

M. O. Asanov; N. K. Shamgunov

The topological proof of the Nachbin-Shirota's theorem

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 4, 693--699

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106267>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE TOPOLOGICAL PROOF
OF THE NACHBIN-SHIROTA'S THEOREM
M. O. ASANOV, N. K. SHAMGUNOV

Abstract: This paper is concerned with the topological proof of the wellknown Nachbin-Shirota's theorem about barrelledness of the continuous function space $C(X)$ in compact-open topology.

Key words: The space of function, barrelledness, boundedness, compactness, \mathcal{C} -barrelledness, infrabarrelledness.

Classification: 54C35

In this paper we give a pure topological proof for the next important theorem. The proof is based on a method of A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ [1].

Theorem 1 (L. Nachbin [2], T. Shirota [3]). $C_0(X)$ is barrelled if and only if every bounded set $A \subseteq X$ is relatively compact.

Here $C_0(X)$ means the space of all continuous functions on X with compact-open topology. The set $A \subseteq X$ is called bounded in X if every function $f \in C(X)$ is bounded on A .

The necessity of the condition in Theorem 1 can be proved by a simple way. The sufficiency of the condition on the space X is more difficult. The main difficulty lies in the construction of such a compact set $K(V) \subseteq X$ for every barrel $V \in C_0(X)$ which satisfies the following condition:

(*) if $f \in C(X)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in H$, where H is some neighbourhood of $K(V)$, then $f \in V$.

When constructing the set $K(V)$, it is usually necessary to use some deep and nontrivial theorems of functional analysis [4],[5]. Here we give a simple pure topological description of this set. Moreover, our method allows to give a simple proof for the Schmets's theorem [6] characterizing \mathcal{G} -barrelledness and the Warner's theorem [7] characterizing infrabarrelledness of $C_0(X)$.

Remind that a closed convex balanced absorbent subset of a topological vector space is called a barrel. The space in which every barrel is a neighbourhood of zero is called barrelled.

In this paper, the space X is supposed to be completely regular. If $f \in C(X)$, P compact and $P \subseteq X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then $\langle f, P, \varepsilon \rangle = \{g \in C(X) : |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for every } x \in P\}$ is a basic neighbourhood of f in $C_0(X)$ and we denote $\langle P, \varepsilon \rangle = \langle g, P, \varepsilon \rangle$ where $g(x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$.

For $V \subseteq C_0(X)$ put $K(V) = \{x \in X : \text{for every neighbourhood } \mathcal{O}x \text{ there is } f \in C(X) \text{ such that } f(X \setminus \mathcal{O}x) = 0 \text{ and } f \notin V\}$. (In the case of infrabarrelledness $K(V) = \{x \in X : \text{for every neighbourhood } \mathcal{O}x \text{ there is } f \in C(X), f: X \rightarrow [0,1] \text{ such that } f(X \setminus \mathcal{O}x) = 0 \text{ and } f \notin V\}$.)

The main role is played by the next lemma.

Lemma. If V is a barrel in $C_0(X)$, then $K(V)$ is bounded in X .

Proof. Suppose that $K(V)$ is unbounded in X . Then there exists an infinite discrete family T of sets open in X such that $W \cap K(V) \neq \emptyset$ for every $W \in T$. Define inductively sequences of sets $\{W_n\}$, $W_n \in T$, of functions $\{f_n\}$, $f_n \in C(X)$, of compact

sets $\{P_n\}$, $P_n \subseteq X$, and of numbers $\{\varepsilon_n\}$, $\varepsilon_n > 0$, such that

- (1) $W_{n+1} \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i) = \emptyset$,
- (2) $f_n \notin V$ and $f_n(x) = 0$ for every $x \in X \setminus W_n$,
- (3) $\langle f_n, P_n, \varepsilon_n \rangle \cap V = \emptyset$.

The procedure is simple. W_1 is an arbitrary element of the family T , the function f_1 corresponds to the definition of the set $K(V)$, the choice of the compact set P_1 and of the number ε_1 follows from the fact that V is closed; there is $W_2 \in T$, $W_2 \cap P_1 = \emptyset$, and so on.

