

Věra Trnková

Productive representations of semigroups by pairs of structures

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 18 (1977), No. 2, 383--391

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105782>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

18,2 (1977)

PRODUCTIVE REPRESENTATIONS OF SEMIGROUPS BY PAIRS OF  
STRUCTURES

Věra TRNKOVÁ, Praha

**Abstract:** We prove that for any commutative semigroup  $(S, +)$  there exists a collection  $\{r(s) \mid s \in S\}$  of complete metric spaces such that for every  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,

- (i)  $r(s_1 + s_2)$  is isometric to  $r(s_1) \times r(s_2)$  and
- (ii) if  $s_1 \neq s_2$  then  $r(s_1)$  is not homeomorphic to  $r(s_2)$ .

**Key words:** Semigroup, representation, product, metric space, box-product.

AMS: Primary 54H10  
Secondary 20M30

Ref. Ž.: 3.969

1. Let us begin with a definition.

**Definition.** Let  $K, H$  be categories,  $K$  have finite products. Let  $\mathcal{F} : K \rightarrow H$  be a functor. Let  $(S, +)$  be a commutative semigroup. Any mapping

$$r: S \rightarrow \text{obj } K$$

is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation of  $(S, +)$  if

- (i) for any  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,  $r(s_1 + s_2)$  is isomorphic to  $r(s_1) \times r(s_2)$  in  $K$ ;
- (ii) if  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ ,  $s_1 \neq s_2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(r(s_1))$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}(r(s_2))$  in  $H$ .

In  $[T_2]$ , a representation of  $(S, +)$  by products in a category

$K$  is introduced. It is a special case of the above definition with  $K = H$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \text{ident}$ . The dual definitions of  $\mathcal{F}$ -coproductive representation is evident.

2. Some of the known results give  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representations of some semigroups. Let us recall some of them.

A) Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the category of lattices and all lattice-homomorphisms; let  $\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}$  be the category of all linear lattices and all linear lattice-homomorphisms. Let  $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  be the functor which assigns to each linear lattice its underlying lattice. Then

any Abelian group and any countable commutative semigroup have  $\mathcal{L}$ -productive representations.

B) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the category of all commutative rings with unit (and all their unit-preserving homomorphisms), let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the category of all commutative semigroups with unit. Let  $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  be the functor which assigns to each ring its multiplicative semigroup. Then

any Abelian group and any countable commutative semigroup have  $\mathcal{R}$ -productive representations.

C) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the category of all Banach spaces and all bounded linear operators with the norm  $\leq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{A}$  be the category of all Banach algebras. Let  $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be the functor which assigns to each Banach algebra its underlying Banach space. Then

any Abelian group and any countable commutative semigroup have  $\mathcal{B}$ -productive representations.

In all these cases, the  $\mathcal{L}$ - or  $\mathcal{R}$ - or  $\mathcal{B}$ -productive representations are obtained as follows. By [AKT], any Abelian

group has a representation by coproducts of Boolean spaces (i.e. compact Hausdorff zero-dimensional spaces), in other words, for any Abelian group  $G$  there exists a collection  $\{r(g) \mid g \in G\}$  of pairwise non-homeomorphic Boolean spaces such that  $r(g_1 + g_2)$  is always homeomorphic to the coproduct  $r(g_1) \amalg r(g_2)$  of  $r(g_1)$  and  $r(g_2)$ . The analogous result for all countable commutative semigroups is proved in [K] (here,  $r(g)$  are metrizable).

Consider the sets  $C(r(g))$  of all real-valued continuous functions on these spaces  $r(g)$ . They can be structured in a lot of ways: As linear lattices and lattices for A), as rings and semigroups for B), as Banach algebras and Banach spaces for C). Structured as a linear lattice or ring or Banach algebra,  $C(r(g_1) \amalg r(g_2))$  is isomorphic to  $C(r(g_1)) \times C(r(g_2))$  in the corresponding category. Since  $r(g_1)$  is not homeomorphic to  $r(g_2)$ ,  $C(r(g_1))$  is not isomorphic to  $C(r(g_2))$ , structured as lattices (by the Birkhoff-Kaplansky theorem) or Banach spaces (by the Banach-Stone theorem) or multiplicative semigroups (by Milgram [M]).

Let us notice that if  $\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  preserves finite products and a semigroup has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation, then it has a representation by products in  $\mathbb{H}$  in the sense of [T<sub>2</sub>]. Hence, if a functor  $\mathcal{F}$  from an arbitrary category into the category Set of all sets or into the category Lin of all linear spaces preserves finite products, then no non-trivial Abelian group has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation.

3. Let  $\mathbb{CM}$  be the category of all complete metric spaces with diameter  $\leq 1$  and all their contractions (we re-

call that a mapping  $c$  is a contraction if  $\text{dist}(c(x), c(y)) \leq \text{dist}(x, y)$  for all  $x, y$ . Let us notice that isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{CM}$  coincide with isometries and a product-metric  $d$  of  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  is given by the usual formula

$$d((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\}.$$

Let  $\text{Top}$  be the category of all topological spaces and all their continuous mappings. Here isomorphisms coincide with homeomorphisms. Let

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{CM} \rightarrow \text{Top}$$

be the functor which assigns to each metric space its underlying topological space. The aim of this note is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Every commutative semigroup has an  $\mathcal{M}$ -productive representation.

