

Donald E. Bennett

Expansive collections of continua

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 4, 689--695

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105884>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

19,4 (1978)

EXPANSIVE COLLECTIONS OF CONTINUA

D.E. BENNETT, Murray

Abstract: Let X be a continuum. A collection \mathcal{F} , of proper subcontinua of X is said to be expansive provided that if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and G is a proper subcontinuum of X such that $F \subset G$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$. In this paper such collections of subcontinua are studied. In particular, if X is the union of the members of \mathcal{F} then conditions are given which imply that X can be written as the union of two members of \mathcal{F} .

Key words and phrases: Continuum, expansive collections, indecomposable, irreducible, non-separating subcontinua.

AMS: Primary 54F20

Secondary 54F15

In this paper certain collections of proper subcontinua of a continuum X are studied. In particular, these collections which "expan" with respect to set inclusion are investigated and properties of such collections are developed. If X is the union of the subcontinua from such a collection, then conditions are given which imply that X is the union of exactly two subcontinua from the collection.

Throughout this paper the continuum X is a compact connected metric space. The continuum X is said to be decomposable if it is the union of two proper subcontinua; otherwise, the continuum is indecomposable. If K is a proper subcontinuum of X , then K is non-separating in X means that

$X - K$ is connected. The continuum X is irreducible if there are two points p and q in X such that no proper subcontinuum of X contains both p and q . If A is a subset of X , then the closure of A in X will be denoted by \bar{A} . For terms and notation used but not defined herein, the reader is referred to [3].

Definition: A collection, \mathcal{F} , of proper subcontinua of X is said to be expansive provided if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and G is a proper subcontinuum of X such that $F \subset G$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let $S \subset X$, $S \neq \phi$, and \mathcal{F} be the collection of all proper subcontinua of X that contain S . Then \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection.

A proper subcontinuum K of X is said to be a terminal continuum provided if A and B are proper subcontinua of X such that $X = A \cup B$ and $A \cap K \neq \phi \neq B \cap K$ then $X = A \cup K$ or $X = B \cup K$ [1]. The terminal subcontinua of X form an expansive collection of non-separating subcontinua of X .

If \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection of subcontinua of X , then we shall let $\mathcal{F}^* = \cup \{F \mid F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

It is easily seen that \mathcal{F}^* is dense in X and is non-separating in X . Moreover, if $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is a non-empty subcontinuum then $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ does not separate any subcontinuum of X . But when is $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ a continuum? The following theorem provides an answer.

Theorem 1. Suppose \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection of proper subcontinua of X . Then $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is a continuum if and only if the only subcontinua that intersect both \mathcal{F}^* and $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ are decomposable.

Proof: Assume that $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is not a continuum. Then $X - \mathcal{F}^* \not\subseteq \overline{X - \mathcal{F}^*}$. Thus $\overline{X - \mathcal{F}^*}$ is a continuum which intersects both \mathcal{F}^* and $X - \mathcal{F}^*$, hence is decomposable. Let A and B be proper subcontinua such that $\overline{X - \mathcal{F}^*} = A \cup B$. It follows that $X - \mathcal{F}^* \not\subseteq A$ and $X - \mathcal{F}^* \not\subseteq B$. Now either A or B intersects \mathcal{F}^* so without loss of generality assume that $A \cap \mathcal{F}^* \neq \emptyset$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then $A \cup F$ is a continuum.

If $A \cup F = X$, then $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset X - F$. Since $X - F \subset A$ this would imply that $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset A$ which is not the case. Thus $A \cup F$ must be a proper subcontinuum of X . Since $F \subset A \cup F$ then $A \cup F \in \mathcal{F}$ and it follows that $A \subset \mathcal{F}^*$. This implies that $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset B$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is, in fact, a continuum.

