

Jiří Vinárek

A note on direct-product decompositions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 3, 563--567

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105800>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON DIRECT-PRODUCT DECOMPOSITIONS

Jiří VINÁNEK, Praha

Abstract: An example of a covariant functor F such that the category $S(F)$ does not admit the algebraic recognition of products (see [4]) is constructed.

Key words: Algebraic recognition of products, n -ary operation, set-functor.

AMS: Primary 18A30

Ref. Ž.: 2.726.23

1. G.M. Kelly and A. Pultr have defined a condition of the algebraic recognition of products in categories (ARP, see [4]). Roughly speaking, in categories admitting ARP an object A can be non-trivially decomposed into a product of objects A_1, \dots, A_n iff there exists a non-trivial n -ary operation e on A satisfying certain conditions. (For $n = 2$, and $A \xrightarrow[p_1]{p_0} A$ projections, the conditions mentioned are the following: $e\Delta = 1$, $e(e \times e) = e(p_0 \times p_1)$ where Δ is a diagonal map.)

In [4], large classes of categories admitting ARP are presented. An interesting question is to study this problem in categories \hat{F} defined as follows (cf. [2],[3]): consider a functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, define \hat{F} as a category whose objects are all the pairs (A, a) where $A \in \text{obj } \mathcal{A}$, $a \in FA$, and whose morphisms $(A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)$ are maps $f: A \rightarrow B$ satisfying

f_* ac b. (The notation f_* (f^* resp.) is used for the direct- (inverse- resp.) image function.)

2. Thus, for $\mathcal{A} = \text{Set}$ (Set^{OP} resp.), \hat{F} (\hat{F}^{OP} resp.) coincides with the $S(F)$ from (e.g.) [2] and [3].

While, by [4], $S(F)$ with a contravariant F always admits ARP, for the covariant case only a class of the F (including the basic "constructive" set functors and closed under basic operations) with $S(F)$ admitting ARP is given.

We are going to present a covariant functor $F: \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ such that in $S(F)$ ARP is not admitted. For \mathcal{A} a category admitting ARP (e.g. $\mathcal{A} = \text{Set}$), F admits ARP for $n = 2$ iff the following condition holds:

$$(\mathbb{1}_{A_0, A_1}) \text{ Let } (A_0 \times A_1)^2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_0} \\ \xrightarrow{p_1} \end{array} A_0 \times A_1, \begin{array}{c} A_0 \times A_1 \\ \swarrow \pi_0 \\ \searrow \pi_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} A_0 \\ A_1 \end{array}$$

be product diagrams in \mathcal{A} then for every $r \in F(A_0 \times A_1)$:

$$F(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)_* (F(p_0)^* (r) \cap F(p_1)^* (r)) \subset r \text{ implies}$$

$$F(\pi_0)^* F(\pi_0)_* (r) \cap F(\pi_1)^* F(\pi_1)_* (r) \subset r.$$

For a set X put

$$FX = \{Y \subset X \mid \text{card } Y = 2\} \cup \{O_X\}$$

and for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X'$ define $F(f)$ by putting

$$F(f)(Y) = f_*(Y) \text{ if } \text{card } f_*(Y) = 2,$$

$$F(f)(Y) = O_{X'} \text{ if } \text{card } f_*(Y) = 1,$$

$$F(f)(O_X) = O_{X'}.$$

(Thus, F is a factorfunctor of $\text{Hom}(2, -)$ where all the constant maps of $\text{Hom}(2, X)$ are factorized to a point of FX .)

Proposition. The \hat{F} just defined does not admit ARP.

Proof. Put $A_0 = A_1 = 2$, and consider the subset $r = \{\{(0,0), (0,1)\}\} \subset F(2 \times 2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F(p_0)^*(r) &= \{\{(0,0,a,b), (0,1,c,d)\} \mid a,b,c,d \in \{0,1\}\}, \\ F(p_1)^*(r) &= \{\{(x,y,0,0), (u,v,0,1)\} \mid x,y,u,v \in \{0,1\}\}, \\ F(p_0)^*(r) \cap F(p_1)^*(r) &= \{\{(0,0,0,0), (0,1,0,1)\}, \{(0,0,0,1), \\ &\quad (0,1,0,0)\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$F(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)_*(F(p_0)^*(r) \cap F(p_1)^*(r)) = r.$$