Every commutative semigroup has a representation by products of uniform, proximity and topological spaces; by [AK], every  $C$ -embeddable semigroup has a representation by products of metrizable topological spaces. The above theorem strengthens all these results.

4. First, we sketch modifications of the general method, described in [T<sub>2</sub>]. If a semigroup  $S$  has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation, then any of its subsemigroups has also an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation. Consequently, it is sufficient to investigate  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation of "universal semigroups" (this means universal for some class of semigroups with respect to an embedding of semigroups).

Denote by  $N$  the additive semigroup of all non-negative inte-

gers, by  $N^{\mathcal{M}}$  its  $\mathcal{M}$ -th power (with the operation given pointwise) and by  $\exp N^{\mathcal{M}}$  the semigroup of all its subsets (with the operation given by  $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ ). By  $[T_3]$ , any commutative semigroup  $S$  can be embedded in  $\exp N^{\mathcal{M}}$  with  $\mathcal{M} = \aleph_0 \cdot \text{card } S$ . Hence, we shall investigate  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representations of the semigroups  $\exp N^{\mathcal{M}}$ .

5. We shall use the following notation and conventions. Isomorphism in a category will be denoted by  $\simeq$ , product by  $\prod$  (or  $\times$  for finite collections), coproduct by  $\coprod$ . The product of the empty collection is a terminal object (it can be added to a category whenever it is missing). If  $a$  is an arbitrary object of a category with finite products, then  $a^0$  is the terminal object,  $a^1 \simeq a$ ,  $a^{n+1} \simeq a \times a^n$ . We say that a category  $K$  with all products and coproducts is distributive (see  $[T_2]$ ) if

$$(\coprod_{i \in I} a_i) \times (\coprod_{j \in J} b_j) \simeq \coprod_{(i,j) \in I \times J} (a_i \times b_j).$$

We say that an object  $a$  is a summand of  $b$  if  $b \simeq a \coprod c$  for an object  $c$ .

6. Let  $K$  be a category with products, let  $\mathcal{F} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a functor. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of objects of  $K$ . For any  $f \in N^{\mathcal{X}}$ , denote by  $Z(f)$  the product  $\prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} Z^{f(a)}$  (if  $f(a) = 0$ ,  $Z^{f(a)}$  is the terminal object). We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -independent set of objects of  $K$  if for every  $f \in N^{\mathcal{X}}$ ,  $A \in N^{\mathcal{X}}$ ,

$f \in A$  whenever  $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z}(f))$  is a summand of  $\mathcal{F}(\coprod_{(g,s) \in A \times S} \mathbb{Z}(g)_s)$ , where  $S$  is a set and  $\mathbb{Z}(g)_s \simeq \mathbb{Z}(g)$  for all  $s \in S$ .

(This generalizes the notion of productively independent set of objects, see [T<sub>2</sub>] and [AK].)

**7. Proposition.** Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a distributive category, let  $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  preserve coproducts. Let there exist an  $\mathcal{F}$ -independent set  $\mathcal{X}$  of objects of  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then the semigroup  $\exp \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{X}}$  has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation.

Proof. For any  $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{X}}$  denote  $\mathbb{Z}(f) = \prod_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \mathbb{Z}^{f(z)}$ ; let  $\mathbb{C}(f)$  be a coproduct of  $2^{\mathcal{X}}$  copies of  $\mathbb{Z}(f)$  with  $\mathcal{M} = \text{card } \mathcal{X}$ . For  $A \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{X}}$  put

$$r(A) = \coprod_{f \in A} \mathbb{C}(f).$$

Then  $r$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation of  $\exp \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{X}}$ . For, if  $A, B \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{X}}$ , then  $r(A + B) \simeq r(A) \times r(B)$  (implied by

$\mathbb{Z}(f + g) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(f) \times \mathbb{Z}(g)$ ). If  $A \not\leq B$ , say if  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ , then, for  $f \in A \setminus B$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \mathbb{Z}(f)$  is a summand of  $\mathcal{F} r(A)$  while it cannot be a summand of  $\mathcal{F} r(B)$  because  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -independent. Hence,  $\mathcal{F} r(A)$  is not isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F} r(B)$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

Corollary. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be a distributive category, let  $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a coproduct-preserving functor. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  have an arbitrarily large  $\mathcal{F}$ -independent set of objects. Then any commutative semigroup has an  $\mathcal{F}$ -productive representation.

