

Petr Simon

Left-separated spaces: a comment to a paper of M. G. Tkačenko

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 20 (1979), No. 3, 597--604

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105954>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

LEFT-SEPARATED SPACES: A COMMENT TO A PAPER  
OF M. G. TKAČENKO  
Petr SIMON

**Abstract:** There appeared two beautiful papers of M.G. Tkačenko [T<sub>1</sub>][T<sub>2</sub>] in the last issue of this journal. He studied the properties of spaces which can be expressed as a union of not too many left-separated subspaces. In this note we want to give alternative (and perhaps easier) proofs of Tkačenko's theorems.

**Key words and phrases:** left-separated space,  $\alpha$ -compact space, free sequence.

**Classification:** Primary 54A25, 54F05  
Secondary 54B05

---

0. Preliminaries. A topological space  $X$  is called left-separated (right-separated, resp.); if there exists a well-ordering  $<$  of a set  $X$  such that each initial (coinitial, resp.) segment under  $<$  is closed. It turns out that left-separated spaces have other pleasant properties, cf. e.g. [A<sub>1</sub>],[A<sub>2</sub>],[GJ]. Gerlitz and Juhász ([GJ]) proved among others, that each left-separated compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is both scattered and sequential, Tkačenko ([T<sub>2</sub>]) showed that the same holds if the space  $X$  is regular countably compact and if  $X = \bigcup \{X_n : n < \omega\}$  with each  $X_n$  left-separated; moreover  $X$  will be compact then. Aiming for this result, Tkačenko

considered the situation in the whole generality, i.e. the space  $X$  was assumed to be  $\tau$ -compact and  $X = \bigcup \{ X_\alpha : \alpha < \tau \}$  with each  $X_\alpha$  left-separated ( $\tau$  an infinite cardinal) and proved further results, some of which will be restated here.

The following notation will be frequently used throughout the whole paper: If  $(A, <)$  is an ordered set and if  $x \in A$ , then  $A(\leftarrow, x)$  denotes the initial segment  $\{y \in A : y < x\}$ . Similarly,  $A(\leftarrow, x] = \{y \in A : y \leq x\}$ ,  $A(x, \rightarrow) = \{y \in A : y > x\}$ ,  $A[x, \rightarrow) = \{y \in A : y \geq x\}$ .

As usually adopted, cardinals are identified with the initial ordinals of the same cardinality.

1. Definition. Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $(P, <)$  ordered subset of  $X$ ,  $F \subset X$ . The set  $F$  is called to be wide with respect to  $P$  if  $F \cap \overline{P[x, \rightarrow)} \neq \emptyset$  for each  $x \in P$ .

2. Lemma. Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $(P, <_P)$  be a free sequence in  $X$ ,  $(M, <_M)$  left-separated subspace of  $X$ ,  $F$  closed subset of  $X$  which is wide with respect to  $P$ . Assume moreover that for each point  $x \in X$  there is some  $p \in P$  with  $x \in \overline{P(\leftarrow, p)}$ .

Then there exists a closed set  $F' \subset F$  which is wide wrt  $P$  and such that either  $F' \cap M = \emptyset$  or  $F'$  is discrete and contained in  $M$ .

(Recall that  $(P, <)$  is a free sequence in  $X$  if  $<$  is a well-ordering of  $P$  such that  $\overline{P(\leftarrow, x)} \cap \overline{P[x, \rightarrow)} = \emptyset$  whenever  $x \in P$ .)

Proof. By a transfinite induction we shall define the points  $m_\alpha \in M$  and the points  $p_\alpha, q_\alpha \in P$  as follows:  
 $q_\alpha = \sup_P \{p_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ ,  $(\sup_P \emptyset = <_P$  first element of  $P$ )

$m_\alpha = \langle \mathbf{M}$ -first element of  $M \cap F \cap \overline{P[q_\alpha, \rightarrow)}$ ,

$p_\alpha = \langle \mathbf{P}$ -first element of  $P$  such that  $m_\alpha \notin \overline{P[p_\alpha, \rightarrow)}$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be the first ordinal such that the induction cannot continue.

