

John Zeleznikow

On regular ring-semigroups and semirings

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 25 (1984), No. 1, 129--139

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106284>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1984

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON REGULAR RING-SEMIGROUPS AND SEMIRINGS
J. ZELEZNIKOW

Abstract: Regular and orthodox ring-semigroups and semirings are characterized, as well as ring-semigroups with chain conditions on idempotents and principal ideals. Congruences on additively regular semirings are also considered.

Key words: Ring-semigroup, additively inverse semiring, orthodox semigroup, congruence, Green's relations.

Classification: Primary 16A78

Secondary 20M75, 16A30

1. **Introduction:** In a semigroup (S, \cdot) we put $E = \{e \in S : e^2 = e\}$ and $V(x) = \{a \in S : x \cdot a \cdot x = x \text{ and } a \cdot x \cdot a = a\}$ for all $x \in S$. If $V(x) \neq \emptyset$, then the element x is said to be regular. If each element of S is regular, then the semigroup S is said to be regular. If S is a regular semigroup, and E is a subsemigroup of S , then S will be said to be an orthodox semigroup. A regular semigroup in which $e \cdot f = f \cdot e$ for all $e, f \in E$, is said to be an inverse semigroup.

We use the definitions and notation of [1].

A semigroup (S, \cdot) is a ring-semigroup if there exists a binary operation $+: S \times S \rightarrow S$ such that $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a ring.

In [12], the structure of orthodox ring-semigroups was considered. Such semigroups are inverse. In the proof of this theorem, the concept of an additively inverse semiring is required.

Definition 1: A triple $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiring if S is a set, and $+, \cdot$ are binary operations satisfying

- (i) $(S, +)$ is a semigroup,
- (ii) (S, \cdot) is a semigroup,
- (iii) $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$, $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$, for all $a, b, c \in S$.

Definition 2: A semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$ is said to be an additively inverse semiring if $(S, +)$ is an inverse semigroup.

The following theorem of Karvellas allows us to prove many results for additively inverse semirings.

Result 3: ([7] Theorem 7.)

In an additively inverse semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$, if $a \in aS \cap Sa$ for all $a \in S$, then S is additively commutative (and hence a semilattice of groups).

In a semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$ we put $E^{[+]} = \{x \in S : x + x = x\}$ and $E^{[-]} = \{e \in S : e \cdot e = e\}$.

2. Regular ring-semigroups: We can now prove:

Result 4: ([12] Theorem 9.)

Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be any additively inverse semiring in which (S, \cdot) is regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\forall e, f \in E^{[-]}, (e \cdot f = 0 \Rightarrow f \cdot e = 0)$.
- (ii) $\forall e \in E^{[-]}, \forall x \in S, (e \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow x \cdot e = 0)$.
- (iii) $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in S, (x^n = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.
- (iv) $\forall x \in S, (x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.
- (v) $\forall x, y \in S, (x \cdot y = 0 \Rightarrow y \cdot x = 0)$.

Further, each is implied by

- (vi) (S, \cdot) is orthodox.

Example 5: In an arbitrary regular semigroup (S, \cdot) , condition (i) of Theorem 4 does not imply condition (ii), and (S, \cdot) being orthodox does not imply condition (ii). To see this we may take any Brandt semigroup $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I, I, \Delta)$ in which $|I| \geq 2$.

Thus this semigroup cannot be the multiplicative semigroup of an additively inverse semiring.

Result 6: ([12] Theorem 13.)

In a regular ring-semigroup (S, \cdot) the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (S, \cdot) is orthodox.
- (ii) $\forall e, f \in E, (e \cdot f = 0 \Rightarrow f \cdot e = 0)$.
- (iii) $\forall e \in E, \forall x \in S, (e \cdot x = 0 \Rightarrow x \cdot e = 0)$.
- (iv) $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in S, (x^r = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.
- (v) $\forall x \in S, (x^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0)$.
- (vi) $\forall x, y \in S, (x \cdot y = 0 \Rightarrow y \cdot x = 0)$.
- (vii) (S, \cdot) is inverse.

