0, t>0, t>0, t>0, t>0

subset
$$Q \subset [0,t]$$
 such that $mes([0,t] \setminus Q) < \varepsilon$ and

 $\mu(f[I \times X]) \leq \sup \{m(s): s \in I\} \cdot p(\mu(X))$

for each closed subset I of Q.

Then the differential equation

$$y' = f(t,y)$$

with the initial condition $y(0) = x_0$ has at least one solution y defined on J and $||y(t)|| \le g(t)$ for $t \in J$.

Proof: Denote by $\mathfrak X$ the set of all $x \in C(J)$ such that $||x(t)|| \le g(t)$ on J and

$$\|x(t_1) - x(t_2)\| \le \int_{t_2}^{t_2} G(s, r_0) ds \| for t_1, t_2 \in J.$$

The set \mathfrak{L} is nonempty closed convex bounded and almost equicontinuous subset of C(J).

Let us put

$$\Phi(X) = \sup \{ \mu(X(t)) : t \in J \} \text{ for a subset } X \subset \mathfrak{X} .$$
 Obviously
$$\Phi(X) < \infty \text{ , } \Phi(X_1) \neq \Phi(X_2) \text{ for } X_1 \subseteq X_2 \text{ and }$$

$$\Phi(X \cup \{x\}) = \Phi(X) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{X} .$$

Since

so
$$\mu((\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X)(t) = (\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X)(t) \subset (\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X)(t) \subset \overline{\operatorname{conv}} (X(t))$$

The inverse inequality immediately follows from the inclusion $X(t) \subset (\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X)(t)$. Hence $\Phi(\overline{\operatorname{conv}} X) = \Phi(X)$. If $\Phi(X) = 0$ then $\overline{X(t)}$ is compact for every $t \in J_1$, therefore Ascoli´s theorem proves that \overline{X} is compact in $C(J)$.

To apply our fixed-point theorem we define the mapping T as follows: for $y \in \mathfrak{X}$, $(T(y))(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s,y(s)) ds$.

It is easy to see that T is continuous and T[X] c X .

Let X be a subset of X such that $\Phi(X) > 0$. To prove the theorem it remains to be shown that $\Phi(T[X]) < \Phi(X)$. To this end, fix t in J. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\sigma = \sigma'(\varepsilon) > 0$ be a number such that $\int_A G(s,r_0) ds < \infty$ for each measurable $A \subset [0,t]$ with mes $(A) < \sigma'$. By the Luzin theorem there exists a closed subset B_1 of [0,t] with mes $([0,t] \times B_1) < \sigma'/2$ such that the function m is continuous on

B₁. Furthermore, by assumption $5^{\circ}(iii)$ there exists a closed subset B₂ of [0,t] such that mes ([0,t]\B₂) < 6'/2 and $\mu(f[I \times X_t]) \neq \sup\{m(s): s \in I\} \cdot p(\mu(X_t))$ for each closed subset I of B₂.

Let us put $B = B_1 \cap B_2$, $A = [0,t] \setminus B$. Hence mes $(A) < \sigma'$. Since m is uniformly continuous on B, for any given $\varepsilon' > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $t', t'' \in B$ and $|t' - t''| < \eta$ implies $|m(t') - m(t'')| < \varepsilon'$. Let $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$ be the partition of the interval [0,t] with max $\{|t_{j-1} - t_j|: 1 \neq j \neq n\} < \eta$. Moreover, let $I_j = [t_{j-1}, t_j] \cap B$ and s_j be a point in I_j such that $m(s_j) = \sup \{m(s): s \in I_j\}$.

Putting

$$\int_{\mathbf{I}} f(s,X(s)) ds = \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{I}} f(s,x(s)) ds : x \in X \right\}$$

we get

$$\|\int_{A} f(s,X(s)) ds\| \leq \int_{A} G(s,r_{0}) ds < \varepsilon < 1.$$

By the mean-value theorem, for x & X we have

$$\int_{B} f(s,c(s)) ds = \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ j \neq 1}}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbf{I}_{2}^{j}} f(s,x(s)) ds \in$$

$$\in \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ k \neq 1}}^{\infty} mes (I_{j}) \overline{conv} (f(s,x(s)); s \in I_{j}^{k}) \subset$$

$$\subset \sum_{\substack{j=1 \ k \neq 1}}^{\infty} mes (I_{j}) \overline{conv} (f(I_{j} \times X_{k})),$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \subset \sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{mes} \; (\mathbf{I}_{j}) \; \overline{\operatorname{conv}} \; (\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{I}_{j} \times \mathbf{X}_{t}]) \,, \\ \operatorname{hence} \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; \subset \sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{mes} \; (\mathbf{I}_{j}) \; \overline{\operatorname{conv}} \; (\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{I}_{j} \times \mathbf{X}_{t}]) \,. \; \text{Thus} \\ \operatorname{pu}(\mathbf{f}[\mathbf{X}](\mathbf{t})) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{A} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\{\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{s})) \, \mathrm{ds} \right) \, \leq \, \operatorname{pu}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\} \; + \; \int_{B}$

+
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{mes} (1_{j}) \cdot \mu (\mathfrak{I}[I_{j} \times X_{t}]) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu (K(0,1)) +$$

+ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{mes} (I_{j}) \pi(s_{j}) p(\mu (X_{t})) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu (K(0,1)) +$

+
$$p(\mu(X_{+})) \cdot (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{I_{j}} i m(s_{j}) - m(s) | ds + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{I_{j}} m(s) ds) \le$$

$$\le e \cdot \mu(K(0,1)) + p(\mu(X_{+})) \cdot (e' \cdot t + \int_{0}^{t} m(s) ds)$$

and therefore

 $\mu(T[X](t)) \neq \varepsilon \cdot \mu(K(0,1)) + M \cdot p(\mu(X_+)).$

Since with respect to Lemma 2

 $\mu(X_t) = \sup \{\mu(X(s)): 0 \le s \le t\} \le \Phi(X)$

we obtain

 $\mu(T[X](t)) \leq \varepsilon \cdot \mu(K(0,1)) + M \cdot p(\Phi(X));$

as 6 > 0 is arbitrary, this implies

μ (T[X](t)) € M·p(Φ (X)).

Hence Φ (T[X]) \leq M·p(Φ (X)) < Φ (X), and consequently T has a fixed point in \mathfrak{X} . The proof is complete.

References

- [1] J. BANAS: On measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces, Comment.Math. Univ. Carolinae 21(1980), 131-143.
- [2] J. BANAS, K. GOEBEL: Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces, Lect. Notes Pure Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, vol. 60, New York and Basel, 1980.
- [3] J. DANES: On densifying and related mappings and their application in nonlinear functional analysis, Theory of nonlinear operators, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1974, pp. 15-56.
- [4] I. KUBIACZYK: On the existence of solutions of differential equation in Banach space (to appear).
- [5] B. RZEPECKI: Remarks on Schauder's fixed point principle and its applications, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Eath. 27(1979), 473-480.
- [6] B.N. SADOVSKIĬ: Predel no kompaktnye i uplotnjajuščije operatory, Uspehi Mat. Nauk IVII 1(163)(1972), 81-146 (in Russian).
- [7] A. STOKES: The applications of a fixed-point theorem to a variety of nonlinear stability problems, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 45(1959), 231-235.

Institute of Mathematics A. Mickiewicz University Ul. Matejki 48/49 60-769 Poznań Poland

(Oblatum 18.12. 1984)