Case 1.  $q_\gamma$  cannot be defined. That means,  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$  is a cofinal sequence of  $(P, <_P)$ . Notice that the sequence  $\{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$  is free: Fix  $\alpha < \gamma$ , according to the choice of  $m_\beta$ 's and  $q_\beta$ 's we have  $\{m_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \subset \overline{P[\leftarrow, q_\alpha)}$  and  $\{m_\alpha: \alpha \leq \beta < \gamma\} \subset \overline{P[q_\alpha, \rightarrow)}$ . Since  $P$  is free,  $\overline{P[\leftarrow, q_\alpha)} \cap \overline{P[q_\alpha, \rightarrow)} = \emptyset$ , thus  $\{m_\beta: \beta < \alpha\} \cap \{m_\beta: \alpha \leq \beta < \gamma\} = \emptyset$ .

Put  $H = \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$  and consider the set  $H - \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$ . If  $H - \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$  is not wide wrt  $P$ , there exists some  $p \in P$  with  $(H - \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}) \cap \overline{P[p, \rightarrow)} = \emptyset$ . Now it is self-evident that the set  $F' = \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\} \cap \overline{P[p, \rightarrow)}$  is closed, discrete, wide with respect to  $P$  and contained in  $F \cap M$ .

If  $H - \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$  is wide wrt  $P$ , define  $F' = H - \{m_\alpha: \alpha < \gamma\}$ . We have to verify that  $F' \cap M = \emptyset$ . Pick arbitrary  $m \in M$  and let  $\beta_0 = \sup \{\beta: m_\beta <_{\mathbf{M}} m\}$ . If  $m_{\beta_0} = m$ , then  $m \notin F'$  trivially. Further,  $m \notin \overline{M[\leftarrow, m)}$  since  $M$  is left-separated, hence  $m \notin \{m_\beta: \beta < \beta_0\}$ . Finally,  $m \notin \{m_\beta: \beta_0 \leq \beta < \gamma\}$ : Suppose not. Then  $m \in \overline{P[q_{\beta_0}, \rightarrow)} \cap F \cap M$ , the possibility  $m = m_{\beta_0}$  was discussed and if  $m <_{\mathbf{M}} m_{\beta_0}$ , we obtain a contradiction to the choice of  $m_{\beta_0}$ .

Case 2.  $m_\gamma$  cannot be defined. That means  $M \cap F \cap \overline{P[q_\gamma, \rightarrow)} = \emptyset$ . It suffices to define  $F' = F \cap \overline{P[q_\gamma, \rightarrow)}$ . The verification that the set  $F'$  is as required may be left to the reader.

Case 3.  $p_\gamma$  cannot be defined. This case is empty because of the assumption that each point  $x \in X$  belongs to some

$\overline{P(\leftarrow, p)}$  and by the fact that  $P$  is free.

3. Lemma. Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal,  $X$   $\tau$ -compact topological space,  $P = \{p_\alpha: \alpha < \tau^+\}$  dense subset of  $X$ . Then the space  $\tilde{X} = \{x \in X: \text{there is } \alpha < \tau^+ \text{ such that } x \in \overline{\{p_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}}\}$  is  $\tau$ -compact.

The easy proof is omitted.

4. Theorem (Tkačenko [T<sub>1</sub>]). Let  $\tau$  be an infinite cardinal, let  $X$  be a  $\tau$ -compact topological space,  $X = \bigcup \{M_\alpha: \alpha < \tau^+\}$  where each  $M_\alpha$  is a left-separated subspace of  $X$ . Then there does not exist a free sequence of length  $\tau^+$  in  $X$ , in particular,  $t(X) \leq \tau$ .

(Recall that  $t(X)$ , the tightness of  $X$ , is  $\inf\{\aleph: \aleph \text{ is a cardinal and } \forall Y \subset X \forall x \in \bar{Y} \exists Z \subset Y (x \in \bar{Z} \ \& \ |Z| \leq \aleph)\}$ .)

Proof. Suppose the contrary: let  $P = \{p_\alpha: \alpha < \tau^+\}$  be the free sequence in  $X$ . Being closed in  $X$ , the set  $\bar{P}$  is  $\tau$ -compact. By the lemma 3, the space  $Y = \{x \in \bar{P}: \text{there is } \alpha < \tau^+ \text{ with } x \in \overline{\{p_\beta: \beta < \alpha\}}\}$  is  $\tau$ -compact, too.