Example 7: (i) Take $(R, +, \cdot)$ to be a regular ring in which (R, \cdot) is not orthodox. Set $S = R \cup \{a\}$ where $a \notin R$ and define $r + a = a + r = r, a + a = a = r \cdot a = a \cdot r$ for all $r \in R$. Then $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiring in which (S, \cdot) is regular and a is the additive and multiplicative zero of S . Hence $(S, +, \cdot)$ satisfies condition (v) of Result 6, but is not orthodox.

(ii) Let $(S, +)$ be a semilattice with $|S| \geq 2$ and define $x \cdot y = x$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $(S, +, \cdot)$ is an additively inverse semiring in which the multiplicative semigroup is orthodox but not inverse.

Lallement ([8] Theorem 4.6) has proved that a primitive regular ring-semigroup is a group with zero adjoined. In particular, a completely-0-simple ring-semigroup is a group with zero adjoined.

Define a partial order on the set of idempotents E of a semigroup S by: $f \leq e$ if and only if $f = e \cdot f \cdot e$. A nonzero idempotent e is primitive in S if for $f \in E$, $0 \neq f \leq e$ implies $f = e$. The semigroup S satisfies Min - E if the minimum condition holds for E under the specified order; Max - E is defined dually. If $x \in S$ let

$$J(x) = \{x\} \cup xS \cup Sx \cup SxS$$

denote the principal (two-sided) ideal generated by x , and

$$I(x) = \{y \in J(x) : J(y) \subsetneq J(x)\}$$

the set of nongenerators of $J(x)$. Then S is called completely semisimple if for each nonzero $x \in S$, the Rees quotient semigroup $J(x)/I(x)$ contains a primitive idempotent, in which case every nonzero idempotent of $J(x)/I(x)$ is primitive. We let Min - J signify the minimum condition on the set of principal ideals of S ; Max - J is its dual.

A ring is semiprime if it contains no nonzero nilpotent (one-sided) ideals, and artinian if it satisfies the minimum condition on right ideals. A ring is atomic if it is a (direct) sum of minimal right ideals.

As a generalization of Lallement's theorem we have the following result.

Result 8: ([5] Theorem 4.)

For a semigroup S , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) S is completely semisimple and satisfies Min - J.
- (ii) S is completely semisimple and satisfies Min - E.
- (iii) S is regular and satisfies Min - E.

Furthermore, if S is a ring-semigroup, then (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to each of the following conditions:

(iv) $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiprime atomic ring.

(v) $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a direct sum of dense rings of finite-rank linear transformations of vector spaces over division rings.

Example 9: Whilst the equivalent conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Result 8 imply that S is regular with $\text{Min} - J$, the converse does not hold, even for rings with identity. To see this, consider the full ring of linear transformations of an infinite-dimensional vector space. This ring is regular ([9], Theorem 7.3) with $\text{Min} - J$ ([10], Theorem 1.4.2) but does not satisfy $\text{Min} - E$, since the projections onto an infinite descending chain of subspaces give rise to an infinite descending chain of idempotents.

Result 10: ([5] Theorem 5.)

For a semigroup S , each of the following conditions implies the next.

(i) S is completely semisimple and satisfies $\text{Max} - J$.

(ii) S is completely semisimple and satisfies $\text{Max} - E$.

(iii) S is regular and satisfies $\text{Max} - E$.

Furthermore, if S is a ring-semigroup, then conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to each other and to the condition:

(iv) $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiprime artinian ring i.e. a finite direct sum of full matrix rings over division rings.

Example 11: (i) The bicyclic semigroup $\beta(p, q) = \langle p, q; pq = 1, qp \rangle$ is regular and satisfies $\text{Max} - E$ but not $\text{Min} - E$ ([1] Theorem 2.53). Moreover it is not completely semisimple. Thus in Theorem 10, condition (iii) does not imply condition (ii) for non-ring-semigroups.