Define now a sequence of numbers $\{c_n\}$. Define $c_1 = 1$ and take c_{n+1} so that $|c_{n+1}(f_1(x) + \frac{1}{c_1} \cdot f_1(x) + \dots + \frac{1}{c_n} \cdot f_n(x))| < \varepsilon_{n+1}$ for every $x \in P_{n+1}$ and $0 < c_{n+1} < \frac{1}{n+1}$. It is possible since the function $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \cdot f_i$ is bounded on P_{n+1} .

Let $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{c_i} f_i$. The continuity of the function g follows from the discreteness of the family $\{W_n\}$ and the condition (2).

Let us prove that $c_{n+1} \cdot g \notin V$. If $x \in P_{n+1}$, then it follows from the conditions (1) and (2) that $f_k(x) = 0$ for every $k > n+1$. Consequently, $c_{n+1} \cdot g(x) = c_{n+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{c_i} \cdot f_i(x) + f_{n+1}(x)$, hence $|c_{n+1} g(x) - f_{n+1}(x)| < \varepsilon_{n+1}$ by the definition of c_{n+1} , which means that $c_{n+1} \cdot g \in \langle f_{n+1}, P_{n+1}, \varepsilon_{n+1} \rangle$ and, by the condition (3), $c_{n+1} \cdot g \notin V$. So, $c_{n+1} \cdot g \notin V$ for all c_{n+1} and $c_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$, thus V does not absorb the function g , which contradicts the barrelledness of V . The lemma is proved.

Proof of the theorem 1. Let every set bounded in X be relatively compact and V be a barrel in $C_c(X)$. We shall prove that there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\langle K(V), \delta \rangle \subseteq V$. Since $K(V)$ is

closed, it follows from Lemma that V is a neighbourhood of zero in $C_0(X)$, which implies that $C_0(X)$ is barrelled.

First of all we shall show that if $f \in C(X)$ and $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in H$, where H is some open neighbourhood of $K(V)$, then $f \in V$. Suppose the contrary. Find a compact set $P \subseteq X$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\langle f, P, \varepsilon \rangle \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $F = P \setminus H$. For every $x \in F$ there exists a neighbourhood O_x which satisfies the following condition: for every function $g \in C(X)$ with $g(X \setminus O_x) = 0$ it follows that $g \in V$. The family $\{O_x\}_{x \in F}$ covers F and has a finite subcover $\{O_{x_i}\}_{i=1}^n$. Find a partition of unity subordinated to it, i.e. a family of functions $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in C(X)$ such that for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ $g_i(X \setminus O_{x_i}) = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) = 1$ for every $x \in F$. It follows that $a \cdot g_i \in V$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and, moreover, $g_i \cdot f \in V$. Denote $\tilde{g}_i = g_i \cdot f$, $\tilde{g} = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{g}_i$. Then $\tilde{g} \in V$ since $\tilde{g} = \frac{1}{n}(n \cdot \tilde{g}_1 + \dots + n \cdot \tilde{g}_n)$ and the set V is convex. For $x \in F$, $|\tilde{g}(x) - f(x)| = |\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) \cdot f(x) - f(x)| = |f(x) (\sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) - 1)| = 0$. If $x \in P \setminus F$, then $f(x) = 0$ and $\tilde{g}(x) = 0$. As a result, $f(x) = \tilde{g}(x)$ for every $x \in P$ and consequently $\tilde{g} \in \langle f, P, \varepsilon \rangle$ and $\tilde{g} \notin V$, which is a contradiction.

Thus $K(V)$ satisfies the condition $(*)$. The next part of our proof is standard (see [4]); we shall present it here for the sake of completeness.

Let $C^*(X)$ mean the space of all continuous bounded functions in the topology of uniform convergence on X . Since $C^*(X)$ is barrelled and $V \cap C^*(X)$ is a barrel in $C^*(X)$, there is $\sigma > 0$ such that $f \in V$ whenever $f \in C^*(X)$ and $|f(x)| < \sigma$ for every $x \in X$. We can put $\sigma = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then if $f \in \langle K(V), \sigma \rangle$ there is a neighbourhood H of the set $K(V)$ such that $|f(x)| < \sigma$ for every $x \in H$. Let $g(x) = \max\{f(x), \sigma\} + \min\{f(x), -\sigma\}$. Then $2g(x) = 0$ for every $x \in H$. It means that $2g \in V$. Moreover, $|2(f(x) - g(x))| < \varepsilon$

for every $x \in X$. Hence $2(f - g) \in V$. The equality $f = \frac{1}{2}(2g) + \frac{1}{2}(2(f - g))$ shows that $f \in V$.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

From Lemma it follows also the following characterization of \mathcal{C} -barrelledness of $C_0(X)$.