On the other hand, $F(\pi_0)_*(r) = \{0_2\}$,

$$F(\pi_0)^* F(\pi_0)_*(r) = \{\{(0,0), (0,1)\}, \{(1,0), (1,1)\}, 0_{2 \times 2}\},$$

$$F(\pi_1)_*(r) = \{\{0,1\}\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\pi_1)^* F(\pi_1)_*(r) &= \{\{(0,0), (0,1)\}, \{(1,0), (1,1)\}, \{(0,0), \\ &\quad (1,1)\}, \{(1,0), (0,1)\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(\pi_0)^* F(\pi_0)_*(r) \cap F(\pi_1)^* F(\pi_1)_*(r) &= \{\{(0,0), (0,1)\}, \\ &\quad \{(1,0), (1,1)\}\} \not\subset r. \end{aligned}$$

3. Proving ARP property for the $S(F)$ with concrete F 's (representable functors, power-set functors, products and sums of these etc.) one usually encounters the situation with F satisfying the following formally stronger condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{2}_{A_0, A_1}) \text{ for any } u, v, w \in F(A_0 \times A_1) \text{ such that } F(\pi_0)(u) &= \\ = F(\pi_0)(v), F(\pi_1)(u) = F(\pi_1)(w) \text{ there exists a} & \\ z \in F((A_0 \times A_1)^2) \text{ such that } F(p_0)(z) = v, F(p_1)(z) = w, & \\ F(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(z) = u. & \end{aligned}$$

It is still an open problem whether this is equivalent with ARP, i.e., whether

$$\forall A_0, A_1 (\underline{1}_{A_0, A_1}) \implies \forall A_0, A_1 (\underline{2}_{A_0, A_1}).$$

We will conclude this note by showing at least that the imp-

lication

$$(\underline{1}_{A_0, A_1}) \implies (\underline{2}_{A_0, A_1})$$

with particular A_0, A_1 (namely already with $A_0 = A_1 = 2$) does not hold.

For a set X put

$$GX = \{(Y, i) \mid Y \subset X, \text{card } Y = 4, i \in \{0, 1\}\} \cup \{0_X\}$$

and for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow X'$ define $G(f): G(X) \rightarrow G(X')$ by putting

$$G(f)(Y, i) = (f_* (Y), i) \text{ if } \text{card } f_* (Y) = 4,$$

$$G(f)(Y, i) = 0_{X'} \text{ if } \text{card } f_* (Y) < 4,$$

$$G(f)(0_X) = 0_{X'}.$$

Proposition. $(\underline{1}_{2, 2})$ holds while $(\underline{2}_{2, 2})$ does not.

Proof. (a) Let $r = \emptyset$. Then $G(\pi_0)^* G(\pi_0)_* (r) \cap G(\pi_1)^* G(\pi_1)_* (r) = \emptyset$.

(b) Let $\emptyset \neq r \subset G(2 \times 2) \setminus \{0_{2 \times 2}\}$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $(2 \times 2, 0) \in r$. Then $y = (\{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}, 0) \in G(p_0)^* (r) \cap G(p_1)^* (r)$ while $G(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(y) = 0_{2 \times 2} \notin r$.

(c) Let $\emptyset \neq r \subset G(2 \times 2) \setminus \{(2 \times 2, i)\}, i = 0 \text{ or } i = 1$. According to (b) we can suppose that $0_{2 \times 2} \in r$. Then $t = (\{(0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 1)\}, i) \in G(p_0)^* (r) \cap G(p_1)^* (r)$ but $G(\pi_0 \times \pi_1)(t) = (2 \times 2, i) \notin r$.

(d) Let $r = G(2 \times 2)$. Then $G(\pi_0)^* G(\pi_0)_* (r) \cap G(\pi_1)^* G(\pi_1)_* (r) = r$.

According to (a) - (d) $(\underline{1}_{2, 2})$ holds.

(e) Put $u = v = (2 \times 2, 0), w = (2 \times 2, 1)$. Then $G(\pi_0)(u) = G(\pi_1)(u) = G(\pi_0)(v) = G(\pi_1)(w) = 0_2$ but

$G(p_0)^*(v) \cap G(p_1)^*(w) = \emptyset$ and therefore $(\underline{2}, 2)$ does not hold.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] L. COPPEY: Décomposition de structures en produit, Esquisses Mathématiques 14(1971), Dépt. de Math., Univ. Paris 7.
- [2] Z. HEDRLÍN, A. PULTR: On categorical embeddings of topological structures into algebraic, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 7(1966), 377-400.
- [3] Z. HEDRLÍN, A. PULTR and V. TRNKOVÁ: Concerning a categorical approach to topological and algebraic theories, Proc. 2nd Prague top. Symp., Academia, Prague 1966, 176-181.
- [4] G.M. KELLY, A. PULTR: On algebraic recognition of direct-product decompositions, to appear in J. Pure Appl. Algebra.
- [5] V. KOUBEK: Set functors, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 12(1971), 175-195.
- [6] S. MAC LANE: Categories for the working mathematician, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
Karlova universita
Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8
Československo

(Oblatum 27.6. 1977)