**8.** Let us examine the category  $\mathbb{CM}$ . It has all coproducts (for, if  $\{(X_i, d_i) \mid i \in I\}$  is a collection of ob-

jects,  $X_i$  disjoint, put  $X = \bigcup_i X_i$ ,  $d(x,y) = d_i(x,y)$  whenever  $x,y \in X_i$  for some  $i$ ,  $d(x,y) = 1$  otherwise;  $d$  is complete whenever all the  $d_i$ 's are complete;  $(X,d)$  is a coproduct in  $\mathbb{CM}$ . It has all products (for, if  $\{ (X_i, d_i) \mid i \in I \}$  is a collection of objects, put  $X = \prod_i X_i$ ,  $d(\{x_i\} \{y_i\}) = \sup_i d_i(x_i, y_i)$ ). Clearly,  $\mathbb{CM}$  is distributive. The functor  $\mathcal{M}: \mathbb{CM} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  preserves coproducts and finite products, but it does not preserve products in general. To prove the theorem, we have to show that  $\mathbb{CM}$  contains arbitrarily large sets of  $\mathcal{M}$ -independent sets of objects.

9. If  $\{Y_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a collection of topological spaces, denote by  $\prod_i Y_i$  their box-product. We recall that a set  $\mathcal{U}$  of topological spaces is called stiff if for any  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  and any continuous mapping  $m: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$  either  $m$  is constant or  $Y_1 = Y_2$  and  $m = \text{ident}$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a set of topological spaces. For any  $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$  denote by  $\mathbb{B}(f)$  a topological space with the same underlying set as  $\prod_{Y \in \mathcal{U}} Y^{f(Y)}$  and such that both the identical mappings

$$\prod_{Y \in \mathcal{U}} Y^{f(Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(f) \rightarrow \prod_{Y \in \mathcal{U}} Y^{f(Y)}$$

are continuous (where  $\prod$  denotes product in  $\text{Top}$ ).

In the following proposition,  $S$  is a set and, for each  $s \in S$ ,  $(\mathbb{B}(g))_s$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}(g)$ . If  $X \subset \mathbb{B}(g)$ ,  $X_s$  means the corresponding subspace of  $(\mathbb{B}(g))_s$ .

**Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a stiff set of connected Hausdorff spaces. Let  $f \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$ ,  $A \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}}$  be given. If  $\mathbb{B}(f)$  is homeomorphic to a closed-and-open subset of  $\coprod_{(g,s) \in A \times S} (\mathbb{B}(g))_s$ ,

then  $f \in A$ .

Proof. a) First, let us notice that for any  $Y \in \mathcal{U}$  and any  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , the existence of a homeomorphism of  $Y^{m_1}$  into  $Y^{m_2}$  implies  $m_1 \leq m_2$  (see [H]).

b) For any  $g \in N^{\mathcal{U}}$  and any  $x \in B(g)$ , denote by  $B_x(g)$  the subspace of  $B(g)$  consisting of all these points  $y$  which differ from  $x$  only in finitely many coordinates. Clearly, every  $B_x(g)$  is connected. One can see easily by a), that if, for some  $g_1, g_2 \in N^{\mathcal{U}}$  and some  $x \in B(g_1)$ , there exists a homeomorphism of  $B_x(g_1)$  into  $B(g_2)$ , then  $g_1 \leq g_2$ .

c) Now, let  $h: B(f) \rightarrow \coprod_{(g,s) \in A \times S} (B(g))_s$  be a homeomorphism onto a closed-and-open subset. Choose  $x \in B(f)$ . Since  $B_x(f)$  is connected, there exists  $(g_0, s_0) \in A \times S$  such that  $h(B_x(f)) \subset (B(g_0))_{s_0}$ . By b),  $f \leq g_0$ . Put  $y = h(x)$ . Since  $h(B(f))$  is a closed-and-open set containing  $y$  and  $(B_y(g_0))_{s_0}$  is connected, it is contained in  $h(B(f))$ . Hence,  $h^{-1}$  defines a homeomorphism of  $B_y(g_0)$  into  $B(f)$ . By b),  $g_0 \leq f$ . We conclude that  $f = g_0 \in A$ .

10. By [T<sub>1</sub>], there exist arbitrarily large stiff sets  $\mathcal{U}$  of connected topological spaces such that any  $Y \in \mathcal{U}$  can be metrized by a complete metric, say  $d_Y$ . We may suppose  $d_Y \leq 1$ . By the previous proposition,  $\mathcal{X} = \{(Y, d_Y) \mid Y \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -independent set of objects of  $\mathbf{CM}$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### R e f e r e n c e s

[AK] J. ADÁMEK, V. KOUBEK: On representation of ordered commutative semigroups, to appear.

- [AKT] J. ADÁMEK, V. KOUBEK, V. TRNKOVÁ: Sums of Boolean spaces represent any group, *Pacif. J. of Math.* 61(1975), 1-7.
- [H] J. HERRLICH: Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 78(1968).
- [K] J. KETONEN: The structure of countable Boolean algebras, preprint.
- [M] A.N. MILGRAM: Multiplicative semigroups continuous functions, *Duke Math. J.* 16(1948), 377-383.
- [T<sub>1</sub>] V. TRNKOVÁ: Non-constant continuous mappings of metric and compact Hausdorff spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 13(1972), 283-295.
- [T<sub>2</sub>] V. TRNKOVÁ: Representation of semigroups by products in a category, *J. of Algebra* 34(1975), 191-204.
- [T<sub>3</sub>] V. TRNKOVÁ: On a representation of commutative semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* 10(1975), 203-214.

Matematický ústav

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 28.3. 1977)