Remind that a topological vector space is called \mathcal{C} -barrelled if every barrel being an intersection of the countable number of zero neighbourhoods is a zero neighbourhood.

Theorem 2 (I. Schmets [6]). $C_0(X)$ is \mathcal{C} -barrelled if and only if every bounded \mathcal{C} -compact subset in X is relatively compact.

Proof. Again it is necessary to prove sufficiency only.

Let V be a barrel in $C_0(X)$ and $V = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle K_n, \varepsilon_n \rangle$. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \subseteq K(V)$. Indeed, if $x_0 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \setminus K(V)$, there is \mathcal{O}_{x_0} such that $f \in V$ if $f(X \setminus \mathcal{O}_{x_0}) = 0$ and (if $x_0 \in K_n$) there is $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x_0) > \varepsilon_n$ and $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in X \setminus \mathcal{O}_{x_0}$. Therefore $f \notin \langle K_n, \varepsilon_n \rangle$ and hence $f \notin V$, which is a contradiction. It means that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \subseteq K(V)$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ is bounded in X from Lemma. Therefore $\langle \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n, \varepsilon_0 \rangle \subseteq V$ where $\varepsilon_0 = \inf \{\varepsilon_n\}$ (it is obvious that $\varepsilon_0 > 0$). It follows that V is a zero neighbourhood in $C_0(X)$. The theorem is proved.

Remind that a topological vector space is called infrabarrelled if every barrel which absorbs bounded sets is a zero neighbourhood. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is called semibounded if every lower semi-continuous nonnegative function f which is bounded on every compact is bounded on A . We give a simple proof of the following characterization of infrabarrelledness of $C_0(X)$.

Theorem 3 (S. Warner [7]). $C_0(X)$ is infrabarrelled if

and only if every semibounded set in X is relatively compact.

Proof. We shall show that if V is a barrel in $C_0(X)$ which absorbs bounded sets then $K(V)$ is semibounded in X . Suppose the contrary. Let g be a lower semi-continuous mapping bounded on all compact sets, $g \geq 0$ and g be unbounded on $K(V)$. Find $x_n \in K(V)$ such that $g(x_n) > n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There is a neighbourhood \mathcal{O}_{x_n} of the point x_n such that $g(y) > n$ for every $y \in \mathcal{O}_{x_n}$. Let $f_n \in C(X)$ and $f_n(X \setminus \mathcal{O}_{x_n}) = 0$, $f_n \notin V$, $f_n: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$. It follows that $n \cdot f_n(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $n \cdot f_n \notin V$. The set $\{n \cdot f_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq C_0(X)$ is bounded in $C_0(X)$ (because g is bounded on every compact set) and not absorbed by V because $\frac{1}{n}(n \cdot f_n) \notin V$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, $K(V)$ is semibounded in X . The remaining part of our proof of Theorem 3 coincides with the proof of Theorem 1.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] A.V. ARHANGEL'SKIĬ: On linear homeomorphism function spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (in Russian) 264(1982), 1289-1292.
- [2] L. NACHBIN: Topological vector spaces of continuous functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40(1954), 471-474.
- [3] T. SHIROTA: On locally convex vector spaces of continuous functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Sci. 30(1954), 294-298.
- [4] E. BECKENSTEIN, L. MARICI, C. SUPPEL: Topological Algebras, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 24(1977).
- [5] J. SCHMETS: Espaces des Fonctions Continues, Lect. Notes Math. 519(1976).
- [6] J. SCHMETS: Indépendance des propriétés de tonnelage et d'évaluabilité affaiblis, Bull. Soc. Roy. Liège 42(1973), 111-115

[7] S. WARNER: The topology of compact convergence on continuous function spaces, Duke Math. J. 25(1958), 265-282.

Ural State University, Sverdlovsk, USSR

(Oblatum 8.9. 1983)