

Anatolij Dvurečenskij; Beloslav Riečan  
On the individual ergodic theorem on a logic

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 21 (1980), No. 2, 385--391

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106005>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**ON THE INDIVIDUAL ERGODIC THEOREM ON A LOGIC**  
**Anatolij DVUREČENSKIJ, Beloslav RIEČAN**

**Abstract:** The individual ergodic theorem on a logic is formulated and proved.

**Key words:** Logic, state, observable, ergodic homomorphism.

**Classification:** Primary 28D99

Secondary 03G12, 81B10

Let  $(X, S, m, T)$  be a classical dynamical system. The well-known Birkhoff individual ergodic theorem states (in the case that  $T$  is ergodic and  $f$  integrable) that the time mean

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(T^i(x))$$

is equal a.e. to the space mean (phase mean)

$$\frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X f \, dm.$$

(See e.g. [4]; for recent development see [5],[6].) In the paper we shall formulate and prove a variant of the theorem for logics (orthomodular lattices) which are adequate to the quantum mechanical systems. (See [7], some connections to ergodic theory have been studied in [1].)

The main idea of our proof is to represent the given

homomorphism  $\tau$  of a logic  $L$  by a Borel measurable transformation of  $R$ . (A similar method in another area of non-commutative probability theory has been used in [2].) Of course, not every homomorphism  $\tau$  permits such a representation: in Proposition 1 we present a sufficient and necessary condition ( $x$ -measurability of  $\tau$ ). Under this condition all considered observables map the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $B(R_1)$  into a fixed Boolean algebra  $x(B(R_1))$  and we could work with Boolean algebras instead of logics. Of course, such a specification presents a new result as well. On the other hand, it would be interesting to explain the physical meaning of the  $x$ -measurability of the homomorphism  $\tau$ ; we do not know any convenient interpretation.

Let  $L$  be a logic, that is,  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -lattice with the first and the last elements  $0$  and  $1$ , respectively, with an orthocomplementation  $\perp : a \mapsto a^\perp$ ,  $a, a^\perp \in L$ , which satisfies (i)  $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$  for all  $a \in L$ ; (ii) if  $a < b$ , then  $b^\perp < a^\perp$ ; (iii)  $a \vee a^\perp = 1$  for all  $a \in L$ ; and the orthomodular law holds in  $L$ : if  $a < b$ , then  $b = a \vee (b \wedge a^\perp)$ .

We say that two elements  $a, b \in L$  are (i) orthogonal, and we write  $a \perp b$ , if  $a < b^\perp$ ; (ii) compatible, and we write  $a \leftrightarrow b$ , if there are three mutually orthogonal elements  $a_1, b_1, c$  such that  $a = a_1 \vee c$ ,  $b = b_1 \vee c$ .

An observable is a map  $x$  from  $B(R_1)$  into  $L$  such that (i)  $x(\emptyset) = 0$ ; (ii) if  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , then  $x(E) \perp x(F)$ ; (iii)  $x(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} x(E_i)$ ,  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $E_i \in B(R_1)$ . If  $f$  is a Borel function, then  $f \circ x : E \mapsto x(f^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R_1)$ , is an observable. The null observable is the observable  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(\{0\}) = 1$ .

Two observables  $x$  and  $y$  are compatible if  $x(E) \leftrightarrow y(F)$  for any  $E, F \in B(R_1)$ .

For compatible observables there is a calculus [7, Theorem 6.17]. Therefore we may define, for example, the sum  $x_1 + \dots + x_n$  for the compatible observables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

A state is a map  $m: L \rightarrow \langle 0, 1 \rangle$  such that (i)  $m(1) = 1$ ; (ii)  $m(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(a_i)$  if  $a_i \perp a_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . If  $x$  is an observable, then the mean value of  $x$  in a state  $m$  is the expression  $m(x) = \int_{R_1} t \, dm_x(t)$  (if the integral exists), where  $m_x(E) = m(x(E))$ ,  $E \in B(R_1)$ .