Let  $K_\alpha = M_\alpha \cap Y$  for  $\alpha < \tau$ ;  $K_\alpha$  is clearly left-separated, and  $Y = \bigcup \{K_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\}$ . We shall successively apply Lemma 2: Let  $F_0 = Y$ .  $F_0$  is wide wrt  $P$ , closed in  $Y$ ,  $K_0$  is left-separated subspace of  $Y$ , thus there is an  $F_1 \subset F_0$  which is closed, wide wrt  $P$  and either  $F_1 \cap K_0 = \emptyset$  or  $F_1 \subset K_0$  and  $F_1$  is discrete. Clearly each set in  $Y$  which is wide wrt  $P$  is of cardinality at least  $\tau^+$ , this fact together with the  $\tau$ -compactness of  $Y$  rules out the second possibility. Hence  $F_1 \cap K_0 = \emptyset$ .

Proceeding by an obvious induction, we obtain on each successor stage  $\alpha + 1$  a closed set  $F_{\alpha+1} \subset F_\alpha$  such that  $F_{\alpha+1} \cap K_\alpha = \emptyset$  and  $F_{\alpha+1}$  is wide with respect to  $P$ . If  $\alpha < \tau$  is a limit

ordinal, define  $F_\alpha = \bigcap \{F_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . Assuming all  $F_\beta$  ( $\beta < \alpha$ ) to be wide wrt  $P$ ,  $F_\alpha$  will be wide wrt  $P$ , too: If  $p_\xi \in P$ , then  $\{F_\beta \cap \overline{P(p_\xi, \rightarrow)} : \beta < \alpha\}$  is a decreasing sequence of closed sets in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is  $\tau$ -compact, thus  $F_\alpha \cap \overline{P(p_\xi, \rightarrow)}$  is non-void.

We have constructed a nested sequence  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  of non-empty closed subsets of  $Y$ . Its intersection is empty, since  $Y = \bigcup \{K_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\}$  and  $K_\alpha \cap F_{\alpha+1} = \emptyset$  for each  $\alpha < \tau$ . But the space  $Y$  is  $\tau$ -compact - a contradiction.

5. Definition. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Define  $\zeta(X) = \inf \{|\mathcal{M}| : X = \bigcup \mathcal{M} \text{ and each } M \in \mathcal{M} \text{ is a left-separated subspace of } X\}$

$n(X) = \inf \{|\mathcal{D}| : \mathcal{D} \text{ is a family of nowhere dense sets in } X \text{ such that } \bigcup \mathcal{D} \text{ contains all non-isolated points of } X\}$

6. Theorem. Let  $X$  be a dense-in-itself topological space such that  $d(X) \cdot t(X) < n(X)$ . Then  $\zeta(X) \geq n(X)$ .

Proof. Choose a cardinal  $\tau$  with  $d(X) \cdot t(X) \leq \tau < n(X)$ . We want to show that  $\tau < \zeta(X)$ . Suppose the contrary: Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a family of left-separated subspaces of  $X$  such that  $|\mathcal{M}| \leq \tau$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{M} = X$ . Since  $n(X) > \tau$ , there must be some  $M \in \mathcal{M}$  which cannot be covered by  $\leq \tau$  nowhere dense subsets of  $X$ . Define  $N = M(\leftarrow, a)$ , where  $a = \inf_{\mathcal{M}} \{b \in M : M(\leftarrow, b) \text{ cannot be covered by } \leq \tau \text{ nowhere dense subsets of } X\}$

if such an  $a$  can be found, if not, let

$N = M$ .

Clearly, the set  $N$  is not nowhere dense; let  $K = N \cap \text{int } \bar{N}$ . Denote by  $<_K$  the well-ordering of  $K$  induced by the order of  $M$ .

The following are easy observations:

(a)  $K$  cannot be covered by  $\leq \tau$  nowhere dense subsets

of  $X$

(Notice that  $N$  has this property and that  $N - K = N - (N \cap \text{int } \bar{N}) \subset \bar{N} - \text{int } \bar{N}$ , which is nowhere dense in  $X$ .)

(b)  $K$  is dense in  $\text{int } \bar{N}$  (any nonvoid open set  $U \subset \text{int } \bar{N}$  meets  $N$ , hence  $\emptyset \neq U \cap N = U \cap \text{int } \bar{N} \cap N = U \cap K$ ).

**Claim:** The cofinality of  $(K, <_K)$  is not greater than  $\tau$ .

To prove the claim, choose some set  $\{q_\xi: \xi < \tau\} \subset \text{int } \bar{N}$  dense in  $\text{int } \bar{N}$ . Since  $d(X) \leq \tau$ , it is possible.

