

Bogdan Rzepecki

Addendum to the paper: “Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings”

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 25 (1984), No. 2, 283--286

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106300>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ADDENDUM TO THE PAPER „SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR
MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS“
Bogdan RZEPECKI

Abstract: Let E be a Banach space, M a compact metric space, K a nonempty closed convex subset of E , and T a continuous mapping from K into M . If F is a $K_{\mathfrak{D}}$ -mapping from $M \times K$ to 2^K ([5]), then there is a point x_0 in K such that $x_0 \in F(Tx_0, x_0)$. Here we give an application of this result to the theory of differential relations.

Key words: Multivalued mappings, fixed points, Banach spaces, differential relations.

Classification: 54C60, 47H10

Let $\mathfrak{X}(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty closed convex bounded subsets of a normed linear space X . The set $\mathfrak{X}(X)$ will be regarded as a metric space endowed with the Hausdorff distance d_X , i. e.

$$d_X(A, B) = \max \left[\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{x \in B} d(x, A) \right]$$

for $A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(X)$; here the distance between any point $x \in X$ and subset Q of X is denoted by $d(x, Q)$.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a uniformly convex Banach space, M a compact metric space, K a nonempty closed convex subset of E , T a single-valued mapping from K into M , and F a mapping from $M \times K$ to $\mathfrak{X}(X)$. Let us suppose that:

- (1) T is continuous on K ,
- (2) $F(\cdot, x)$ is continuous on M for every $x \in K$, and

(3) $d_K(F(x, y_1), F(x, y_2)) \leq k \|y_1 - y_2\|$ for all $x \in M$ and $y_1, y_2 \in K$ and with a constant $k < 1$. Under these hypotheses there exists a point x_0 in K such that $x_0 \in F(Tx_0, x_0)$.

The proof of this theorem resembles that of [5] and therefore will be omitted. Our result has applications, whose basic ideas are illustrated by the example below.

Example. Let $I = [0, a]$ and $J = [0, h]$ ($0 < h \leq a$). Let \mathbb{R}^n denote the n -dimensional Euclidean space, $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the Banach space of measurable functions from J to \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|x\| = (\int_0^h |x(t)|^2 dt)^{1/2} < \infty$, and $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the Banach space of continuous functions from J to \mathbb{R}^n with the usual supremum norm.

We follow here the terminology of [1] and [3]. Suppose that $f: I \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $t \mapsto f(t, u, v)$ is measurable on I for each fixed u, v in \mathbb{R}^n , and $(u, v) \mapsto f(t, u, v)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for each fixed $t \in I$;

(ii) there exists $m \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R})$ such that $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(t, u, v), \{\theta\}) \leq m(t)$ for $t \in I$ and u, v in \mathbb{R}^n (θ denote the zero of the space \mathbb{R}^n);

(iii) $d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(t, u, v_1), f(t, u, v_2)) \leq L|v_1 - v_2|$ for $t \in I$ and u, v_1, v_2 in \mathbb{R}^n , where $L \geq 0$ is a constant.

We define:

$$(Tx)(t) = \int_0^t x(s) ds \text{ for } x \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

$$K = \{x \in L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n) : |x(t)| \leq m(t) \text{ a.e. in } J\}.$$

Evidently, K is a closed convex bounded subset of $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$, T is continuous as a map of K into $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $T[K]$ is conditionally compact.

If $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $y \in K$, then the mapping $t \mapsto f(t, x(t), (Ty)(t))$ is measurable and therefore has a measurable selector by Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [4]. Define $F: C(J, \mathbb{R}^n) \times K \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(K)$ as follows: $F(x, y)$ is the set of all measurable selectors of $f(\cdot, x(\cdot), (Ty)(\cdot))$.

Let $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $y_1, y_2 \in K$, and assume that $w_1 \in F(x, y_1)$. By Hermes [2] (see [1], Lemma 2.5), there exists a measurable selector w_2 of $f(\cdot, x(\cdot), (Ty_2)(\cdot))$ such that

$$|w_1(t) - w_2(t)| = d(w_1(t), f(t, x(t), (Ty_2)(t)))$$

on J . Thus, $w_2 \in F(x, y_2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1(t) - w_2(t)| &\leq \\ &\leq d_{\mathbb{R}^n}(f(t, x(t), (Ty_1)(t)), f(t, x(t), (Ty_2)(t))) \leq \\ &\leq L |(Ty_1)(t) - (Ty_2)(t)| \leq \\ &\leq L \int_0^{y_0} |y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \leq \\ &\leq L \sqrt{h} \|y_1 - y_2\| \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in J$. This implies that $\|w_1 - w_2\| \leq Lh \|y_1 - y_2\|$. Arguing again as above, it follows that if $w_2 \in F(x, y_2)$ then there exists $w_1 \in F(x, y_1)$ with $\|w_1 - w_2\| \leq Lh \|y_1 - y_2\|$.

Consequently, $d_K(F(x, y_1), F(x, y_2)) \leq Lh \|y_1 - y_2\|$ for $x \in C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $y_1, y_2 \in K$. Moreover, modifying our reasoning, we obtain that $x \mapsto F(x, y) (y \in K)$ is a continuous mapping from $C(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(K)$.

Assume in addition that $Lh < 1$. Now, applying our result to the space $L^2(J, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the mapping T, F , we infer that there is y_0 in K such that

$$y_0(t) \in f(t, \int_0^t y_0(s) ds, \int_0^t y_0(s) ds)$$

for t in J .

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] T.F. BRIDGLAND Jr.: Trajectory integrals of set valued functions, Pacific J.Math. 33(1970), 43-68.
- [2] H. HERMES: The generalized differential equation $\dot{x} \in R(t,x)$, Advances Math. 4(1970), 149-169.
- [3] C.J. HIMMELBERG: Measurable relations, Fund. Math. 87(1975), 53-72.
- [4] K. KURATOWSKI and C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI: A general theorem on selectors, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13(1965), 397-403.
- [5] B. RZEPECKI: Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 24(1983), 741-745.

Institute of Mathematics, A. Mickiewicz University, Matejki
48/49, 60-769 Poznan, Poland

(Oblatum 16.12. 1983)

Added in proof. When this paper was already submitted, the author happened to read the work by M. KISIELEWICZ, Generalized functional-differential equations of neutral type, Ann. Polon. Math, XLII(1983), 139-148.

Let A be a nonempty closed convex bounded subset of the Hilbert space Y , Γ an operator with domain A and range in the Banach space X , and G a mapping from $A \times \Gamma[A]$ to the standard space of all nonempty closed convex subsets of A . In his Theorem 2.4, Kisielewicz proved that if $G(\cdot, y)$ is a contraction uniformly with respect to $y \in \Gamma[A]$, $G(x, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\Gamma[A]$ in the relative topology and Γ is completely continuous, then there exists x in A such that $x \in G(x, \Gamma x)$.