(ii) Let C_n be the chain of length n , $n \geq 2$. Suppose these chains have a common zero element 0 . Take E to be the 0 -direct union of C_n , $n \geq 2$. Then E is a semilattice satisfying $\text{Max} - E$ and

Min - E. The Munn semigroup, T_E of E ([6] Section V.4) is an inverse semigroup with E as its semilattice of idempotents (and thus is completely semisimple by Theorem 8) but does not satisfy Max - J.

Thus in Theorem 10, (ii) \implies (i) is not valid for non-ring-semigroups.

(iii) [2] Examples (a),(b) page 805 give examples of regular ring-semigroups which:

(a) have only two principal ideals but do not satisfy Max - E or Min - E,

(b) are completely semisimple but do not satisfy Max - J, Min - J, Max - E or Min - E.

3. Congruences on regular semirings: Semirings in which the additive semigroup is inverse and the multiplicative semigroup is regular (and hence the additive semigroup is a semilattice of abelian groups) are considered in [11],[13]. These papers also consider the case in which the multiplicative semigroup is simple or 0-simple.

Result 12: ([4])

In a semiring $(S,+,\cdot)$ the additive Green's relations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}$ are congruences on the multiplicative semigroup (S,\cdot) .

A semigroup (S,\cdot) is said to be congruence-free if the only congruence relations on S are 1_S and $S \times S$. Thus a congruence-free semigroup is simple or 0-simple, since if I is an ideal of S, ρ_I defined by $\rho_I = (I \times I) \cup 1_S$, is a congruence relation on S.

A band (S,\cdot) is left (right) regular if $axa = ax$ ($axa = xa$) for all $a,x \in S$.

Lemma 13: Take (S, \cdot) to be a regular semigroup on which $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$ is trivial. Then S is a right (left) regular band i.e. a semilattice of left [right] zero semigroups.

Proof: Take $x, a \in S$ and $a' \in V(a)$. Then $a \mathcal{L} a' a$ and thus $a = a' a$. Hence $a^2 = a(a' a) = a$. Now $S^1 a x a \subseteq S^1 x a = S^1 x a x a \subseteq S^1 a x a$ and so $S^1 a x a = S^1 x a$ i.e. $a x a \mathcal{L} x a$. Thus $a x a = x a$ for all $a, x \in S$. \square

Corollary 14: Take (S, \cdot) to be a regular semigroup on which \mathcal{D} is trivial. Then (S, \cdot) is a semilattice.

Proof: Since $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = 1_S$, S is both a left and right regular band and hence a semilattice. \square

A semiring $(S, +, \cdot)$ is said to be completely simple if the additive semigroup is completely simple and the multiplicative semigroup is either completely simple or completely 0-simple.

Theorem 15: ([13] Theorem 24). Take $(S, +, \cdot)$ to be a completely simple semiring.

(i) If the multiplicative semigroup is completely 0-simple, then the semiring is a division ring.

(ii) If the multiplicative semigroup is completely simple, then the additive semigroup is a rectangular band and the multiplicative semigroup is a product of two completely simple semigroups $S = I \times \Lambda$ and the operations on the semiring S are given by

$$(i, \lambda) + (j, \mu) = (i, \mu)$$

$$(i, \lambda) \cdot (j, \mu) = (i \cdot j, \lambda \cdot \mu)$$

for all $i, j \in I, \lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 16: Take $(S, +, \cdot)$ to be a semiring in which the additive semigroup is regular and the multiplicative semigroup is

congruence-free. Then the additive semigroup is either a group, a semilattice, a left zero band or a right zero band.

Proof: By [3] Lemma 2 (i), the set $E^{[+]}$ is an ideal of (S, \cdot) . Since (S, \cdot) is simple or 0-simple, $E^{[+]} = \{0\}$ or $E^{[+]} = S$. Since $(S, +)$ is a regular semigroup, it is either a group or a band.

Because \mathcal{T} is a congruence on the multiplicative semigroup (S, \cdot) , $\mathcal{T} = 1_S$ or $\mathcal{T} = S \times S$.

(i) In the case $\mathcal{T} = 1_S$, then $(S, +)$ is a semilattice by Corollary 14, since $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$.

(ii) When $\mathcal{T} = S \times S$, $(S, +)$ is a simple semigroup.