Now suppose that $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is a continuum but that K is an indecomposable subcontinuum which intersects \mathcal{F}^* and $X - \mathcal{F}^*$. Let $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $K \cap F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$, then $K \cup F_\alpha$ is a subcontinuum of X which contains F_α . Since $K \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}^*$, it follows that $K \cup F_\alpha \notin \mathcal{F}$ which implies that $X = K \cup F_\alpha$. Note that $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset X - F_\alpha \subset K$. Let C be the composant of K which contains $X - \mathcal{F}^*$. Since $X - \mathcal{F}^* \not\subseteq C$, there is a $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $C \cap F_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Let I be a subcontinuum contained in C such that $I \cap (X - \mathcal{F}^*) \neq \emptyset \neq I \cap F_\beta$. Now $I \cup F_\beta$ is a subcontinuum which contains F_β but $I \cup F_\beta \notin \mathcal{F}$. Since \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection of proper subcontinua of X , it follows that $X = I \cup F_\beta$. Thus $K - I \subset F_\beta$ which implies that $K \subset F_\beta$. Since $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset K$, then $X - \mathcal{F}^* \subset F_\beta$ which is a contradiction. Therefore the only subcontinua that intersect both \mathcal{F}^* and $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ are decomposable.

Obviously, $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is a continuum if the continuum X is hereditarily decomposable. It also holds with a somewhat weaker condition on X .

Corollary 1: Suppose X is a continuum such that each indecomposable subcontinuum has void interior and \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection of proper subcontinua of X . Then $X - \mathcal{F}^*$ is a continuum.

Proof: Let K be a continuum which intersects both \mathcal{F}^* and $X - \mathcal{F}^*$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $K \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then $K \cup F$ is a continuum which contains F but $K \cup F \notin \mathcal{F}$. Thus $X = K \cup F$ and $X - F$ is an open set contained in K . By hypothesis K is decomposable and the corollary follows from the previous theorem.

Continua which satisfy the hypothesis of the following theorem are equivalent to the "type A" continua of Thomas [2].

Theorem 2. Let X be an irreducible continuum such that each indecomposable subcontinuum has void interior. If $X = \mathcal{F}^*$, then there exists $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $X = F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$.

Proof: Suppose $\{p, q\} \subset X$ such that X is irreducible between p and q . Let $\mathcal{F}_p = \{F \in \mathcal{F} \mid p \in F\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_q = \{F \in \mathcal{F} \mid q \in F\}$. Then \mathcal{F}_p and \mathcal{F}_q are non-empty expansive collections of subcontinua and, according to Corollary 1, $X - \mathcal{F}_p^*$ and $X - \mathcal{F}_q^*$ are continua. Note that $p \in X - \mathcal{F}_q^*$ and $q \in X - \mathcal{F}_p^*$.

Case 1. If $\mathcal{F}_p^* \cap \mathcal{F}_q^* \neq \emptyset$, let $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_p$ and $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}_q$ such that $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Since $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$ is a subcon-

tinuum containing $\{p, q\}$, it follows that $X = F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$.

Case 2. If $F_p^* \cap F_q^* = \emptyset$. Then $X = (X - F_p^*) \cup (X - F_q^*)$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $p \in F$. Then $F \cap F_q^* = \emptyset$ which implies that $F \subset X - F_q^*$. Since $X - F_q^*$ is a proper subcontinuum of X it follows that $X - F_q^* \in \mathcal{F}$.

Likewise $X - F_p^* \in \mathcal{F}$. So $X = F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$ where $F_\alpha = X - F_q^*$ and $F_\beta = X - F_p^*$.

In the remaining portion of this paper we shall assume that the expansive collection \mathcal{F} of subcontinua of X has the property that if $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ then $F_\alpha \cap F_\beta \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 1: There is a countable subcollection $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}$, of \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^*$.

Proof: Case 1: If there exist $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $X = F_1 \cup F_2$, let $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G} = \{F_1, F_2\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}^* = F_1 \cup F_2 = \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^*$.