A homomorphism of a logic  $L$  is a map  $\tau$  from  $L$  into  $L$  such that (i)  $\tau(0) = 0$ ; (ii)  $\tau(a^\perp) = (\tau(a))^\perp$  for all  $a \in L$ ; (iii)  $\tau(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(a_i)$ ,  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset L$ .

We say that a homomorphism  $\tau$  of a logic  $L$  is ergodic in a state  $m$  (see [1]) if

- (i)  $m(\tau(a)) = m(a)$  for all  $a \in L$ ;
- (ii) if  $\tau(a) = a$ , then  $m(a) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

A homomorphism  $\tau: L \rightarrow L$  is said to be  $x$ -measurable if  $\tau(x(B(R_1))) \subset x(B(R_1))$ .

We say that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of observables converges to the null observable  $\sigma$  almost everywhere [m] (a.e. [m], see [3, 2]) if

$$m(\lim_n \sup x_n(\langle -\varepsilon, \varepsilon \rangle^c)) = 0$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Now we can formulate the individual ergodic theorem on a logic.

Theorem. Let  $x$  be an observable,  $\tau$  an  $x$ -measurable homomorphism of a logic  $L$ , ergodic in a state  $m$ . Let  $m(x) = 0$ . Then

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau^i \circ x \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{a.e. [m].}$$

Proof. Our Theorem will be proved by means of the next Propositions.

Proposition 1. Let  $x$  be an observable. A homomorphism  $\tau : L \rightarrow L$  is  $x$ -measurable iff there is a Borel measurable transformation  $T : R_1 \rightarrow R_1$  such that

$$(2) \quad \tau \circ x = T \circ x.$$

(That is,  $x(T^{-1}(E)) = \tau(x(E))$  for any  $E \in B(R_1)$ .)

Proof. The sufficient condition is evident. Conversely, let  $\tau$  be an  $x$ -measurable homomorphism. This implies that if  $E \subset F$ ,  $E, F \in B(R_1)$  and if there is  $G' \in B(R_1)$  such that  $\tau(x(E)) \subset x(G') \subset \tau(x(F))$ , then there is  $G \in B(R_1)$  such that  $E \subset G \subset F$ ,  $x(G) = x(G')$ . Indeed, if we put  $G = (G' \cap F) \cup E$ , then this  $G$  has the claimed property.

Now, let  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  be any distinct enumeration of the rational numbers in  $R_1$ . We claim to construct, by induction, the sets  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  from  $B(R_1)$  such that

$$(a) \quad x(E_i) = \tau(x((-\infty, r_i)));$$

$$(b) \quad E_i \subset E_j \text{ if } r_i < r_j;$$

$$(c) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \emptyset.$$

Let  $E_1$  be any set in  $B(R_1)$  such that  $x(E_1) = \tau(x((-\infty, r_1)))$ . Suppose  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in B(R_1)$  have been constructed such that (a) and (b) hold. We shall construct  $E_{n+1}$  as follows. Let  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  be the permutation of  $(1, \dots, n)$  such that  $r_{i_1} < \dots < r_{i_n}$ . Then exactly one of the following conditions holds:

- (i)  $r_{n+1} < r_{i_1}$ ;  
 (3) (ii)  $r_{n+1} > r_{i_n}$ ;  
 (iii) there is unique  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$r_{i_k} < r_{n+1} < r_{i_{k+1}}.$$

By the above observation we can select  $E_{n+1}$  such that  
 (i)  $E_{n+1} \subset E_{i_1}$ ; (ii)  $E_{n+1} \supset E_{i_n}$ ; (iii)  $E_{i_k} \subset E_{n+1} \subset E_{i_{k+1}}$ ;  
 according to (3). Then the system  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n+1}\}$  fulfils (a)  
 and (b). Thus, by induction, it follows that there exists a  
 sequence  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of sets in  $B(R_1)$  with the properties (a) and  
 (b). As

$$x(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} x(E_i) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(x((-\infty, r_i))) = 0,$$

we may, by replacing  $E_i$  by  $E_i - \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$  if necessary, assume  
 that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \emptyset$ .