(a) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = 1_S$.

Then $(S, +)$ is a simple semilattice and thus the trivial group.

(b) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L} = 1_S$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = S \times S$.

Then $(S, +)$ is right simple and a band. Thus, by [1] Theorem 1.27, S is the direct product of a group and a right zero band and thus is a right zero band since $\mathcal{K} = 1_S$.

(c) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{R} = 1_S$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} = S \times S$.

By symmetry, $(S, +)$ is a left zero semigroup.

(d) $\mathcal{K} = S \times S$.

In this case $(S, +)$ is a group. \square

Example 17: We provide examples of semirings in which the additive semigroup is regular and the multiplicative semigroup is congruence-free, as in Theorem 16.

(i) Take $(S, +, \cdot)$ to be the two element field. Then (S, \cdot) is congruence-free. Here $(S, +)$ is a group.

(ii) The two-element chain has as its multiplicative semigroup a congruence-free semigroup. Here $(S, +)$ is a semilattice.

(iii) Take (S, \cdot) to be any congruence-free semigroup. Define the binary operation $+: S \times S \rightarrow S$ by $x + y = x$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a semiring in which the additive semigroup is a left-zero band.

Theorem 18: Take $(S, +, \cdot)$ to be a semiring in which $(S, +)$ is a regular semigroup and (S, \cdot) has a unique non-trivial congruence. Then the additive semigroup is either a group, a semilattice of groups, a semilattice of left zero bands, a semilattice of right zero bands, a left group or a right group.

Proof: Denote by ρ the non-trivial congruence on (S, \cdot) . Since $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, we have that either $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. We shall only consider the cases in which $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$, since the results for $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ will follow by symmetry.

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = 1_S.$$

By Corollary 14, $(S, +)$ is a semilattice.

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{T}.$$

Clearly, a regular semigroup in which $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R}$ is a semilattice of groups.

$$(iii) \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} = \rho \subset \mathcal{T} = S \times S.$$

In this case, $(S, +)$ is a semilattice of groups and also simple since $\mathcal{T} = S \times S$. Hence $(S, +)$ is a group.

We now consider the case in which $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{R}$.

$$(iv) \quad 1_S = \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq S \times S.$$

Since \mathcal{L} is trivial, by Lemma 13, S is a right regular band, i.e. a semilattice of right zero semigroups.

The other cases were considered in Theorem 16 or follow by symmetry.

References

- [1] A.H. CLIFFORD and G.B. PRESTON (1961), The algebraic theory of semigroups, Vol. 1 (American Mathematical Society. Mathematical Surveys 7).
- [2] K.R. GOODEARL and D. HANDELMAN (1975), Simple self-injective rings, *Comm. Algebra* 3(9), 797-834.
- [3] M.O.P. GRILLET (1970), Subdivision rings of a semiring, *Fund. Math.* 67, 67-74.
- [4] M.O.P. GRILLET (1975), Semirings with a completely simple additive semigroup, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 20, 257-267.
- [5] J. HANNAH, J.S. RICHARDSON and J. ZELEZNIKOW (1980), Completely semisimple ring-semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 30, 150-156.
- [6] J.M. HOWIE (1976), An introduction to semigroup theory, Academic Press, Inc., London.
- [7] P.H. KARVELLAS (1974), Inversive semirings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 18, 277-287.
- [8] G. LALLEMENT (1967), Demi-groupes reguliers, *Annali mat. pura. appl.* 77, 47-130.
- [9] N.H. MCCOY (1964), The theory of rings (Macmillan, New York).
- [10] M. PETRICH (1974), Rings and semigroups (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 380, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York).
- [11] H.J. WEINERT (1983), On 0-simple semirings, semigroup semirings and two kinds of division semirings (to appear).
- [12] J. ZELEZNIKOW (1980), Orthodox semirings and rings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 30, 50-54.
- [13] J. ZELEZNIKOW (1981), Regular semirings, *Semigroup forum* 23, 119-136.

Department of Mathematics
Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan 48824
United States of America

(Oblatum 17.11. 1983)