Since  $K$  is dense in  $\text{int } \bar{N}$  and since  $t(X) \leq \tau$ , choose for each  $\xi < \tau$  a set  $T_\xi \subset K$  such that  $|T_\xi| \leq \tau$  and  $q_\xi \in \overline{T_\xi}$ . Denote by  $T$  the union  $\bigcup \{T_\xi: \xi < \tau\}$ . Then  $|T| \leq \tau$  and  $\overline{T} \supset \overline{\{q_\xi: \xi < \tau\}} \supset K$ . It follows that  $T$  is cofinal in  $K$ : If not, for  $t = \sup_K T$  we have that  $t \in \overline{T} \subset K(\leftarrow, t)$ , but  $K$  is left-separated - a contradiction.

Having proved the claim, let us choose a cofinal subset  $\{m_\xi: \xi < \tau\}$  of  $K$ . We obtain  $K \subset \bigcup \{K(\leftarrow, m_\xi): \xi < \tau\} \subset \bigcup \{N(\leftarrow, m_\xi): \xi < \tau\}$ . By the choice of  $N$ , for each  $\xi < \tau$  there is a family  $\mathcal{R}_\xi$  of nowhere dense subsets of  $X$ , such that  $|\mathcal{R}_\xi| \leq \tau$  and  $\bigcup \mathcal{R}_\xi \supset N(\leftarrow, m_\xi)$ . Then  $K \subset \bigcup \{\bigcup \mathcal{R}_\xi: \xi < \tau\}$ , which contradicts (a).

**7. Corollary** (Tkačenko [T<sub>2</sub>]): Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space,  $X = \bigcup \{M_n: n < \omega\}$ , where each  $M_n$  is a left-separated subspace of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is scattered.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $X$  has at least one isolated point. Suppose the contrary: let  $X$  be dense-in-itself. Then  $X$  can be continuously mapped onto  $2^\omega$ ; let  $f$  be such a mapping. Choose  $Y \subset X$  to be a closed subspace of  $X$  such that  $f \upharpoonright Y$  is irreducible. Then  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space

without isolated points which admits a continuous irreducible mapping onto  $2^\omega$ . This implies  $d(Y) = d(2^\omega) = \omega$ ,  $n(Y) = n(2^\omega) > \omega$ . Moreover,  $\xi(X) = \omega$  and  $X$  is (countably) compact, according to Theorem 4,  $t(X) \leq \omega$ , hence  $t(Y) \leq \omega$ . Applying Theorem 6, we obtain  $\xi(Y) \geq n(Y) > \omega$ . But  $\omega \geq \xi(X) \geq \xi(Y)$  - a contradiction.

8. Concluding remarks. (a) There exists an example of a (compact Hausdorff) topological space  $X$  without isolated points, where  $\xi(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot d(X) < |X|$  holds. Thus the number  $n(X)$  cannot be replaced by  $|X|$  in Theorem 6.

(b) The original Tkačenko's proofs heavily depend on the fact that the following statement is true for some particular choices of the spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ : If  $X$  and  $Y$  are (regular) topological spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a continuous perfect irreducible onto mapping, then  $\xi(X) \geq \xi(Y)$ . It suggests a question: Is the statement true in general?

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [A<sub>1</sub>] A.V. ARCHANGEL'SKIJ: O prostranstvach, rastjanutych vlevo, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. 5(1977), 30-36.
- [A<sub>2</sub>] A.V. ARCHANGEL'SKIJ: Stroenie i klassifikacija topologičeskich prostranstv i kardinal'nye invarianty, Uspechi Mat. Nauk XXXIII, 6(204)(1978), 29-84.
- [GJ] J. GERLITZ, I. JUHÁSZ: On left-separated compact spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 19(1978), 53-62.
- [J] I. JUHÁSZ: Cardinal functions in topology, Math. Centre Tracts 34, Amsterdam 1975.
- [T<sub>1</sub>] M.G. TKAČENKO: O bikompaktach, predstavimych v vide



ob'edinenija sčetnogo čisla levych podpro-  
stranstv, I, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20  
(1979), 361-379.

[T<sub>2</sub>] M.G. TKAČENKO: O bikompaktach, predstavimych v vide  
ob'edinenija sčetnogo čisla levych podpro-  
stranstv, II, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20  
(1979), 381-395.

Matematický ústav  
Universita Karlova  
Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8  
Československo

(Oblatum 7.6. 1979)