We define a  $B(R_1)$ -measurable transformation  $T: R_1 \rightarrow R_1$   
 as follows:

$$T(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \\ \inf\{r_j : t \in E_j\} & \text{if } t \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i. \end{cases}$$

A transformation  $T$  is everywhere defined and it is finite.  
 Moreover,

$$T^{-1}((-\infty, r_i)) = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{\kappa_j < \kappa_i} E_j & \text{if } r_i \leq 0 \\ \bigcup_{\kappa_j < \kappa_i} E_j \cup (R_1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k) & \text{if } r_i > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence  $T$  is  $B(R_1)$ -measurable and  $x(T^{-1}((-\infty, r_i))) = \tau(x((-\infty, r_i)))$ . Therefore  $x(T^{-1}(E)) = \tau(x(E))$  for any  $E \in B(R_1)$  and  
 the necessary condition is proved. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2. Let  $x$  be an observable. If a homomorph-

ism  $\tau : L \rightarrow L$  is  $x$ -measurable, then for the above transformation  $T$  we have

$$\tau^n \circ x = T^n \circ x, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $\tau$  is an ergodic homomorphism in a state  $m$ , then  $T$  is an  $m_x$ -measure preservative ergodic transformation from  $R_1$  into itself.

Proof. The first part is evident by induction.

Let  $\tau$  be ergodic. Then, by Proposition 1, we have

$$m_x(T^{-1}(E)) = m(x(T^{-1}(E))) = m(\tau(x(E))) = m(x(E)) = m_x(E), \\ E \in B(R_1).$$

Further, if  $T^{-1}(E) = E$ , then  $x(T^{-1}(E)) = x(E)$ ,  $\tau(x(E)) = x(E)$ . Due to the ergodicity of  $\tau$  we conclude that  $m(x(E)) = m_x(E) \in \{0, 1\}$ . Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem. From the assumption of Theorem we conclude that  $\tau^n \circ x = T^n \circ x$ , where  $T$  is an ergodic transformation with respect to the measure  $m_x$  on  $B(R_1)$ , and the observables  $\{\tau^n \circ x\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  are mutually compatible. If we put  $s_n = 1/n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T^i$ , then, due to the calculus for compatible observables, the observables  $y_n = s_n \circ x$  are the Cesàro sum  $1/n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \circ x$ .

Since it may be shown that (see [3])

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \tau^i \circ x \rightarrow \sigma \quad \text{a.e. } [m] \text{ iff } s_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. } [m_x],$$

we conclude, from the validity of the individual ergodic theorem on the dynamical system  $(R_1, B(R), m_x, T)$  applied to the identical function  $i(t) = t$ ,  $t \in R_1$ , ( $\int_{R_1} i(t) dm_x(t) = 0$ ) [4], that (1) holds. Q.E.D.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] DVUREČENSKIJ A.: On some properties of transformations of a logic, Math. Slovaca 26(1976), 131-137.
- [2] DVUREČENSKIJ A.: Laws of large numbers and the central limit theorems on a logic, Math. Slovaca 29 (1979), 397-410.
- [3] GUDDER S.P., MULLIKIN H.C.: Measure theoretic convergences of observables and operators, J. Math. Phys. 14(1973), 234-242.
- [4] HAIMOS P.R.: Lectures on ergodic theory, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1956.
- [5] JUNCO A. del, STEELE J.M.: Moving averages of ergodic processes, Metrika 24(1977), 35-43.
- [6] NEY P.: Advances in probability and related topics, vol. 2, M. Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [7] VARADARAJAN V.S.: Geometry of quantum theory, Van Nostrand, New York, 1968.

Ústav merania a meracej  
techniky SAV  
Dúbravská cesta  
88527 Bratislava

Prírodovedecká fakulta  
Univerzity Komenského  
Mlynská dolina  
81631 Bratislava

Československo

(Oblatum 29.10.1979)