Case 2. Suppose that X is not the union of two members of \mathcal{F} . Choose a $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be a countable basis for $X - F_\alpha$. For each positive integer i , let L_i be the component of $X - V_i$ which contains F_α . Since \mathcal{F} is an expansive collection then each $L_i \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G} = \{L_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Then $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ which implies that $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{F}^*$.

Suppose $x \in \mathcal{F}^*$. Let $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $x \in F_\beta$. Now by hypothesis $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$ is a subcontinuum of X . Since $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta \neq X$, then $W = X - (F_\alpha \cup F_\beta)$ is an open subset of $X - F_\alpha$. There is a positive integer i such that $V_i \subset W$ which implies that $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta \subset X - V_i$. Thus $F_\alpha \cup F_\beta \subset L_i$ so $x \in F_\beta \subset L_i \subset \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^*$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}^* \subset \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^*$ and it follows that $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{F}^*$.

Theorem 3:¹ Let \mathcal{F} be an expansive collection of non-separating subcontinua of X . If $\mathcal{F}^* = X$, then there are

$F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ and $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $X = F_\alpha \cup F_\beta$.

Proof: Suppose to the contrary that $\mathcal{F}^* = X$ but X is not the union of any two numbers of \mathcal{F} . Then it follows that X is not the union of any finite subcollection of \mathcal{F} . Let $\mathcal{F}_\omega = \{F_i\}_{i=1}^\omega$ be a countable subcollection of \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{F}_\omega^* = \mathcal{F}^*$. For each positive integer n let $N_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i$. Then $\{N_i\}_{i=1}^\omega$ is an increasing sequence of proper subcontinua of X with $\bigcup_{i=1}^\omega N_i = X$.

Assertion: For each integer i , there is a $j > i$ such that $\overline{X - N_j} \not\subseteq \overline{X - N_i}$. For if not, then there is an i such that for all $j > i$ $\overline{X - N_i} = \overline{X - N_j}$. Thus $\{X - N_j \mid j > i\}$ is a countable collection of open sets, each dense in $\overline{X - N_i}$. According to the Baire Category Theorem, there is a point $p \in \bigcap_{j > i} (X - N_j) = X - \bigcup_{j > i} N_j$. But this would imply that $p \in X - \bigcup_{i=1}^\omega N_i$ which is a contradiction. Therefore the assertion holds.

Thus we may obtain a subsequence $\{N'_i\}_{i=1}^\omega$ of the sequence N such that for each i , $N'_i \subset N'_{i+1}$ while $\overline{X - N'_{i+1}} \not\subseteq \overline{X - N'_i}$. Clearly $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\omega N'_i$. Since $\{X - N'_i\}_{i=1}^\omega$ is a decreasing sequence of compact sets, there is an $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^\omega (X - N'_i)$. Let j be a positive integer such that $x \in N'_j$. Then $x \in \overline{X - N'_{j+1}}$ and $[\overline{N'_j \cup X - N'_{j+1}}]$ is a proper subcontinuum of X . Since $[\overline{N'_j \cup X - N'_{j+1}}]$ does not separate X , it must be a member of \mathcal{F} . Thus N'_{j+1} and $[\overline{N'_j \cup X - N'_{j+1}}]$ are two members of \mathcal{F} whose union is X . This contradiction establishes the theorem.

¹ Theorem 3 was suggested by J.B. Fugate.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] D.E. BENNETT and J.B. FUGATE: Continua and their non-separating subcontinua, *Dissertationes Mathematicae* 149(1977), 1-50.
- [2] E.S. THOMAS, Jr.: Monotone decompositions of irreducible continua, *Rozprawy Matematyczne* 50(1966), 1-74.
- [3] G.T. WHYBURN: *Analytic Topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Pub. 28(1942), Providence, R.I.

Department of Mathematics
Murray State University
Murray, KY, 42071
U.S.A.

(Oblatum 6.6. 1978)